THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Pennsylvania archives
3d series, vol 1

MINUTES

4533
.40-5

OF THE

BOARD OF PROPERTY

AND OTHER REFERENCES

TO

LANDS IN PENNSYLVANIA.

EDITED BY

WILLIAM HENRY EGLE, M. D.

HARRISBURG:
CLARENCE M. BUSCH, STATE PRINTER.
1894.

F174
T41
2d ser
Vol 1

[Owing to the fact that some of the Minute Books of the Board of Property are not to be found in the Land Department, the disconnected record will be accounted for. We give such transcripts from the Caveat Books, however, as may possibly supply the hiatus in the proceedings of that office. So important are these, that their incompleteness is to be regretted.]

In compliance with current
copyright law, LBS Archival
Products produced this
replacement volume on paper
that meets the ANSI Standard
Z39.48-1984 to replace the
irreparably deteriorated
original.

1992

MINUTES OF YE WELSH PURCHASERS.

Jno. Thomas' and Edw'd Jones' Deeds, 16th and 17th 7'br, 1681. In w'h Purchase the Rights of several mean Purchases were as follows:

In the Possession of his Sons, Tho., Rob't and Cadwallad'r Jones, ½ in Meirion, ½ in Goshen, given them by their Father. Jno. Thomas's last will, to be produced before Pat'ts.

By Deed from J. T. and E. J., dat. 28th Feb., 1681, for 625 Acres to Hugh Roberts, laid out by Vertue of a gen'l Warr't in 1683, or y'r abouts, ½ of this in Meirion, the other ½ in Goshen. He also by Deed dat. 17, 6, '94, bought of Wm. Edw'd 76 and ½, and of Jno. Watkin, by D. dat. 1 Apr., '82, 156. LL. deducted ¼, w'h is in all, the Lib. Land deducted, 842¼, of w'ch he has given to his Son Rob't 200 Acres by Articles of Marriage out of the 625, and 200 Acres to his Son Owen by Art. of Marr., made up of ye 76½ bo't of Wm. Edw'd, and the Rest of the 625, he sold to Edw'd Griffith 100 of the 625, and to Rob't Wm. 100 out of the same, and to Th. Griffiths 100 out of the residue of the 625, and the 156 bo't of J. Walk about 74, and to Abel Thomas 76½ out of J. Walk, sold and disposed of in all 776½, has remaining 67¾. The Land sold to Edw'd Griffith, Tho. Griff. and Rob't Wm. lies in Meirion, as also all the rest, saving the remaining 67¾.

3 Wm. Edw'd 153¼.

By Deed from J. T. and E. G.., not produced, 76⅞ sold to Hugh Roberts, and 76¾ in Goshen to Rob't William, the Land he holds is in the Liberties, having bo't the whole 100 Acres appurten't to the 5,000.

3 Edw'd Rees 153¼.

By Deed dated 1, 2 mo., 1682, bo't of J. T. and E. J. 156¼, LL. ded. 153¼, sold 75 Acres in Goshen to Ellis David, the rest, viz., 78 and ¼ (together with 125 Acres purchased of Tho. LLoyd by Deed dated 5th 6 mo. 1691 part of Cha. LLoyd and Marg't Davies' purchase), and 2 Acres of Edw'd Jones by Agreem't, as Edw'd confesses, all his Land is 205¼, all in Meirion.

6¼ Edw'd Jones 306¼.

Being one of the chief first Purchasers, Kept only [illegible]2 to himself, out of w'ch 6 Acres and ¼ Lib. Land, being ded'd remains 306¼. Sold to Edw'd Rees 2 Acres as above in Merion and has

there 151¼ and 153 in Goshen, he also purchased of R'd Thom. 200 Acres, no Deed, to be laid out in Goshen, which he desires may be included in the same, the Whole to G'r. O.

6¼ Griff: Owen 306¼.

By Deed dated 1st April, 1682, Edw'd Owen purch'd of J. T. and E. J. 312½ Acres, by Endorsme't on the said Deed, dated 9th 1 mo., '84-5, Edw'd Owen transfers Lib. Land deducted, of w'ch sold to Rob't David 150 in Meirion, by Deed dated 1, 1 mo., '94-5, being all his share there, the Rest, viz, 156¼ he holds in Goshen.

6¼ Edw'd Jones 306¼ Jun'r.

By Deed dated 18, 1 mo., '8 1-2, Jno. ap Edw'd purchased of J. T. and E. J. 312½ Acres, whose Son, said Edw'd Jones, holds it all but the Lib'ty Land, ½ in Meirion ½ in Goshen.

87 LL. 6¼ Rob't David 306¼.

By Deed dated 18, 1 mo., '81, purchased of J. T. and E. J. 312½, Lib'ty Land deducted, ½ laid out in Meirion, ½ in Goshen. Of that in Meirion he sold to Richd' Walter by D. dated 1, 10b'r '94, 25 Acres, and has 281 in all of that Purchase remaining. He further bo't of Evan Rees 156¼ by Deed dated 18, 5 mo., '83, and sold out of the same 75 Acres by the same Deed, also of Lib. Land 3 Acres, so that he has remaining of his first 281 and of Ev. Rees' 78 Acres and ¼, and of Griff Owen 150, making in all 509½, all of which in Goshen 234½, and in Meirion 274½.

Rich'd Walter, by the above Purchases, viz., of Rob't David 25 Acres by Deed dated 1st 10b'r, '94, being part of the said Rob'ts Purchase of Jno. Tho. and Edw'd Jones, and 75 Acres from the said Rob't by the same Deed purchases of Evan Rees is now possessed of 100 Acres in Meirion.

3 Rees Jones 153¼ Rich'd Rees Jones.

By Deed dated 18, 2 mo., '82, bo't of J. T. and E. J. 156 Acres and ¼ sold to Cadw. Morgan 50 Acres, and by Will bequeathed 100 to his Son Rich'd Jones, who also purchased of Jno Roberts, Cordwainer, 37 Acres and ½ (but has had no Deed yet, only a bond of p. Formance) which 37 Acres and ½ is parcel of 156¼ bo't by Tho. LLoyd, as in the following, and was by the said Tho. bequeathed by Will to the said Jno. Rob'ts, his Nephew, so that the said R'd Rees Jones holds of this Purchase 137 Acres ½, all in Meirion (The said Rich'd also holds 75 Acres in Goshen, granted to him by his Uncle Evan John Will'm, by Deed dated , being part of Rich'd David's purchase). He holds in all 137 or 140 in Meirion of J. T. and E. J., and 75 in Goshen of R'd Davids.

3 Tho: Prichard 153¼.

By Deed dated , bo't of J. T. and E. J. 156¼, of which 3 Acres are Lib'ty Land, T. Prichard, by Deed dated 16 July, '84, conveyed the same to Rees Jones aforegoing, who being pos-

sessed thereof, together with the p'ceeding, in all 306½, sold to Cadw. Morgan 50 Acres, and bequeathed to his Son Rich'd 100 or 103, being that Moiety of both purchases that fell in Melrion, ye other Moiety the s'd Rees bequeathed to his Sons, Jno. and Evan, lying in Goshen, the Will to be produced. The Land to be equally divided between them by Warr'ts is in all 153½ Acres.

3 Cadwallader Morgan 153¼.

By Deed dated 1, 2 mo., 1682, bo't of J. T. and E. J. 156 Acres and ¼, of which 3 Acres Lib. Land. He sold to Jno. Roberts, Malter, 76½ Acres in Goshen, the other 76¾ he holds in Melrion. He also purchased of Rees Jones, by Deed dat. 18, 4 mo., '94, 50 Acres, mentioned before in Rees Jones'. He also bo't of Jno. William following by Deed of the same date, viz, 18, 4 mo., 1684, 76 Acres and ½ in Melrion, so that he possesses 203 Acres and ¼ in Meirion.

3 Gaynor Rob't's 153¼.

By Deeds dated 1, 2 mo., 1682, bo't of J. T. and E. J. 156 Acres, of which 3 Acres Lib'ty Land, 75¼ y'rof in Meirion, the rest in Goshen, now in the Possession of Jno. Rob'ts, Malter. He also bo't of Cadw. Morgan 75 Acres as above, by Deed dated 7th 7 mo, '87, and by the same Deed he bo't of Hugh Jones following the same Quantity, so that he holds in all 306 Acres and ½, ¾ in Goshen and ¼ in Melrion.

3 Tho. LLoyd 153¼.

T. L. Yeoman (of Merioneth, not the Presid't), by Deed dated 1st April, '82, purch'd of J. T. and E. J. 156 Acres, of which there being 3 Acres Lib. Land, he bequeathed the rest to his Nephew, Jno. Roberts, Cordwainer, who sold of it 378½ Acres to Rich'd Jones (as before) and 37½ to Griffith John, of Meirion, the other Moiety being in Goshen. The said Jno. Roberts holds, viz, 78 Acres and ¼.

3 John Will'm 153¼.

By Deed dated , bo't of J. T. and E. J. 156¼, of which 3 Acres Lib'ty Land, and of the rest he sold to Cadwallad'r Morgan 76 and ½ Acres above, and to Edw'd Rees the remainder, who sold it to Ellis David.

3 Jn'o Watkin 153¼.

By Deed dated 1st Apr., '82, bo't of J. T. and E. J. 156¼, of which 3 Acres is Lib'ty Land, the rest he sold to Hugh Rob'ts by deed 23, 4 mo., '84.

3 Hugh Jones 153¼.

By Deed dated 18 Mar., 1681, bo't of J. T. and E. J. 156¼, 3 Acres L. Land, of w'ch he sold to Jno. Roberts 76¼, as above. He and his Son holds the rest in Meirion.

6¼ Evan Rees 306¼.

By deed dated 18 March, '81-2, bo't of J. T. and E. J. 312¼

Acres, 6¼ Acres L. Land, who sold to Rob't David by Deed dated 18, 5 mo., 1683, one Moiety, and to Griffith John the other, but has no deed.

Griffith John besides that purchased of Evan Rees, viz., 156 As and ¼, purchased of Jno. Rob'ts, mentioned before in Tho. LLoyd 38 Acres, and now holds 194 Acres.

Rob't William, by Deed , bo't of Wm. Edw'd 76¼ Acres in Goshen, no Deeds yet made.

Ellis David bo't of Edw'd Rees 75 Acres, no Deed yet made, being out of the said Rees's own purchase, and ye one Moiety of Jno. William's purchase, viz, 76¾, deed not made.

Jno. Bevan purchased of the Prop'ry, by deeds of L. and Rel., bearing date 16 and 17 days of Mar., '81. He kept to himself and his Brother Cha., in right of which there was laid out 980 Acres in 3 several Tracts, one of 750 Acres, another of 170 Acres a third of 60 Acres, in all 980 Acres granted by Pat. from the Comm'rs, dated 23, 5 mo., 1688, to Jno. and Charles.

Charles, by Deed dated 1st Mar., 1698, transfers all his right in and to the Premises to his Bro'r, Jno. Bevan.

Out of the above Patent or 980 Acres, sold to Jonath. Hayes 275 Acres, and to Evan Will'm 170, in all 445 Acres, y't to Jonath. Hayes in Marple Townsh., out of the 750, the other 170 in Haverf'd is one of the entire tracts mentioned in the Patent, 60 Acres in Haverf'd, 25 Acres Lib. Land, was laid out to them, sold to B. Chambers.

Jno. Bevan, by Deed dat. 8, 3 mo., '32, sold to Katherine and Elizabeth Prich't, his Cousins, 250 Acres. Eliz. deceasing, Kath'e, ye Survivor, by Deed dated 29th 3 mo., '97, transferred her right again to the said Jno. Bevan. It lies in Haverford.

LL 5, Ralph Lewis 250, 245.

Jno. Bevan, by Deeds dated , sold to Ralph Lewis 250 Acres, who has since disposed of it all to others.

Mathew & David Jones 245.

Jno. Bevan, by Deed dated 1st August, '82, Sold to Mathew and David Jones 250 Acres in Radnor Township. Mathew lives on his. S. Buckley's wife's Father, David, never came into the Country.

Jno. Rich'd 150.

He also by D. dated 1, 6 mo., '82, sold to John Richards 150 Acres, in lieu of which because Barren there was 200 As granted by the Prop'rys Warr't, dated 2d 6 mo., '84. Lewis Richard, Heir to the said John, by Deed dated 20, 3 mo., '97, conveyed the said 150 or 200 Acres to the said Jno. Bevan in Hav'f'd.

The remaining 100, by Deed dated 1 Aug't, 1682, he sold to Trehern David, now in the Possession of Humphrey Ellis, by his intermarriage with Trehern's Relict.

Jno. Bevan holds in Marple 475, having sold to Jon'n Hayes 275, part of 750. The 2d Tract in the Pat't in Haverf'd he sold to Evan Will'm, the other 60 he holds in Haverf'd, for w'ch having a Patent he craves no Resurvey.

He bought of El. Prichard 250 Acres, w'ch he holds in Haverf'd, and 200 repurchased of Jno. Richard, and 168½ bo't of Wm. Howel and his wife Mary Howel, relict and Adm'x of Evan Thomas, who by deed dated 10th May, '82, Purchased 250 Acres of Lewis David, so that the said Jno. holds in Haverf'd, in 3 Tracts, 618½ Acres, of which 34 lies in Haverf'd Town, and the Remainder in the Township. Warr't is requested and Patent thereon; there wants above 100 Acres in Prich'ds 250; desires in the Warrant an Order to take it up.

That Benj. Chambers affirms that the Society the Comm'rs granted £10 worth of Land, viz., 200 Acres, to Hugh Rob'ts and said Kath., for whom Tho. Jones now claims, and that the Prop'r has Credit for it in the Societie's Books.

John ap John and Thomas Wynne, by Deeds of Lease and Release, dated 14th and 15th 7b'r, 1681, purchased of the Prop'ry 5,000 Acres.

John ap John reserving out of the said 5,000, 900 Acres to his own share, by Deeds of Lease and Rel., dated 19th and 20th 7b'r, 1691, sold the same to Hugh Rob'ts, of which there is laid out to him in Meirion 200 Acres, which 200 Acres having sold to Rob't Owen but not conveyed, he again signing jointly, which the said Rob't sold 100 y'rof by Deed dated 30, 3 mo., 1696, to Dan'l Thomas, who is now possess'd of it, and 100 y'rof to Tho. Rees (after Rob't Owen's decease) by Deed dated 27, 7 mo., 1700, who now possesses it.

Also 482 Acres in Goshen in a Tract of 549¾, there made up of the said 482, and 67 Acres ¾ of Jno. Thomas's Purchase, there remains to be taken up 200 Acres, for which he has a Warr't.
10 Jno. Robt's Millar 490-500.

By deed dated 17, 5 mo., 1682, purchased of Jno. ap Jno. and Thomas Wynne 500 Acres, of w'ch the Lib. Land, 10 Acres, is laid to Wm. Edw'ds,, of the rest he sold to Thomas David 100 Acres, laid out in upper Meirion, joyning on Edw'd Griffith, 250 Acres laid out 12, 2 mo., '85, to Jno. Rob'ts, and 140 (by mistake laid out 150) 12, 2 mo., '96, the whole 390 in his possession, lies in the upper end of ye Tract. He has a Warr't of Res. on the 250.

4. Mary Southwo'th.

Mary Southworth purchased of John ap John 200 Acres, and afterwards intermarried with Hen. Molineux, who is said to have sold the same to Jno. Parker, now of Philada, together with 300 Acres more, for all of which he obtained a Warr't from the Prop'ry. His Deeds were burnt, he therefore gave bond ag'st all

other Claimers. The oy'r 300 Acres are thought to be of Tho. Wynne's Purchase.

5. Rich'd Davis of Denbights 245-250.

By Deeds Indent., dated 27, 7b'r 1682, purchased of John ap John 250 Acres, of which there is to be aloltted 5 Acres of Lib. Land, but is not laid out, the rest, viz., 245 Acres, is laid out in Goshen, adjoyning on Griff. Owen, who seeing his Attorney has bought the same, would have it resurveyed.

3 Owen Pusey 147-150.

By Deed dated 17th 5 mo., 1682, is said to have bo't of J. ap Jno. and J. W. 150 Acres, but the Deed being produced is found neither signed nor seal'd, and Pusey himself is dead, ye Land was never taken up. Pusey's Son sold this to Owen Roberts in 1707, whose Ex's and Heirs sold it to John Walter, to whom the Heir of Jonathan Wynne, 23 Mar., 1726-7, released it. Warr't to Jno. Walter for 147 Acres.

Tho. Taylor 490+10=500.

Purchased also by Deeds dated 8, 1 mo., '82, 500 Acres of Jno. ap Jno. and Tho. Wynne and was laid out to him in Chester County, in Middletown, 490 Acres, ye Lib. Land laid out to Wm. Edw'ds, his Son. Tho. Taylor now possesses it; it joyns on Rich'd Crosby.

Jno. Bevan 300.

Purchased of Tho. Wynne by Deed Poll, dat. 16, 5 mo., '84, 300 Acres laid out in Meirion and confirmed to him by Pat. from the Comm'rs, W. M. and J. G., dated 9, 5 mo., '88, no resurvey.

Tho. Wynne 250.

Laid out to Tho. Wynne himself in Radnor 250 Acres, confirmed to him by Patent dated 29, 5 mo., '84, of which he sold to Howel James 200 Acres by Deed dated 9th 10 mo., '87, who conveyed yr'of to David Evan by deed dated , ye oy'r 100 he sold to his Son, Wm. James, who convey'd that also to said Evan by Deed dated 26, 11 mo.,1689, so that the said Evan's now stands possessed of the whole 200 Acres, the remaining 50 is in the Possession of Hugh Williams, this is returned 300 Acres.

Rich'd Orme, 150.

Took it up first in that Tract now confirmed to Laetitia Penn, and since being taken up in Goshen, exchanged it with her Attorneys for the first.

Humphry Bettly.

Bought of Thomas Wynne and Jno. ap Jno. Bettly, sold 125 to R'd Orme, who sold to Jonathan Heigh, who holds it, the other 125 Jonathan Wynne purchased again unlocated.

Richard Crosby 500.

He sold 300 of this to one Standbank, in Jersey, and took up the Remainder by a Warr't dated 26, 1, 1701.

Jonathan Wynne 10 400-1850.

By Warr'ts 18th 4 mo., 1705, of which 10 Acres Lib. Land, are taken up by Edw'd Jones, Sen'r.

Three hundred Acres were sold to Mary Southworth, together with 200 more sold by Jno. ap Jno. held by John Parker 490, and by R'd Woodworth, Lib. Land, 10 Acres, yet that there has been more sold by Thomas ap Wynne, particularly to Roger Andrews.

100-2260 Acres.

To Joseph Ashton, on Pemmapeck, in Dublin Township.

By this Account there remains to be taken up 240 Acres, of which there should be 24 Acres Lib. Land, 16 being taken up, and of other Land there will remain 214 Acres, to which 125 repurchased of Humphry Bettly are to be added, so that Jonathan Wynne, by this Acc't, has 339 Acres to take up, but Roger Andrews and Trial Rider are suspected to have purchased some part of Tho. Wynne's Right, especially the first, so that all is uncertain. 16, 1 mo., 170⅞.

Philip Taylor holds more of this Purchase, 100 Acres in Bromingham, in the County of Chester, vid., rent roll, but this seems part of Tho. Taylor's.

200.

Sold to Lucian Sixsinith by John ap John, and Thomas Wynne, by Deed dated .

John ap John and Tho. Wynne, by Deed dated ye 23d 1 mo., 1681, Sold to Isaac Wheeldon, who by Assignm't on the same, dated ye 13th 1 mo., 1695, did assign and make over the said Land and Deed to Sam'l Levis, of Darby, his heirs and Assigns, whose Son Sam'l now holds it.

Lewis David by Deeds of L. and Release, dated 2d and 3d of Mar., 1681, purchased of the Prop'ry 3,000 Acres, disposed of as follows: Lewis David's share in the said Purchase was 500 Acres, of which 10 Acres are Lib. Land, taken up by said Lewis and now possessed by B. Chambers, of the Remainder he sold to Peregr. Musgrove 260 Acres by Deed dated , who by Lease and Rel., dated 14 and 15 Nov'br, 1699, sold the whole to Sam'l Lewis, who by Deed dated 21 March, 1699-20, sold the same to David Rees, whose Son John is to have it from his Father; lies in Haverf'd.

Lewis David, of the same 500 Acres, sold to William Jenkins 30 Acres, located and improved in Haverf'd, by Deed dated 28 Ffeb., 1691-2; Wm. Jenkins by Deed dated 24, 6 mo., 1698-9 conveyed the said 30 Acres to Wm. Rows, together w'th 30 Acres more in one Tract, the last 30 purchased of Jno. Poyer in a Tract of 250 Acres, sold s'd Wm. by s'd Jno. by L. and Release, recorded in Bo. C., 2d, Vol. 3d, p. 58 (where enquire the Date).

Wm. Rows' Exec'rs (viz.), Rowl'd Ellis and Tho. Pascal, by

Deed dated 30, 3 mo., 1700, conveyed the said 60 Acres (made up of 30 of Lewis David's and 30 of Jno. Poyer's Purchase) to Dan'l Humphry, who holds it in Haverf'd, vid., fin. Th. Ellis.

Lewis David, by Deed dated 5, 9b'r, 1691, sold to Wm. Howel 10 Acres (together with other Land joyntly w'th Humphry Ellis), who by deed dated 30, 3 mo., 1700 (conveying a Grist Mill and more Land w'th it), sold 4 Acres, parcel of the said 10, to Rowland Powel who possesses it and by Deed dated 22 Aug'st 1700 the said Wm. Howel joyntly w'th his wife Mary and Dan'l Thomas, her son, sold the remaining 6 Acres, together w'th 75 more of this purchase sold by L. David to Evan Thomas, to Nathan Thomas, who possesses it in Haverf'd w'th 75 more. Lewis David holds the remaining 190 in Duffrin Mawr in the Welsh Tract.

L. L. 10 William Howell 490.

By Deeds of L. and Rel., dated 10 and 11 May, 1682, purchased of Lewis David 500 Acres, of w'ch 10 Acres Lib. Land, is possessed by B. Chambers. He sold to Jonath. Hayes 200 Acres laid out to him in Marple Township, and by Deed dated 29, 3 mo., 1697, sold to David Hugh 220 Acres, who holds it in Haverford.

Rich'd Hayes 50 vid: H. Lewis id:

And by Deed dated 9, 4 mo., '96, sold to Rich'd Hayes 50 Acres, who holds it in Haverf'd with a considerable Quantity more. And by Deed dated 30, 3 mo., 1700 (mentioned before), sold to Rowland Powel 30 Acres, who holds it in Haverf'd in a Tract of 89 Acres, made up of said 30 and 4 in L. David and 9 Acres sold by Humphry Ellis joyntly, which Wm. Howel mentioned in L. David, and 30 Acres sold by Wm. Kelly to Wm. Howel by Deed dated 5, 9b'r, '91. He has sold 510 out of his 500.

L. L. 5 Evan Thomas 255.

By Lease and Release, dated 10 and 11 May, '82, purchased of Lewis David 250 Acres, of which 5 Acres Lib. Land, sold to B. Chambers. Evan deceasing, left the Land to his Children, Dan'l Evan and Mary, and his Widow Mary since married to Wm. Howel, for their use, sold it.

Wm. Howel, his wife Mary and her Son Dan'l, by Deed dated 22 of August 1700, mentioned before in L. David, sold to Nathan Thomas 75 Acres, who holds it with the aforesaid 6 Acres, also to John Bevan 170 Acres, but has no deed yet made.

20 Hen. Lewis 980.

By L. and Rel., dated 10 and 11 May, 1682, bought of Lewis David 1,000 Acres, of which 20 Acres Lib. Land were sold to Jno. Ball, of which Hen. Lewis by Deed dated 6th 12 mo., 1684, sold to John Lewis 250 Acres, who holds it in Haverf'd (with 100 more bo't of Wm. Rowe, p't of Tho. Ellis' purchase), which is his all there, and by Deeds dated 8, 1 mo., '94-5, his Son, Henry L., sold

to Jno. Lewis, jun'r 100 Acres, who holds it in Haverf'd, is his all.

Said Henry, by Deed dated 12, 1 mo., '94-5, conveyed to Rich'd Hays 50 Acres, which he holds with the 50 in Wm. Howel, and 160 of John Burge's Purchase.

Hen. Lewis still holds in Haverf'd 400 Acres and 180 in the upper End of the Tract.

Maurice Skurfield 245.

By Deeds dated 10 and 11 May, 1682, bo't of Lewis David 250 Acres, of which 5 Acres Lib. Land, the remainder or all sold to Owen Thomas by Deeds. Scourfield sold to Owen Thomas, by L. and Rel., dat. 21 and 22d Apr., 1699, who sold to Ralph Lewis by Ind. dated 15, 11 mo., 1701.

Rees Rytharch 500.

By Deeds dated 10th and 11th 3 mo., 1682, bo't of Lewis David 500 Acres, of which no Lib. Land they say taken up. R. R., by Deed dated 12, 10 mo., 1692, sold to Tho. Rees 120 Acres, who by Deed dated next day assigned over the p'mises to Wm. Lewis, who by a Deed of Gift 6 Jan'ry, 1700-1, gave the said 120 Acres, together with 125 Acres more out of Jno. Bevan's purchase, to his Son, David Lewis, for w'ch he has a warr't of Resurvey from the Prop'ry, together w'th another 100 of Morris LLewellin's.

There is 100 more sold to George Painter, for which there is a Warr't of Resurvey granted.

R. R., by deed dated 6, 8 mo., '95, sold to Maurice LLewellin 30 Acres, who holds it w'th 390 more in Haverford, the Remainder of his Father's Original Purchase of 500 Acres, the Remainder is in Duffrin Mawr., viz., 210 sold lately, as is said, to John David Thomas, who lives on it about 20 Miles distant.

Maurice LLewellin, By Deeds dated 19 and 20th Jan'ry, 1681, purchased of the Prop'ry 500 Acres of Land, of which he sold 100 to David Lewis, no deed made. The remaining 400 he holds in Meirion, with 30 more purchased of Rees Rutrach, as above. He desires a Resurvey on 420 in 3 Tracts in Haverford and a Warr't for 10 Acres Lib. Land.

Rich'd Davies 5000 Acres.

The Prop'ry, by Deeds of Lease and Release, bearing date the 14th and 15th of 7b'r, 1681, sold to Richard Davies 5,000 Acres.

Of which was disposed in England to Rowland Ellis 1,100.

By Deeds dated 30th and 31st July, '82, of which he sold 17 Acres of Lib. Land, now in the Possession of Jno. Goodson. Of the Residues there was 600 taken up in Meirion in one Tract by the Gen'l Warr't, of which 500 is in his own Possession and 100 he granted to Edw'd Jones, of London, Gentl., by Deed 6, 12 mo., '87, for settling it, which said 100 Acres he, by Deed dated 11, 2 mo., 1702, purchased again of the . He also has 483 Acres in Goshen in his own possession.

John Roberts 150.

By Deeds of L. and Rel., dated 30 and 31 July, 1682, laid out in Meirion in his own Possession. He also holds 60 Acres adjoyning on the Tract where he lives (entered J. T. and E. J.) Bo't of Andrew Wheeler, by Deed dated 3d June, 1699, being part of 300 Acres taken up by an Order of Upland Court, by Lace Andreas, in behalf of Jno. and Andrew Wheeler, dated 12th 1 mo., 1677. He desires a Resurvey on this with the Rest.

Rich'd Humphry 156¼.

By Deeds of the same date with the above, who dying without Issue, John Humphry, sole Ex'r of his last Will by Assign't on the Release, dated 23, 10b'r, '93, made over the said 156 and ¼ Acres to Wm. Thomas, who is now possessed of it in Radnor.

Evan Jno. William.

By Deeds of the same date he gave one Moiety of this to his Nephew, R'd Rees, who holds it in Goshen, and the oy'r Moity to John Roberts, Cordwainer, who also holds it in Goshen, but lives in Philad'a. He (J. Rob'ts) is Rees Peters' wife's Son.

Evan ap William.

By Deeds of the same date, who dying at Sea, he left it to his Son, Philip Evan, to whom it was laid out in the Welsh Tract, near Newtown, and Confirmed by Patent 27, 11 mo., '87, and he dying without Issue it fell to his Brother, David Evan, who is now possessed of it. They are short 2 Acres and ¾, no Lib. L'd taken up.

David Evan.

By Deeds of the same date there was 154 Acres taken up, together with the former and laid out 23, 11 mo., '87, confirmed to him by Patent of the same date with the above to David Evan, who possesses it, together with the former, and desires a resurvey on them both in one. The Warr'ts were granted 14, 11 mo., 1687.

Lewis Owen, Rowl'd Owen, Elis Maurice, Ellis Pugh.

By Deeds of the same date to Lewis Owen 183 Acres, of Rowl'd Owen 182, to Ellis Maurice 78 Acres, and Ellis ap Hugh 182 Acres, in all 625 Acres, by 4 several Deeds of Lease and Release (to each a pair). L. O., R. O. and E. M., by Deed dated 30 June, '83, sold their right, being 443 Acres, to Thomas Ellis, and E ap Hugh, by Deeds of L. and Rel., dated 16 July, '86, sold his share, also being 182 Acres, to the said Tho. Ellis, who thereby became possessed of the whole and took it all up saving the Lib. Land in Meirion (ye L. L. he also took up). T. Ellis by Will dated 1st 11 mo. 1688 gave Power to certain Trustees or Executors to dispose of this Land w'th others for the payment of his debts, who refusing to act, his wife Adm'd but did not sell. She by Will dated , appointed Dan'l Humphry her Exec'r to adm'r upon her husband's

and her own Estate who by Deed dated 5th 7 mo. '98 sold this 625 (together with 194 Acres p't of T. Ellis's own purchase) to Jno. William who sold to Hugh Jones one Moiety thereof without Deed and to John Evans 200 Acres by Deed dated 10 5 mo., 1700. Hugh Jones transferred all his Right to Rob't LLoyd who sold to his Brother, Tho. LLoyd, 150. Jno. William holds 209 and ½ Acres, Jno. Evans 200, Rob't LLoyd 259½, and Tho. LLoyd 150. Jno. William to make Deeds to Rob't and Tho. LLoyd, each a Resurvey.

James Price 300.

By Deeds dated 19 and 20 June, '82, who by a Deed of Lease and Covenant, dated 16 July, '84, Lett the same to David Price for 5 Years, and in case Ja. Price did not come over in that time the said David was to hold it forever; he never came. D. Price, by Deed 6, 1 mo., 1696-7, sold it to Humphry Rees, who is now in Possession of it in Radnor.

Jno. Evans 350 J. G's Widow possesses it Marg't her name.

By Deeds dated 19th and 20th June, '82, of which he sold to Jno. German 100 Acres deed dated 4, 4 mo., '88, scituate in Radnor, and now in his Possession, also 100 Acres to Jno. Roberts, of Haverf'd, smith, adjoyning on the N. side of the former, by Deed dated 12, 7b'r 1691, from Jno. Evans, said Jno. Roberts, by Deed dated 9th 1 mo., 1799-00,* sold the said 100 Acres to Jno. Morgan, who holds this with more in the same Tract, vide infra, Ellis Jones.

Edw'd David.

The remaining 150, together with 50 more, bo't of Wm. Corn, is in Jno. Evans' Possession in Radnor, together with 500 Acres of Rent Land of which he sold 50 to Edw'd David.

Rich'd Corn 50.

By Deeds dated 19 and 20 June, '82, whose Son and Heir, Wm. Corn, by Deed dated 6 Janu'ry, 1690, sold the same to Jno. Evans aforegoing.

Edw'd Jones 250.

By Deeds of the same date, 19, 20 June, who by Deed dated 4 Febu'ry, 1690, sold the same to James Morgan, whose Son and Heir, Jno. Morgan, now possesses it.

Vid: seg: Ellis Jones 100.

By Deeds dated 19 and 20 June, who by Assignm't indorsed on ye Rel., dated 12, 10 mo, '87, made over to Wm. David, who sold it to Jno. Morgan, Deed dated 15, 10 mo., 1702, so that Jno. Morgan is possessed of 100 Acres of Jno. Evans', 250 of Edw'd Jones', and this 100, in all 450 Acres in Radnor, of which he sold 80 Acres to Henry Lewis, now Jno. Warral's.

* I suppose that should be 1699-1700.

Roger Hughes 250.

By Deeds dated 19 and 20 June, 1692, who sold 125 Acres to David Meredith by Deed dated 11th 7b'r, 1691, who sold it to R'd Moor, but not conveyed. The other Moiety said Hughes sold to Tho. Parry, Dec'd who sold to R'd Moore, but not conveyed, who is now possessed of the whole.

David Meredith 100.

By Deeds dated 19 and 20 June, '82, in his Possession in Radnor, he also purchased of the Comm'rs 250 Acres, and paid the money to Ja. Harrison, the whole 350 Acres laid out by vertue of 2 Warr'ts, one from the Prop'r 19th 1 mo., '83, the oy'r from the Comm'rs 17, 3 mo., '87, laid out 24 said 3 mo., and confirmed to him by Patent 26, 3 mo., '89. Of this he sold to Stephen Evans 150 by Deed dated 20, 5 mo., 1691, the rest D. M. holds. St. Evans holds also 100 Acres below in Da. James.

Rich'd Cook 100.

By Deeds of the same date, 19 and 20th June, possesses it in Ram; he is in England.

Jno. LLoyd 100.

By Deeds dated 18 and 19 June, '82, laid out to him in Radnor; He is in Wales. David Meredith, Att'ry for both these, will act no more. David Mered. and St. Evans have notwithstanding sold 2 Lotts in Walnut Str't, near ye 5th Str., to David LLoyd, who sold to En. Story, vid., 4, 11 mo., 1702.

David Jones 100.

By Deeds dated 19 and 20 June, he deceasing, his only Daughter Mary, Heiress, sold all her Interest to Stephen Evans, who now possesses it together with 150 above in Da. Meredith; lies in Radnor.

Marg't James 200.

By Deeds dated 15 and 20 June, whose Present Husband, Sam'l Miles, possesses it in her right, also 100 more adjoyning, took up on Rent, but bo't of as by a Receipt under Ph. Lehman's hand, dated 29, 5 mo., 1684, lies all in Radnor. Of the whole he sold to his brother, R'd Miles, 50 Acres, who possesses it with the following:

Ellis Jones, the Govern'rs Miller, also took up 50 Acres on rent, joyning on that of Sam'l Miles', which the said Sam'l has purchased, and Ellis obliged himself to make it purchase Land. To him there is due for rent £5 12s 6d, ye Purchase; £5 10s to be paid by Ellis Jones. His broth'r Rich'd has 20 and ½ of this last 50, so that Sam'l has in all 279 Acres and ½ in Radnor, in one Tract.

Rich'd Miles 100.

By Deeds dated 19 and 20 June, who possesses it with 50 bo't of his Brother, and 20 and ½, p't of Ellis Jones's Purchase, in all 170 and ½ Acres in Radnor.

Tho. Jones 100.

By Deeds of the same date, now possessed by said Wm. Davies.

Evan Oliver 200.

By Deeds , now possessed by said Wm. Davies.

Wm. Davies & Edw'd David, David Kinsey 100.

By Deeds , the Execut'rs of the said David sold it to James James, and he to Lewis Walker, who possesses it.

Peter Edwards 100.

By Deeds of the same date, who by Deeds dated 11 Mar., '92 sold the same to Tho. Parry, who sold it to Tho. Rees by Deed dated 5, 10 mo., 1701, who now possesses it.

In all 5,000 Acres, 2,656¼ thereof in Radnor, the rest in Meirion, and Goshen, where the Incroachm'ts are.

Jno. Evans also holds in the said Tract 100 Acres on Rent and Wm. Davies 150, Stephen Evan 100, all in Radnor and on Rent.

Evan Harry.

William Powel, Hugh Rob'ts, John Humphries, Rowl'd Ellis and David Powel, Attorneys of Rich' Davies, of Welch Pool, by Deed dated 15, 6 mo., 1687, conveyed to David LLoyd 90 Acres of Land, said to be part of said Davies' purchase of Gov'r Penn, who D. L., by deed dated 7, 7b'r '87, conveyed the same to David Powel, who by deed dated 10, 10 mo., '87, conveyed it again to Evan Harry, together with 74 Acres more, all in one Tract of 164 Acres, who now possesses it and is his all; he desires a Resurvey.

This last 74 Acres is part of a Tract of 89 Acres granted with two other Parcels in one Patent to D. Powel, dated 9, 7 mo., 1687, amounting in the whole to 389 Acres granted by the Comm'rs Warrant 1st 12 mo., '85, and laid out 4th 3 mo., '86.

Thomas Ellis had a grant from the Prop'ry in England of,	1,000	Acres.
He bo't of Lewis Owen, Rowl'd Owen, Ellis Morris, and Ellis ap Hugh of Rich'd Davis' Purchase, vid., his Purchase,	625	
He bo't or took up here, not paid for,	763	
In a Tract of 735 Acres there was found upon a Resurvey made by ye Comm'r Order 84 Acres, not p'd for,	84	
In all,	2,472	Acres.

Of which is laid out in Haverf'd Township in 3 parcels, confirmed in one Patent, dated 29, 11 mo., '84,...	791	Acres.
In Marple Township, sold to David Morris, Pat. To T. E., 21, 2 mo., '94,	330	

In upper Meirion, sold to Hugh Jones and Jno. Williams, being that Tract ye 84 Acres overplus were found in,	819
In Duffrin Mawr, sold by the Ex'r to Barth. Rowles,	250
In Ditto, belonging to Rachel Ellis, daughter to Tho., by his will left to her Brother Humphry, who sold it to Rachel,	250
Took up Lib. Land,	32
	2,472 Acres.

He owes to the Prop'ry for 763 and 84, i. e., 847 Acres, at £5, p.,	£42 7 0
In part of which he Brings an Acc't allowed by the Prop'r under his hand,	30 0 0
Due to the Gov'r to Ballance,	£12 7 0

Of this, by his Will, he left to his Son, Ellis Ellis, 200 Acres, not divided; to his daughter Brigid 100, she is dead, in England; to his Son Humphry 90; to Dan'l Humphry he sold 100 by Deed dated 23, 12 mo., '84, of which he has but 90 in Haverf'd. Said Dan'l also purchased of Ellien Ellis, the Widow, 30 Acres. T. E., he sold to Geo. Painter 90 now possessed by Jno. Lewis, Sen'r, to Daniel Lawrence 90, and Humphry Ellis sold of his 90, 60 to said Dan'l Lawrence, to Dan'l Humphry 20, and to Wm. Howel 10, now in the Possession of Rowl'd Powel. Of the 791 Acres in Haverf'd, confirmed by Patent, there was left by his Will to his Son, Ellis Ellis, 200 Acres, to his Daughter Bridgid 100 Acres, joyning on the former; she is dead in England, having first constituted her Brother Ellis her Attorney. To his Son, Humphry Ellis, 90 Acres. He sold to Dan'l Humphry 100 Acres by Deed dated 23, 12 mo., '84, who is possessed of 90 y'r of, the other 10 he quitts claim, to George Painter 90 Acres now in the Possession of Jno. Lewis. Ellein Ellis, his relict and adm'x, sold to Daniel Humphry's afores'd, 30 Acres. The said Ellein made the said Dan'l the Exec'r of her husband's Estate, who according to the Directions of Tho. Will., sold 90 Acres more to Dan'l Lawrence.

Rachel Ellis 90—101.

Disposed of in all 690 Acres, and there remains to Rachel Ellis, Daughter to the said Tho., 101 acres; all the foregoing in Haverf'd. Humphry Ellis sold of his 90 to Dan'l Humphries afores'd, 20 Acres; to Dan'l Lawrence afores'd, 60 Acres to Wm. Howel, now in the Possession of Rowl'd Powel, 10 Acres, and has none left.

Ellis Ellis holds 200 Acres left by his Father and 30 Acres adjoyning of Ralph Lewis, by Deed 5, 1 mo., '97, part of Jno. Bevan's Purchase, in all 230 Acres, for w'ch he desires a Resurvey, as also on Brigid's.

Dan'l Humphries holds of this Tract 90, by Deed afores'd, and by Deed dated 28, 11 mo., '91, 30 Acres of Ellien, and by Deed dated 8 9 mo., '94 of Humphry Ellis 20¾ Acres, also 60 Acres. dated 30 May, 1700, of the Exec'trs of Wm. Rowe who by Deed dated 4th 6 mo., '98, purchased it of Wm. Jenkins, who bo't 30 y'r of Lewis David (vid., L. David's purchase in his own name), in all 200 Acres, contiguous in Haverf'd, on w'ch a Resurvey.

Barth. Rowles to have a Warr't on his 250 in Duffrin Mawr, and Rachel Ellis on hers, ibid, 250 Acres, and in Haverf'd there is 10 more in Rich'd Davies' own Purchase.

Samuel Carpenter, of Philad'a, Merchant, and Wm. Howel, of Haverford, in Coun. Chester, Yoeman, Attorneys for Joseph LLoyd, of the Town and County of Haverford. West. Cordwainers, and Mary LLoyd, of the same place, widow, by virtue of a Letter of attorney under the Hands of the said Joseph and Mary LLoyd, dat. 30, 1 mo., 1690. Granted the said 100 Acres to Mary Haverd, Widdow of David Haverd, dec'd, being the same 100 Acres granted by said Rich'd Davis to the said Francis LLoyd, dec'd, late husband to the said Mary LLoyd, and father of the said Joseph LLoyd.

Joshua Holland 5000 Acres of Land. The Prop'ry and Gov'r by Indent'es of Lease and Release date 14th & 15th 6 mo., 1682. Granted to Joshua Holland of Chattam in the County of Kent in old England Marriner his heirs and assigns forever 5000 Acres of rough & unseparated Land in the Province of Pennsylvania as by the said Indent'rs may appear.

And whereas the said Joshua Holland by his Letter of Attorney dat 19: May 1683. hath given full Power and Authority amongst other Powers to his Son John Holland of Chattam aforesaid Shipwright in his said fathers or his own name To sell convey, transferr, and dispose of one Thousand Acres parcell of the said 5000 either in fee simple, fee tail, for life or years or otherwise reserving the chief rent and Services so as the same be a mile distant from the Waterside or River there to any Person or Persons whatsoever to and for his own use and Benefit without any account to be rendered to his said Father and in execution of the Promises either in his own or Fathers name to Perform all such acts and Deeds for the stelling, confirming, conveying, and Assuring the said 1000 Acres to any person or Persons the Purchaser or Purchasers thereof as aforesaid. And that the said Joshua

Holland nor his Heirs, Exec'rs or adm'rs should not at any time hereafter revoke or countermand the Power Given to his said Son so far it relates to the said 1000 Acres but should do at the request, Cost & Charge of the said Jno. Holland his heirs and Assignes give, make and Execute unto him or them all powers, authoritys things conveyances and Assurances whatsoever for the strengthening, confirming & Assuring of the same as by the said Letter of Attorney may at large appear.

The said John Holland by Deed Poll dated 13: 3 mo., 1685, for the Consideration of £25 pensilvania Money did grant, give, bargain and sell unto George Collet of Philadelphia Glover his Heirs and Assignes forever 500 Acres of Land part of the said 1000 Acres scituate on the West side of Schuylkill River with all and every the appurtenances to hold to him said Geo: Collet his heirs and Assigns fir ever.

And the said George Collet by his nuncupative devise made in the 10m: 1686 did make his Nathaniel Pannock his heir and dyed by virtue whereof the said Nathaniel became seized of the said 500 Acres with the appurtenances in fee simple but being under age the administrators of the said Nathaniels Estate was committed to Christopher Pennock father of the said Nathaniel and whereas the said Nathaniel having by virtue of said Devise entered upon the said Land and being indebted in Sundry sums of Money died seized of the said Land in his demesne as of ffee sans Efan after whose decease towitt ye 15: 10 mo., 1697, administration of his Estate was granted to said Christopher who obtained consent of the County Court then Held at Phila. for the sale of the said Land for the payment of the said Natha: Pennocks Debts as the Laws of this Government in y't case directs. And the said Christopher adm'r of the said Nathaniels Estate by Deed poll bearing date 1: 1 m: 169⅞ by virtue of the said administration and by form of the laws of this Governm't with consent of the said court and in consid'n of £100 to him paid by Morris LLwellin of the Township of Haverford did give, grant, alien, sell, enfeof, and confirm unto the said Morris LLewellin all the said 500 Acres of Land with the improvem'ts and appurtenances thereto belonging with all his Estate, right, Title, and Interest of and to the same.

Mins. taken 1701. Requests a Warr't of Resurvey granted 27: 12 mo: 1702.

The Prop'ry by Deeds of Lease and Release bearing date ye 16 & 17 days of June 1682. Grants to Rich'd Davis his Heirs and Assigns forever 1250 Acr: of Land yielding yearly 1 shill: sterling for every 100 Acr:

Nathan Thomas 100 Acres.

Rich'd Davis by Ind'r Tripartite dat: 19: 6 mo: 1686 between himself of 1st p't. Tho: Ellis, Francis Howel dec'd Morgan David dec'd ffrancis LLoyd and James Thomas dec'd of the second p't

and Wm. Howell and Ellis Ellis 3d. Did in Cons'n of £30 Convey unto the said Wm. Howel and Ellis Ellis 410 Acres p't of 500 Acres then actually laid out to s'd Davis in p't of said 1250 Acres. To have &c to said Wm. Howel and Ellis their heirs and Assigns to the uses following ten Acres to the use of said Tho: Ellis 100 Acres to the use of said Francis Howel 100 Acr: to the use of s'd Morgan David 100 Acres to the use of said Francis LLoyd the other 100 Acres to James Thomas dec'd who purchased of said Morgan David his above 100 Acres of Land but M: David soon after Dec'd not having conveyed it but M: David by his will left in writing dat: 15th: 12 mo: 1694 making Katharine his wife sole Execut'x and Wm. Howell Morris LLewellin, Francis Howell, and David Lawrence overseers thereof and y'n ye s'd Executrix and Overseers by deed poll dated 8: 3 mo: 1893, conveyed the same unto said James Thomas his Heirs and Assigns who devised the same to his 2d Son the said Nathan Thomas by his last will in writing in his lifetime made as is affirmed.

James Thomas dec'd by his said last will devised the said 100 Acres (being the same granted to him by the said Rich'd Davis's deed) to the said James Thomas Jun'r his Son.

The said Francis Howel dec'd by his last will in writing made the ——— day ——— as is affirmed devised the said 100 Acres (being the same convey'd in trust to his use by the said Davis's deed) to the said Tho: Howell his Brot'r.

WELSH PURCHASERS IN THIS PROVINCE.

2 Mo: 27: 1702.

The Comm'rs by Patent dated 14: 3 mo: 86. confirmed to David Powel 611 Acres at 1s Sterl: ℔100. in 2 Tracts in Radnor one cont: 500 Acres the other 111 Acres granted by 2 Warr'ts one from the Gover'r dated 5: 6 mo: 1684, the oy'r from the Comm'rs 1: 12 mo: 1685, laid out the 8th of the said 12th Month to D. Powel Purchaser. Da: Powel by Dated 22d 5 mo: 87, sold to John Evans 100 Acres joyning on Hugh Samuel &c.

Da: Powel by Deed dated 17: 11 mo: 1690, sold to Hugh Samuel 100 Acres joyning on the above and David Hugh. Da: Powel by Deed dated 17: 3 mo: 1690 sold to James Pugh 100 Acres joyning upon David Pugh.

Da: Powel by Deed poll dated 17: 3 mo: 1690 sold to Wm. Davis and Griffith Miles 200 Acres joyning on Hugh Sam'l.

Wm. Davies and Griff: Miles sold of the said 200 Acres ¾ viz 150 Acres to Philip Philips but not conveyed it and the said Philip deceasing his Widow Phoebe again sold it to David Pugh & the s'd Davies, Miles, Phoebe Ph: by a Deed poll dated 22: 6 mo: 1698, conveyed the said 150 Acres to the said David Pugh. The said Wm. Davies and Gr: Miles by a Deed dated 22: 6 mo: 98, sold the remaining 50 Acres to James Pugh.

John William by Deed dated 10: 5 mo: 1700 sold to the above said Jn'o Evans 200 Acres in Meirion joyning on Rowland Ellis being part of R: Davies purchase bot: by the said Jn'o Will'm of Dan'l Humphry Successor to Tho: Ellis. Vid: R: Davies purchase

Jno. Evans requests a Resurvey on the said 300 Acres. Hugh Sam'l 100 A's James Pugh 150. David Pugh 150.

P'sent Comm'rs John Burge. 27th: 2 mo: 17.6.

The Prop'ry by Deeds of Lease and Release dated 24th: 25th: 8'br 1681, Granted to John Burge 750 Acres of Haverf'd. W: Clothier.

Jno. Burge by L: and Rel: dated 22 & 23 August 1683 Granted to Wm. Kelly of Haverf'd W. Weaver 250 Acres part of the aforesaid To Wm. Killy there was laid out by the Govern'rs Warr't in one Tract 141 Acres in Haverf'd and a Lott in the said Town of 30 Acres.

Wm Kelly by Deed Poll dated 2d 10 mo: 1694 conveyed to Humphry Ellis the said 141 Acres and all the privilege of the remains of his said 250 Acres viz 79 Acres.

The said Humphry Ellis requests a Warr't to take up the said 79 Acres in the Welch Tract, which is granted.

The said Humphry by Deed poll dated 15: Feb'ry 1703 reciting as therein is recited hath in Consid'n of £8: 9s. Pens: Money, granted the said 79 Acres to Henry Lewis of the County of Chester yoeman his heirs &c.

David LLoyd for Tho: LLoyd dec'd.

The Proprietary by Indent: of L: & R: dated 29th & 30th 2 mo. 1683, granted 1000 Acres to Rob't Fairman of the Parish of St Saviours Southwark, in the County of Surry, in the Kingdom of England, Brewer, his Heirs &c: who by his Letter of att: dated 3d 8'br 1684, authorized T: Fairman of Shakamacson in Con. Philad'a to sell all or any part of the 1000 Acres who in Persuance thereof by Deed dated 24 July 1686 sold 250 Acres part of the said 1000 Ac to Anne Salter of Philad'a. Widdow, her heirs &c. who by deed dated 28: July 1686 granted to Tho: LLoyd of Philad'a Gent: dec'd his heirs the s'd 250 Acres in the said County beginning at Corner post west unto the Land of Jno. Jones, y'nce N. W. by the Land of Rich'd Wood 276 perches to another post, y'nce S: W: 145 Perches. by the Land of Wm. Diclon to another post, y'nce S. E. by the Land of Nicholas Moore 276 Perches to another Post, thence N. E.

by the Land of Jno. Jennings 145 Perches to the first mentioned Corner post, with the appurtenances sold to the said Tho: LLoyd dec'd his heirs &c.

Prop'r Granted 5000 Acr: by Indent'rs of Lease and Release to Chistopher Taylor his heirs &c. who by Deed dated 10: 7b'r 1685 granted 500 Acres part of his 5000 Acres to Tho: Potter of Shrewsberry in E: Jersey, Husbandman, &c. who sold but not conveyed the same to the said Thomas LLoyd (who in his lifetime by his last will and testam't did impower any two of his Exect'rs to sell all or any part of his Land and hereditaments to pay his Debts and of his said Test't did make his wife Patience and his son Mordecai, Isaac Norris, David LLoyd with others Execut'rs as by the said Testam't appears. Patience renounced judicially, Mordecai dec'd and the said Isaac and David undertook to execute the said will and Israel Taylor of Tunican Island in the Province of Pensylvania, Chirurgion, Son and Heir of the said Christopher Taylor, and Joseph Taylor ye other Son of ye s'd Chris: by Deed bearing date the 2d of June 1702, reciting as therein is recited granted and confirmed the said 500 Acres of Land to the said Isaac Norris and David LLoyd to hold to them their Heirs &c. to the intent only that they might be the better Enabled to sell and convey the said Land and ꝑmises for the payment of the said Tho: LLoyds debts & ꝑformance of his will, the said 500 Acres in scituate in Con: Bucks beginning at a corner post of George Willard's Land thence N. W. by the Land of Wormester 174 pole to a Corner post thence by the Land of Henry Paxton N. E. 511 pole to another corner post standing in Meadow by Neshaminee Creek side from thence S. E. by the Land of James Claypoole 174 pole to a corner post of the said George Willard's Land from thence by the said Land S. W: 511 pole to the first mentioned Corner post containing 505 Acres allowance first made for Roads &c as appears by Israel Taylor resurvey, first laid out by Virtue of a Warr't dat: 12th October 1683.

Charles LLoyd and M: Davis.

Charles LLoyd is said to have assigned over to Thomas LLoyd his share of the allotments of Lands lately purchased in Pensilvania who sometime after dec'd having first made his Will in writing making Isaac Norris and David LLoyd his Execut'rs who by Deed poll dat: 4: 10 mo: 1694, Conveyed the said 118 Acres to David Prees his heirs and Assigns forever.

The said Tho: LLoyd in his lifetime by Deed dated 3d 6 mo., 1693, grants a Messuage and 200 Acres of Land in Meirion (being part of the said Ch: LLoyd's Purchase) to Richard Cuarton his heirs and Assigns under the Rent acru'd to the Proprietary and yielding to the said T: LLoyd and his Heirs Yearly 1 Bushell of

good merchantable winter Wheat or the sum of four Shillings in Silver at the said Rich'd Choise on the 1 Day of the 1st Month yearly forever and the said Rich'd by an agreement as is affirmed with Wm. his Son and Heir made in writing bearing Date ——— Cov'n'ts with his said Son Wm. that if he the said Wm. should pay the sum of £70 in 2 Years after his s'd Fathers decease to his Sister Mary the wife of John Moor that then the said 200 Acres of Land with the Messuage should be and remain to the said Wm. Cuarton and the said Rich'd sometime after deceasing intestate his said Son Wm. took out Letters of Administ'n upon his Fathers said Richards Estate.

John Poyer.

The Proprietary by Deeds of Lease and Release dated ye 24th & 25th 8 mo. 1681 Granted 750 Acres of Land to John Poyer his Heirs and Assigns forever and the said John Poyer by Indent'es of Lease and Release dated 2d & 3d day of June 1686. Grants 250 Acres of the aforesaid Purchase to Henry Sanders his Heirs and Assigns forever. Owen Thomas in behalf of the said Sanders requests a Warr't to take up the said 250 Acres of Land.

William Jenkins.

The Proprietary by Lease and Release dated 24 & 25th 8 mo: 1681 Grants 1000 Acres of Land to Wm. Jenkins then of Tenby in the County of Pembroke, Emasculator, now of Abington in Con: Philadelp'a his Heirs and Assigns forever quit rent 1s ℔ 100 Acres 245 Acres laid out to him the 12: 11mo: 1689 by Virtue of Proprietary's warr't dated 13: 1mo: 1684, in Duffrin Mawr Resurvey.

Said Wm. Jenkins by Indent'r dated 30: 7 mo: 1686 Grants 250 Acres ℔t of the said 1000 Acres to James Thomas late of Landboyden in Con: Carmarthen, husbandman, since dec'd his Heirs and Assigns forever who by his last Will some time before his said Decease in writing devised and bequeathed amongst other things the said 250 Acr. to his second Son Nathan Thomas his Heirs and Assigns forever. Desires a Warr't for a Resurvey lies in Duffrin Mawr.

Wm. Jenkin, by Deed dated ye 3: 7: 86, Granted to Fr: Howel of Lancilio Con: Carmarthern 500 Acres which compleates the above purchase. Fr: Howel by his last will gives unto Tho: Howel 300 Acres thereof who by Deed dated the 1st of 7b'r 1700 Granted the same ——— to James Thomts who had the Prop'rys Warr't dated the next day for taking of it up.

The Propriet'r by Lease and Release dated 14: & 15: 7'br 1681 Grants to the said Charles LLoyd and Margaret Davis the s'd 5000.

Charles LLoyd and Margaret Davis by Ind'r dat: 24 April 1683.

Granted 312 Acres and a half of their said Purchase to Jno. Humphreyes son: who having made his Last Will in writing thereby devised 212 Acr: & ⅜ of the s'd 312½ Acres to his Nephew s'd Benjamin Humphrys and after his decease to his the s'd Benjamin's son Jno. Humphrys his Heirs and Assigns forever and soon after dyed.

The said Jno. Humphrys Sen'r by Deed dated 1st 7b'r 1697, Grants 100 Acres of the s'd 312½ Acres to said Joshua Owen.

The said Charles LLoyd and Marg't Davis by Ind't dated 24 April 1683 grant 312 Acres ꝑt of their said ꝑchase to Edw'd Thomas since dec'd he first having appointed the aforesaid John Humphrys sen: to be his Attorney to take Possession of his said Land which was accordingly ꝑformed and the said John Humphrys sen: also soon after deceasing as afors'd possessed of the Premises in behalf of the said Edward Thomas his Nephew Benjamin entered also and keept possession of the said 312 Acres in behalf of the said Edw'd Thomas his Heirs and untill they shall take Order concerning the same.

Mem'dum, that 'tis recited in the Com'rs Patent for 2215 Acres to ye Ex'rs of Tho: LLoyd that.)

Charles LLoyd & M: Davis.

Said Ch: LLoyd and M: Davis by Deed 24 April 1683 Grant 156¼ Acr: ꝑt of their said 5000 Acr: to Tho: Jones his heirs &c.

The s'd Charles LLoyd and M: Davis by Ind'r of the same date with the last Grant 156¼ Acr: to Margaret Thomas, Widdow, who by her Letter of Attorney 14 Aug: 1683, appointed to the s'd Tho: Jones to take Possession, of the Premises in her name and sometime after dyed the s'd Tho: Jones has no other right but what the said Letter gives which was barely to take Possession.

The s'd Charles LLoyd and M: D. by Ind'r of the same date Grants 156 Acr. ¼ to Tho: Morris his heirs and Assigns who made s'd Tho: Jones his Attorney to take possession of the premises in his name and then dyed leaving said Jones in possession by virtue of the said Letter dat: 14: 6 mo: 1683.

The s'd Ch. LLoyd and M. D. by Ind'rs of the same date Grants the s'd 156 Acr: ¼ to John Rhoderick his heirs and Assigns since dec'd whose Brother David Rhoderick is now in possession of ye s'd 156 Acr: ¼.

Tho: LLoyd dec'd late Dep'ty Gov'r by Deed Poll dated 5: 6 mo: 1691, granted a ꝑt of s'd Cha: LLoyd his brother and M: Davis their ꝑchase supposed to contain 540 Acr: to Robert Owen & his Heirs but ye same was upon a resurvey found to contain only 400 Acres the other 140 being supposed to be sold by the said Tho: LLoyd in his lifetime to Edw'd Rees. This was resurveyed by a Warr't dat: 30th 3: 1703, and found to contain 450

Acres. T: LLoyd sold Rob't Owen 543 Acres out of w'ch there was never any disposed of by R. Owen or any for him.

Charles LLoyd & M: Davis purchased 5000 Acres of

w'ch sold to Benj. Humphries,	312½
To Edw'd Thomas,	312½
To Tho: Jones,	156¼
Marg't Thomas,	156¼
Tho: Jones & Jno. Rhoderick,	312½
by Tho: LLoyd to Ev: Owen &c.,	540
	1590
New Patent for,	2215 Acres.
	3805

the End of the Minutes of Welch Purchasers Compared & Corrected ℔ Jno. Hughes.

MINUTE BOOK K.

17th 1 mo. 1732-3.

Thomas Steward & Thomas Makefield desires Settlem'ts on the West side Susq'a River they propose 40 familys.

26th.

Lucas Stedham request a Parcell of Cherry Island Marsh on the side of Christina Creek.

Walter Denny requests the Addition formerly granted him at Conewingo may be surveyed without Delay—Rich'd Beson intends under pretence of settling his Children, to sell it to other Persons as he has done several other places. Speak to John Taylor. William Fulton, (Walter's son in law) desires to hold his settlem't near the other.

5 mo. 30th.

Ludwick Kalglasser complains that Hans Lundus & Hans Moyer have procured John Taylor to run lines which very much incommodes Ludwick's Settle't which was allowed by J: Logan in X'br 1731—enq'r of Jno. Taylor. Ludwick has yet left to him clear of those Surveys about 70 Acres which if he can get no more he is desirous to hold the same.

6 mo. 1st.

Joseph Lewis requests the Grant of a piece of vac't Land as he supposes near Conestogo Mannor, but I think there can be no such thing there. Benj: Cliff requests the same.

John Graham (recommended by Elisha Gatchell) requests the Grant of a Parcell of Land at Fishing Creek near Octorara where he is already settled and has formerly made application for the same.

John Edy (recommended by John Kinsey Sen'r) requests the Grant of some Land at Dunnagal, he has some acquaintance there who encourages him in his Design of settling there. Speak to John Taylor ab't it.

John Smith requests the Grant of 200 Acres on a branch of Mispelan Creek in Kent having some Improvem't.

Randal Donavan of Kent County having formerly obtained a Grant for 50 A's of Marsh on Murther Creek since which John Newel purchased one half and there being with John's part about 20 Acres which was formerly a Pond of Water he desires to have that Parcell.

6, 1mo. 1733.

Sam'l Nutt requests the Grant of some Lands adjoyning to his Iron works which the Prop'ry is pleased to Grant him and an Order is sent to John Taylor for that Purpose.

George Gregg requests the Grant of part of Deffoss's overplus one Smith has lately settled on it.

Brice Blair intends to hold the Land formerly thrown by George Hogg. George Gregg holds a p't by purchase from the Comm'rs.

Anthony Lee requests the Grant of some Land in the Forks of Delaware for a Settlem't for one of his Sons.

Sarah Jenkins formerly wife of Thomas Nock requests on behalf of her Sons Ezekiel, Daniel and Thomas Nock a Resurvey on 445 Acres of Land on Tidbury branch in Kent Granted by Patent W. P. dated in the 6 mo 1684, to Ezekiel Needham who sold it to W. Clarke whose Execut'x sold to Tho: Nock who deceasing has left it to his 3 Sons.

Johannes Young requests the

Marg'rt Evans requests the Grant of 150 Acres of vac't Land in Nankmell joyning on the line of Eliz: Philips & Wm. Kirk.

The late Prop'ry by Deeds of L: & Rel: dated ye 15th & 16th days of March 1681. Granted unto Thomas Bulkley & Sam'l Bulkley the one of Rumford & the other of Plumbley in the County Palatine of Chester 500 Acres of Land in this Province quit rent one Shilling (sterling) for every hundred Acres.

Thomas Bulkley by Deed poll dated ye 14th of May 1684 did Remise Release and for ever quit claim unto the his Brother Sam'l Bulkley aforesaid his Heirs & Assigns all the Estate, right, Title, Interest, Claim & Demand whatsoever which the said Tho: Bulkley ever had or should have in and to that Part or parcell of Wood or other Ground in Pensilv'a containing 500 Acres purchased by himself & his Brother Sam'l Bulkley of the Prop'r as afores'd.

Samuel Bulkley in his life time being possessed of the sole Right in & to the said 500 A's of Land obtained a Survey on a Tract lying on the West side of the River Schuylkill adjoyning to the Tract called Pickrings and also on the proportion of Liberty Land thereunto belonging and five Acres which he purchased of Griffith Owen and afterwards dyed intestate leaving Issue one Son named Sam'l and a Daughter named Ann since married to Samuel Hasell whereupon they the said Samuel Bulkley & Sam'l Hasell requests Warrants of Resurvey which the Proprietary is pleased to Grant dated ———.

1733.

The late Prop'r by a short Deed dated 2d 11 mo. 94. Acknowledges a Grant some Years before made to Rachell Armstrong by

Tho's Chandler requests that the Land laid out to him to make up a Deficiency found in the Tract where he dwells may be confirmed, there is about 100 Acres adjoyning to the same which he desires to purchase.

George Lenard having agreed with Moses Musgrave (in his lifetime) for 140 Acres part of the Quantity Granted to him by the Comm'rs Warr't dated ——— did afterwards by Order from the said Comm'rs obtain the Addition of 113 Acres which together make 253 Acres for which he now desires a warr't that the whole may be returned in Order for a Patent.

---

4 mo. 8.

Christop'r Willson request the Grant of a Parcell of vac't Land lying between his Plantation and Alphonsus Kirk. Quaere whether Alphonsus has not already requested the same.

---

5 mo. 25.

James McConnell and James Trumbell (at Ab: Emmits recommend'n) permitted to settle on the West side of Susquehanna River below the Tract reserved for the Indians, by Order of the Prop'r.

30th.

Daniel Few having purchased a pretended Right of Edw'd Evans for 104 A's of Land in Kennet, now requests a Confirmation thereof. This Land is said to be granted to E: E. in the Year 1714 at £18 ℔C't but no Warr't appears tho it was surveyed by Is: Taylor about that time. D: Few expects to pay the Principal Interest and quit rent.

---

6 mo. 15th.

Rob't Jones of Merion requests the Grant of a piece of Land lying between Pennocks and Woods Tracts on Schoolkill he says 'tis very Stony barren Land and of Little Value.

David Eveland settled on the Prop'rs Land near Jno. Readings on Rariton desires the Grant of about 200 Acres, I have not writ to J: R. about it.

25th.

Stephen Cole of Chester requests the Grant of about 100 Acres of vacant Land joyning to his Land on a Branch of Pecquea.

27th.

Joseph Hains, Jacob Hains, John White on behalf of themselves and other Inhabitants of Nottingham requests the Grant of some Lands on the west side of Susquehannah River for Settlem'ts for their Childern.

29th.

Griffith Hughes request the Grant of some quantities of Land about or upon the Run of Water called Ilacany which falls into Schuykill whereupon James Lewis' Sawmill is built; this request was made by Hugh Hughes on behalf of the above named Griffith about 2 Months since and therefore to be surveyed before any later Grant, also about 100 Acres near the Settle'ts of the Winters.

7b'r 8.

Hugh Jones request the Grant of about 300 A's joyning on his other land at hogg Island run near Schuylkill.

John Hildebrand requests that 160 Acres of Land Surveyed to him at Conestogo by John Taylor may be returned in Order for a Patent.

David John requests a piece of vac't Land joyning on John Rees and Rees David near Pickerings Tract.

Rich'd Scot requests a piece of Land in the Great Swamp to build a mill.

John Vastine ats. Wilderness requests a piece of Land at Tohickon.

7b'r 19, 1733.

Garret Dushane requests the Grant of a piece of vac't Land near Drawers Creek formerly entered for John Butcher.

Charles Robinson requests that he may have the overplus within the Tract where he dwells which he purchased of Daniel Corbet ats McCormick.

John Pleasington requests the Grant of 100 Acres of Land on the South side of little Creek joyning on the Land of Step: Parade.

Thomas Griffith of the Welch Tract in N: Castle County having in the Year 1720 obtained a warr't and Survey on 85 Acres back of the said Tract and paid for the same now requests a Confirmation thereof; skeak to the Prop'r ab't it.

James Young and his Son John Young by the Prop'rs Order are permitted to settle on the west side of Susquahannah River. Ord'r given him.

John David requests the Grant of a settlem't near Hugh Jones's.

James Turner complains that Rob't Fleming disturbs him in his Settl't which E. Gatchell run out for him. Speak to Elisha about it his Son Rob't Turner requests a Settlement near him.

Emanuel Grub requests the Grant of a Parcell of vac't Land lying between a Tract belonging to him above the Mouth of Octorara and the Land of George Emery.

Peter Alricks requests the Grant of a Parcell of vac't Land, as he supposes near George's meeting House.

John Borton requests the Grant of the Island between Burlington and Bristol for a Term of Years.

8b'r 10.

Jacob Byerly requests the Grant of a Parcell of Land on Middle Branch of Conestoga in Order to build a Mill, the place has been settled by Rob't Louney.

Philip Lung settled about 6 years since on a Branch of Conestogo and now he requests a piece of Land on Muddy Creek for his Son.

Hans Witmer requests the Grant of a piece of Land upon little Shecassalungus.

George Swoap having formerly requested the Grant of a piece of Land on the Mill Creek (Conestogo) now again desires it may be surveyed to him.

Michael Neve desires to have the place surveyed to him where he dwells about 3 Miles from G: Swoap.

Tho: Stackhouse holds 500 Acres in right of Joseph Growdon, Esquire into A. David Evans in Radnor holds 200 Acres in right of John ap John & J: Wynn.

John Casper Stouffer requests the Grant of 150 at the head of Massellin Creek.

Jacob Graeff having obtained a Survey on 400 Acres in Right of Martin Kundigg's and John Heer's Warr't desires a Patent.

8b'r 17th.

Lawrence Pierson having the last Spring requested the Grant of 100 Acres of Land joyning to the place where he dwell in Bucks County. Ordered J: Chapman to run it out if vacant.

Edward Robinson requests (on behalf of his Son in Law Empsson Bird) a small piece of vac't Land joyning on Birds', Broer Senixen and John Stalcup near Christeen.

Joseph Pennock requests the Grant of a Resurvey on a Tract of 500 A's at the S: W. corner of Marlborow Township joyning on the London Company—Marlbro Street and the Land of Caleb Pusey.

Tho: Harlan desires a Patent for 400 Acres, 100 Acres and 500 Acres laid out to his Father Michael Harlan.

Hannah Ashton relict of Thomas Ashton of Makefield in Bucks County who in the Year 1705 purchased 100 Acres in Makefield of the Comm'rs and paid for the same and there being 133 Acres adjoyning, the said Hannah requests the Grant of it on such Terms as the Prop'r shall please.

Arthur Searl having purchased of John Hough 100 Acres of Rent Land in Middletown Bucks first laid out (as 'tis said) to Joseph Sharp who sold the same to J: Hough and gave his

Oblig: to procure a Title from the Prop'r upon which J: Hough paid the quit r't to the year 1709 and now the same Land being sold to Arthur Searl he desires a Patent upon paying the Arrears of quit rent.

23d.

Hugh Durborow having formerly obtained a Warr't from the Com'rs of Property the same was executed on the Land where he dwells in the Forrest of Kent, but finding that by the increase of Settlem'ts dayly attempted near his lines that he may in a little time be too much straitened and confined in regard of his Stock of Cattle and other Creatures, wherefore the Prop'r has consented that a further Quantity of vacant Land adjoyning thereunto may be added for his accommodation.

Jacob Mickley requests the Grant of a parcell of Land near Tulpehockin; Hans Brakebill the same.

Peter Wieldy requests Liberty to settle over Susquahannah River. Henry and Kunrad Strickler about the same place.

27th.

Mark Manlove requests the Grant of a Parcell of Marsh near Town Point toward the Mouth of Jones's Creek, and for Caleb by Mark Manlove. The Prop'r agrees to their request.

Joseph Booth desires the like Grant and near that requested by Mark Manlove.

M: Manlove represents that many Years since he was possessed of a Settlem't at a place called Marshy hope in Kent County which is now held by John Hains who desires a Warr't for the same. I have writ to H: Durborow to run out the Quantity of the Marsh and Land which when known the Warrants are to Issue.

1st 9b'r.

Sam'l Miller formerly and Rob't Boyd now, requests the Grant of a vacancy lying between their Settlem'ts in Sadbury Chester County sent to J: T: about it.

This John Cornaghans Settlement.

The Former Commissioners of Property by Patent dated ye 2d 9b'r 1684, confirmed to Wm. Bellamy 1000 Acres of Land on the south side of slaughter Creek in Sussex but upon examining the lines of the Patent it is found that the lines of the Tract will not close and therefore ———— who is now the owner of the same has requested a warrant of Resurvey in order to fix the Bounds with more certainty. Warr't dated this day.

9b'r 5th.

John Houseman requests a warr't on a parcell of Land near

the Bear Swamp Also for a piece of the Forrest joyning on John Sipple and for a Resurvey on that of Williams and Wilsons and for the vacancy where Richard Pullen dwells.

Dec'r 7th.

Thomas Barker of Kent County on Delaware requests the Grant of about 100 Acres of Marsh scituate on the Bay side between Bauckumbrig Creek and Charleys Ditch and Marsh.

The late Proprietary by Deeds of Lease and Release bearing date the 6 & 7th days of Feb: in the year 1681 Granted to John Kirton of Kingsinton for 500 Acres of Land in this Province quit rent one Shill: p'r 100 Acres. John Kirton Son and Heir to the aforesaid John Kirton by like Deeds of Lease and Release dated ye 13 and 14 days of Jan: 1731. Granted the said 500 Acres of Land and Premises unto Joseph Turner of Philadelphia Merch't and now desires warrant for the above said 500 Acres Lotts and Liberty Lands.

William Briggs of Bucks County having purchased the Right of fifty Acres of Head Land from Thomas Knight who came a Servant into this Province in the Year 1682, Certified from two Evidence by Jeremiah Langhorn and having paid the Arrears of quit rent, requests a warr't for said Land.

Waitman Siple of the County of Kent requests a Grant for about near 200 Acres of Land back in the said County whereon an Improvem't has heretofore been made by Wm. Morris since deceased the Right hereof of said Improvement is now vested in the said Waitman Siple.

Samuel Thorn of Long Island having formerly purchased of William Biles attorney of Thomas Hutson who was the first purchaser of 5000 Acres of Land in this Province the quantity of 500 Acres part of the said 5000 Acres did by his Deed poll bearing date the 27 May 1712 Grant the said 500 Acres of Land to John Harrison of Rocky Hill in East Jersey. Benjamin Harrison Son and Heir of the said John Harrison by Indenture dated ye 23 Aug't 1727 did Grant 250 Acres part of said 500 Acres unto Andrew Hamilton of the City of Philadel'a who by Deeds of Lease and Release dated the 30 & 31 days of Decem'r 1728. Granted the same 250 A's to Evan Evans of the County of Bucks who desires a Warr't for the same. The other 250 A's have been formerly granted to Rich'd Parker vide Minutes of Prop'ry 16 Decem'r 1719.

Wm. Barns requests the Grant of two Warr'ts for 500 Acres each to be laid out in the Forrest of Kent County one on the South East Corner of the Mannor of Freith and to the Gravelly run. the other joyning on a Tract called the Partnersh. Charleton Barns 200 Acres in the same Forrest and County. John Jones 300 Acres

the same &c. Thomas Flower 300 Acres Ditto. John Pound 200 Acres Ditto all requested by John Halliday.

Henry Hayes requests the Grant of 100 Acres joyning on his Land on the W: Branch of Brandywine. Speak to J: Taylor to lay it out.

John Hildebrand requests a Confirmation on 166 Acres on the Mill Creek Lancas'r County. Speak to John Taylor.

The late Prop'ry by Deeds of L: & Rel: dated the 22d & 23d days of October Anno 1681, Granted to John and Ann Sharlott Lowther two of the Children of Anthony Lowther Esq'r by Marg't his wife the Quantity of 500 Acres of Land in this Province quit rent one Shilling ℔ Ct.

The said John Lowther (his Sister Sherlott dying in her infancy) by right of Survivorship Granted and Released all the said 5000 Acres of Land City Lotts and Liberty Land unto Jos: Turner of the City of Philadelp'a by Deeds of Lease and Release dated the 12th & 13th days of August 1731, Consideration £370.

The said Prop'ry by like Deeds dated the same days with the above Granted to Wm. Lowther and Margaret Lowther two of the Children of Anthony Lowther Esq'r by Margaret his wife the Quantity of 5000 Acres in this Province, quit rent one Shilling ℔ C't.

John Nicoll of Conay Hatch in the County of Midd'x Esq'r and Margaret his wife, only Daughter and Heir of Margaret Poole dec'd who was one of the Daughters of Anthony Lowther late of Maske in the County of Yorke Esq'r dec'd and survived the said Wm. Lowther by Deeds of Lease and Rel: dated the 23d & 24th of 7b'r Anno 1731, Granted and Released all the said 5000 Acres of Land City Lotts and Lib: Land unto Joseph Stanwix of Bartlets Buildings Holburn Gent: Consid: £350—who again by like Deeds dated the 13th & 14th days of Jan'ry 1731 Granted and Released the same Land and Premises to John Simpson of Tower Hill London Merch't Consideration £400 who by a Power of Attorney dated the 18th day of Jan'ry 1731, did constitute and appoint William Allen of Philad'a to take up the same &c.

Jonathan Clark requests the grant of 2 or 300 Acres of Land in the Forrest of Kent.

Richard Pearsall requests a Grant of Settlement of a piece of Land near his former improvement near Brandywine Creek.

Rob't Boyle requests the Addition of 150 Acres added to his former Grant.

Joseph Williams requests that no Grant may be made for the overplus in Edw'd Kynasson's Tract, he having a Mortgage from Kynasson.

Waitman Siple requests a Resurvey of the Land called Mahan's Island near Little Creek in Kent County and to have the addition

of some Marsh adjoining to the same together with some vacant Points of fast Land.

12mo. 2d 1733.

James Carpenter requests the Grant of 200 Acres of Land near Sowbridge Swamp in Sussex where he has made some Improvem't, James Jones for a Settlem't on Slaughter Branch the same county.

William Carpenter for 200 Acres near his Brother James abovementioned. Sam'l Carpenter for 200 Acres near the same.

13th.

Isaac Webster of Baltimore County in Maryland (by Letter to I: S.) setts forth that he intends to make a Settlem't on the west side of Susquahannah River back in the woods about a west course from the Mouth of Conestoga Creek which he supposes will fall within this Province when the line shall be run for dividing the same from Maryland and therefore desires that his request may be entered in Order to secure his Settlem't.

14th.

Joseph Butler by Letter from Hugh Durborow requests the Grant of 200 Acres of Land on the East of Tapahanna in the Forrest of Kent whereon he is settled.

Baltus Lord settled on Gilberts Mannor requests by Daniel Longonacre that he may continue some time on rent.

John Vangeezle of Newcastle produces a Patent from S'r E: Androse dat: 27th Jan'ry 1675 for a Lot of Ground lying towards the Strand in breadth on the front 62 ft. and in Length 308 ft. Granted to Hendrick Jansen quit rent 1 bush: Winter wheat.

Sam'l Macbur & Joanna his wife (she the only Daughter and Heir of H: Jansen, by Deed dated 20: 7'r 1708, sold and conveyed the said Lott to Thomas Tress, Marg't Tench, & Tho: Tress jun'r Ex'rs of the above Tho: Tress by Deed dated 24: March 1714 Granted the same Lot to Sam'l Monkton.

Philip Monkton brother and Heir & Rachell relict of the said Sam'l by Deed of 4th June 1723, sold the said Lott together with the bank or Water Lot which by Warr't of the 3: 6 mo., 1708 from the late Com'rs of Proper'y was surveyed to Thomas Tress the Elder.

John Vangezle requests a Confirmation on the Water Lott.

1mo. 14th.

The late Prop'ry by L: & Release dated the 18th & 19th days of Jan'ry 1681, Granted to Rob't Dunton 500 Acres of Land in this Province quit rent one Shilling for every hundred Acres and by

other like Deeds dated ye 6 & 7th days of April anno 1733 made between John Knight, William Bott and Hannah his wife and Ruth Knight the said Hannah and Ruth being the only Children of the said John Knight by Elizabeth his late wife the only Daughter and Heir of Thomas Dunton dec'd who was the only Brother and Heir of the aforesaid Rob't Dunton of the one part and Rob't Tipping of the other part, they the said John Knight &c Granted and conveyed (for £20) the said 500 Acres of Land with the app'ces unto the said Rob't Tipping who by his Attorney Mathias Aspden desires Warrants to take up the same with Lott & Lib: Land. Or'd ꝑ ye Prop'ry.

2mo. 4th.

John Dunn having made an agreem't with the Wid: Carpenter for half the Tract of Land in Salem County called Dehaes's Point, but She not being capable of making a Title he desires to take it from the Prop'r upon the Terms of other Land in that Neck (viz) £30 ꝑ Ct. clear of quit r't.

2mo. 5, 1734.

John Brinkloe jun'r requests a Parcell of vacant Marsh joyning on his Land and on an Island near Delaware Bay at the Bottom of Musmellon Neck the quantity uncertain.

Thomas Green requests the Grant of a Point of fast Land and Marsh on the North side of Main Duck Creek between Ed'd Lowther and Peter Oldson.

3mo. 3d.

John Read having settled on 150 Acres of Land joyning to the N: E. Corner of Nottingham, and afterwards dyed, his Son James Read requests a Warr't in Order to have it Surveyed. John in his lifetime was a Steady Asserter of the Rights of this Province against Maryland pretensions.

Benj'n Campbell the Presbiterian Minister at Newcastle requests the Grant of a piece of Land supposed to be Vac't near Swanwick, the Prop'ry is pleased to give orders that the lines may be examined and if vac't and without Lawfull Claims he may have it, or any other Vacancy near N: Castle in case the other cannot be had.

17th.

Sam'l Eves dwelling near N: Castle having in the Year 1724 purchased of John French about 200 Acres of Land Swamp and Marsh lying between the high Road leading from N: Castle to Christeen Ferry and the River Delaware for which it does not appear John French had any Right, Yet as Sam'l Eves paid about

£90 to him for it, the Prop'r consents he shall hold it under the Rent of one peny Sterl: ℔ Acre and order'd a Warr't accordingly.

27th.

George Robinson of the Mannor of Rocklands having obtained a Warr't from the former Comm'rs of Property and thereupon a Survey in the year 1689, on 200 Acres of Land in the said Mannor for which he has paid quit rent several years, and now applies to the Prop'r for Confirmation who has therefore ordered that a Warr't of Resurvey be forthwith provided and upon his the said George paying the Arrears of quit rent a Patent also.

4mo. 3d.

Charles Robinson and David Thomas requests the Grant of a parcell of Marsh lying within or near a Tract called Wards Neck on Georges Creek in N: Castle County.

Lawrence Pierson requests that he may purchase 280 Acres of Land in New Town Chester County belonging to the Prop'r; there is a piece of Land of about 100 Acres in Marlborough under the same Circumstances. The Land in New Town is since granted by the Prop'r to Rob't Tipping in Right of an original Purchase.

The late Prop'r by Deed dated ye 8th 6 mo., 1687, did give and grant unto James, Joseph, and Benjamin Crispin, Jane, Elinor, Elizabeth and Amy Crispin the Children of Cap't William Crispin late of Kinsale in the Kingdom of Ireland dec'd 3000 Acres of Land in this Province to have and to hold the said 3000 Acres of Land unto the said James, Joseph and Benjamin Crispin, Jane Elnor, Elizabeth & Amy Crispin, To the only use of the said James Joseph & Benj: Crispin, Jane, Elinor, Elizabeth and Amey Crispin their Heirs and Assigns forever and in regard of the minority for and towards their Charge of bringing up and their Education and Settlem't. The said Prop'r did grant and assign unto Thomas Chudleigh, Martin Perse, and John Watts of Kinsale as Trustees for and on behalf of the said Children full power and authority to sell and dispose 1500 Acres of the said Lands and the Money thereof to dispose of for the use of the said Children, each to have an equal proportion thereof which grant or sale thereof he (the said Prop'r) would ratify and confirm to such Person or Persons as should buy the same to him or them or his or their Heirs. But for the remaining 1500 Acres Granted to the said Children in case that any of them should dye before they come to the age of 17 years the share or part of the s'd 1500 of such of the said Children so dying shall return to me. Yield & Paying &c two Shillings for every Hundred Acres as a quit rent &c.

And the said Prop'r did therein appoint W: Markham, Tho: Ellis, & John Goodson his Attorneys to Deliver Seizin thereof, dated

as above. At the bottom of the Deed the Prop'r has wrote (viz) This is a true Copy of the original, Executed by me

WM. PENN.

James Crispin having in his lifetime purchased the Rights of ————afterwards dyed intestate leaveing Issue 3 Sons and a Daughter, the Eldest whereof Arthur Smith Crispin being (as 'tis said vested with two fifth parts or 1200 A's by Deeds of Lease and Release dated ye ——— Granted the same to Jonn White of Philad'a Merch't unto whom the Prop'r Granted a Warr't for the 1200 Acres and an addition of 947 Acres for which latter he is to pay.

21, 4mo. 1734.

Upon Application made to the Prop'ry by some Persons from Salem for purchasing the Tract of Land and Meadow called Cow Neck lying near Salem Town, The Prop'r was pleased to agree that the lowest price it should be granted at, was two hundred Pounds and that the Person that should first comply with the Sum proposed should have the preference, whereupon Isaac Satterthwait complying with the Terms it is agreed that he shall have the Grant.

27th.

Stephen Cole of Chester having purchased 416 Acres of Land in Lancaster County laid out in pursuance of a Warr't granted by the late Comm'rs of Property unto Thomas Stevenson, and Charles Brockden in the year 1712 (entered in the Minutes of y't date) in right of John Hecock and Thomas Barret, they the said T: Stevenson and C: Brockden by Deeds of the 2d: 3d: of April 1713 Conveyed the same to John Moore of Chester County who by like deeds dated the 21st & 22d of July 1713. Granted the same Land to Jos: Hickman of the said County, and by other like Deeds of the 15th & 16th of 7b'r 1731. Conveyed the same to the said Stephen Cole upon whose Application the Prop'r was pleased to Grant an Addition of 105 Acres for £31:10—the quit rent of the whole one Shilling Sterling each hundred Acres—Patent signed dated this day.

---

5 mo. 20th.

John Grub, Emanuel Grub, and Rich'd Beson request the Grant of 3000 A's of Land for Settlem't of their Children lying about 80 Miles to the Westward of Susquahannah River and to the Northward of the latitude of the Mouth of Conestogo Creek.

The Prop'ry is pleased to Grant their Request in the following Manner, That the quantity of 3000 Acres shall be surveyed and divided to them in the place aforesaid, that the above named Per-

sons shall hold the said Land for seven Years from the date hereof free from Interest and quit rent Except an acknowledgem't of one shilling Sterling from each Person to be yearly p'd at the Town of Lancaster for each Thousand Acres that at the expiration of Seven Years as aforesaid the said Persons are to pay at the rate or price of fifteen Pounds ten Shillings Money of Pensylvania for every hundred Acres and the yearly quit rent of an half penny Sterling for every Acre thereof.

28th 5mo.

Mr. Samuel Blunston Sr. this is to let you understand that the Inhabitants about the great Marsh where Edmund Cartledge does live have met and made a general Conclusion for to get grants from you for to settle any where upon the Waters of Conehecheegoe and likewise upon the Waters of Andiatom on the North side of the line that George Noble and John Smith did run. Joseph Hickman, Edward Parnell, John Dobkin, James Conron, John Hodge, Redman Fallen, James Gill, Thomas Cherry, John Williams, William Clarke, William Varnell, Thomas Owen, Charles Friend, Abraham Fish, James Hendrica, William Sherwell, Peter Hart, Humbleston Lyon, Thomas Oncall, Nicholas Hammon, Richard Spencer, Samuel Baldwin, John Surfurance, Samuel Owen, Francis Hickman, Joseph Hickman, Jun'r, John Stull, Edmund Cartledge Jun'r, John Nicholas, Edward Nicholas, John Gosedge, Neils Friend, John Friend, John Gladin, Charles Smith, John Ryle, James Coborn, William May, John Sawphorus, James Williams.

Carpenter's and Fisher's Island.

Francis Lovelace Esq'r Govern'r of N: York by Patent dated the 1st day of 8'br 1669 did give Ratify, confirm and Grant unto Peter Cock a certain Island in Delaware River lying and being in a Kill w'ch runs into Schuylkill and by Tides into the main River containing by Estimation about 25 Margen or fifty Acres of improvable Land besides broken Land which said broken Land is bounded by the main River on the East and by South, by the Scholekill on the North and by East and by Andries Bouns Kill on the west for which said Island and Premises the said Peter Cock had thentofore a Patent or Ground briefe from the Dutch Gov'r Petrus Stuyvesant, now for a Confirmation unto him the said Peter Cock in his Possession Q Enjoym't of the Premises, Know ye that by Virtue of the Commission and Authority to me given I have &c. To have and to hold &c. Yielding and paying therefore yearly and every year unto his Ma: use one Bushell of Winter Wheat as a quit rent &c. dated as above.

Peter Cock in and by his last Will and Testam't dated the 25th of June 1687 Did give and bequeath unto his wife Margaret during

her natural Life all his Estate personal and real and afterwards disposeth of it in the following Manner unto his Son Gabriel one Island lying and being on Schuylkill known by the name of Schuylkill Island and all Meadows and Marshes belonging to the same to him and his heirs forever, and all the remainder of his Estate, Lands, Moveables and Immoveables, he therein gives to his twelve Children in equal Shares and after the Death of Peter Cock and his wife their several Children by Deed dated the 2d day of X'br anno 1704, did remise released and forever quit Claim unto their Brother Gabriel Cock all the Island, Plantation and Premises aforesaid wheheon the said Peter Cock in his lifetime dwelt, To hold to him his Heirs and Assigns forever.

Gabriel Cock and Mary his wife by Indenture dated the 15th 4 ma., 1714 for £280, Did grant, Bargain and sell the last mentioned Island, Plantation & Premises lying and being on a Creek that runneth into the River Schuylkill and so into the main River Delaware containing about 50 Acres of improveable Land besides broken Land and Marsh unto Samu'l Carpenter of Philad'a, To hold to him his heirs and assigns forever.

The above is the lower or Southwest part of the Island mentioned by the Patent. And the upper or northeast part thereof called the Schuylkill Island the said Gabriel Cock had before granted, alieued and sold unto John Fisher late of the City of Philad'a, since deceased, by Deed Poll dated ye 23d day of the Month called June Anno 1707.

John Fisher in and by his last will and Testam't bearing date ye 5th of 9b'r Anno 1724 did give and bequeath the said Island and Premises unto John Thomas and Charles Brockden in Trust for the use of Sarah his wife during her natural life and after her decease to his Son John Fisher and his heirs in fee, Jno. Fisher the Son having by Deed dated the 21st 8b'r 1730 vested his Mother with the whole Estate in the said Island, Plantation and Premises she the said Sarah Fisher by Deed dated the 22d of 8'br anno 1730 did give, and grant all her Estate of in and to the same Island, Plantation &c unto her Son the said John Fisher his heirs and Assigns forever.

The Prop'r Consents that upon S: Carpenter and John Fisher's paying all the arrears of quit rent according to the Pat: from the Govern'r of N. York, and for the future) paying for every 100 Acres that shall be found on the Resurvey one Bushell of good winter wheat forever hereafter, Patents shall be granted to them for their respective Parts or Shares.

Samuel Carpenter and John Fisher being now vested with the Right to the whole Grant made by the Govern'r of N: York to Peter Cock as aforesaid, Request Resurveys on the same in Order for further Confirmations.

Granted by the Prop'ry and Warr'ts dated the 29th 5 mo., 1734.

1st 6mo.

The Proprietary is pleased to allow the owners of the Bank and Water Lotts the space of one year from the date hereof to come in and purchase of the Reversion upon the Terms by him fixed (viz) forty Shillings curr't money for every ft. of Bank and the like for the Water and the yearly quit r't of three pence Sterl: Money each ft. forever after and that those persons who neglect or refuse to comply with the Prop'rs Offer are not to expect the benefit of it, afterward the Purchase is to be paid in Bills of Exchange at 65 ℔ C't advance.

12th.

Upon the Application of Tho's Lawrence, Tho's Sober, George McCall, Dr. Thos. Green and George Fitzwater for a grant of that Tract of Marsh, Cripple and Swamp which lyes between Moyamensing to the North, the Swamp or Cripple of Abel Noble to the East Hay Creek on the South and Dam Creek on the west for which they agree to pay one Shilling Sterling as a quit rent for every Acre thereof for ever and also to drain and improve the same within Seven Years after the date hereof or on failure of such improvem't the Grant intended to be made upon this application is to be void.

The Widow of Moses Cockran requests the Grant of a piece of Vac't Land lying near the Tract lately surveyed to David Kennedy. She alleges that her late Husband Moses made considerable improvem'ts on the said Tract of Kennedy for which she desires some Consideration. Speak to John Taylor about her request and Demand against Kennedy.

George McCall produces a Deed of Release from Charles Read Ex'r of the last Will and Testam't of Henry Scoble late of the County of Philad'a Dec'd and James Lowns Ex'r of Rich'd Scoble Son of the said Henry also deceased, dated ye 28: May 1733 purporting the Grant of five several pieces of Land lying in Passyunck.

One piece of 20 Acres is part of 80 acres which was one of 3 Tracts confirmed by Patent dated the 10th 4 mo., 1693, unto Mouns Cock who by assignm't thereon dated the 27th 9b'r the same Year Granted the said 3 Tracts unto Wm. Carter who by Deed of the 2d of 7b'r 1695, Granted the said 20 Acres unto William Snowden.

One other piece of 20 Acres is part of 100 Acres which Gover'r Nicholls by an Instrum't or writing of the 1st day of Jan'ry 1661, (I think the y'r is a mistake should be 1667) did grant unto Thomas Llewellyn and nine other Persons therein named—T: Llewellyn by Deed dated the 14 July 1669 sold his part being the said 100 Acres unto Peter Matson who by Deed or writing of the 5th of May 1672, sold the same unto Mat's Holstein ats Mat's

Cleasen Holstyner who by Deed of the 20th 8b'r 1694, Granted this last described Land unto the said Wm. Snowdon who by Deed dated the 26th 8b'r 1697, Granted the above two described Tracts unto James Fox.

Two other pieces lying in Triangular form containing 5 Acres & ¼, being Parcells of 26 Acres and half part of 102 Acres Granted by the late Prop'rs Patent of the 30th 5 mo., 1684, unto Lacy Cock by Deed of the 8th 9b'r 1695 Granted the said 26 Acres & ⅜ unto John Sanders who by Deed dated the 20th of Feb: 1695 Granted the same 26½ Acres unto the said James Fox who by his last will and testam't in writing of the 8th day of 7b'r 1699 Authorized the sale of his Lands by his Ex'rs and Trustees or the Survivours or Survivour of them—Eliz: Fox surviving Ex'rx with Consent of Sam'l Carpenter, David LLoyd, and Isaac Norris, Trustees in the said Will, named by their Deed of the 3d of March 1701 Granted the above mentioned two 20 Acre Tracts and the said 26½ Acres lying contiguous and containing together 66½ Acres unto Nathan Standbury, who by his deed of the 11th of 7b'r 1703, Granted the said 66½ Acres unto Thomas Masters. Ma's Holsten by Deed dated 28th May 1703, Granted unto Thomas Masters 50 Acres adjoyning to the foregoing said to be part of Th's Llewellyns 100 A's which he sold and conveyed unto the said Peter Matson as aforesaid and that the recitals in Holstens Deed to T: Masters are Erroneous, and the said Peter Matson by Deed of the 5th of May 1672, Sold and conveyed the same unto the s'd Matt's Holsten as aforesaid. Thos. Masters by Deed dated the 25th Xb'r 1714, sold the said 66½ Acres and 50 Acres aforesaid together with other Lands unto the s'd H. Scobell who by Deed dated the 2d of 8b'r 1716, Granted the same unto Rich'd Hill who regranted unto the said Henry Scobell by Deed of ye 1st of June 1722. Thus far the recitalls in the first mentioned Deed from Charles Read and James Lowns unto the said George McCall who by the Will of the said Henry Scobell dated the 23d of 7b'r 1726, were authorized to Convey the same for discharging the Debts and Legacys of the Testator. The several pieces of Land lying contiguous and circumscribed in one Tract is said to contain 102 acres on which the said George McCall requests a Warr't of Resurvey in order for further confirmation.

Thomas Lawrence produces the Sheriff (Owen Owen) his Deed dated the 6th day of March 172⅞ purporting the Grant of 51 Acres of Land lying in Passyunk from him the said Sheriff unto the said Thomas Lawrence, which Land is said to have belonged to Henry Scobell deceased who in his lifetime had mortgaged the same in the Gen'r Loan Office and for want of Paym't the Trustees of the said Office brought their Action and recovered against the Land in the Possession of the Executors and by Law-full process

the same was sold by the Sheriff as aforesaid. T: Lawrence produces one other Deed under the Hands and Seals of Edw'd Roberts and John Cadwallader, Trustees, Cna: Read and Benj: Paschall, Exec'rs of the last will and Testam't of the said Henry Scobell and John Coal and Patience his wife (the said Patience having been the Widow of the said Henry) wherein they have Granted unto the said T: Lawrence a piece of Land adjoyning on the above containing one Acre & three quarters and thirty Perches also one other Deed from the said Charles Read for 29 acres & ½ Sold by him as Ex'r of the said Henry Scobell unto the said T: Lawrence as part of the Three Tracts of Land above mentioned to be sold by Thomas Masters to the s'd Henry Scobell the whole lying contiguous he requests a Warr't of Resurvey in order for further Confirmation.

Thomas Sober on behalf of his Father Charles Sober setts for his Title to several Tracts or pieces of Land as followeth (viz).

S'r Edw'd Andros Govrn'r of N: York by Pat: dated the 25th of March 1676. Granted to Peter Dalbo a Tract of Land lying on the West side of Delaware and on the East side of Schuylkill beginning on a point by the uper side of a Rock at the Mouth of a Deep Branch near the dwelling house of the said Dalbo which said Branch divided this from the Land of Peter Rambo and from the said Point running by the said Kill or Creek N. E. 30 deg'rs 56 ℔ces N. E. 85 deg'rs 32 ℔'s E. & by S. 14 ℔'s S: E. 36 ℔'s E S E. 38 ℔'s N. E. 81 deg'rs 60 ℔'s N. E. 36 ℔'s to a corner W. O. standing on the side of the said Kill or Creek Thence S. E. 244 ℔'s to a corner W. O. standing on a barren level then S: W. 180 ℔'s to another corner W. O. standing on the E. line of Passyunk being the upper most Corner of Peter Rambo then N. W: 160 ℔'s to a small Swamp which descendeth into the first mentioned deep branch then N: Westerly down the several Courses of the said Swamp to the place of beginning which said line and Swamp divided this from the Land of Peter Rambo containing 300 Acres &c. yielding and paying &c unto his Royal Highness's use 3 bushels of good winter wheat &c.

Peter Dalbo of West Jersey by Deed dated the 6th 4 mo., 1688. Granted 30 Acres of the above Tract unto Rees Preess who by Deed dated the 23d X'br 1689 Granted the same 30 Acres unto Pat: Robinson who again by Deed of the 1st of March 169¾ Granted the same 30 Acres unto David Powell and the said David Powell by Deed dated the 31st of Jan'ry 1704-5, Granted the said 30 Acres unto Charles Sober.

Peter Matson ats Dalbo of West Jersey (Son of the said Peter Dalbo) with consent of his Mother Kathrin Matson ats Dalbo by Deed dated the 10 day of August 1708 Granted 80 Acres part of the above mentioned Tract unto Andrew Rudman of Philad'a

Clerk who by Deed of the 24 of the same month Granted 40 Acres of the same unto the said Charles Sober.

The late Prop'ry by Patent dated the 30th 5 mo., 1684, granted and confirmed to Lassey Cock and Mathias Holston a certain Tract of Land Beginning at a W O standing in the line of Passyunck Land Then N. E. by the Land of Peter Rambo Sen'r 170 ℔'s to a W O Then S. E. ½ East'y 190 ℔'s to a R. O. Then W. by the Land of Passyunck 272 ℔'s to the beginning containing 102 Acres quit rent one bushell of Winter wheat. Lawrence ats Lassey Cock by Deed dated the 8th 9'br 1695, Granted this part or Moity of the above Tract of Land (the same being first divided) unto John Sanders who in his lifetime Sold 25 Acres thereof to James Fox and the remaining 30 Acres.

Eliz'th Sanders Relict and Administ'x of the said John Sanders by deed dated the 8th 11 mo., 1700, Granted (the said 30 acres with a brick house &c) unto John Martin who afterwards dying in Debt the said 30 Acres were with other Lands taken in Execution at the suit of William Clows and by the then Sheriff John Finney by Deed dated the 1st day of March 1703 Sold and conveyed unto the said Charles Sober Rich'd Sanders Eldest Son of the said John Sanders who had befoLre purchased his Brother William's Right in the estate left by their Father did by Deed dated the 16th of May 1730 Grant Release quit claim and Confirm unto the said Charles Sober the said Tract of Land containing 30 acres to hold to him &c.

The several Quantities of Land above mentioned lying contiguous the said Charles Sober requests a Warr't of Resurvey in Order for a Patent.

Hugh Folk in the first Month 1732 requested the Grant of 200 Acres of Land in or about the Tract called the great Swamp and soon after settled and has built and improved on the same, now requests to know the Prop'rs pleasure on what Terms he may hold it. The Prop'r is pleased to Grant the Land at £35 ℔ C't and 2d Acre quit rent.

24th 7b'r 1734.

Wm. Farson having some time since obtained a Survey of about 50 Acres on the south side of his Tract where he dwells requests a Warr't to establish the same but because Wm. Barns has formerly entered his request for some vac't Land near it the request of Wm. Farson is referred 'till Wm. Barnes with himself may be heard before the Prop'ry.

1734 6mo 31.

Peter Alricks produces a Patent from the late Prop'r dated the 5th 6 mo 1684 for a Tract of Land lying about 3 Miles below N: Castle between ye High Road leading from thence to Dover and the River Delaware and between a Creek then called the Great but since mill Creek and Toms run Granted to Peter Al-

ricks, Grandfather of the aforesaid Peter for 1473 Acres by very many Courses but includes none of the adjoyning Marsh.

The Tract is now held by George Yeates who claims about 700 Acres on the side next Newcastle, John Bryan holds the next below George. Daniel Turner adjoyning to John, and Sigfradus Jacobus, and Peter Alricks holds about 5 or 600 Acres on the lower side between the said Road and the River on which they all dwell.

Peter Jacobus and Sigfradus requests the grant of the Marsh lying between their several Settlem'ts the River Delaware and the said Creeks which they are allowed at the quit rent one penny Sterling for every Acre, and a warr't thereupon dated this day.

John Harris of Pextan having in X'br last obtained the Prop'rs Grant for the sole keeping of the Ferry over Susquehannah River at Pextan hath now applied to the Prop'r for Liberty to Build a small house on the west side of the said River for the conveniency of Travellers that may happen to come on that side in the Night Season or in Stormy Weather when the Boat or Flat cannot pass. He also requests the Grant of 200 Acres of Land on the same side of the River opposite to his Plantation where he dwells and whereon he would build the House.

The Prop'r is pleased to grant him the Liberty of Building a small house on the west side of the said River for the use aforesaid during the term for which the ferry is granted provided the Indians be not made uneasy by it but his further request for the 200 Acres of Land is referred.

Hans Nenys's Title to sundry quantities of Lib: Land where he dwells viz confirmed by Patent to George Willard in right of his original purchase dat: 1: 6: 1685 who by Deed dated ye 4th of the month last mentioned granted the same Land to John Jones of Philad'a whose Exec't'rs Sam'l Preston and Clem't Plumsted by Deed dated the 1st 1 mo., 171½ Granted the same Land to John Furnis and the said J: Furnis by Deed of the 17th feb: 1719 Sold and conveyed the said 20 Acres of Land unto the said Hans Nenys.

40 Acres, Confirmed to Rich'd Hill by Patent of the 10th Jan'ry 1708, in right of the original Purchase of 2500 Acres made of the late Prop'ry by Francis Plumsted who by deeds of the 2d and 3d days of Feb: 1707, Granted the whole Purchase to Rich'd Hill of Philad'a who by Endorsment on the Patent and Grant, Bargain and sell the said 40 Acres of Liberty Land with the app'ces unto the said Hans Nenys.

8 Acres, Confirmed by Patent from the Comm'rs Wm. M., R: T. & J: G. dat: 26: 9b'r 1692 unto John Barns in right of his original Purchase of 500 Acres, who by Indorsm't on the s'd Patent dated ye 1st X'br 1692 did assign Transfer and set over the said 8 Acres of Lib; Land unto Wm. Dillwin.

16 Acres, Confirmed by Pat: from the same Comm'rs dated the 26: 9b'r 1692, unto Wm. Dillwin and Sarah his wife the said Sarah

by the name of Sarah ffuller who was the original Purchaser of 1000 Acres in Right whereof these 16 Acres were Granted. Wm. Dillwin being possessed of the right to the last mentioned quantities of Land did (with his wife) by Deed dated the 10th X'br 1692, Grant and Convey the same to Edw'd Dougherty of Philad'a.

John Parson, Tho: Bradford, and Thos. Parsons by Deed dated the 8th of 7b'r 1698 acknowledged to have sold to Edw'd Doughty in his lifetime but therein conveyed to his Widow and administ'x Mary Doughty after his decease 20 Acres of Lib: Land (viz) 8 Acres in right of John Parsons original purchase of 500 Acres surv'd the 16th 1 mo., 1685, 8 acres in right of Rob't Summers whose heir Will'm Deveral sold the same to T: Bradford surveyed the same day with the foregoing 4 acres in Right of John Wall and John Wallis who sold the same to Wm. Tyler who again sold the same to Thomas Parsons.

8 Acres said to be purchased by Edw'd Doughty of John Buckley did not convey Mary Doughty Widow and Ex'x of Edw'd Doughty by Deed dated ye 26th 3 mo., 1699, Granted, Bargained and Sold the last mentioned 52 acres of Land with the app'ces unto the said Hans Nenyse.

15 A's, Part of 80 Acres of Lib: Land laid out to Sam'l Richardson in right of Wm. Bowman's original Purchase of 5000 Acres the said 15 Acres were granted and sold to Hans Nenyse by Joseph Jones deed dated 3d May 1726 Confirmed by Patent to M: V: Bebbar dated 23: 12: 1702, in right of Derrick Seipman and Govert Ramts who by Indorsm,t dated 4: 9'br 1704.

1d Sterl. p' 70 A's, John Budd and H Murry by deed 26: 8b'r 1720 Granted to Hans Nenys 725 Acres in Right of Wm. Bacons Purchase Vide the Title formerly entered.

Peter Jacquet of Swanwick in Newcastle County having Purchased (in the Year 1724) of Coll: John French about 37 Acres of Land lying between other Land of the said Peters and the Land of Sam'l Eves, for which it does not appear that J. French had any right. The Prop'r is pleased to Order a Warr't on the same Terms with Sam'l Eves in Consideration of Peter Jacquets having paid £10 to John French 'tho he had no Right to take it.

Henry Antes requests the Grant of 500 Acres on the West branch of Delaware in Order to build a Grist Mill he being a Millwright by Trade and no Mill being yet built in that Part of the Province. Peter Knows desires to have the Grant of a Settlem't adjoining to the above request.

8b'r 30.

John Griffith (recommended by Lawrence Growdon) requests that he may have Liberty to purchase a Settlement on Scooks Creek about 6 miles back of Durham as soon as the affair of those Lands is settled with ye Indians.

7th 9b'r.

Joseph Gilpin desires to purchase a piece of Vac't Land lying in Marlborough between the Lands of Moses Key and Isaac Gilpin supposed to contain about 50 A's.

Xb'r 3d.

John Grub represents that he has purchased a piece of Land part of the London Companys Tract in Rocklands, and that there is a small vacancy lying between the said Tract and the Lands lying on Delaware already Granted to other Persons which vacancy the said J: Grubb desires to purchase.

At the Proprietaries, X'br 3d: 1734.

Ordered that J: Steel write to Squire Boon for him to seize and secure the Walnut Timber cut down by some Person unknown on the Island which is to be surveyed to B: Fairman and Peter Rambo lying on Schuylkill for 21 Years.

The Walnut Timber thereon is to be reserved for the Proprietors use.

To make an Exception in all the Leases for Lands of Walnut Timber To enquire what People are settled on the Prop'rs Lot of Land reserved to them on the Branches of Pecque Creek in Lancaster County in Order to remove them.

James Smith requests the Grant of about 100 Acres of Vac't Land on the East End of the tract now confirmed to him near the Mill Creek in Lancaster County.

Samuel Levis having obtained a Resurvey on that Part of his Land which lies in Darby Township, there appears to be contained within the lines thereof Acres more and above his original Purchase whereupon he agrees to pay after the rate of forty Pounds Money of Pensilv'a ℔ C't and the usual quit rent of one Shilling Sterling.

Ordered to attend the Prop'rs the 6th Inst. at 10 in the forenoon. Attended accordingly and adjourned to the 10th in the forenoon.

9th Xb'r 1734.

Robert Clifton late of the County of Sussex having in the Year 1725, Obtained a Survey made by Rob't Shankland on a Tract of 200 Acres of Land lying on a Branch of Mispellan Creek in Sussex County, since dyed leaving several Sons the Eldest whereof John Clifton requests a Warr't in Ord'r to Establish the said Survey and he desires the Addition of about 100 Acres lying on the South side of the above.

Attended on the Prop'rs ye 10th X'br: 1734, who were Present. Signed the following Patents (viz).

To Sam'l Carpenter for his Part or Rock's Island quantity, ... 675 Acres.
John Fisher the other part of Coxes Island,..... 342
Rees David in N: Castle County, ... 170
Daniel Fierre in Lancaster County, ... 308
Matthew Hughs in Bucks County, ... 68
Elias Hughs in the same County, ... 100
Adam Widner in Philad'a County,..... 200
Daniel Fierre & Isaac Leffevre in Lancaster County, ... 2,300
Joshua Richards in Bucks County, ... 250

A Commission to James Steel for Rec'd Gen'l with a clause to Depute with the Prop'rs Approbation, and thereupon William Biddle being proposed, in Ordwer to make some distresses at Manatawney and some other Places in this County where the quit rents are reserved in Wheat, the Proprietarys were pleased to approve of him as a fit Person.

Signed a Warr't of Resurvey to David French on a Tract of Land near Newcastle.

To Wm. Shipley the same in Ridley Township Chester County.

To John Jones in Kent County on Rent, 200 Acres.

Peter Bartolet in his lifetime having obtained a Warr't and Survey on 150 Acres of Land at Oley and paid part of the purchase Money afterwards dyed leaving the same to his wife and Children, his wife since obtained a Warr't and Survey on 100 acres adjoyning on the former at the late Terms made by the Prop'r but the Two Tracts lying contignous the Widow of the said Peter proposes to pay the same price with Interest and quit rent for the last mentioned 100 Acres as the former will now amount to by computation.

Which the Prop'rs are pleased to allow.

At the Prop'rs the 17th X'br 1734. Present themselves.

Daniel Eaustace having some Years since settled on a piece of Land on the Western Branch of Brandywine requests the Grant of about 65 Acres. Enquire of T: Tatylor if this request be not within one of the Tracts reserved for the Prop'rs use if not Granted on the late Terms, but if within one of those Tracts, then on Lease 21 Yr's.

John Wells preferred a Petition complaining of J: aylors coming with his Improvem't in favour of Thomas Green.

Caleb Baker preferred a like Petition and Complaint against J: Taylor for running the lines of a Survey lately made for Thomas Lindley, within his Improvem't. Endorsed by the Prop'r to be enquired into and thereupon J: Steel is directed to write to J: Taylor.

Ordered that Benj. Eastburn send Copies of the Warr't for lay-

ing out and returning into his office the several vacancys in each County for the Proprietaries use to the respective Surveyors for that purpose.

Ordered that J: Steel write to Thomas Smith that he forthwith come up and account for the Land he claims in the overplus of Deffosses tract and to acquaint John Grigg upon Smith's answer

Ordered that Joseph Jarvis and the Assignees of Joshua Hastins attend together in order to be heard about the Land confirmed by the Comm'rs Patent to J: Hastins of which J: Steel is to give them and John Taylor Notice.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To John Bently for 100 Acres in Whiteclay Creek hundred N Castle County.

Adam Harker 375 Acres in Bucks County.

Sam'l Bulkley and Sam'l Hassell 490 Acres in Phil'a & Chester Counties.

A Lease to John Knowls for a Square of Ground in Philad'a City.

A Warr't of Resurvey being sometime since the 30th 5 mo., last Granted to David Morton for resurvey'g two pieces or quantities of Land in Calcoon Hook and 2 smaller pieces chiefly Swamp and Meadow on an Island in Darby Creek, now requests a Patent for the Same he produced a Deed dated the 10th Ap'l 1683, from Olle Ollesson to Morton Mortonson father of the said David, wherein the said Olle gives and grants to the said Morton all his right, Title and Interest lying and being in Calcoon Hook being one fourth part of 1100 Acres be it more less together with &c.

M: Mortonson in and by his last Will and Testam't dated the 21st 9'br 1718 did give and bequeath all his real and Personal Estate to his Son David Mortonson his heirs Ex'rs and Assigns forever He also produced one other Deed dated the 26th day of May 1723 made between Mat's Nessilcus of the one part and the said D: M. of the other part reciting that Peter Peterson by Deed dated the 1st August 1711, did grant to the said Mat's Nesselius and Morton Mortonson father of the said David a Tract of Land containing about 45 Acres which was divided in the lifetime of the said Morton but not released to each other 'till after the Death of Morton 'till by this Deed, wherein M. Nesilius releases to D M according the Division before made which to D M are the several Tracts mentioned in the Margan.

One piece	64 Acres.
Meadow	10
	2¾
On Hay Island	2
	17
	15
	112¾

Sam'l Bulkley and Sam'l Hasell their right to Land in Chester.

Francis Lovelace Esq'r Gov'r of N. York by Patent dated the 7th June 1672, did therein Grant to Niels Matson a certain Parcell of Land lying in Upland (now Chester) between Israel Helms Land in breadth and in length from Upland Kill to Niels Laersens Land as also a small piece of Land on the one side of it abutting on the said Israel Helms Land on the one side and on the other on Hans Juriansons Land and so forth from the Kill to Niels Laersens together with a piece of bush or Wood Land alongst the Kill and so into the Woods in bredth to Niels Laersens Land containing about 22 Acres or 11 Margen now for a Confirmation to the s'd Niels Matsen &c. Know ye &c. To have and to hold the said Parcell of Land & Premises &c. Yielding and paying &c. one busheli of good winter wheat to his Royal Highness's use &c.

Niels Matson by Deed Poll dated the 31st Janr'y 1675 did grant, bargain and Sell the above Land and Premises unto John Test his Heirs & Ass: forever who by another short Deed dated the 14th of June 1676, did grant Assign and set over unto Rich'd Guy all the aforesaid Land and premises and to his heirs &c.

The said Rich'd Guy by Deed Poll (in Eph: Harmans handwriting dated the 14th 9'br, 1677, did grant Bargain and Sell the said Land and premises unto John Hayles his Heirs and Assigns who by Deed Poll (in E: H's hand) dated 19th March 167⅞ Granted and Sold the said Land and Premises unto the aforesaid John Test his heirs and Assigns who by one other Deed Poll (in E: H's hand) dated 24 June 1679 did grant Bargain and Sell the said Land and Premises now called 200 Acres (upon a resurvey said to be made on the Lands of the 6 Inhabitants of Upland) unto Rich'd Friend his heirs and Assigns forever.

The said Richard Friend by a like Deed Poll (in E: H's hand) dated the 8th of August 1679 did grant Bargain and Sell the said Land and premises (called 200 Acres) unto Stephen Chambers his heirs and Assigns forever.

John Budd by Deed dated 31st 3 mo., 1737 Granted Remised and released the said Land and Premises unto Sam'l Bulkley and Sam'l Hasell in Fee, he being thereunto authorized by the last Will and Testam't of his Father the said Thomas Budd.

The said Stephen Chambers in the Condition of an Obligation by him given unto the aforesaid Rich'd Friend dated the —— day of 8'br 1684. Acknowledged to have bargained and sold by a certain writing of Assignm't of the same date the aforesaid Land and Premises unto the said Richard Friend his heirs &c. This Obligation was given for peaceable and quiet possession and holding the Premises unto the said Rich'd Friend &c. The writing of Asignm't aforesaid seems to be in sundry small pieces among the other writings belonging to this Land the said Rich'd Friend

being again seized of the Land and Premises afores'd did by Deeds of Lease and Release dated the 25th & 26th of 7'br 1685 Grant & Release the same unto Sam'l Standerwick his heirs and As: who by his Letter of Attorney bearing date the 8th of 8'br 1685 did authorize and impower James Budd to sell and convey the same which by Indenture of the 10th X'b'r 1686 he confirmed to Thomas Budd his heirs and assigns.

Deeds wanting (viz) Tho: Budd to John Simcock & T: Simcock to Matthew Borden whose Son Joseph Borden by authority from his Father Bargained and Sold the Land and ye aforesaid to Sam'l Bulkley.

Attended at the Prop'rs 14th 11mo., 1734-5 both ye Prop'rs present.

Signed a Patent for 195 Acres in Wrights Town and 14 acres in the Town Square for Joseph Chapman. To Moses Wright.

Attended at the Prop'rs 14th 11mo., 1734-5 both ye Prop'rs Present.

Rowland Chambers and Thos. Armstrong on behalf of the Inhabitants of Pextan and Derry requests the Grant of 100 Acres in each Township for to accommodate their meeting Houses. Granted at ½'d Sterl: Rent only. The said Rowland and Thomas presented a Petition on behalf of the Inhabitants of the Townships of Pextan Lebanon and Derry in Lancaster County relating to the several Settlem'ts within the said Townships (viz).

William Hudson produces the following Deeds for a Lott lying between Delaware Street and the River (viz).

Lease and Release, Rich'd Kees & Ux'r to Rich'd Hill dated the 1st & 2d of June 1716, reciting that the former Comm'rs of Prop'ty by Patent dated ——— Granted to Rob't Ewer a Lott lying on and before the Bank of Delaware River in breadth 51 ft. and in length 250 ft. bounded &c. Rob't Ewer by Deed dated the 2d of 8'br 1690 Granted the Southern Moiety or half part of the said Lott of Ground to Thomas Masters.

T: Masters by Indenture of the 25th of July 1692, Granted the said Lot to Rich'd Kees of the aforesaid Richard Father.

Sam'l Holt by Deed dated the 8th of August 1693, Granted to the said Rich'd Kees 18 Inches of his the said Samuels adjoyning Lott so far as the same extended.

The said Rich'd Kees the Son in and by the above Deeds Granted 26 Ft. and a half of the said Lott lying between Kings Street and Delaware unto Rich'd Hill who by other Deeds of the 10th & 11th of March 1717 Granted the same Lott and Premises unto Wm. Hudson (those Deeds are recited in a Release afterwards executed by the said Rich'd Kees & Ux'r to Wm. Hudson dated the 5th 9'br

1718 but not produced) Wm. Hudson requests a Confirmation and Release of the same upon the Terms proposed by the Prop'ries.

Wm. Hudson also produces Deeds of Lease and Release under the hands of Springet Penn, William Penn, William Aubray, and Loetitia Aubray, dated the 10th & 11th days of 7'br 1729 purporting the Grant of a Lot of Ground scituate on the North side of the hight Street in Philad'a in breadth 106 ft. and a half and in length 306 ft. under the yearly quit rent of four English Shillings from the date of the said Deed being the high street Lott laid out to the said Loetitia Aubrey appurtenant to the Grant of Lands made to her Father the late Proprietary he likewise request a Patent for the said Lott.

He W: Hudson also produces Deeds of Lease and Release under the hand and Seal of Honour Bedwell who was the Relict and Exec'x of her former husband William Clark dated the 21st & 22d days of March 1717 wherein She granted to the said Wm. Hudson a Lott of Ground lying between Chestnut and Walnut Streets on the west side of the Third Street from Delaware in bredth 26 ft. and in length 100 ft. & an half Granted by Warr't from the late Comm'rs of the 24th of 9'br 1701 under the yearly rent of five Shillings he requests a Patent for this Lott also.

Also the said Wm. Hudson produces Lease and Release under the Hand and Seal of Henry Paul dated the 15th of May 1732, for the high Street Lott appurtenant to the Purchase of 1000 Acres of Land the purchase originally made of the late Prop'r by Edward Blenman (see his Title in the former minutes) lying on the North side of the H: Street. Joseph Paul who purchased the said 1000 Acres of Land with the appurtenances by Deeds of Lease and Release dated the 2d & 3d days of May 1711 Granted the s'd Lot of Ground unto the said Henry Paul his Son who granted to Same to Wm. Hudson as before noted request a Patent.

John Danby produces Deeds Indented of L: & Release under the hand and Seal of Sam'l Carpenter Sen'r dated the 8th & 9th days of June 1725 wherein the said Sam'l Granted unto the said John Danby under the rent of £30 ℔ Ann: a Lott of Ground lying between Delaware (King Street) and the River Delaware in breadth 34 ft. & a half part of a Large Lott Granted to S: Carpenter deceased by the late Prop'r by Patt: of 4th of the 6 mo., 1648 the said S. Carpenter dec'd by Indenture of the first day of June, 1689, Granted to Joshua Carpenter with other Ground the same Lott who by deeds of L & Release of the 25th & 26th of March 1714 Granted the said Lott unto the said Sam'l Carpenter his Son who Granted to Jno. Danby. John Danby requests a Release and confirmation upon the Terms ℔posed by the Proprietaries.

Thomas Lawrence.

Attended at the Prop'rs 11th 12mo 1734-5. Present the Proprietor T: P. Esq'r.

Ralph Assheton produced a brief of the several titles of the Lotts and Lands belonging to him near Schuylkill which had been before entered in the late Minutes of the office by J: Steel. The said Lotts and Lands as setts forth in the Grants are so very diffrent from the place whereon the buildings are executed that the Prop'rs propose to go and view the Scituation and Order the mistake to be rectifyed.

Joseph Gregory & ——— Hayes attended about the Ferry between Philad'a and Gloucester, but because the Mayor and Recorder of this City could not be present, Ordered the J: Gregory & ——— Hays attend the 17th Inst. day at 10 in the forenoon.

Attended at the Prop'rs ye 18th 12mo 1734-5. Present ye Proprietaries.

Joseph Gregory & ——— Hays waited on the Prop'rs in order to be heard on their several Petitions but being advised to agree in carrying on the business of the Ferrys to which they are appointed they promised that for the Future they would assist each other in the best manner they can and thereupon withdrew.

Edward Farmer preferred a Petition setting forth his Father and Relations Early purchase and designed Settlem't in this Province and their Misfortunes by Death and other disappointments &c that he had purchased several Rights from Persons who came Servants into this Province at the first settling thereof, of their Land which was to be given them at the end of their respective Servitudes as an encouragement for their coming over in that circumstance, which cost him at least £70, in lieu of which he request the Grant of a Tract of Land lying on the East side of Schuylkill formerly settled with the Consent of the late Comm'rs by one Watson who sold his right to him the said Edward. That the late Prop'r was pleased to Grant to his father and Uncles who were purchasers of the Tract of 5000 Acres of Land since called White Marsh the Priviledge of two Fairs every Year and a Market once a Week to be kept on the said Tract of Land provided that within five years they should procure twenty familys to settle and dwell there, which he confesses was not complyed with. The Prop'rs were pleased in Consideration of the Premises, to allow that the said Edw'd Farmer shall have the said Tract of Land to contain ——— Acres confirmed to him at the yearly quit rent of one half penny Sterling for every acre to commence from the first day of next Month.

And whereas the Prop'rs have Granted a Survey in Order for a Lease on 50 Acres lying within the Neck or Tract aforesaid to Benjamin Fairman and Peter Rambo for the discovery of a Copper Mine, it is ordered that such Exceptions and Restrictions shall be inserted in the Lease as may prevent the said Benj: & Peter from making any other use of the said 50 Acres than that of Searching and working for a Copper Mine or Ore except they in-

close the same with a good fence. And that his Request concerning the Fairs and Markets be furthered considered.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 25th 12mo., 1734-5. Present both the Prop'rs.

The Land said to be Vac't in White Clay Creek hund'd was survey'd to Thos. Ogle 1711.

James McMahan, Robert Newell and Thomas Haythorne request three Parcells of Land said to be vac't two in Mill Creek the other in Whiteclay Creek hundred all described in 2 Letters from J: Hore but upon some Orders given to J: Taylor to View the Lands in that part of N: Castle County the Warrants are to be delayed 'till he makes report and in the meantime the Prop'rs were pleased to order that ———— no other person shall be preferred to those above named.

A Letter from Charles Springer was produced and Read which setts forth as (in the year 1719 ℈ Min'ts of Prop) James Logan directed that 200 Acres of Land lying near Sam'l Barker and Redclay Creek should be granted him for Settlem'ts of his Children, that those Children some time after dying he the said Charles assigned his right in the same to Dan'l Barker who has made considerable improvem't thereon and that some few days ago one James Philips obtained a Warr't from the Secretary for the same Land and thereupon threatens the said Daniel Barker not only of surveying the Land from him but also of Suing him for cutting the Timber on the same.

The Proprietaries were pleased to Order that as the Warr't to J: Philips was irregularly obtained, he knowing of the Settlem't and Imp't of Barker on the same Land, that a warr't be forthwith made out for Surveying the said Land to D: Barker and that the other to J: P. be declared as to that Tract of Land, Void. D: Barker is to pay quit rent from the year 1719 the time that C: Springer made his request.

John Galbreith having formerly obtained permission from J: Logan (as J: L. has certified) to settle on a small Tract of Land near the mouth of Sawatara Creek which he accordingly begun by building a small house and setting up a fence about the same, that some time after, by burning the Woods the fence and house took fire, whereupon one McClanaghan has lately obtained a Warr't with intent to disappoint J: Galbreith, and the said McClanaghan having made complaint to the Prop'rs of some opposition made by J: Galbreith to his settling on the said Land, The Prop'rs some dayes since ordered Secretary Georges to write to Andrew Galbreith of Dunagall for further information. And James Anderson having writ to J: Logan and J: L. to the Prop'rs inclosing the Leter to J. A., They the Prop'rs were pleased to order that J:

Steel should forthwith write to J: Anderson to joyn with A: Galbreith to request him to Assist in settling the Difference but to preserve the right of preference to John Galbreith.

William Branson represents that the Land intended to be granted to Sam'l Nutt and himself falls much less in Quantity than what was design'd for them and therefore he desires that part of the Land called Wynns for which they have but little or no right may be added to the other to be further Considered.

Signed a Patent to Sam'l Levis for ———— Acres.

A Patent to Evan Lewis for 202 Acres.

A Commission to Rich'd Sanderson to be ranger of Rockland Mannor and several Warrants Vide ye Warr't Book.

27th 12mo: 1734-5.

Thomas Quick requests one hundred Acres of Land near Matchepeconck on the River Delaware, on which he designs to build a Corn Mill there being none there about.

1st 3.

Job. Goodson, Abrat Taylor, Edw'd Bradley & Edw'd Woolly requests Patents on the several parts of the front Lott late John Walkers.

7th.

The Prop'rs were pleased to Grant Isaac Potter 100 Acres to be laid out in a regular Piece within their Tract of 500 Acres in Solebury Township for which he is to pay £60 Current Money and an half penny Sterling quit-rent or 25 Shill's Sterling P Ann: for 21 Y'rs.

18th.

George Robinson of Rockland Mann'r having by Virtue of the Prop'rs Warr't obtained a Resurvey on the Tract of Land and Plantation whereon he dwells in the said Mann'r first laid out for 200 Acres is now found to contain 243 Acres and there having been formerly reserved about 20 acres lying on the South side of the said Tract intended for the use of the Society of Friends in that Neighbourhood which not having been made use of for that Purpose he requests the Grant of the same and also an addition on the North side of his Tract to enlarge the same to 300 Acres, which request the Prop'rs are pleased should be entered he paying fifty pounds for the same.

2d mo. 3d.

Wm. Farson requests a piece of Land lying to the southward of his Plantation at Duck Creek in the County of Kent.

26th.

Daniel Broadhead requests the Grant of about 600 Acres of Land lying above Pahaquelan Mountains (viz) Minisink Island, Nomonack Island, Machippecunck Island. To be further enquired into and considered of.

3mo. 2d.

Thomas Noxon having some time since obtained a Warr't for about 83 Acres of Land supposed to be vac't between the Tracts of Andrew Paterson and Wm. Burrows on a branch of Appoquineming Creek to w'ch Andrew Peterson Objects that he always understood that those two Tracts of Land joyned on each other without any vacancy between and therefore desires that no Confirmation may pass to Thomas Noxon for the said supposed vacancy till the lines may be further examined.

5th.

Agreed with Adam Buckly, John Grub and Emanuel Grub, for the Old Plantation in Rockland Mannor called Stockdalls together with 250 Acres of Land belonging to the same and also 400 acres adjoining thereunto called Mile End, which together make 650 Acres for which they purpose to pay Six hundred Pounds for the whole and thereupon request a Warr't to Resurvey and divide the same in order for Confirmations.

20.

Thomas Smedley requests a Grant of some Land in the forks of Delaware about 500 Acres.

27.

Daniel Broadhead requests 600 Acres of LaLnd lying above Pahaquelan Mountains on Analomink Creek, being a place where one John Mathers, an Indian Trader some time since built a Cabbin. The Prop'r Consents that the said Land may be settled by D: Broadhead.

1735 4mo. 3d.

Stephen Pardee requests a piece of Vac't Lying in Doverneck in Kent County between the Land belonging to him self and Brothers and the Land late George Robinson's supposed to contain about 100 Acres.

Gilb't Falconer produces a Letter in J: Logan's hand writing dated 23d 7'br, 1719 a paragraph whereof is in the following Words (viz) G: Dakeyne—Mortgaged his house and Lotts adding those 6 Acres to which he has no right and upon what further

pass'd, I: Norris who is also a Comm'r as well as I has given thee his Deed for them, Thou holds them quietly has leased them out, they are thine when the purchase Money (viz) that £3:12. is paid unless G: Dakeyne could redeem them together with his house and the rest of the Mortgage and all I have to say is may they be thine forever &c.

In the year 1728 upon the recommendation of Elisha Gatchell in favour of John Littler Son of Samuel Littler late of Nottingham dec'd an Entry of a request was made that John Littler might have a part of the common row called the meeting House Lott in Nottingham, and the said John having long since relinquished his Pretence to the same Elisha Gatchell hath now recommended Samuel Littler another Son of the abovesaid Sam'l as a Person agreeable to the Neighbours that he may have the part the Lot above mentioned being about 50 Acres.

The late Prop'r by Deeds of L: and Rel: dated the 6th & 7th days of Feb: 1681, Granted to Wm. LLoyd and Abrm. LLoyd of Bristol in Great Britian 2000 Acres of Land in this Province quit rent one Shill: Sterl: ₱Ct. Sometime after Wm. LLoyd dyed and thereby the said Land became wholy vested in the said Abraham who was his son. The said Abraham also dyed leaving Issue two Sons viz Charles and Harford. Charles Like wise Died without Issue & thereupon the s'd Land became Harfords. By Indentures of Lease and Release dated the 2d & 3d days of Feb'ry 1730 the said Harford LLoyd Granted and Conveyed the said 2000 Acres of Land to J. Logan.

22d 5mo.

Charles Halton and Martin Mink request the Grant of a piece of vac't Land lying between the lines of Savoy and Pitman supposed to contain about 50 Acres in Jersey.

12th: 6th.

Owen Evans requests the Grant of the piece of vac't Land joyning to the piece lately Granted to Thos. Chandler near Brandywine. Enq're if this Land has not been before requested. This Land has been before requested by Sam'l Hollingsworth.

27th.

Cristopher Nyeman request the addition of 75 Acres adjoyning to his Settlement of 125 Acres which he has now paid for.

7b'r 5.

Gowen Moore request the Grant of a piece of Land called the Pine tree Land adjoining to the land late Wm. Bransons; enquire of John Taylor.

Charles Deptford having married the Eldest Daughter of Jacob Reall late of Appoquiniming dec'd requests that a Warr't lately Granted to John Mcfarland for the use of the younger Daughters of the said Jacob for a piece of Vac't Land joyning to other Land of the said Jacob may not be executed 'till he may be heard upon the Matter.

Israel Pemberton produceth his Title to the Eastern parts of 3 Several Bank Lotts now in his Possession and for which he requests a Release of the 3d ꝑt of the y'rly Value.

The former Comm'rs W: M:, R: T & J: G. by Patent dat: the 25th 6'mo 1690, Granted and Confirmed unto Robt. Langshore but for the proper use and behoof of Thomas Holme a Lott of Ground lying on and before the Bank of Delaware River in the City (then called the Town) of Philad'a in bredth 20 f't and in length 250 bounded on the North with a Lott Granted to John Goodson on the South with Chestnut street on the East with Delaware River at the Extent of 250 f't from the East side of Delaware front Street and on the West by the said front Street under the quit rent of two English Silver Shillings to be yearly paid for the Term of 51 y'rs and afterwards one third part of the yearly Value.*

The same Comm'rs by Patent dated [illegible] X'br 1692 Granted to Philip Richards a Lott of Ground Scituate on and before the Bank of Delaware River aforesaid in bredth 27 ft. and in length 250 ft. Bounded westward with Delaware front Street Northward with the Lott then of Natha'l Allen Eastward with Delaware River at the extent of 250 ft. from the East side of front Street and Southward with the Lott last described quit rent for the first mentioned Lott two English Silver Shillings for the 2d Lott three English Silver Shillings and for the last described Lott two English Silver Shillings and nine pence to be yearly paid during the Term of 51 Years and afterwards one third part of the Yearly value thereof.

By Sundry Deeds from the said Thos. Holme, John Goodson and Philip Richards or their Heirs and Assigns The Right of and in the Eastern Parts of the said three Lotts of Ground lying between Delaware Street and the River Delaware in bredth on the said Street and River 77 ft. became lawfully vested in Israel Pemberton of the City aforesaid Merchant.

Wm. Allen's Title for Water Lotts. The former Commissioners

* The same Comm'rs by Patent dated the 15th day of 7br 1690 Granted to John Goodson a Lot of Ground scituate on and before the bank of Delaware River aforesaid in bredth 30 ft. and in length 250 ft. bounded northward with ye Lot of Philip Richards Eastward with Delaware River at the extent of 250 ft. from the east side of the front Street Southward with the above described Lot and westward wth ye s d' fron Street under the quit rent of three english Silver Shillings to be yearly paid from the term of 51 yrs. and afterwards one third part of ye yearly Value.

of Prop'y viz. Wm. M., R: T: & S C: & J: G: by Pat: dated 5th 1 mo anno 1690, Granted & Confirmed to Philip Howel a Lot of Ground lying on before the bank of Delaware River in bredth 25 ft. and in length 250 ft. bounded on the south with the Lot of Wm. Bradford on the East with Delaware River at the extent of 250 ft. from the East side of the front Street on the north with the Lott of Thomas Jenner and on the west with the front Street under the yearly quit rent of two English Silver Shillings and six pence to be paid from the date of Survey for 51 Y'rs and the reservation of one third part of the yearly Value thereof after the expiration of the said 51 years from the date of Survey aforesaid anno 1689.

The same Comm'rs by Patent dated the 1st 8'br 1690, Granted to Thomas Jenner a Lott of Ground Scituate on and before the Bank of Delaware River in bredth 25 ft. and in length 250 ft. bounded Northward with the Lott of Semercy Adams Eastward with Delaware River at the extent of 250 ft. from the east side of the front Street southward with the Lott of Philip Howell last mentioned and Westward with said front Street under the quit-rent of one English Silver half Crown to be yearly paid during the Term of 51 Y'rs and at the expiration thereof then one third part of the yearly Value of the same was forever thereafter to be p'd to the Prop'r his Heirs &c.

The same Comm'rs by Pat: dated the 29th. 3'mo 1689 a Lott of Ground was granted to Semercy Adams in bredth 25 ft. and in length 250 ft. bounded northward with the Lott of Sam'l Jennings Eastward with the River Delaware at the extent of 250 ft. from the East side of front Street on the South with the Lott of Thomas Jenner last described and on the west with the front Street under the yearly quit rent of two english Silver Shillings and Six-pence to be paid for the space of 51 Y'rs and the third part of the yearly Value thereof for ever after.

By sundry Deeds from the said Philip Howel, Thomas Jenner and Semercy Adams or their Heirs or Assigns the right of and in the eastern parts of the aforesaid 3 Several Lotts of Ground lying between Delaware Street and Delaware River in bredth on the said Street and River 75 ft. became lawfully vested in William Allen of the City of Philad'a Merch't.

N. B.—20 ft. of the Lott granted to Semercy Adams whereon the dwelling house of W: Allen stands the Reversion was purchased off and a new Patent Issued for the same in the year of our Lord 1714.

Nathaniel Allen. The former Comm'rs of Property (viz) W: M:, R: T. & J: G. by Pat: dated the 29th 3 mo 1689, Granted a Lott of Ground to Semercy Adams scituate on and before the bank of

Delaware River in the City (then called the Town of Philad'a in bredth 25 ft. and in length or depth 250 ft. bounded on the North with the Lott of Sam'l Jennings on the east with delaware River at the extent of 250 ft. from the east side of the front street on the south with the Lott of Thos. Jenner and on the west with the said front street under the quit rent of two english Silver Shillings and six pence to be paid for 51 Years from the Survey and one third part of the yearly Value forever hereafter.

By sundry Deeds and Conveyances from the said Semercy Adams his heirs or Assigns the right of & in the Northern part of the Bank between front Street and Delaware Street being 20 ft. on the said Streets became Lawfully vested in Nathaniel Allen of the City of Philad'a Cooper.

Martha Perry. The former Comm'rs of Property (viz) W. M., R: T: & J: G. by Patent dated the 26th of the 6'mo 1690, Granted a Lott of Ground to Robt. Longshore but for the use and behoof of Thomas Holme scituate on and before the bank of Delaware River in the City (then called the Town) of Philad'a in bredth 20 ft. and in length or depth 250 ft. bounded on the North with ye Lott of John Goodson on the east with the River Delaware at the extent of 250 ft. from the east side of Delaware front Street on the South Chestnut Street and on the west with the said front Street under the quitrent of two English Silver Shillings to be paid for the Term of 51 Years from the Survey and one third part of the yearly Value for ever thereafter.

By sundry Deeds and Conveyances from the said Thos. Holme his heirs or Assigns the right of and in the west part of the said Lott of Ground lying between the Front Street and Delaware Street and between Chestnut Street and the Lott of John Goodson with the buildings on the same became lawfully vested in Thomas Perry late of the City of Philad'a deceased who in and by his last Will and Testam't dated the ..... day of Jan'ry last past did give and bequeath the same unto his wife Martha Perry her heirs and Assigns for ever.

Martin Delametor requests the Grant of an Island near the Forks of Delaware containing about 100 Acres.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 30th 7'br 1735. himself present.

Laerson Laerson ats Bore having some time since obtained the Prop'rs Warr't to resurvey a Tract of Land lying on the side of Delaware River above Pemepeka Creek formerly held by his Father under a Grant from Upland Court for 335 Acres which he devised by Will to his two Sons Laerson & Andrew the same being reservey'd and divided there appears to be within the lines of Laersons divident or part ———— acres of overplus Land

which the said Laerson now requests may be together w.... his said dividend confirmed to him.

The Prop'ry is pleased to order that upon his paying Ten Shillings Money of Pennsyl'a for every Acre of the overplus and the Continuance of the Quitrent of one bushell of wheat ℔Ct. Acres the said request shall be confirmed.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 14th 8'br 1735. himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz)

To Lawrence Lawrenson for 225 Acres in Dublin Township County of Philadelphia.

To David Davis two Patents 353—320 Acres in Goshen County of Chester.

To Christian Peelman 200 near Conestoga County of Lancaster

To John Jacob Snevelly 276 Ditto Ditto

To John Snevelly 337 Ditto Ditto

Lease to Benj: Fairman and Peter Rambo two Tracts of Land 500 Acres in the whole on both sides Schuylkill Lancaster and Philad'a.

Sam'l Dickinson having sett forth ...s claim to 3 Tracts of land lying contiguous on the north side of Jones's Creek in the County of Kent containing together 1200 Acres of Land requests a Resurvey on the same and to include the Marsh lying between the said Land and the Creek aforesaid and further requests that he may hold the whole under the former quitrent to be accounted from the first Survey of the said Tracts. Granted by ye Prop'ry.

George Assheton and Thos. Lindley having at a great expence erected an iron work in Lancaster County now finds themselves under some disappointment in the goodness of the oar belonging to the same and therefore for the continuance of the work they request the Grant of a quantity of Land Lying near Checassallungo Creek in Lancaster County where they have discovered Iron Oar which they presume will answer the Purpose.

The Prop'r consents that the said George & Thomas shall have liberty to digg and make use of such Oar as they Shall think fit for the use of their Iron Work 'till further Orders shall be given.

Samuel Leves and Bartholomew Coppock on behalf of the Widow and descendants of Thomas Pierson late of Marple deceased requests that the Prop'r would be pleased to Grant a resurvey on a Tract of Land in Marple aforesaid whereon the said Thomas dwelt in order that the same may be confirmed. Granted.

16th 8'br.

John Mills (by Ab: Emmit requests the Grant of about 50 or 60 Acres of Vacant Land joyning on the Tract where he dwells near Elk River in Chester County. The land in his possession is said to be part of 400 Acres formerly surveyed by Isaac Taylor for And'w Job.

28: 8b'r Attended at the Prop'rs himself present.

Sam'l Dickinson whose request was entered the 30th 7b'r last the Prop'r is now pleased to grant, vide Minute then made.

Joseph Hains of Nottingham requesting to purchase some land for Settlements of his children had the description of several Tracts surveyed to the Prop'rys own use in the Counties of Philadelphia and Lancaster given him in order to view the same he also requests that if any part of Conestogo Mannor may hereafter be sold that he may be permitted to purchase a part or quantity of the same Archebald Hamilton having by permission of the late Commissi'rs of Property some years since, settled on a Tract of Land lying about 2 Miles to the Northward of Nottingham and afterwards disposed of his Interest in the same unto one Wm. Houston who continues to dwell thereon, now requests some further assurance ———— to be further considered.

The abovesaid Joseph Hains sets forth that one Arthur Barret obtained a Warr't and Survey on 200 Acres of Land about 2 Miles to the Northward of Nottingham and afterwards sold his Right or claim to the same unto one ———————— of Long Island who constituted the said Joseph his Attorney to take care of the Land and to pay for the same when a Title may be made for it. That a Person has since settled and continues on the same Land without having made any application to the Prop'r or his office for so doing. The Prop'r was pleased to observe, that as the Intention of all Grants and Surveys was for the settling of their Province and not to be disposed of without first paying and taking Titles for the same as the Terms of all Warr'ts imports such Tracts as have so many years been laid out and remain unaccounted for, ought in Justice to be taken and held as vacant Land, nevertheless if the Person who claims under Barret will without delay come and pay for the said Land and settle forthwith on the same he may be considered as a purchaser.

Wm. Forehead having settled on a small Tract of Land lying between the lines of George Robinson, the London Company and Paul Paulson supposed to contain about 100 Acres now (as he hath often done) request a Grant for the same and agrees to pay £70——and the quit rent of one bushel of wheat, to which the Prop'r Consents.

Attended at the Propriet'rs the 4th 9'br 1735. Present himself. Chunck who has some years been settled on the Tract of Land on the west side of Schuylkill called Cox's now requests (by Casper Whister) that he may be permitted to hold his Settlem't and that his Son may have Liberty to settle on the said Tract under such Terms as shall be hereafter fixed for the same. Allowed.

Signed the following Patents Viz.

30:8'br 1735—To Wm. Fishbourn for releasing the ⅓ part of one Bank and Water Lott on the South of Walnut Street 50 ft. front first Granted to James Claypoole and for the eastern part of the Lott next to the northward first Granted unto John Claypoole lying between the above mentioned Lot and Walnut Street.

29:8'br 1735—To Wm. Fishbourn for releasing the ⅓ part of two pieces of bank Lot parts of Griffith Jones Lot of 71 ft. lying on the north side of Walnut Street one piece between front Street and Delaware Street of 26 ft. front on the s'd Streets.

The other piece lies on the east side of Delaware Street and on the North side of Walnut Street being in Breadth on Delaware Street 58 ft. and in Length on Walnut Street 28 ft. To Jenkin Davies for 1000 Acres Granted to him by the Commiss'rs warrant in the Year 1720 for which he then and since Paid £100 with Interest and Quitrent Acrued lying on the Eastern branch of Canestogo Creek in Lancaster County Dat: 23d 8'ber 1735.

To Anthony Wane for 38 acres 92 Perches lying in Willis town in Chester County Granted by the Prop'er on New Terms (viz) at the Rate of £15:10 ₹ Ct. and one half peny Sterling for Every acre. Dat: 24th 8'br 1735.

Sign'd Several warrants. Vide the warr't book Entries.

Atended at the Proprietaries the 11th 9'ber 1735 himself present, Sign'd the following Pat: viz. To Thomas Miller for Releasing the ⅓ part of a bank Lot 30 ft. front Dated 8th 9'ber 1735.

To Margaret Risley for a Lot on the north side of Sassafras Street in Philadelphia in Breadth 49 ft & ½ and in Length 306 ft. Dated the 10th 9'ber 1735.

24th 8'ber 1735—To Abraham Smith for 84 acres of Land in Lancaster County being Part of the Quantity Granted by the Comm'srs warr't to Martin Cundigg & Hary Heer.

Jeremiah Langhorne requests (by James Steel) the grant of 50 Acres of Land Joining on the Tract Confirmed to Joseph Turner in Southampton since sold by him to Jeremiah.

The Prop'ers Consents that if the Land requested belong to their joint Interest he may have a Grant thereof, but if it apear to be part of their Grandfather Callowhill's Purchase it may be

Granted as the Prop'er John Penn's Particular Estate. Enquire at the Surveyor Generals Office.

Andrew Hamilton (by J. Steel) requests that the Prop'er would be pleased to grant him a strip of Land at New Castle lying between the Land and Swamp of D: French and the Brickyard belonging to Gideon Griffith to the South & the high road leading to LeLwis on the North supposed to contain about ——— acres. Granted.

Attended at the Proprietaries the 25th 9'ber 1735, himself Present Signed ye following Pat's viz:

To Edward Shippen for 336 acres of Land in Plumstid Township Bucks County, in Right & Part of 2000 acres the Original Purchase of Charles Jones Sen'er and C: Jones Ju'er Entered in the Minutes of the Year 1725 the Patent dated 9th 'ber 1735.

To William Branson for two high Street Lots, one in Right of Dan'l Smith and the other in Right of Nath: Bromly (the rights also Entred) Dat: 9th'ber 1735.

Ditto for a high street Lot in Right of William Wade (Entered) 9ber 1735.

Ditto for a Chestnut street Lot in Right of Alexander Parker (Entered) 9'ber 1735.

And for another Chestnut street Lot in right of Isaac Self & Edw'd Guy 9'ber 1735.

A warrant of Resurvey to Samuel Powel Junier to be Entered.

Attended at the Prop'er the 2nd Xth'ber 1735 present himself.

Ordered that John Kinsey be Requested to Procure a Release from Coll: Daniel Cox for his Pretence in the allotting Purchase in the Jerseys and that A: Hamilton be Desired to bring a writ of Partition for the Prop'ers 900 Acres of Land in the German Great Tract Released to them by Fr: Daniel Pastorius. See the Records about Sr. M: Vincent and Josetph Pike's Deeds & the Recitals in the Patent for the 10,000 Acres of Land on the west side Schuylkill.

Signed a Patent to Katherine Witty for 200 Acres of Land on a branch of little Conestogoe Creek Lancaster County —— Dated 5th 9'ber 1735.

Signed Several warrants to be Entered.

Attended at the Prop'er the 15th Xber 1735, Himself present.

Signed a Patent to John Hill for 100 Acres of Land at one peny Sterl: ℔ Acre Quitrent, first Granted to Wm. Say lying in Buckingham Township in Bucks County: Ditto to Hans Rudolf Nagle for 100 Acres at Conestoga Dated ye 10th Xber 1735 part of a

Larger Tract Granted by Warrant to Hans Graff, the Patent Dated the 9th Xber 1735.

Ditto to John Cadwallader for 250 Acres lying in Warminstid, Bucks County, a Moiety of 500 Acres the Original Purchase of Ric'd Few, Dat: 13th Xber 1735.

Signed a warrant to Benjohen: Furly for 5000 acres of Land being the Original Grant made by the late Prop'er to Adrian Vroesen of Rotterdam in Holland in the year 1682 and a Warr't to George Robinson for Resurveying 200 A's and to Add 100 acres thereto in Rockland Manner, both Dated the 15th Xb'r 1735.

Ordered that a warrant be forthwith made out to resurvey for the Prop'ers use the front Lot on Delaware belonging to the Original Purchase of 5000 acres of Land made of the late Prop'er by Thomas Brasey and also for Surveying the high Street Lot belonging to the s'd purchase which Lotts and the Liberty Land belonging to the same Purchase was by the said Thos. Brasey, Exchanged with the Late Prop'er or the Former Commiss'rs of Prop'ty for 200 Acres of Land in Chester Township which has been Confirmed to the Grantees or Assigns of the Children of the s'd Thomas Brasey.

Warr't p'd.

Solomon Trewit having in the year 1723 Obtained a Survey for 210 Acres of Land in the forrest of Sussex County, and since Entered with Robert Shankland an Addition to be made thereunto for Enlarging the Quantity to 400 acres for accomodating his large family w'ch Land upon the running out of the Tract Call'd the Prop'ers Manner at Ceder Creek fall's within the lines of ye same the said Solomon desires to hold the said Land already surveyed and the Addition requested and entered.

John Brown being settled on a Tract of 210 Acres surveyed in the Year 1725 to one Joseph Lain also within the said Tract called the Mannor requests that he may have a Grant.

Dennis Hudson being settled on 200 acres within the said Mannor surveyed in the year 1716-7 to one William Townsend desires also to hold the same and that he may be permitted to have the said Land returned in pursuance of a Warr't heretofore Granted to one Geo: Bishop.

19th: Xb'r 1735.

The Prop'r is pleased to allow the above Persons to hold their Settlem'ts with such quantities of Land as are above requested on the Terms of their paying quitrents (one peny Sterling for every acre and the rent of one year at every Alienation) from the Survey.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 23d and adjourned to ye 30th. Attended accordingly. The Prop'ry present.

Signed the following Patents (viz),

To Sam'l Powel jun'r for 400 Acres of Liberty Land part of the original purchase of Charles Jones Sen'r & Charles Jones Jun'r of 2000 Acres, dat. 20; Xbr 1735.

To Sam'l Powel Sen'r for a Lot of Ground on the South side of Walnut 59 ft. front in Right of the original Purchase of 500 Acres made by Leonard Fell, dat: 22: Xbr 1735.

To Thomas Chandler for 132 Acres of Land in N. Castle County at 1'd Sterl: ?/ Acre, dat: 3: Xbr 1735.

To Thos. Say for a 30 ft. bank & Water Lot first Granted to Wm. Carter, dated 23: Xbr 1735.

To Jacob Kollack for a Water side Lot 24 ft. 6 Inches part of the Lott first Granted to Anthony Morris, dated 26th Xbr 1735.

To Thomas Peters Jun'r for a piece or part of Sam'l Richardson large Lott the part now Granted lyes in the bank between the front Street and Delaware Streets in bredth on the said Streets 15 ft. 6 Inches, Dated 26: Xb'r 1735.

Signed sundry Warr'ts to be entered for which recourse is to be had to the Book of Warrants when entered.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 13th 11mo 1735. himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Sam'l Powell for two Squares of the Societys Lots including the Additions of 102 ft. in bredth on each Square for which Addition he pays £70: and the quitrent of five Shillings sterling for each Square the Pat: dat: 12th 11mo 1735.

To Wm. Clymer for 20 ft. Bank Lot part of a large Lot first Granted to Thomas Holme on the North side of Mulberry Street dated the 30: X'br 1735.

Signed a Warr't to Clement Plumsted for a Bank and Water Lot on the south side of Pine Street Granted him for £310 - - & 5 Sterl: quitr't dated 31st X'br 1735.

A Warr't to make return to Eliz: Rudman for a small piece of Land near Schuylkill dated 1st 8b'r 1735.

1735: 4th: 11mo.

John Chandler (by the Prop'rs direction to J: Taylor) agrees to pay for about fifty Acres of Land on the Edge of Rockland Mannor in Bromingham Township at the rate of ten Shillings Money of Pensilv'a and the quitr't of an half penny Sterl: for every Acre.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 20th 11mo 1735, himself present.

Signed sundry Warrants (viz)

To John Roberts for 3 Acres of Land in lower Merion granted for 6'd Sterl: quit: each Acre.

To Robt. Pearson for a City Lott on Schuylkill dated 18th Xb'r dated 31: X.

To Henry Miller a Resurvey on 200 A's rent Land in Providence dated 8: 11.

To Hugh Pugh for 64 Acres in Uwchlan —— dated 15: 11.

To John Chandler for 50 Acres in Bromingham ——— ib.

To Thomas Sober for a bank and Water Lott between spruce & pine Streets dat: 19: 11mo.

To Clem't Plumsted to resurvey his bank and Water Lots at the south end of the City and to extend the same eastward into the River Delaware as far as the bank and Water Lots between pine street and the south bounds of the City. dat: 20: 11:

To Sam'l Powel to resurvey his Bank & Water Lots below Spruce Street & to extend the bounds eastward into the River Delaware the same w'th Clem't Plumsted dat: ib.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 27th 11mo 1735, himself present.

Signed the following Patents. (viz)

To Jacob Umstad for 301 Acres on the Branches of Parkeawining Creek in the County of Philad'a dated ye 16th 11mo 1735.

To Derick Jansen for 252 Acres on the branches of Parkeawining Creek in the County of Bucks dat: the 20th 11mo 1735.

To Clem't Plumsted for the Bank and Water Lots next below pine Street in bredth 62 ft. and in length into the River 430 ft. dated 24: 11mo 1735.

Warr'ts viz. To resurvey two Lots in the City of Philad'a to Wm. Hudson, 27th 11mo 1735.

To resurvey two pieces of pasture ground near Philad'a to James Logan 27th 11mo 1735.

To survey and resurvey the square of Ground lying between spruce Street & pine Street & between 11th & 12th Streets from Delaware 27th 11mo. 1735.

1677. John and Woolsey Burton of Sussex County produces a Patent from S'r Edw'd Andross Gov'r of N York dat: 29: 7'br purporting a Grant of a Tract of LaLnd called Long neck lying on the west side of Delaware Bay on the South side of Rahoboth Bay and o nthe No. th side of the Great River beginning at a point of Wocds W. O. at the Head of a small Creek called Indian Cabbin Creek from thence north 350 ℔ches to a W. O. Standing by a Creek side called Middle Creek with a line of Marked Trees and from thence bounded upon the aforesaid Bay to the first bounded point south east one Thousand ℔'s Containing one Thousand Acres quit rent ten Bushells of good winter wheat to his Royal Highness's use

&c. Soon after the Grant made to William Burton he conveyed a part (whether a Moiety or Halt) thereof to one Thomas Bagwell, but the lines & bounds in the Patent as also the Bounds in the Grant from Burton to Bagwell are so inconsistant with the scituation of the Tract in which, is contained a much greater quantity then 1000 Acres that in the last 3d mo'th a Warr't of resurvey was requested by the Burtons and obtained for themselves and others interested in the Tract in Order to resurvey and divide the same, but when the Warr't was to be executed William Bagwell, Grandson of the aforesaid Thomas opposed and hindred the resurvey intended to be made in pursuance of the Warr't whereupon the said John and Woolsey Burton now applys for further direction thereon. The Prop'r orders J Steel to write to Wm. Bagwell letting him know that if he presumes to make any further Opposition to the resurvey and Division of the said whole Tract that then the lines and distances of the Patent shall be run out and fixed and the Land falling without those lines will be granted to others.

Attended at the Proprietaries the 3d 12thmo: 1735-5, himself present.

Signed the following Warr'ts (viz)

To T: Lawrence, G: McCall, T: Sober, T: Groerne, G: Fitzwater, & Peter LLoyd for the Swamp between Moyamensing and Hay Creek ———— dat: 13: 11mo 1735.

To Wm. Forehead for 100 Acres of Land in Rockland Mannor dat: 29: 11mo 1735.

To Josiah Paine for 200 Acres on Octorara Creek in Chester County 4: 12: 1735.

To ye same for 200 Acres on ye other side the same Creek in Lancaster County 4: 12: 1735.

To James Steuart for 200 Acres near Conywingo Creek in Lancaster County 4: 12: 1735.

To Henry Reynolds for 80 Acres on the North side of Nottingham 4: 12: 1735.

To Edward Oldnam for 150 Acres in a fork of Octorara Creek Lancaster County 4: 12: 1735.

James Robinson sets forth that about Seven years since he made some beginning on a Tract of Land lying on the west side of fishing Creek in Lancaster County, but soon after Wm. Brakin procured a Survey to be made by Elisha Gatchell which included the above Improvement under pretence of settling his Children, but instead therefor he has without any regular Warr't sold two quantities for about £90 besides what he has given to his Sons in law and Daughters and that part being still left unsold by

Wm. Brackin, J: Robinsons desires the Grant of about 200 Acres for which he is ready to pay.

To be considered and answered by the Prop'r.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 10th 12mo 1735-6, Himself present.

Signed a Patent to John Miller for 370 Acres on each side the line which divides the Counties of Chester and Lancaster——dated 9th 12mo.

Signed a Warr't of Resurvey to Wm. Prettyman on 700 Acres in Sussex 28: 11.

To Thomas Smedley for 75 Acres in Chester County an original Grant, 7. 12. 1735.

To John Salkeld for a Lott in lieu of a former Warrant, 7. 12. 1735.

To John George Backman to resurvey 300 Acres in ye Great Swamp Bucks 10. 12. 1735.

12th.

Peter Leman having settled two of his Sons on the 10,000 Acres of Gulielma Maria Fell at Tulpehockin request the Grant of about 50 Acres lying on the north line on the outside of the said Tract.

13th 2mo.

Thomas Morgan requests the Grant of about 200 Acres of Land on the east part of the Tract of Land already belonging to him on the eastern branch of Conestogo Creek which will take in the west end of a tract procured without any Grant to be surveyed to one Cadwall: Es who is since dead not having in his life time obtained any Warr't nor paid any part of the Consideration.

The Prop'r is pleased to Order this minute to be entered in favour of Thos. Morgan that so soon as a Warr't can be granted he may have his request upon which J: S. hath writ to J: Thomas who hath presumed to fall Timber and begin a Settlem't on the same that he proceed no further.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 17th 12mo 1735. himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz)

To Elizabeth Rudeman for 4 acres & ¾ of an acre at 4s Sterl: Pann. dat: 6th 5mo 1735.

To Wm. Briggs for 300 Acres of Servants Land in Bucks County —— 14: 12—.

To Benj. Eastburn for a Lot in the City of Philad'a between the 6th & 7th Streets from Delaware and between the high

Street and Mulberry Street granted to him for sundry Services & under the quitrent of two Shillings Sterling, 16: 12: 1735.

Signed a Warr't to Joseph Barker for 300 Acres lying in a fork of Elk River to the Northward of Nottingham.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 24th 12mo 1735-6. himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz)

To Sam'l Powell for his bank & Water of 100 ft. front next below spruce Street being part of the Lotts formerly Granted to the free Society of Traders dated 12th 12 mo ——— To Clem't of the Society Lots dated 23: 12 mo.

Plumsted for his bank Lot of 158 ft. front and his Water Lott of 138 ft. front on the new Street and on the River, being also parts of the Society Lots dated 23: 12'mo.

Signed the following Warrants (viz)

To John Day for 200 Acres of vacant Land in Sussex.

To Benjamin Eastburn for a narrow Lot of about 16 ft. front on the south side of High Street for which he is to pay £50.

To Jonathan Mifflin to resurvey his Lott whereon his dwelling house stands on the south side of high Street.

Charles West having applyed to purchase a Vac't Lot or piece of Ground lying on the West side of the front Street, continued beyond the North bounds of the City of about 44 ft. front & 250 ft. deep. The Prop'r is pleased to Order that upon paying £80. Money of Pensilv'a and the quit rent of two Shillings Sterling, the same shall be granted.

27th 12mo.

Jacob Moyer of Conestogo acquaints the Prop'r that he has lately had the offer of the Plantation & 375 Acres of Land whereon T: Cressup hath for some time dwelt on the west side of Susquehannah River and also of a large Island in the said River but Cressup having no other right or Claim for what he offers to sale but from Maryland, the said Jacob requests that if he should make a purchase of Cressup that the Prop'r will be pleased to let him hold the same upon the like Terms of other People who have or may settle on that side of the said River, which the Prop'r was there upon pleased to allow (reserving that of the Island 'till further Consideration.

Thomas Masters Title to 150 Acres & ¾ of Land lying on the South side of Philad'a near Schuylkill River.

The late Prop'r by Pat: dated the 13th 5 mo 1684. Granted to Peter Rambo & Peter Dalbo a Tract of 233 Acres of Land on the east side of the River Schuylkill quitr't one Bush: of Wheat for every hundred acres (the Patent on Record.

Peter Rambo by Deed (on Record) dated the 1st 7'br 1688 sold to Wm. Salway 116½ A's or a Moiety thereof whose Trustees after his decease (viz) Joseph Goodson, Pat: Robinson, Jno. Parsons and Sam'l Robinson sold and Conveyed the same (by Deed dated the 13th 4 mo 1698.) unto Tho: Ducket.

Peter Dalbo by Deed dated 19th day of 9'br 1684, Sold 10 Acres of his share or part to Geo: Foreman who by Deed dated 22d day of April 1689 Conveyed the same to Wm. Salway whose Trustees as aforesaid (after his decease) by Deed recited Conveyed the Same to John Crap.

The said Peter Dalbo by Deed dated the 6th of LJune 1688, Granted 6 Acres & ½ to Rees Prees who by Deed dated the 6th 4'mo 1689 Conveyed the same to Adam Birch and also by Deed dated the 6th of June 1698, Conveyed the 10 A's to Adam Birch also, who by Endorsm't on the last mentioned Deeds dated the 3d 8'br 1701, Assigned and sett over all his right of and in the two last mentioned pieces of Land unto Wm. Carter who by Deed dated the 9th of April 1711, Granted and conveyed the same two pieces of Land unto Joseph Growdon.

Peter Evans high Sheriff of the County of Philad'a having taken in execution the said 116 acres & ½ of Land belonging to Thomas Ducket then dec'd to answer a Debt due to the Estate of one Rich'd Love also dec'd did by Deed dated the 26th of August 1710 for £85, Grant Bargain and Sell the said 116 Acres & ½ of Land unto the said Joseph Growdon who being then seized of and in the 3 several pieces of Land containing 133 acres he the said Jos: Growdon did by Deeds of Lease and Release dated the 2d & 3d of March 1716-7 Grant and Release the same unto Jonathan Dickinson since deceased after which Charles Read high Sheriff of the City and County of Philad'a having taken in execution the said 133 Acres of Land to answer a Debt due to the Estate of Barbara Wright also deceased did by Deed dated the 4th of March 1729, Bargain, Sell and deliver the said 133 Acres of Land with the appurt'ces unto Thomas Masters of the City of Philad'a Merch't: And there being a narrow Strip of Land lying between the North line of the aforesaid Land and the South line of the City of Philad'a the said T: Masters hath requested to purchase the same being about 14 acres for which he agreed to pay £84 and thereupon requested a Warr't of Resurvey to include the said strip which being Granted the same has been Resurveyed and found to be in the whole 150 Acres & ¾ of an Acre.

133 Acres to which he is intitled as aforesaid.

14 now Granted by the Prop'r:

3:¾ Allowed for Roads.

150¾ The whole confirm'd by Pat: dat: ye ——— day of ye 12 mo 1735-6 quitr't 1:½ bush: w't.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 2d 1mo 1735-6, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz)

To Henry Walmsley for 200 A's of Serv'ts Land in Bucks County dated 25th 12mo.

To Charles West for a Lot lying on the west side of the front Street continued beyond vine Street at the North end of the City 44 ft. fr't & 250 ft. deed dat: 27: 12:

To William Marshall for 76 Acres of Serv'ts Land in Bradford Township in Chester County dat: 1: 1:

Signed the following Warr'ts:

To Charles West for the above Lot dat: 25th 12mo.

To Christ'r Stump &c to make return of 500 A's at Tulpehockin, same date.

To Henry Ellis a resurvey 100 Acres in Haverford 28: 12.

To Edw'd Woodward for 200 Acres on Brandywine branches 27: 12.

To Martin Graeff for 150 Acres near Conestogo 1: 1: 1735-6.

It is ordered that such as have settled on the Prop'rs Land before his Arrival and not yet applyed for Grants of the same, shall upon their future Applications be obliged to pay Interest & quit rent (besides the Common Purchase) from March next after the time of the Prop'rs arrival here.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 9th 1mo 1735-6, himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Derick Jansen for 232 Acres in Chester County the Warr't Granted to Jacob Knerve who assigned his right to Martin Funk to whom it was Surveyed and afterwards by him sold to D: Jansen dat: 4: 1 mo.

To Thos. Smedley for 75 Acres part of 375 A's first Granted to Jno. Bradley, 9.

To Philip Rogers for 200 Acres Chester County Granted to himself ——— 5.

To Henry Reynolds for 491 A's in Nottingham surv'd to his Father —— 8 1735-6.

Signed a Warr't of Resurvey to William Farmer Yearbury & X'r —— 5 —.

Ditto to John Warder for the use of Thomas Parsons for 25 Acres —— 5.

James Miers and James Fisher (Son of Thos. Fisher) by their Brother Jabez M. Fisher requests the Grant of the vac't Marsh lying to the west of the Marsh already granted to the said Jabez.

Benj: Hallock (of Long Island) having been about Maiden Creek and Andeahelea discovered the Quantity of about 300 Acres of Land in two parcells joyning on George Boon's Land, Moses Starr desires a Grant for the same when it may be had, and because he dwells at such a distance from hence he desires to be informed by a few lines to be sent to Edward Barlings.

Thos. Peters having purchased of Margaret Risley the Lot of Ground lately Granted to her by the Prop'rs lying on the North side of Sassafras Street at the Corner of 6th Street desires liberty of the Prop'r to inclose the vac't Ground lying between the said Lot and the Ground enclosed by Robt. Tipping. Granted at 5s Sterling ℔ Ann.

Attend at the Prop'rs the 6th 2mo. 1736, himself.

Garven Miller setts forth that there is a Tract of 250 Acres of Land joyning to the Plantation of Joseph Jarvis near Pecquae Creek in Lancaster County which he desires to purchase, but least Joseph Jarvis should make any pretence of Claim to the same the Prop'r is pleased to Order James Steel to write to him in that behalf that he may be heard and that 14 days from the date hereof be allowed him to appear, which if he shall decline to do, then the said Land is to be granted to G Miller at £15: 10: ℔ C't and the quitr't of one half penny Sterling for every Acre. Writ as ordered and Jos: Jarvis coming to Town the next day after G: Miller returned the Prop'r was pleased to Order that they should appear together or that John Taylor should certify how the case stands between them.

Upon the Application of Anthony Morris and Benj: Canby ye Prop'r Consents that the Priveledge of a piece of Ground on the side of the River Delaware within the Mannor of Highlands for erecting a Store House & Warfe for the Conveniency of carrying of Flower and other Goods and Merchandize by Water on the said River, be granted unto the said Anthony & Benj: for the Term of 21 Y'rs at the quit rent of one Shilling Sterling, and also the Priviledge of a Road leading to and from the same.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 20th 2mo 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Henry Hockley for 200 Acres & ¾ in Coventry Chester County dat: 10th 1 mo.

To Hugh Pugh 64 A's in Uwchlan Ditto 16: Do.

To Rich'd Hinman—345 Acres Rehoboth in Sussex at one penny Sterling ℔ Acre quit rent from the Survey thereof —— 2: 2mo.

To James Loban—31 Acres ℔'t of the Tract called Dan'l Peggs to the North of the City of Philad'a at the quit rent of one bushel of wheat—10: Do.

Signed the following Warr'ts (viz):

To Wm. Nevan 150 Acres near Pecks Creek in N. Castle County at the quit rent of one peny Sterl: for every Acre from the Year 1727 & ye Alienation dated 10: 1mo.

To Benj'n Humphrys a Resurvey on his Tract and Plantation in Meirion 9: Do.

To Jno. Patent 250 A's on ye Branches of Octorara in Lancaster at £15: 10: ℔ C't and one half penny Sterling ℔ Acre quit rent & 3 ... rs. Interest. 26: Do.

To Gawen Morrisson 150 Acres to the Northward of Nottingham on ye terms next above 5: Do.

To Henry Bradley 200 Acres on the East side of Susquehannah River in Lancaster County on the Terms last mentioned. ——— 14: 2mo.

Anthony Tunis having often applyed for the Grant of about 60 Acres of Land lying on the west side of Schuylkill above the large Tract called Coxes and next below a Tract belonging to him which was formerly granted by Warr't and Survey'd to one John Reater, but not yet confirmed nor wholly paid for. The Prop'r is pleased to consent that the said 60 Acres shall be held on the same Terms with the other Land and be confirmed together upon Anthony's paying the remainder due on the Tract Surveyed to Reater, and also for the Addition now requested with Interest, quit rent &c.

William and James Heuston having some Years since settled on two pieces of Land between the lines of former Surveys on the lower part of Rockland Mannor now requests Grants for the same.

The Prop'r is pleased to allow their request upon their paying at the rate of £70 ℔ C't and the quit rent of one bushel in like Manner and Warrants are Ordered thereupon.

John Richardson of Christina Hundred in the County of Newcastle applys for a small Vacancy at the head of his Land, the Lands of Brewer Sinickson and Augustine Constantine, he (John Richardson), says the Prop'r Ordered such an Entry should be made.

Atended at the Prop'rs 11th 3mo 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To George Line for 300 Acres in Lancaster County Dated the 4th Inst.

To John Line Jun'r for 250 Acres in Lancaster County Dated the 6th Inst.

To John Rowland for 250 Acres in Lancaster County Dated the 5th Inst.

To Jacob Graeffe for 400 Acres in Lancaster County Dated the 10th Inst.

Signed a Warrant to Garret Dushene for 60 Acres New Castle County dated the 4th Instant.

12th 4mo.

Daniel Needham came to the Office and freely relinquished all his Rights & Claims to a Warr't formerly Granted to Ezekiel Needham Father to the said Daniel for three Lotts of about 4 Acres of Land each to be laid out in the Town of Dover w'ch Ralph Needham Brother of the said Daniel hath and Assigned to James Gorrell.

Attended at the Proprietaries the 15th 4mo 1736, himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Sam'l Nutt for two Tracts in Chester County, 300 Acres in Coventry, 650 Acres in Nantmell—950, by the Comm'rs Warr't in 1717 at £10: ℔ C't & 1s. Sterl. quitr't. The Patent dated 8th 4mo 1736.

To Sam'l Nutt for 4 Tracts in Chester County: 705 Acres in Nantmell, 277 Acres in Coventry, 100 Acres in Coventry, 64 Acres in Nantmell—1146. Granted by the Prop'rs Warr't in 1733 at £15: 10. ℔ C't and an half peny Sterling ℔ Acre Quit rent. The Patent dated the 8th 4mo'th 1736.

To Christopher Franciscus in Lancaster County 150 Acres on Conestoga Creek, in lieu of the like quantity confirmed to him on Pecquea Creek which he releases to the Prop'ries.

To Anthony Tunis in the County of Chester 223 Acres (& an Island of five Acres in the River Schuylkill) formerly Surveyed to one John Reater on the West side of the said River. The Patent dated the 14th of 4mo 1736.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Anthony Tunis to Circumscribe and establish the above survey dat: 28th 2mo 1736.

To Abr'm Emmit for 400 Acres in Chester County on the late Terms, 10th 4mo.

To Anthony Morris for a high Street City Lott in Right of Sam'l Fox his Purchase of 1500 Acres of Land dated 14th 4-1736.

To Wm. Niss for a narrow Strip of Land in Springfield Manor now sold him for £125 ℔ C't, and an half peny Sterl: ℔ Arce quit rent dated 15th 4mo 1736.

James Harlan (by Wm. Webb) requests the Grant of 100 Acres of Land to be added to his Tract of 400 Acres on Octorara.

Attended at the Prop'rs 29th 4mo 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Sam'l Mickle 250 Acres on a Branch of Parkeawining in Right of Rachel Armstrong formerly Crispin entered in the Minuts dated 16th 4mo 1736.

To Thomas Smith 142 Acres in Christiana hundred N. Castle County part of the over plus Land cut off from the Tract of Matt's Deffoss dated 26: 4: 1736.

To Evan Jones 395 Acres near the Barrens of Goshen in Chester County granted on the late Terms of £15: 10 ℔ C't and one ½ peny Sterling quit: 26: 4: 1736.

To Robert Carter 100 Acres near the above, Surveyed to John Earl who Assigned to same to Robt. Carter dated 28: 4: 1736.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Evan Jones for establishing the Survey of 395 Acres in Chester County dat: 25th 4mo 1736.

To Jacob Kollock for 4 Acres in Lewis Town and for a small Island in Lewis Creek 28: 4mo 1736.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 20th 5mo 1736, himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To William Hudson for a Lot on the North side of high Street in front 114 ft. & ½ and in length 306 ft. in right of the original Purchase of Laetitia Aubrey dated 12th 5mo.

Another Lott in the 3d Street between Chestnut and Walnutt Street first Surveyed to Wm. Clarke on Rent whose Widow and Execut'x sold the same to W: Hudson 12:5.

And another for a small Lott lying between the South ends of Chestnut Street and the North ends of Walnut Street Lots now granted him 12:5.

To Mich'l Koyle for a Lot on the West side of front near the Dock Granted him for £120 - and 15d Sterling quit rent—16:5.

To Edw'd Woodward for 200 Acres on the Branches of Brandywine Creek in Chester County part of 375 Acres Granted to one John Bradley by ye late Prop'r 17:5.

To Christopher Stump for 125 Acres at Tulpehockin in Lancaster County part of 2000 Granted by the late Prop'ry to Wm. & Abr'm LLoyd —— 19:5.

Signed the following Warr'ts (viz)

To Wm. Till, Luke Watson, & Thos. Price 500 Acres of Marsh on the North side of Slaughter Neck in Sussex Coun: Rent. ——— — 16: 1'mo.

To John Penn Esq'r for the Lotts and Liberty Land belonging to Marlindals purchase —— 12:5.

To Thos. Sober for the Bank and Water Lots on Society Hill ——— 9: 5.

To John V'n Gezle a resurvey on a bank and Water Lot at Newcastle —— 9:5.

To Thomas Groves for 150 Acres Marsh near Slaughter Neck in Sussex on rent —— 19:5.

To Wm. Hudson for returning the Survey of the small lot in Ord'r for a Pat: 10: 5:

The Prop'r is pleased to Order a Warr't to the Assign of Daniel and Ralph Needham for the Point of Land on Jones's Creek where their Father Settled & dwelt and that the Business of Longacre be further explained in respect to their Sister Allen.

That the Vacancy between the Lands late of Acrod and Hopton be granted to Evan Thomas at 20s. ℔ Acre.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 3d 6mo 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Wm. Hudson for a Lot on the North side of high Street between 5th & 6th Streets from Delaware in breadth 26 ft. & ½ in rights of Edw'd Blenman dat: 11th X'br 1735.

To Thos. Smith for 355 } 152 } Acres in Lancaster County granted by ye late Comm'rs 23: 5: 1736.

To Phillip Kearney for 30 ft. of water Lot on the North side of high Street part of a large Bank and Water Lot formerly Granted to Sam'l Richardson. } 28: 5: 1736

To Thos. Sober for a bank and water Lots Below Pine Street in breadth 102: ft. and in Length 430 Granted for £306 15s. Sterl: Quit rent. } 29: 5: 1736

To Ann Brown for 300 A's in Lancaster County Granted her on Reserveying her claim to a Patent Obtained by her father Coll: Markham indirectly for 306 Acres near the River Delaware in Bucks county. } 31: 5: 1736

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Stephen Armit to resurvey his South part of a society Square and to add thereunto the north part compleating the whole Square 27th 11mo 1735.

To Steph: Paradee for 100 Acres of Vac't Land in Jones's Neck Kent County 23: 1: 1735-6.

To Sam'l Berry an Island of Marsh on the south side of Little Creek 24: 1: 1735-6.

To Andrew and Peter Longaker to resurvey & divide 200 Acres of land at Siamensing 21: 5: 1736.

To James Gorrell for 18 Acres on the South side of Jones's Creek first seated by Ezekiel Needham under whose heirs the said James claims the same. } 21: 5: 1736

To Sam'l Powell jun'r for resurveying Rich'd & Rob't Vickris's Land at Wissehickon 30: 5: 1736.

To George Honse for resurveying a Moiety of a large Society Square on the east of broad street 30: 5: 1736.

To James Steel for resurveying a Society Square on the west side of broad street 30: 5: 1736.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 24th 6mo 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To George Honse for half a Square of Ground lying between the 13th Street from Delaware and the broad Street part of the Society's Lots granted by the Trustees to David Evans under whom the said George Claims —— Pat: 13: 16:

To George Jones for the other Moiety of the said Square—11: 6:

To Zachariah Whitpain for a Society Square between the 7 & 8 Streets from Delaware Granted by the Trustees to Wm. Sanders who devised the same to his Nephew the said Zachariah Whitpain. 18: 6: 1736.

To James Steel for a Lot on the South side of Walnut Street and the East side of the 6th Street from Delaware in right of the original purchase of 500 Acres of Land made of the late Prop'r by John Kirton under whom the said J: Steel claims the same.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 31st 6mo 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To James Steel for a Square of Ground between the Broad Street and the 8th Street from Schuylkill and between Spruce Street and Pine Street in the City of Philadelphia the South part whereof was purchased of the Trustees of the Society of Traders and the North Part the Prop'ry was pleased of his Bounty to confirm to the said James Steel. Patent dated 14th 6mo., 1736.

To Jonathan Mifflin for part (20 ft. front) of James Claypoole's high street Lot whereon the said Jonathan now dwells—27: 6: 1736.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 7th 7'br 1736, himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To John Edwards for 250 Acres in the great Swamp in Bucks County Pat: dat: 31: 6: 1736.

To Evan Thomas for part of a bank Lot first Granted to John Goodson in breadth on Delaware Street 15 ft. & in length 19 ft. 10 inches—— 2: 7: 1736.

To Francis Jones for 200 Acres on a branch of Pecquea Creek in Lancaster County 6: 7: 1736.

To Lawrence Richardson 220 Acres on Mill Creek Leacock Township the same County 6: 7: 1736.

To Abr'm Bickley for part of a Water Lot first Granted to Sam'l Richardson 33 ft. in breadth on the east side of Delaware street into ye River Delaware 4: 7: 1736.

Signed a Warr't for the return of 200 Acres to Francis Jones dated 1: 7: 1736.

Lawrence Richardson and Francis Jones requests for themselves and Relations the Grant of about 1200 Acres of Land to be surveyed in several Tracts on the West side of Susquahannah River they desire to be advised as soon as possible in Order that the same may be surveyed & settled.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 14th: 7'br: 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To James Steel for 200 Acres in Right of John ap John and Thomas Wynn but the purchase of those persons being already Surveyed to other Persons (all but one hundred Acres) who were under Purchasers, and to Jonathan Wynn the only Son of Thomas Wynn who had 400 Acres surveyed to him in the great Valley on Condition that the same should be void if afterward it should appear that the same should be wanted to make good the Grants made by John ap John and Thomas Wynn to other Persons holding under them whereupon at the request of the said James Steel the Prop'r is pleased to confirm to him the said 200 Acres upon his paying fifteen pounds, ten Shillings for the hundred Acres which exceeds the Purchase first made by John ap John & Thomas Wynn and to recover the same from those who have purchased the 400 Acres Surveyed on the Conditions aforesaid. The Patent dated 23d. 6mo: 1736.

To Robert Stapleton for 200 Acres at Manatawny first surveyed by the Comm'rs Warrt. to Thomas Miller who sold his right in the same to ye said Robert. 7: 7'br: 1736.

Signed the following Warrants:

To Thomas Gordon for 150 Acres of Land & Marsh near Lewis Creek in the County of Sussex on new rent. ———Dated the 23d. 6mo: 1736.

To Tho's and George Fitzwater to resurvey 300 Acres of Land near Whitpains Township first Surveyed to their Father Thomas Fitzwater and now allowed by the Prop'r to be held under the yearly quitr't of two Shilling Sterling each 100 Acres dated 3: 7: 1736.

To Magnus Simonson for 150 Acres in the back part of Rockland Mannor formerly agreed to by the late Comm'rs at £30 ℔ C't 3: 7: 1736.

To John Page for 600 Acres to be surveyed and returned to the Surv'r Gen'ls Office there to remain till a further agreement dated the 10: 7: 1736.

To Gawen Miller for 250 Acres in Lancaster County on the late terms 11: 7: 1736.

Attended at the Proprietarys the 21. 7'br 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Michael Graeff for 225 Acres part of the Quantity Granted to Martin Kundigg and Hans Heer by in the County of Lancaster the Pat: dat: 14: 7: 1736.

To Adam Boyd for 194½ Acres in Sadbury Township in Chester County Granted on ye late Terms (viz) £15: 10: ℔ C't & ½d Sterl: ℔ Acre quitr't 18: 7: 1736.

To John Thompson for 204½ Acres on a branch of Octorara Creek in Lancaster County first Surveyed to one Roger Dyer who sold his right therein to the said John Thompson. 20: 7'br: 1736.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To John Thompson for returning to him the 204½ Acres mentioned in the above Patent now Granted to him—10: 7: 1736.

To Will'm Thomas for 100 Acres in ye Great Valley in Chester County on the late terms 15: 7: 1736.

To Adam Boyd for ye Return of 194½ A's Granted in ye above Pat: to himself 16: 7: 1736.

Benj: Chambers of Lancaster County when the Prop'r was at Pextan requested the Grant of a quantity of Land for a Plantation and also to build a Corn Mill on the same lying on the West side of Susquehannah River opposite to Pextan within the Tract surveyed for the Prop'rs use he now renews his request and desires it may be laid out on the Creek or run of Water called Cedar Spring for the Purpose aforesaid.

Attended at the Proprietarys the 9th 9'br. 1736, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Isaac Ashton for 100 Acres of Land in Makefield in Bucks County Surveyed by the Comm'rs Warrant in the Year 1703 unto Thos. Ashton since deceased who being indebted to Jos: England late of Philad'a also dec'd the said Debt was levied on the said land and afterwards by the Sheriff sold unto the said Isaac by Deed dated the 17: of 7'br: last past Patent dated 8th: 9'br: 1736 quit rent one Shilling Sterl: ℔ Ann.

A warrant being some time ago granted to James Morris for 200 Acres of vac't Land in Duck Creek neck not yet executed, he desires to know why it may not be done. It appears in the Secretarys Office by an authentick Survey made about the Year 1680 to one Robert Draughton since deceased that the Land requested

by J: Morris lyes within the bounds of that antient Survey which now belongs to the Children of the said Robert of which J: Steel is ordered to acquaint him.

17th 9 br.

Riner Tyson requests about 100 Acres of Land in the Prop'rs Mannor of Springfield to be bounded by his other Land on the East and West the Land of Thomas Hicks and Richard Morray on the South the Land of Joseph Nash on the North or north West which the Prop'r is pleased to Grant at 25s. ₹ Acre ₹ chase and half penny Sterling ₹ Acre quitr't and orders a Warr't to be made out for the Survey.

Attended at the Prop'ry's the 23d 9'br 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents:

To John Burgholder 250 Acres in Lancaster County in part of Martin Kundigg and Hans Heer Warrant, dated 7th 8'br 1736.

To Andreas Coffman 200 Acres Ditto 20—1736.

To Andrew Longaker 140 Acres in Kingcess Philad'a County an old Swedes granted 8th 7'br 1736.

To Wm. Nice 121 Acres in Springfield Mannor dated 18: 9'br 1736.

To Magnus Simonson 143 Acres in Bromingham Chester County dated 20 9'br 1736.

To Martin Humble 150 Acres in Bucks County Serv'ts Land dated 12 9 1736.

Signed the following Warrants following:

To John Ross for Ranger and Keeper of Conestogo Mannor dated 10 9'br 1736.

To Conrad Weiser for 200 Acres in Lancaster County dated 19 9 1736.

To Wm. Brackin for 200 Acres in Newcastle County dated 9 9'br 1736.

To John Wm. Lurcunceller 30 Acres in the same County dated 16 9 br 1736.

To James Hayes 50 Acres in Chester County dated Ditto Do.

The late Prop'ry by L: & Release of the 21st & 22d of the 1mo 1681 Granted to Nich's More, James Claypoole, Philip Ford, Wm. Shardlow, Edward Pierce, John Symcock, Thos. Brassey, Thos. Barker and Edw'd Brooks 20,000 Acres of Land to be survey'd in this Province. To hold to them their heirs and assigns forever under the yearly quitrent of two bever Skins to be yearly paid to the said W. P. his heirs or Assigns or to his or their agent in London at the Election of the said Prop'r.

In Trust nevertheless for the free Society of Traders in Pen-

silv'a and the Successors as soon as that free Society shall be by the said W. P. incorporated and Erected to be holden &c.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 14th X'br 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Thomas Noxon 6 several Warr'ts for resurveying 6 Tracts of Land lying in Kent County formerly belonging to Wm. Durvall dated the ———— Vide the Entrys in the Warrant Book.

To Joseph Pyle for 50 Acres adjoining on his Plantation on the N. W side of Rockland Mannor.

To Rainer Tyson Jun'r for about 100 Acres in Springfield Mannor.

To James Hamilton for resurveying two Lotts of Ground in Philad'a 14 X'br 1736.

Signed a Deed to Thomas Proctor for 100 Acres in Pens neck Salem County, Do.

Ditto to Archebald Taylor for 100 Acres —— Ditto —— Do.

Attended at the Prop'ry's 28th X'br 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To James Steel for 300 Acres in Newcastle County dated 18th 8'br 1736.

To John Bowers a quantity of Marsh in Kent County 23 X.

To John Williamson 10 Acres Newton Chester County 16 X.

To John Wright & Sam'l Blunston each a Tract on the West side of Susquahannah River viz 350 to S: B: and 300 to J: Wright, 22 X.

To John Fisher 15 Acres Marsh on the Broad Creek Sussex County 22 X.

To John Hore about 80 Acres in N. Castle County 24 X.

Ordered that Warrants be made for Edward Smout for 200 Acres adjoining on the Tract where he dwells late Step'n Atkinsons and also for the Strip of Land in the Mannor between the South line of the above Tract and a small run of Water called Mill Creek at the quitrent of Six pence Sterl: for every Acre. And to provide a Warr't and Patent for the Land where Fundy Tetamy dwells in the Fork of Delaware.

Claude Delama from Albany requests the Grant of some Land on Delaware or Susquahanna above the Great Ridge of Mountains, but as that cannot be at present Granted, he may if he think fitt have a Settlem't on this side those Mountains.

15th: 1mo.

George William who dwells (as is supposed to the Northward of Maryland) on the west side of Susquahannah desires the Grant of one piece of Land lying between Deer Creek and the Settle-

ment late held by Tho's Cresop to contain 400 Acres and one other Tract where the same may be found vacant within the bounds of this Province to Contain about 250 Acres he also requests on behalf of his Neighbour John Fayhearty a piece of Land on that side of the River of about 150 Acres.

The Prop'r is pleased to approve this Entry.

Elisha Gatchell declares that before the year 1724 he made application to this Office on behalf of Samuel Robinet for the Grant of a piece of Vacant Land lying on the north side of a Tract of Land which he purchased of Rob't Ashton to the Northward of Nottingham which Samuel Robinet now desires may be granted to his Son James Robinet.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 15th 1st 1736-7, himself Present.

Agreed with Wm. Henderson for the Prop'rs Tract of Land near Brandywine Creek in Caln Township, Chester County containing 500 Acres for which he is to pay at the rate or price of five and forty Pounds money of Pensilv'a for every Hundred Acres and the quit rent of one half peny Sterling for every Acre of the same, that is to say the Sum of one hundred Pounds part thereof is to be paid on the Sixteenth day of November next and the remainder at the Expiration of one Year After with interest for the last payment only from the time of the first payment.

By consent of Wm. Henderson one half of the above tract of Land is to be held by Sam'l Black who has now paid forty Pounds toward the same this 28: X'br 1737.

William Henderson
To the above written
J: Steel I do agree on behalf & by Order of the Prop'ry.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 11th 11 mo 1736, himself Present.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Sarah Russell 100 Acres of Marsh in Sussex dated,.	27: 9'br.
To the Descendants of John and James Fisher Marsh and vac't Land Sussex, ...	15: X'br.
To Peter Massellet 40 Acres N. Castle County,.....	9: X'br.
To Wm. Moore 200 Acres Ditto,.....	Do.
To Valentine and Isaac Dushane 13 Acre Ditto, ...	30: X'br.
To Alex'r Ewins jun'r 150 Acres Chester County,.....	27: 9'br.
To Alex'r Martin 150 Acres Ditto,.....	
To Edward Smout 200 Acres in Lancaster County,.....	29:X'br.
Ditto a piece of Land in Conestogo Mannor joyning to his Plantation, ...	
To Wm. Fry 200 Acres in Bucks County, ...	30: X'br.
To Tetamy Fundy 300 Acres Ditto.....	
To Henry Snyder 20 Acres in Springfield Mannor.....	Do.

James Eldridge requests the Grant of 100 Acres of Land within the Prop'rs Mannor of Springton adjoyning to his Land and the Land of George Claypoole he (James Eldridge) says that one Michael Graeme has made havock on the same by cutting and destroying the Timber &c. The Prop'r is pleased to Order this request to be entered and also that James Steel write to the said Graeme to desist from making any further Spoil &c.

17th 2mo: 1737.

George Wilson of Kent County sets forth that in the Year 1720, a small Tract of about 100 Acres of Land lying in the Forrest of the said County was Surveyed to H: Durborow vide minuts to Rich'd Parvis who assigned his right therein to James Wilson and his Son George Wilson having a Settlement thereon desires a

---

25th.

The Prop'r is pleased to allow Peter Leman to hold a piece of Land (whereon his Son dwells) in Conestogo Mannor provided the same does not prejudice the adjoining Lands, he is to pay in proportion to the other Lands in the said Mannor when the same may be granted and the quit rent of one half peny Sterl: for every Acre which shall be granted to him.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 5th 2mo 1737, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Reynier Tison Jun'r for 158 Acres in Springfield Mannor dated 9th 12mo 1736.

To Jos: Marsh & Ux'r for 10 Acres on the West side Schuylkill Lib: Land 15: 1:

Signed the following Warrants:

To Solomon Jennings 200 Acres above the west branch of Delaware, 5th 1mo 1736-7.

To Laetitia Hall 200 Acres in little Creek in Kent,..... 8: 1:

To Joshua Neckerson 100 Acres in Dover hundred Ditto,..... 8: 1:

To John Newell 100 Acres in Murther Creek Hundred,..... 12: 1:

To Peter Hendrickson 50 Acres in Christeen Hundred Newcastle county, ... 12:

To Rob't Finney 150 Acres } Octorara Creek in Lancaster
To Wm. Steel 200 Acres } County,..... 16: 1:

To Zerubabell Thatcher 39 Acres in Kennet Chester,..... 16: 1:

To Laetitia Aubrey for returning the lines and bounds of her Tract called Sr. John Faggs Mannor near Marlborough in Chester County, ... 5: 2:

To Dan'l Broadhead for 600 A's above the W. Branch of Delaware in Bucks, ... 5: 2:

Attended at the Prop'rs the 12th 2mo 1737, himself Present.

There having been a dispute between John Evans and George Wilcoxson about a Tract of Land in Uwchlan Township the Decision whereof was left to four of their Neighbours (three of whom agreeing was to be a decission) who thereupon having heard and Considered the difference concluded and gave in their award signed by three of them at Chester the 24th of the last month to which both parties then concluded to abide by but afterwards G: Wilcoxson preferr'd a Petition to the Prop'r in Order that he might be discharged from the Award. The Prop'r was pleased to appoint this day to hear the Parties who appeared & produced the Award and other papers which being read and considered by him he thereupon ordered that ye Award should be complyed with and that the Land in dispute should be returned into the Surv'r Gen'ls Office according to the Survey made by John Taylor in the year 1737 for the use of John Evans.

Abr'm Emmit (on behalf of his Nephew Josias Emmit) sets forth that about 19 Y'rs since he purchased the right or pretence of about 100 Acres of Land joyning to the Land of Wm. Porter in East Nottingham in Chester County which said Land had heretofore some Maryland claim tho' the same lyes on the N: E: of that township it is therefore requested that such Steps may be taken as will enable the said Josiah to hold the Land under our Prop'r who has the same in Consideration to give order accordingly. The Courses and bounds are as follows beginning at a hickry thence N: 50 E: 200 P's to a W't Oak, then N: 40. W: 90 P's to a bl. oak Saplen. S: 50: W: 200 P's to a bl: oak S: 40 E. 90 P's to the beginning.

Joseph Sharp, Robert Smith, Joseph Taylor, and James Love are desirous to purchase some Land within the Mannor of Conestogo for Settlem't either for themselves or Children which request the Prop'r was pleased to direct should be entered.

1737 2mo 14.

Moses Depue desires the Grant of a Tract of Land.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 3d 3mo., 1737, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to John Evans for 100 Acres in Uwchlan Pat: dated 6: X'br 1736.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To William Husbands 150 Acres near Conewingo Creek in Lancaster County 12: 2mo.

To Elisha Gatchell and Henry Reynolds 600 Acres called Millcom Island in Lan'r County 13: 2mo.

To Philip Denny a resurvey on 200 A's on ye South side of main duck Creek Kent County 29: 2mo.

Memorandum to write to Mark Manlove and Hugh Durborow about the Tract of 600 Acres of Land joyning on Mark said to be surv'd to A: Caldwell & by him sold to John Roads and since attempted by Andrew Lackey.

Attended 29th: 3mo., 1737, at the Prop'rs on the affair of Nicholas Depue and Daniel Broadhead. The Prop'r himself present.

N: Depue having sometime since prevailed with Lapowingo one of the Delaware Indian Kings to preferr a Petition in his own name and several other Indians to the Prop'r setting forth that D: Broadhead had obtained a Warrant for a Tract of Land which they desired might be recall'd because that the said Daniel had done them much wrong and Cheated them very Grosly &c. vide the Petition.

That N: Depue had been their trusty loving Friend and had often redressed and relieved them from the wrongs done to them by the said Broadhead and therefore they had given him the same Tract of Land That they might have liberty to give away what was their own without Mollestation and that they were resolved that neither D: Broadhead nor any others should settle the said Land in peace except N: Depue &c. To this Petition the names of Lapowingo & five other Indians are subscribed. Depue in pursuance of the above Petition came down to Philad'a & brought with him Lapowingo and Corse Urum an Interpreter. D: Broadhead being also in Town hereupon the Prop'r was pleased to Order John Scull should be sent for to Interpret between them who being come the Petition was distinctly Read by Paragraphs and rendered into the Indian Language and thereupon Lapowingo was ask'd if he knew the Contents y'rof when it was procured from him, to which he answered that Depue had sent for him Mawhcomy and Show'd him the Paper or Petition & told him that he must sign it, which he did, but the other Indians whose names are also to the Petition were not there except one which he called his Cousin, that he knew nothing of the Contents of the Petition nor had he anything to say against D: Broadhead only that some Matchcoats which he had from him were not so good as he expected.

The Prop'r then (by the Interpreter) told Lapowingo that as father had always been kind to the Indians and purchased & paid them for their Lands he did not take it well that they should Sell any to other people because as it was unjust so to do a Law of the Province was provided to prevent the same and render such purchases void and therefore to continue the Friendship that had always subsisted between the Prop'rs and the Indians it would be necessary to fix the bounds of the former purchases by walking out the distances according to the Deeds passed by the Indians

to the late Prop'r. To which Lapowingo answered that it was his desire it should be done but that some other Indians were against doing of it meaning Nudimus and the Jersey Indians lately come over and settled near Durham Iron Works.

The Prop'r further told Lapowingo, that as it was the first time he had seen him in Philad'a he was welcome to his House, and afterwards at parting he gave Orders that a Present of Indian Goods should be delivered to Lapowingo. (viz).

8 Yds of Strouds,	£3:12	Brought over,	£9:13
6 Yds of Duffells,	£1:10	2 Knives,	1:8
3 Blankets,	2:	2 Hoes,	:7
3 Shirts,	1:4	2 Hatchets,	:5
4 Yds half Thick,	:11	1 large Hat,	:6
6 Lb. Powder,	:12	1 pair Shoes,	:7
10 Lb. Led,	:4		
	£9:13:		£10.19:8

Attended at the Prop'rs the 7th 4mo., 1737, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to John George Backman for 334 Acres in the Great Swamp in Bucks Co: 300 Acres whereof in Right of the late Prop'rs grant to Henry Maydock for 375 Acres and the 34 Acres found within the lines by a Resurvey is included and Granted for £10:4: the Pat: 4: 4mo., 1737.

The Tract of Land proposed to Thomas Spicer for £220—lying on Manto's Creek in Gloseter County, upon examining the lines of the adjoining Tracts which were first Surveyed, is found very deficient in the Quantity as well as goodness of the Land and thereupon the said Thomas desires an abatement of the above Sum which in Consideration of the Premises the Prop'r is pleased to allow an Abatement of twenty Pounds and except of £200 for the Purchase thereof.

8th June 1737.

Francis Long requests the Grant of 150 Acres of Land in the Mannor of Springton at the same rate as Arthur Graham.

Christopher Stump requests the Grant of 200 Acres of Land beyond the Katytena Hills on Susquehanna River and a branch thereof called by the Indians of the five Nations Johndachquanah and by the Shawannahs Powomuck.

Casper Wister request the Grant of the like Quantity of 2090 Acres adjoining on the above.

Johannes Baker, Peter Ozilia Jun'r and Stern Baker from Albany having traveled from thence over the branches of Delaware to Susquehannah River and down the same to Mawcomy where the discovered a quantity of good Land on which they are desirous

to make Settlem'ts but as those Lands have not been yet purchased from the Indians their request is referred to further Consideration.

13th: 4mo. 1737.

By the Prop'rs Direction of yesterday morning at his Setting out with Wm. Allen for Pensbury. 6: 4: 740: p'd 2 yrs £12:

Agreed with Thomas Bourn for the Southeast Pasture next the Rope Walk at the North end of the City for Six Pounds ℔ Ann: to commence from the first day of the 1 mo last all the grass since the last Spring being now standing on the same.

Agreed the same day with Joseph Turner for the Pasture lying between the above and the run of Water next Jos: Steniards at the same price (viz) Six Pounds ℔ ann and the like Commencem't.

It being certified to the Prop'r that neither Warrant nor Return of Survey can be found in the Sect'rys or Surv'r Gen'ls Office for the Tract of Land claimed by Josiah Rolf in Right of Cap't Nath'l Walker upon which the Prop'r was some time since pleased to grant a Warrant of Resurvey for 500 Acres which may yet be had in the place claimed, tho' no lines or marked trees are now discovered to assertain the former survey pretended to be made to the said Nath'l Walker, and the long space of time since his disease has occationed the Land to be look'd upon as vacant wherefore Thomas and Henry Osborn for the Conveniency of the Neighbourhood as well as for their own benefit, having some Years since Erected a Grist Mill on a branch of the Broad Creek obtained a Warr't and Survey of about 200 Acres of Land to Accommodate the said Mill, which notwithstanding, the Resurvey made to Josiah Rolfe has included the same and thereby rendered the Possession and Right of the said Osborn to the Mill and Land to him surveyed precarious of which they the said Thomas and Henry Osborn now complains and prays that if he may not be permitted to hold the whole 200 Acres the Prop'r would yet be pleased to grant him such a Quantity adjoining to the Mill as may accommodate the same.

The Prop'r is pleased to allow the said Osborn about 75 Acres to be laid out adjoyning to the Mill with as little damage to the Tract of Josiah Rolf as may be done which is to be restricted to 500 Acres the Quantity Granted by the Prop'rs Warr't of Resurvey aforesaid.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 21st 4 mo. 1737, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Christopher Ottinger for 148 Acres of Land in Springfield Mannor dated the 20th 4mo, 1737.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To John Wilson a Resurvey on 100 Acres of Land in Buckingham Servants right: 7: 3mo.

To Michael Berriah for a resurvey on 172 Acres part of John Wilmers purchase lying in Franconia Township in the County of Philad'a dat: 3d mo. 1737.

To Rob't Sinclair a Resurvey on 250 Acres near Nottingham 10: 3:

To Shadrach Scarlet 175 Acres in West Sadbury 1: 4:

To Benj: Witmer for 500 Acres in Lampeter Township Lancaster County 8: 4.

To Laurence Pierson 100 Acres in Plumstead Township Bucks County 8: 4.

To Rob't Lee 200 Acres,.....
To Jacob Sheltham 200 Acres,.....
To Ephraim Darby 200 Acres,....
To John Colter 100 Acres,.....
To Thomas Mercy 200 Acres, ... } in Sussex all dated 8: 4: 1737.
To John Conner 200 Acres,.....
To Sam'l Johnson 200 Acres,.....
To Wm. Seltenridge 150 Acres,....
To Benj'n Trewit 200 Acres,.....

To John and Joseph Shankland a resurvey on 400 A's, } in Sussex
To Wm. Millener a Resurvey on 300 Acres,..... } all dat: 8:
To Cornelius Wiltbank a resurvey on 15 Acres,..... } 4: 1737.
To Benj'n Trewit for 200, ...;..... }

Peter Peterson, se his Papers he holds 100 Acres & Christian Banbery 200 A's. Upon paying all the Arrears of quitrent the Prop'r is pleased to allow the holding of the above Lands.

1st 6mo: 1737.

Upon the Application of Mordecai Yarnal for the Grant of 500 Acres of Land joyning on his Plantation in Willistown which was heretofore surveyed for the Crum Creek Indians who formerly settled and dwelt on the same but have for some Years past deserted their Habitations there and now (those Indians that are living) dwell about Swahatara Creek in Lancaster.

The Prop'r is pleased to Consent that Mordecai shall have the Priveledge of Purchasing the same when the claim of those Indians is further examined and Cleared.

Attended at the Proprietarys the 5th 5mo 1737, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Andrew Moor for 200 Acres in Sadbury dated 29: 4 mo., 1737.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Mich'l Berria resurvey on 172 Acres in Franconia Philad'a County 3d 3 mo.

To Sam'l Bonham surviving Trustee of the London Company 1200 in Right of Joseph Peckover the first Grantee 17th 3:

To James Logan for the Residue of 2000 Acres the original Purchase of Wm. and Abraham LLoyd of Bristol, ... } 24th 3:
To Stephen Paradee for 100 Acres in Kent,.....

To sam'l Guthry for 100 Acres in N. Castle County,..... }
To David Humphrys about 25 A's between the Tracts of Pike & Pickering, ... } 8th 4:
To Wm. Orr a Resurvey on 400 Acres near Lewis Creek in Sussex, ...

To Sam'l Bulkley & Sam'l Hasell a resurvey on about 200 Acres in three pieces in Chester Township a former Grant from the Gov'r of N: York 18th 4.

To the Heirs of Wm. Beakes a high Street Lot in right of an Orig'l Purchase 18th 4:

To Fanwick Shetcher & Jabez Maud Fisher a resurvey on 300 A's in Sussex 20th 4:

To Andrew Moor for 200 Acres in Sadbury Chester County 28th 4:

The Prop'r is pleased to allow the 24 Acres of Land lying on each side the River Schuylkill at the high Street ferry, for the time past since the Expiration of the Lease granted to Philip England, now in the Tenure of George and Joseph Gray at the rate of eight pounds P Ann: but for the time to come the yearly rent of the same is to be twelve Pounds P Ann: on which Terms a Lease may be granted them for seven years.

The Prop'r is pleased to allow John Bond to hold his Plantation and Tract of Land surveyed in right of Enoch Flowers Purchase within the Tract called Rockland Mannor or the Great Swamp and that a Patent be prepared for the same.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 19th 5mo., 1737, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents:

To Sam'l Wilkins for 250 A's in the great swamp bucks county dat: 6: 5mo, 1737.

To Peter Grub 300 A's in Lebanon Township Lancaster County Ditto.

To Rob't Tipping 264-14 in Newtown Chester County,... }
To Rob't Tipping a Lot of Ground in the City of Philad'a, ... } 16:5:1737.

Signed the following Warrants (viz)

To James Gibbons for 400 Acres on the Branches of Brandywine 17: 3: 1737.

To Thos. Tarrant & Thos. Nixon 210 Acres,.... }
To Thos. Nixon 100 Acres, ... } forrest of Kent.

To Ebenezer Manlove 50 Acres of Land and Marsh in Jones's Neck Kent, ...
To George Burrage 180 Acres on a Branch of Black birds Creek N: Castle Coun:..... } 19th
To Joseph Turner a resurvey on 139 Acres in Passyunck in Philad'a County, ...

The Prop'r is pleased to allow Cadwallader Folk and Jos: Paschall the 14 & ½ Acres at the North end of the Town at S. Sterling ℔ Acre for 21 Y'rs.

That James Steel Write to Wm. Shipley to acquaint the People at Willingstown that they desist from building another Market House 'till the Prop'r shall think proper to view the Town which he intends very soon.

26th 5mo.

The Prop'r has been pleased (since the above entry) upon the further application of Cad'r Foulk to Grant the said 14½ Acres at the yearly rent of Six pounds Sterling.

Attended at Prop'rs the 26th 5mo 1737, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Elisha Gatchell for 300 Acres in Drummore Towns'p Lancast'r County, ... } dat: 8: 5mo, 1737.
To Henry Reynolds 300 Acres Ditto, ...

Signed a Warrant to Cad'r Foulk, Jos: Pascall and Sam'l Coats for 14½ Acres of Land between the Lotts laid out on the North side of Vine Street and Pegg's run dated 21: 5mo., 1737.

19th 6mo.

Joshua ffisher requests the Grant of a small piece of vac't Ground in Lewis, lying between Marg't Simpson and Cornelius Edgell, w'm now present Shankland is directed to make enquiry into the said request and inform this Office whether any such vacancy be there or not.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 30th 6mo, 1737.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Michael Berria 174 Acres & ¼ in Franconia Township Philad'a County dat: 1st 6mo., 1737.

To Thos. & George Fitzwater 352 Acres in Whitpains Township 1: 6:

To Jacob Behme 382 Acres on Pecquea Lancaster County 12: 5.

Upon a difference subsisting between Joshua Fisher and the Widow of Joseph Russell of Sussex about the bounds of their respective tracts of Land lying on ———— Creek in the said County.

Ordered by the Prop'r that J: Steel do forthwith write to Jacob

Kollock & R: Holt to request them with the assistance of the Survey'r to examine the lines of their respective Tracts of Land lying contiguous or near to each other and to adjust and settle the difference now subsisting between them.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 13th 7'br 1737, himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To James Logan Esq'r 200 A's on the West side of Susquahannah River opposite to his Plantation late Jonah Davenports dated: 14: 5: 1737.

Ditto for 153 Acres in Montgomery Township Ditto.

To Jonath: Harben 250 Acres in Oley ——— 29: 6: 1737.

To George Fitzwater a Lot on the South side of the high Street between the 5 & 6 Streets from Delaware, 5: 7: 1737.

To Mathew Robinson & Ux'r for 501½ Acres on the Branches of Brandywine in the County of Chester 6: 7: 1737.

To John Breintnal for a Square of Ground in the City of Philad'a for 21: Y'rs 12: 7: 1737.

Warr'ts signed:

To James Miers and James Fisher for 400 A's Marsh in Sussex dat: 25: 7: 1736.

To Jonath: Harben for 150 Acres in Oley dated the 6th 6mo., 1737.

To Hugh Durborow Jun'r to Resurvey on 500 A's, 300 A's and part of a large tract in Little Creek neck the whole in Kent all dated the 19th 6mo., 1737.

To John Glan & Robt. Buchannen a resurvey and division on 200 A's in Kent County Do.

To Simon Hirons a resurvey on 500 A's near little Creek in Kent County Do.

To George Robisson a resurvey on 778 Acres Ditto 16: 6: 1737.

To Jno. Coffman & Herman Long a resurvey & Division on 560 A's in Lancast'r County 18: 6: 1737.

To ye Minister & Congregation of Presbiterians near cold Spring in Sussex for 10 Acres to enclose their Meet'g House 22: 6: 1737.

To Rich'd Hinman & others for 1000 A's of marsh between ye Sea beach & Rehoboth bay in Sussex, Ditto.

To Wm. Warrington 200 A's in forrest of Sussex, Ditto.

To Wm. Shankland 160 A's near the Indian River Sussex Ditto.

To Mary Shankland 235 A's in the Mannor near Cedar Creek Ditto.

To John Hall 210 Acres in the Forrest of Sussex Ditto.

To John Jones & Abra'm Gurn to Resur'y 400 Acres near broad Creek in Sussex Ditto.

To John Simonton 200 Acres Indian river hundred in Sussex Ditto.

To ye Heirs of John Little a resurvey 200 A's near cold Spring in Sussex 18: 6: 1737.

To Amos and Mordecai Yarnell for 500 Acres in Willistown in Chester County dated 31st 6mo., 1737.

To Nicholas Hitchcock for a small Lot or piece of Ground in Walnutt Street 2: 7'br 1737.

Ordered that J: Steel make Search in the former minutes concerning Rob't Jones's Lott &c., Warr't from the Prop'r to John Thomas for ¼ part of a whole Lott on Delaware dated 18: 2 mo., 1683.

Ditto to Kathrin Thomas for a Lott in 2d Street 50 ft. front joyning on John Griffiths signed W: M:, R: T:, J: G:, S: C:, 24: 10: 1692.

The Prop'r is pleased to allow Joseph Lynn Liberty to Make up of so much Ground beyond the North End of the City as may accommodate him to lay Timber & plank till further orders.

Attended at the Prop'ers the 20th September 1737, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz) To Joseph Kirkbride for 529 Acres on the N: East side of Skulkill above Manatawney in the County of Philad'a Dat: 7'br 1737. To Zerubbabel Scarlet for 175 Acres in West Sadbury in Lancaster County 7: 1737.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 27: 7'br 1737, himself Present.

To Thomas Edwards Signed a Patent for 100 A's Serv'ts Land in New Britain Bucks County 1st 1mo., 1736-7. Ditto to John Coffman for 250 Acres on Conestogo Creek in Lancaster County 15: 7: 1737.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 11th 8'br 1737, himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Peter Alricks 63 Acres & ½ Marsh in Newcastle County dated 7th 8'br 1737.

To George Fitzwater 390 Acres in Newbritain in Bucks County in Right of the Society 8: 8:

To Jeremiah Langhorn 310 Acres adjoyning to the above in the same Right 10: 8.

27th 8b'r.

Joseph Maddock requests the Grant of a small piece of Vac't Land of about 36 Acres joyning on his Plantation in Christina Hundred (J: Taylor is to send a Draught.)

Attended at the Prop'rys the 1st 9'br 1737, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Law'ce Growdon for 2957 A's in Bucks County dated 31st 8'br 1737.

James Crumpleton (by Wm. McCook) requests that he may hold his Plantation and joyning on the Great Road & Dr. Musgangs leading to A. Peterson, rst settled by Sam'l Botch whose Widow Crumpleton has married, about 150 Acres said to be surveyed by G: Dakine.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 15th 9'br 1737, himself Present.

Signed the following Warrants viz:

To Sam'l Brooks for 200 Acres in the Forrest of Kent dated 24: 3: 1737.

To Martha Egbertson a quantity of Marsh near the Thorowfare of Duck Creek 7: 4: 1737.

To Gawen Leaper a Resurvey on 150 Acres in the Township of New London Ditto.

To John Pleasington 50 Acres in Little Creek near Kent County 22: 4: 1737.

To Rob't Cumming 150 Acres in the Forrest of Kent County 30: 5: 1737. To Ditto 165 Acres Ditto 24: 8: 1737.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 22d 9'br 1737, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Philip Russell for 62 Acres Marsh an Island in Lewis Creek Sussex dated 13: 8'br 1737.

To Joseph Pennock 244 Acres and a Quarter in Willistown Chester County 21:9.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To John Cassen for 200 Acres on the West side Susquahannah River above Pextan dated 26: 8: 1737.

To Herman Long for 100 A's in Hempfield Lancaster County dated 29: 8: 1737.

To Edw'd Fitzrandal 150 Acres near Blackbirds Creek in ..... } Newcastle County

To Peter and Rich'd Davis 100 A's near Georges Creek, } 24:8:1737

The Prop'r by short Deeds printed Lease and Release of the

25th.

3d & 4th days of April A: D. 1695, Granted unto Thomas Church of London 1500 Acres of Land to be surveyed between the Rivers Delaware and Susquehannah under the quitrent of one Shilling English Money for every hundred Acres Royal Mines excepted and afterwards by an Endorsment on the said Release of the 12th day of the same Month, for a competent Sum of Money acknowledged to be paid to the said Prop'r he thereupon did remise, release and forever quit-claim unto the said T: Church and his Heirs the said yearly quit rent of one Shilling English Money for every hundred Acres of the said 1500 Acres of Land.

The President J: Logan Esq'r produced the above Deeds and on behalf of Theophila Patridge Mother and sole Execut'x of the said T: Church who is deceased requested a Warrant for surveying the said Land.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 6th X'br 1737, himself Present.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Andrew Coffman for 462 Acres in Conestogo Mannor dated 2: 9: 1737.

To the Heirs of Thomas Church dec'd 1500 Acres where vacant 25: 9.

To Sam'l Pennock a square of Ground in Philad'a for 21 Y'rs— 23: 9.

Sam'l Birch formerly applyed to the Office for a small Tract of about 130 Acres of vac't land lying on the south side of the main Road leading from N: Castle to Lewis about two miles to the Northward of Andrew Petersons, and thereupon procured G: Dakeyne to circumscribe the same and a Settlem't was made by S: Birch accordingly who dwelt on the same several years and since dying Intestate leaving three Children his Widow continued to dwell thereon, and being again married with one James Congleton it is now agreed between him and John Thomas Father of the woman that the said Congleton shall continue to dwell on the same during his life and the life of his wife and then to be delivered to them and in the meantime they request a Warr't in Order that a regular Survey may be made on ye Land.

12th.

Agreed (by the Prop'rs direction) with Wm. Wilson and Thomas McKane for the Lott of 500 Acres in Caln Township in Chester County for £45 ℔ hundred acres and the quitrent of one half Peny Sterling ℔ Acre—Sixty pounds they have now paid in part and the remainder they are to pay the next Spring.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 13th of X'br 1737, himself Present.

James Gilmer attended on his Petition some time before preferred for the grant of 100 Acres of Land in Sadbury Township where he pretended his Father had settled and dwelt several years before his Death, but it being certified that the land petitioned for was within a Tract which had been surveyed many years before such Settlm't unto one James Hamer and since held by Caleb Price and others who have p'd ℔t. of the purchase Money, the Petition was dismissed.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 20th X'br 1737, himself Present.

The Prop'ry upon the further application of Joseph Lynn was

pleased to allow him the Priviledge of laying his Timber and Planks on the Ground opposite to his dwelling House and to extend Southward so far as the North line of the Lot called the London Companys untill the Prop'ry should be pleased to give further Orders therein.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Joseph Pennock for 244 & ¼ in Willis Town in Chester County dated 21st 9'br 1737.

To Peter Grubb 300 in Lebanon Township Lancaster County 30: 9:

Attended at the Prop'rs the 3d 11mo., 1737, himself Present.

The following Patents were Signed, (viz):

To John Wilson 125 Acres in Buckingham in Bucks County dated 15: X'br 1737.

To Sam'l Bulkley and Samuel Hasell 183⅜ in 3 Tracts in Chester township 22: X:

To Andrew Miller near Conestogo 200 Acres part of 400 Acres granted by Warr't to Alexand'r Buss 23: X'br.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 10th 11mo., 1737, himself Present.

To Herman Long for 350 Acres in Hempfield Lancaster County dat: 21st X'br 1737.

To Cadwallader Foulk, Jos: Paschal, & Sam'l Coats for 14 Acres ½ of the Ground beyond the North part of Philad'a City for 21 Y'rs on rent 30: X'br 1737.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 18th 11mo., 1737, himself Present.

On account of People who proposed and agreed to take Leases for several parts of the Mannor of Gilberts which Leases being prepared, and the Prop'rs parts being signed by himself the people were required to sign their parts also, but after 4 of them had executed their parts the others to the number of 14 declined unless rent of the first year should be remitted to them, tho' afterwards they desired to be permitted to execute, but the Prop'r being informed of their behaviour, was pleased to give Orders that none of those who had refused should be suffered to have those Leases nor to dwell on any part of the said Mannor.

The 19th day.

Garret Dushane & John Vance attending, the Prop'rs was pleased to view the draught made by Thomas Noxon of the Lands in Dispute between them and also to hear their Allegations touching the same, whereupon he was pleased to Order that the south west line of the Tract called the Holt, the Northeast line of the Tract called Roll's Sepulchre and the Head line of the Land

called Lackfords Hall as they either joyn or interfere with each other, should from the several original Surveys be laid down with more certainty, and that the 26 Acres of vacant Land requested by Dushane and Surveyed by T: Noxon for Vance shall lye in Suspence for the Present, that where the head line of Lackford Hall interferes with the Land of G. Dushane which he claims as part of the Holt, The Prop'r declines meddling with it till a Suit now depending in N: Castle Court between Vance and Dushane shall be ended, tho' he is of Opinion that the lines according to the Patent of Lackfords Hall should be supported and maintained.

The late Prop'r by L: & Release dated the 14th & 15th 6mo 1682. Granted to Josh'a Holland of Chatham in Kent Mariner in England 5000 Acres of Land in this Province vid: Min'ts of the 12: 11mo 1712. John Holland (said to be Son and Heir of ye said Joshua) of Pleaston in County of Essex Shipwright by his last Will & Testam't in writing produced (but not proved) dated the 29th 5mo 1695 did give and bequeath for the use of his wife Maria and his Children all his estate and Land beyond Sea in & about Pensylvania (as the Will expresses it.)

John Holland of London Vintner Son and Heir of the aforesaid John, Maria Holland Widow of the said John and Maria Holland Daughter of the first mentioned John by Lease and Release of the 5th & 6th days of 7'br 1720 for £140, Money of England, Granted the said 500 Acres of Land unto Thomas Story who by like Deeds of Lease and Release of the 23d & 24th of X'br A. D. 1720 for £9000 Sterling granted among other Lands 7200 Acres not yet taken up which says he has a good Right to but does not recite Hollands purchase nor Deeds unto John Haddon & Benjamin Kirton in trust for the Land Company of Pennsylvania in London. William Rawle who is Employed by the Trustee of the Said Company requests a Warrant or Warrants in Order for Surveying the said 7200 Acres of Land. But upon Search made in the Surveying Generals Office it appears that 1000 Acres part of Joshua Hollands purchase was soon after the Settling the Province Surveyed to John Holland his Son then in this Province in uper Merrion under whom it is held by Morris LLewellin & others, and that 1000 Acres of the Same was about that Time Surveyed in the County of Bucks to Anthony Tomkins who Married a Daughter of the said Joshua and came over to this Province, Under whom the same is now held so that there can be but 5200 remaining to be taken up in Right of that purchase and as touching the 2200 Acres to make the quantity of 7200 Acres a Warrant for 1200 Acres has been already granted in right of Joseph Packover, but in what right the other 1000 A's is claimed dos not appear.

23d 11mo.

Tho's Lindley requests about 500 Acres between the Settlement of Tobias Hendricks and the Creek called Connedegwined in Pextan Mannor and thereupon desires the Prop'r pleasure. The Prop'r is pleased to answer that no Land lying within y'th Mannor will be granted at present.

Attended at the Prop'ry's the 24th: 11mo: 1737, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Peter Taylor for 141 Acres in Makfield Bucks County, ...
To Benj: Taylor 136 Acres Ditto Ditto,...
To Benj: Abbot 147 Acres Ditto Ditto, ..

} All of one Tract dated 31st X'br 1737.

To Martha Parker 250 Acres on Brandywine Chester County 31st X'br.

To Jn'o, Tho's, Isaac, Jocob Leech 583 Acres near Limrick Phil'a County 31: X.

To James Steel for 2 Lots on the East side of the 3d Street from Delaware in 2 Pats. 31: X.

Signed a Warrant to Capt: Wm. Atwood for extending his Lot at the South end of the City Philad'a into the River Delaware and ——— 31: X:

A Warrant to John, Thomas, Isaac and Jacob Leech for a tract of Land between Limrick Township and the River Schuylkill— 16: 6mo: 1737.

Tho's Paxton requests 400 Acres on Octorara Creek joyning on Henry Work, John Thompson, the Gap mine line and Rob't Long.

Wm. Evans 200 joyning on the above Tho's Paxton and the mine, they request the Surveyor General to order his Deputy that these Tracts of Land may be Surveyed according to their settlements on which they have dwelt several years. James Mackey will call for the Warrants. R: Long a poor fellow having got a Warrant threatens to run upon the others Improvements.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 31st 11mo: 1737, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Jn'o Bond for 250 A's in Richland Towns'p Bucks County 30: X'br: 1737.

To Wm. Heallier for a Square of Ground in the City of Philad'a 26: 11mo: 1737.

Signed a Warrant to Sam'l Bopham for the London Company for 3000 Acres in right of Joshua Hollands original Purchase 31st: X'br: 1737.

In persuance on the Prop'rs Order of the 19th ult: J: Steel writ to Tho's Noxon for a further explanation of his Draught of the

Several Tracts of Land near Drawers Creek which he has now sent up Abraham Goulden who holds a part of the Tract call'd the Holt and has dwelt on the same for about 25 y'rs past and being unacquainted with the Bounds of his Land next the Tract called Rawls's Sepulchre he has cleared and built near to the head Line of the same which now appears to be a vacancy of about 60 Pearches wide between the lines of the Holt and Rawls's Sepulchre and thereupon requests that th Prop'r would be pleased to Grant him a Resurvey on the Land he now possesses as part of the Holt and to include so much of the vacant Land aforesaid as lyes on the South of the same on the Head line of Rowls's Sepulchre which the Prop'r is pleased to Grant.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 7th: 12mo: 1737, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Thomas Downing for 233 A's in Sadbury Chester County dat'd 31: 11mo: 1737.

Ditto to Sam'l Pennock for a square of Ground in Philad'a for 21 y'rs dated 1: 12: 1737.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 24th: 12mo: 1737, himself Present.

Several Petitions were presented for the Ferry from Wiccaco to Glocester in West Jersey, which the Prop'r was pleased to Refer an Answer till this day week.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 21st: 12mo: 1737, himself present.

Upon the Attendance of the Petitioners for the Ferry from Wiccaco to Gloucester the Prop'r was pleased to appoint Richard Renshaw for that purpose and thereupon gave directions to J: Steel to draw a grant for the same during the Prop'rs pleasure or until some further regulation shall be made concerning the same—Signed a Warrant to Tho's Lawrence of Philad'a for 400 Acres of Land on the Northeast side of Susquahannah River a little above the Settlem'ts of Pextan dated the 24th: 7'br: 1736.

The 28th: 12 mo: 173⅞. The Prop'r was then at Pensbury.

The 7th: 1mo: 173⅞ Attended at the Prop'r himself ꝛ'r sent but no business done.

10th 1mo.

Upon the return of Mich'l Atkinson from Annapolis Prison he being destitute of a Place to dwell on, which being made known to the Proprietary, he was pleased to grant liberty for the said Michael to settle on the upper side or end of Conestoga Mannor on the North line thereof and that fifty Acres be circumscribed to him for that purpose with as little damage to the Mannor as may be done, for him to dwell on during his life paying yearly to the Prop'ry's.

Agreed with Magnus Simonson for the Prop'rs 1250 Acres of Land near the river Delaware in Hunterton County West Jersey for which he is to pay £250 in three Payments (viz) £50: in 9'br: next and the reside within two years after with Interest. Void for noncompliance. 29th 2mo. 1738; since sold to ye Van Etta's.

Attended at the Proprietarys the 14th: 1st mo. 173⅞ himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Jn'o Musgrove for 292 Acres near Octorara Creek in Lancaster County dat: 1: 1 mo: 173⅞.

To Septimus Robinson 300 Acres Pecque Creek in the same County 8: 1: Do.

To John Naglee for 200 Acres near Skepeck in Philad'a County 11: 1: Do.

Attended the 21st, no business done to be entered.

The 28th &c at Pensbury receiving quitrents. The 4th 2mo: very Stormy and nothing ready for Signing I was excused by the Proprietor.

Attended at the Propriet'rs the 11th: 2mo: 1738, himself Present. Present.

Peter Longaker (by J: S.) presented a Draught of about 40 Acres of Swamp Cripple or Meadow lying in Kingsess next Schuylkill desiring a Confirmation of the same, but upon Search and Enquiry in the Surveyor Generals Office neither Warrant nor Survey thereof could be found, therefore his request is referred to further Consideration.

Roger Hartley of Bucks County requests the Grant of 100 Acres of Land part of the Prop'rs Tract of 500 Acres lying in Buckingham Township, the said 100 Acres to joyn by a Parrellel line to the Land already belonging to him. The Prop'r is pleased to grant his request upon the payment of £50 & ½d Sterling ℔ Acre quitrent which the said Roger agrees to perform on his part. The 18th day the Prop'r as at Pensbury.

Attended at the Proprietarys the 25th: 2mo: 1738, himself present no business of Moment to be entered.

Attended at the Prop'r the 2d of the 3d mo 1738, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to John Griffith for 100 Acres of Land in the great Swamp dat: 29th: 2mo: 1738.

Warr'ts to Daniel Kresman & others to resurvey 492 A's in Salford township 10th: 1mo: 1737/8.

John Griffith to resurvey 100 Acres in the great swamps at Richland 10: 2: 1738.

Roger Hartley for surveying 100 Acres in Buckingham 25: 2: 1738.

G. M. Weise a Calvenist Minister dwelling near Albany in the Government of N. York having some time since by Letter on behalf of his Congregation who are Germans requested the Grant of a large tract of Land lying on both sides of the River Susquahannah about the Indian Town Called Moyheomy now applys in person for the same purpose and sets forth that 150 family's are desirous of purchasing so much Land in the place aforesaid as might accommodate them with convenient Settlements allowing 300 Acres to each Family, tho' some of them will expect more and others will be content with less quantities according to their Ability. The Prop'r having considered of there request is pleased to give for answer, That the Indian Claim not being yet purchased off, nor no Satisfactory Account of the Scituation & Quantity of Lands so requested yet given he proposes in some short time to be informed of the inclination of the Indians concerned in the Claim and also to cause those Lands to be viewed and estimated which when done he will give Orders that the Minister and People shall have notice that they may treat further on the premises.

As it is reported the Lands above requested are very extensive the Prop'r proposes to reserve a Moiety thereof free from the Settlem't requested to be hereafter held or disposed of as he may think expedient.

[The following minutes duplicates the foregoing.]

Upon the Application of G. M. Weise minister to the Congregation of Germans dwelling near Albany in the Governmen't of New York made to the hon'le Proprietary of Pensylvania on behalf of the s'd Congregation that they might be permitted to purchase a Quantity of Land Lying on both sides Sasquehannah River Sufficient for Settlements of One hundred and Fifty families who propose to Remove thither when a Grant may be Obtained for the same. The Prop'er in Consideration of the premises is pleased to give in Answer for the present that as soon as Conveniently the same may be Done he will Order some proper Persons to go upon the Land Requested, View & make an Estimate thereof the Better to Enable him to proceed in treating with the People Requesting the same which when Done and other Matters Adjusted he will cause Notice to be given to the Minister in what manner the Land may be granted to them.

The 10th of the 3 mo: 1736 I was obliged to attend the supream Court and ye Councill of Prop'rs at Burlington.

The 17th of the same Month the Proprietary was from home.

Attended the 24th of the 3mo: at the Prop'ry's himself Present. Present.

Upon the Humble Petition of John Young and several others who have presumed to settle on certain Tracts of Land lying in Buckingham Township in the County of Bucks, The Proprietary is pleased to condescend and permit the Petitioners to continue on the several Plantations whereon they dwell for the space of one year and that they may on each Settlement plow ten acres of Land in order to sow winter grain for the year ensuing provided the Petitioners shall refrain from Cutting or destroying any of the wood or Timber, standing or growing on the said Tract of Land and also pay to the Prop'rs use the rent of 20 bush'ls Merch'ble Wheat in Tho's Cambys Mill for every Hundréd acres thereof and the Charges accru'd by means of their unlawfull settling on the Lands aforesaid by Order of the Prop'r J: Steel 1738 Entries for Land to be made at Philadelphia May 15th on the request of Tho's Noxon on behalf of the following Persons: Thomas Davis now John Whitehead about 100 Acres Land on Gilpin's run a branch of blackbirds Creek adjoining Wm. Williams Land widow Gozerd and Griffith Thomas Land.

Nicholas Reynolds of Kent County Maryland for 200 Acres lying on the Heads of the branches of blackbirds Creek Newcastle County.

James Thomas for about 40 Acres Upland and about 100 Acres Marsh he says is vacant called Kitts Hammocks adjoining the Land of John Brown and Thomas Ward in Thorofare Neck.

29th April.

John Weldon for the Land he lives on about 100 Acres near the Branches Apoquinamick Creek.

During my Confinement in the Gout several weeks for attending could not be complyed with which the Prop'r was pleased to excuse.

Attended the 8th of the 6mo: 1738, at the Proprietarys himself present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Rudolph Furr for 150 Acres on Mill Creek in Lancaster County dat: 8: 5mo: 1738.

To Rob't Jones for 200 Acres & ½ an acre at maiden Creek Philad'a County 8: 6mo: 1738.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Grace LLoyd to resurvey a Lot or piece of Ground in Chester.

To Joshua Emlen to resurvey about —— Acres part of Dan'l Peggs Tract.

The 15th J: Steel was at Amboy.

Attended at the Propriet'rs the 22d: 6mo: 1738, himself Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Thomas Lawrence for 597 Acres above Pextan in Lancast'r County dat: 10: 6: 1738.

To Arthur Parke for 172 Acres ½..... } at Pextan adjoining both
To James Alcorn for 172 Acres ½..... } dated the 21: 6: 1738.

Signed a Warrant to Tho's Campbell and John Biddle for a square of Ground in the City of Philad'a for 21 y'rs at 40s. Sterling ℔ ann: dated ——

Attended at the Prop'rs the 29th: 6mo: 1738, himself Present.

Signed a Warrant of resurvey to George Robison and others on a Tract of Land lying on the south side of Little Creek in Kent County, dated ——

Attended at the Prop'rs the 5th: 7b'r: 1738, himself Present.

high street 120 ft. front in right of Thomas Harleys purchase dated 30th: 6mo: 1738.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To John Richardson for 20 Acres in Christina Hundred N. Castle County 6: 8'br: 1736.

To Mich'l Baughman 350 Acres on a branch of Mill Creek Lancaster County 17: 12: 1737.

To Henry Grup a resurvey on 150 Acres in fredericks Township Philad'a County 1: 4: 1738.

To Rob't Jordan a square of Ground in Philad'a City for 21 y'rs at 40s. Sterl: ℔ ann. Ditto.

To Henry Work and others 100 A's at Octorara Creek for a Presb: meeting house. 29: 4: 1738.

To Wm. Nise 25 Acres in Springfield Mannor 31: 5: 1738.

Sundry Interruptions occationed by the absence of the Prop'r & J: Steel no business was done or entered on the respective days of Attendance till 9'br: 6th.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 6th: 9b'r: 1738, himself Present.

Signed a Warr't for Francis Swain for 200 A's of Land in Caln Tp: Ch: County dat'd 1: 9'br: 1738.

Signed a Patent for the above 200 Acres 4: 9: 1738.

Edward Farmer by a Letter to the Prop'r prayed a Confirmation for 100 Acres of Land within the Mannor of Springfield which was first granted to Thomas Ducket on rent and afterwards it was agreed by the then Comm'rs of P'P'ty that he should hold the same at the rent of one Shilling Sterling upon his paying Ten Pounds to the Prop'rs use which never since having been paid nor any Confirmation granted thereon, the Prop'r is pleased to Consent that upon the payment of £50 without any delay and the quitrent of one half penny Sterling for every Acre thereof from this time a Warrant and Patent shall Issue for the same.

Since the above attending day, few applications have been made to the office and therefore the Minuts were taken.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 26th X'br: 1738, himself Present.

Signed the following Warrants (viz):

To Peter Baynton a resurvey on his front lot—dat: 9th: 8: 1738.

To Benj'n Johnston a resurvey on 400 Acres of Land in Kent 8'br: 9th: 1738.

To Edward Farmer a resurvey on 100 Acres in Springfield Mannor 9'br: 10: 1738.

To Thomas Savage for 100 Acres on a branch of the french Creek X'br: 1: 1738.

To James Congleton a resurvey on 130 Acres lying near the branches of Appoquiniming Creek in Newcastle County X'br: 1: 1738.

To Wolf Newcomer for 100 Acres in Leacock Township Lancaster County X: 1: 1738.

Attended the 2d: 11mo: 1738 at the Prop'rys, himself present. No business Done this Day.

Attended at the proprietors the 9th: 10 mo: 1738 himself present. N. B. the Year is I suppose by Mistake Enter'd 1638.

Signed a Warr't of Resurvey on 1200 A's of Land in Kent County to J Logan.

Ditto to resurvey 700 Acres of Land in Sussex to J: Logan.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 16: 11mo: 1738, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Rob't Jones for 30 Acres of Land near Skepeck.

Signed a Patent to David Owen for 200 Acres in Uwchlan Township.

Enoch Pierson who together with Matthew Hughes having long applyed for the Grant of a Ferry over Delaware River near the mouth of Tohickon Creek upon which the Prop'r

was pleased to order that they should both attend at the same time that the difference relating to their applications might be adjusted nevertheless Enoch came down without Matthew who by means of an Iness was prevented and earnestly requested that his application for the Ferry might be granted, but the Prop'r unwilling to determine in the absence of Matthew was pleased to direct that Richard Mitchell of Durham and Nicholas Scull should as soon as the Weather and Season of the Year will permit them to go on the Lands of the said Enoch & Matthew and carefully view the Banks of the River for discovering the best & fittest place for landing of Boats and Flatts and also the most convenient Road or passage leading to or from such landing place, and to make report in the plainest manner of their proceeding to the Prop'ry the better to enable him to determine the Affair.

Benj: Vastine requests to purchase about 150 Acres the north-east corner of the Prop'r T: P's: part of Perkasy Mannor whereon he has a small Settlem't.

Attended at the Prop'rs 23d: 11mo: 1738, himself present.

Signed a Warrant of resurvey to Peter Wishart for a small quantity of Meadow near Wiccaco Lands dated 5: 11: 1738.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 30th: 11mo: 1738, himself Present.

Signed a Patent to Abr'm Emmit for 220 Acres near the Mannor called S'r John Faggs in Chester County dated ———

Attended at the Prop'rs the 6th: 12mo: 1738, himself Present. Nothing Signed on the 13th of the same month the Prop'ry Present.

Signed the following Patents (viz):

To Peter Longaker for 60 Acres of old Swedes Land in Kingsess Philad'a County dated ———

To Mordecai Yarnal for 276 } A's in Willistown Chester County
To Amos Yarnal for 196..... } both dated 29th: 11mo: 1738.

Agreed this day (by the Prop'rs direction) with Jonathan Strange for about 170 Acres of Land on the side of Brandywine Creek within the mannor of Rocklands at ten Shillings for every acre and the quitrent of one half peny Sterling in ...e manner, fifty pounds he agrees to pay in the next 3 mo: including £7:12: now paid down & the residue with..n six months after.

Attended at the Prop'rys the 20th: 12mo: 1738, himself present.

Divers People from Lancaster County attending their business at the Office the Prop'r was pleased to dismiss me on that occation.

Attended at the Prop'rs the 27th: 12mo: 1738, himself present.

Signed a Patent to David Martin for 370 Acres on a branch of Conestogo Lancaster County dated 26: 12mo: 1738.

And a warr't to return the Survey to David Martin made in ye Year 1726: 10. 12: 1738.

A Warrant to Lawrence Growdon for surveying the City Lots belonging to the original purchases of Lawrence and Joseph Growdon being 5000 A's each purchase 24: 12: 1738.

15th 3mo. 1739.

Smith of Hopewell requests the Grant of the Tract of 1250 Acres lying in Hunterdon County above Durham. The price given is £25 ℔ C't ready pay which he desires till the 1st of next Mo'th to consider & give his answer.

26th.

Agreed with James Cockran for a moiety of the Prop'rs 500 Acre Lot in ffollowfield Township Chest'r County whereon he has presumed to settle at five & forty pounds ℔ C't & ½d Sterl: quitrent, Thirty pounds of the Money he hath now paid and the residue he proposes to pay from the Loan Office so soon as it can be obatined there his Brother is settled on the other moiety.

5mo. 24th.

The late Proprietor by Indentures of Lease and Release of the 20th & 21st of March 1681 did grant and Convey unto Tho's Sanders of Illmore in the County of Bucks (in England) Yoeman since deceased 500 Acres of Land to be surveyed in this Province quitrent one Shilling Sterling every hundred Acres, as ℔ a Copy of the Release certified by Sam'l Martin Not: Pub: under Seal appears. Tho: Sanders left two Daughters, Dameris the wife of John Kirton late of Kensington deceas'd and Susanna Sanders also dec'd.

John Kirton of London Watchmaker and Sanders Kirton of Brimpton in the County of Berks as Heirs of the said Dameris and Susannah by Deeds of lease and release of the 16 & [illegible] days of August 1738.

Copies attested ℔ Sam'l Martin Not: Pub: in Consideration of Natural Love and Affection &c did grant and Convey the s'd 500 Acres of Land and premises unto Thomas Kirton of Speen in the said County of Berks who by Power of Attorney of the 19th Aug'st 1738 did appoint Isaac & Charles Norris to Cause the said Land to be surveyed and the said Charles thereupon requests a Warrant for locating the same accordingly.

The Prop'r by their Warrant under their lesser Seal dat: at

London the 18th: 3mo: 1732. Agreed that the quantity of 5000 Acres of Land should be surveyed for the use & benefit of their Brother Thomas Penn his heirs and assigns and the s'd Prop'r T: P: by Endorsm't on the said Warrant and of the same date in Consideration of £350 Sterl: did assign and set over the Warr't and quantity of Land therein mentioned unto Joseph Turner his heirs and assigns and the said Jos: Turner by like Endorsm't of the 10: of 7'br: 1735 on the same Warrant for £500 Lawfull money did bargain, sell, assign and set over the said warr't & quantity of Land unto Wm. Allen his heirs and Assigns.

23d 6mo.

Matthew Hughs & Enoch Pearson both present after a long Contest about the Grant of the ferry over Delaware River at last agreed that for so much of Math: Hughs's Land as shall be touched or injured by means of the Ferry the said E: Pearson shall pay to M: Hughs at the rate or price of forty shillings for every Acre that shall be so touched or made use of by the said Enoch present also R: Peters & J: Steel Jun'r.

2d 8b'r 1739.

Tho's Rogers being possessed of one of the Northern Lots of Nottingham through which the Division Line of the Provinces lately run passes, he desires the Grant of that of the said Lot which lyes to the Norther'd of the line may be confirmed to him.

The late Prop'ry by Lease and release of the 14th & 15th days of August 1682 (copies only produced without proof) Granted to Rob't Sandilands then late of Aberdeen Gent: 500 Acres of Land in this Province quitrent one Shilling (Sterl:) for every hundred Acres.

Rob't Sandilands (then of Speen in the County of Berks Clerk) by Letter of Power of Attorney of the 5th June 1725 duly proved and since recorded did therein authorize Col: John Hamilton then of the City of N: York to grant, bargain Sell &c all his Lands &c in East Jersey Pensilv'a or elsewhere in America and to sign, seal &c Deeds for the same.

John Hamilton by Lease and Release of the 20th & 21st of July 1730 pursuant to the Power aforesaid granted the aforesaid 500 Acres of Land for £50 unto Jeremiah Langhorn who now request a Warr't for the same.

12th 1739.

Agreed by the Prop'rs Direction with Joshua Emlen for the lot of Ground lying between the front & second streets from Delaware River near Vine Street supposed to contain in breadth about 75 ft. and in length from the front street to the second

Street, for which the said Joshua is to pay five Pounds for every foot contained in the breadth of the said Lot in Manner following: Two hundred Pounds now in hand and the residue at the expiration of six Months after the date hereof without Interest provided the money shall be paid at the said time, but if not then interest is to be accounted from this time, and if the same shall be paid before the end of the six months, a rebate of so much interest in proportion to the time shall be allowed, and thereupon a sufficient Patent or deed shall be then executed to the said Joshua Emlen for the said Lot the quitrent from hence forward to be in proportion to other front Lots.

David Hare says the Prop'r has agreed that he shall have the place at the rent of three Pounds ℔ Ann: where Casper Ut now dwells within the Tract called Perkasy Mannor the rent to begin the first day of next month 19th: 12 mo: 1739-40 for one year whereupon I sent the following:

Casper Ut, I am directed by our hon'ble Prop'r to let thee know that David Hare has agreed for the place where thou hast presumed to settle within the Tract called Perkasy Mannor, and therefore thou are to leave and Deliver the same to him on the first day of next month in good Order and without carrying from thence except they own Goods & Creatures.

20th 2mo: 1741.

John Vandegriff requests the grant of the ferry over Neshameny Creek now held by J: Baldwin he says the Prop'r ordered this entry.

Thomas Marple of Abington informs that about 129 Acres of Land in that Township joining on his other Land he believes does belong to the Prop'r and desires to purchase the same.

29th 3mo. 1741.

The Prop'r was pleased to grant Cadwalader Foulk the priviledge of a passage Ten foot broad at the north end of the Huntsmans house from the second street into his Pasture of which he ordered me to acquaint C. F.

27th 5mo.

Malachy Walton requests the Grant of the Ferry from Bristol to Burlington after the expiration of T: Mariots Grant he will advance the rent he has this morning applyed to the Prop'r as he says who ordered this entry to be made.

The late Prop'ry in & by Indentures of Lease & Release dated the 3th & 4th of Ap'l 1695, Grant'd to Henry Gouldney of the City of London 1500 Acres of Land in this Province quit't 1s. each hun-

dred. The s'd Prop'r by deed poll of the 20 of the same month and Year released unto the said H. Gouldney the quitr't reserved in the first mentioned Indentures Henry Gouldney in and by his last Will and Testam't dated the 20th of August 1724 did among other things bequeath unto his Kinsman Adam Gouldney the residuary part of his estate of which the said Land is part.

Adam Gouldney also made his last Will and Testam't dated the 24th July 1728 but did not therein devise the said Land so that after his decease the same descended to his Widow and Children who by Indentures of Lease and release dat: the 15th & 16th of Ap'l 1737, Bargained sold and Conveyed the said 1500 Acres of Land unto Jane Fenn of Chester in this Province 3 several Warrants were granted by the Prop'rs all dated the 22d March 173¾ for surveying the said Land or for returning the Surveys which by their order from London of the 22d X'br 1729, had been made of 3 several Tracts in Lancaster County completing ye whole 1500 A's.

A Commission to Walter McCoole appointed Ranger of Bucks County dat 10th 4mo: 1741. Ditto to George Boon Esq'r to Ranger of Philad'a County of the same date with the above.

Elisha Gatchell having formerly requested the Grant of the South part of the Lot of Land whereon the Brick meeting house in Nottingham Township is built he now desires that when such Grant may be obtained that his Son in Law Thomas Hughs who has already made some improvement thereon may have the benefit of the same.

Abraham Emmitt having been over Susquahannah River about 2 or 3 Miles to the North of the Temporary line run between the two Provinces has discovered two pieces of Vac't Land, one whereof joins on the west side of a Tract claimed by C: Carrol the other piece joins on the north east Corner of the same Tract both which pieces he desires may be granted to him.

About the 25th of 7'br: 1735 at the Prop'ry's House he informed me and bid me take Notice that the same day two Gentlemen from Maryland one whose name was Carroll had been with him (the Prop'r) in the forenoon and upon some discourse they had together about the Lands on the west side of Susquahannah River the two Gentlemen proposed to purchase 20,000 Acres of the same to be laid out at some distance from the River, to which the Prop'r answered (as he told me soon after & bid me take notice of it) that he had much rather make his Grants and Settlem'ts to begin at the River Side and to proceed Westward by regular Surveys as the People should apply for Grants and that the proposal of those Gentlemen should be considered.

Memorandum. John Morris agrees to pay for all the wheat

that shall be delivered to him in Spring Mill after the date hereof on the Proprietarys Account for quitr't shall be acounted for at three pence ℔ Bushel short of the market price at Philad'a at the time of delivering such Wheat.

3mo. 5th 1739.

Philad'a 1st June 1739. The late Prop'r by Warr't of the 17th: 4mo: 1684, Granted to be surv'd to Amos Nichols purchaser of 300 Acres in any of the Countys of this Province directed to T: Holm and in a different hand to Wm. Welch, who under writs, add 200 more by the Govern'rs Order in all 500 Acres W: W: and endorsed to Henry Hollingsworth 1st: 6mo: 84. Henry Hollingsworth certifies that on ye 6th of the same month he surveyed the said 500 Acres in pursuance of the said Warr't on Scots run a branch of Georges Creek in Newcastle County, the Warr't and Survey in the Surv'r Gen'ls Office. The Prop'r is pleased to allow the quitr't already due & to become due on the same shall be one bushel of wheat for each hundred acres at the request of John Gooding who is or has been Owner of part of the Tract.

22d 12mo. 1739-8.

Joseph Wharton says the Prop'r was pleased to grant him leave to enclose within fence the Lots of Ground lying between the Lots of Wm. John & C. Lowthers and the south street of the City till further Order whereupon he is to remove his fence to his own bounds when required.

The End of Book K.

Examined & Corrected ℔ Jn'o Hughes.

MINUTES OF BOARD OF PROPERTY.

Meeting 5th September 1765.

Mathias Bush's Application for Addition to the Jews Burying Ground granted at 15's Sterling Quit Rent over & above the 5 shillings Sterling for Mr. Levys part.

Mr. Thomas Saltars Application considered & agreed that the 75 ft prop'y Lot Bank & Water on the North Side of Callowhill Street be offered him at 12 shillings Sterling ℔ foot in like Manner as the prop'rs Proposal to Mr. Malcolm And to have a Lease for the 50 ft. Lot at the End of Callowhill Street on same Terms and for the same Number of Years as the Corpora'n of Philad'a City grant their new Wharfes at the Ends of the Streets.

Mr. James Galbraiths Lease for the Island in Susquehanna renewed at 's Sterling Quit Rent for Years & now signed & to be exchanged when Counterpart signed.

Mess'rs Stedmans Applications & all new Grants for lands as well for Iron Works as other Lands to be on the new Terms if no Improvements made before the Commencement of them & if for Iron Works all the Money is to be paid down on granting the Warrant. [N. B. On App'n for S'd Improv'ts before new Terms this to be on the old Terms with Int's & Q't R't from time of Settlement].

Mr. Pattens Application for Land for Iron Works further considered & Mr Seely & B. Lightfoots Certificate being read Ordered that a Warrant issue Dated on first Application.

Ordered that the 2 Caveats against Jacob Yoner, vizt. the 2 Garbers & Hoobers be both heard on the 24th Instant & that the parties & Jno. Scull have Notice in Writing to attend them.

Warrant Ordered to issue for Remainder of the Hospital Lot agreeable to the prop'rs Letter of the 7th Dec'r 1764 to the Governor.

At a Meeting of the Agents at the Governors the 19th September 1765.

Peter Righters Ferry patent to be renewed on same Rent.

Wm. Walkers Application for a ferry at Reedy Island to be considered after talking with Mr Chew (who recommended Walker) about it.

The secretary to write to the proprietors about the Grants of Ferrys & whether to raise the present Rents on Renewals & on what Rents to make the new Grants.

Mr McClay to survey as much Land on Montours Claim as he can find good without Regard to subsequent Warrants at the place where he has surveyed the 429 Acres.

The petition of John Young considered & the special Warrant to be issued according to the prayer of the petition.

To employ Mr Edward Shippen jun'r to sue the persons named in Jacob Wislers Letter of the 9th Septem'r last for cutting Wood on the Island &c'a at Sasquehanna & to bring Ejectments against the persons settled on the Indian Town.

The Draft of the Surveyor Gen'ls Instructions to his Deputies to be laid before the Governor & perused by the Sec'ry & Agents.

At a Meeting of the Agents at the Governors Thursday the 3d October 1765.

The Secretary reported to the Governor that there being a Necessity to reserve for the prop'ries a Farm in the Manor of Springton as all the Lands in Chester County are held under that Manor he w'th Mr Rich'd Richison went & viewed the Remainder of the Manor yet unsold and fixt upon a Farm (being the best now in the Prop'rs Power) consisting of the 3 following Lots vizt:

	Acres.
No 8 in Possession of Thomas Alford,	162¼
No 16 in Possession of ye Widow Deane,	115
No 18 in Possession of Samuel Caruthers,	155
Tot.,	432¼

Which being approved of it is Ordered to be Resurveyed & divided into 2 Farms in such Manner as will best suit to accommodate each with Meadowing Timber &c'a.

And that the s'd Sam'l Caruthers, who now applies for that Purpose shall have the Preference of that Farm where his Improvements are.

On Considering the Application of John Guches for the purchase or renting of the small Triangular Piece of Vacancy at the South End of Newberry Street in York Town joining on Botts Line & Land purchased by him from Hermanus Botts and also on Codorus Creek The Sec'ry is to send an Order to the Deputy Surveyor at York to survey & Return a Draught of that Vacancy & Report his & Mr Johnsons Opinion of the Quality & Value thereof, in Order that the Governor & Agents may then consider whether to grant or rent it & ye Terms.

The Sec'ry is also to order ye Deputy Surveyor to lay out a

small Square at the Intersection either of Penns Street or Newberry Street & High Street on the West Side of Codorus, where Mr Johnston and the Deputy Surveyor shall judge it for the public Advantage and they to send their Thoughts thereon with the Draught of s'd Square if they approve of it.

The Dra't of an additional Instruction from ye Surveyor Gen'l to his Deputies (proposed by ye Sec'ry) read & approved viz't: 6thly I am particularly ordered by the Governor & Proprietary Agents to enjoin you to be very careful in every Survey you make, either on Applications for Land as unimproved, or on Warrants for Improvem'ts since the Opening the office for granting Lands on the new Plan the 5th of August last, that where you find any Improvement on the Land you are fully to inform yourself & report to the Office with your Return of the Survey, when such Settlement or Improvement was first began, and where the Land has no Improvement on it but joins some other Land of the Appliers which has been settled or improved or has been granted to him by Warrant, you are then to express in your Draught and Return of Survey that it joins such other Land of the Appliers.

At a special Meeting of ye Agents at ye Governors the 5th Octo'r 1765.

On the Motion of Mr Weiss for Mary Peery Widow of Nicho's Peery ye Gover'r orders y't ye Surv'r Gen'l shall in 3 Mo's make enquiry whether ye 500 a's Right sold by the H'rs of Rich'd Crosby to Philip Ebrecht was not located by s'd Crosby in his life time.

Note—the Gov'r being very much engaged in public Business on the Return of Sheriffes &c'a & his attendance on ye Meeting of ye Assembly at Newcastle for near a Fortnight prevented any more Meetings for property Business in October.

At a Meeting of the Agents at ye Gov'rs the 7th Novem'r 1765.

The Petition of Geo. Ilgenfrets read & the Gov'r orders the petitioners Applica'n to be entred for the Land desired in Order that he may have the preference of other Appliers on his complying with the Terms hereafter to be fixt by the Agents.

The Sec'ry to transmit to the Prop'rs Mr Weiss's Petition on behalf of several persons who have Tickets for Lots in York & have built tho', not within the Time limited in the Tickets.

A Complaint having been made to the Governor that Moses Thompson's patent which should have been granted long ago has been neglected and is now ordered by ye Gov'r to be issued as soon as patents can be granted [The Sec'y finds on Enquiry into ye Reason of this Complaint y't tis on a common Return of w't & Survey & y't Mr Tea was p'd for the pat. but neg'd to issue it.]

At a Meeting at the Governors the 21st November 1765.

Herman Orendorff agt John Meas } on Caveat.

The hearing postponed, ye Surveyor Gen'l not being present and in ye meantime this dispute is referred by Consent of ye parties to a neighbouring Justice and a Deputy Surveyor to settle it or report to the Governor their Opinion thereon.

Christ'n Neff agt Adam Shally } on Caveat.

The parties appear & agree to refer the Dispute to Adam Read Esq & Bartram Galbreath Deputy Surveyor.

Sebastian Shallus agt Thos Pettit or his assignee } on Caveat.

Heard & to be considered at the next Meeting when the Surveyor General is present.

Bryan Collins agt Thos. Rossiter } on Caveat.

The Parties appeared and the Land in Dispute being within John Hunnings Pat. for 166 A's the Caveat is dismissed.

Geo Armstrong agt H'rs & Devisees of Conrad Weiser } on Caveat.

The parties appeared Mr Armstrong says he had made Improvements on the Land in Dispute before Mr Weisers Warrant viz't in June 1761 but Frederick Weiser says he was on the Land in June 1761 & y't there were no Imp't on ye Land save that there were some trees cut for a house on Auchmudty's Improvement and where his House stood.

The State of the Case & Papers read & the parties being heard the Governor takes time to consider of the Matter.

At a Meeting at the Governors the 19th Dec. 1765.

The Governor orders that in granting the Lots in York Town on the West Side of Codorus the Secretary take Care to insert in the Warrants or Patents a Proviso against erecting a Mill or Dam or any Race being carried through any of the Streets Alleys or Lotts on either Side of Codorus. The petition of ye Inhabitants of Windsor in York County for altering the Terms of granting their Lands read & rejected as ye Governor has not power to alter ye Terms limited in his Commission of property.

At a Meeting at ye Governors 2d Jan'y 1766.

Long
v
Shallus } 2d Hearing of Caveat.

Ordered by the Governor that Mr. Weiss & Mr Miller shall state the case agreeable to the Warrants Surveys & Facts & take the Opinion of Mr Chew for the Governors final Determination.

Kenny & Fitzgerald
v
George Armstrong } Hearing on Caveat.

William Beale affirmed that he on the 18th Decm'r last gave Notice to George Armstrong to attend this hearing before the Governor to-day and he agreed to attend accordingly either by himself or his Lawyer.

Whereupon (Mr Armstrong not attending) the Governor proceeded to hear Kenny & Fitzgerald & Order that the Surveyor General shall survey or cause to be surveyed to each party his Quantity agreeable to and in ye Order as the Warrants issued having regard to include each persons Improvem't & to the time of beginning the Improvem'ts.

William Beale
agt
James Kennedy } on Caveat.

The Governor Orders that the Surveyor Gen'l write to Wm Lyon to return Wm Beales 263 A's Tract on Tuscarora Creek & if he refuses that it be Resurveyed agreeable to the first survey made by s'd Wm Lyon.

George Hergusheimer Blacksmith applying for the Lot No 70 on the North Side of Callowhill St being the North East Corner of the Market Square & bounded by No 73 on the East & the s'd Square & No 69 on the West. The Governor Orders y't it be granted to him & a Warrant & pat. to issue when the Office opens at the Q't R't of 6's stg p ft from the 1st of March now next he giving Security to build in 3 years agreeable to Regulation & the Terms of the other Lots there.

At a Meeting at the Governors of the Agents &c'a the 16th Jan'y 1766.

The Governor orders the Secretary to write to Mr Read the prothon'ry at Reading to inform William Iddings the Tenant of the prop'rs Farm there that his Lease being expired it is proposed to be let to another at such advanced Rent & Terms as the Governor shall approve after Mr Read has reported to him the State and Condition of the Farm and his Opinion what Rent & Terms will be reasonable for the Farm.

Philip Kimmel Ass'ee of Adam Kimmel agt Martin Klawdy } on Caveat.

Ordered by the Governor that the Surveyor General shall direct his Deputy to execute the Warrants under which the parties claim agreeable to the Order of their Date and the Circumstances of their several Claims and Improvements which the Deputy is to report to the Surveyor General if the parties shall not be satisfyed with the Surveys.

Jacob Giles agt Samuel Royer & Daniel Royer } on Caveats.

The said Sam'l Royer appears and produces a Deposition proving the Service of the Notice for the Appointment of the hearing this Day and the other parties viz't the said Mr Giles and Mr Weiss for Daniel Royer also appearing their several Allegations were heard but the Surveys under the several Warrants of Mr. Giles and the Company Owners of Cornwall Furnace &c'a not having been returned by the Deputy into the Surveyor Generals Office the Governor respites the final Determination on these Caveats till the Returns of the said Surveys shall be made into the Surveyor Generals Office & his honour now Orders that the Surveyor General shall require and oblidge his Deputy immediately to compleat and return the same. [Mr Giles desires ye Governor will be pleased if ye Royers make any further objections to ye Surveys when Returned to insist on their satisfying him that there is good reason to give Mr Giles another long Journey to answer them].

The Governor orders the Secretary to write to Mr James Galbreath of Cumberland to view and value the Tract of acres No in the Manor of Lowther lately surveyed by Colonel Armstrong in Order to agree with Capt Gordon & Mr Geo. Croghan for the purchase thereof.

At a Meeting at the Governors the 6th Feb'y 1766 The Rent of Cha's Grantums Lease of the Island fixed at 20s Sterling.

Robert Matthews
vs
Arthur Graham &
Robert Christy
} on Caveat.

The parties being fully heard the Govern'r orders that s'd Matthews shall have his 150 A's Warrant filled up by an Additional Survey out of the vacant Land joining his first surveyed Land on the Terms of his Warrant And y't ye Remainder of the vacancy be surveyed for s'd Graham & Christy according to their Applications.

Gov'r orders the Lot at Carlisle to be granted to Mr. Wm Trent accord'g to his Application & Colonel Armstrongs Letter of the 14th of January last at 30s Sterling Q't R't & that Mr Armstrong lay it out & return it in Order for granting a Warrant & Patent to Mr Trent when the Office opens.

On Mr. Smiths renewing his Application for the prop'y Lot at the N. E. Corner of 2d Street continued & Cedar St & extend'g along ye St to & upon the East Side of Jekyl St for which he petitioned the prop'ry, the Gov'r & Mr Hockley proposed to view it accord'g to the prop'rs Letter of 3rd of June 1763 and then further to consider of it & give Mr Smith an Answer And the Surv'r Gen'l is ordered to prepare a Dra't of that Strip for the Governor.

At a Meeting of the Governor and proprietary Agents the 20th Feb'ry 1766.

Henry Davis
agt
George Gantzhorn
} Hearing on Caveat.

Henry Davies produces a Bill of Sale for the Land in Dispute with Affidavits proving the due Execution of it & Gantzhorns Acknowledg'mt of his having received full Satisfaction for the purchase Money. The Governor therefore orders the Caveat to be dismissed and that the Surveyor proceed to execute s'd Davies's two Warrants respecting the s'd Land.

Thomas Hossack
agt
James Murphy
} on Caveat.

Thomas Hossack not appearing, tho' duly served with Notice to attend this Day to prosecute this Caveat and full proof being made of the parties having submitted the Matters in Difference to certain Arbitrators whose Award was made and of both the

parties being fully satisfied and acquiescing therewith The Gov'r orders this Caveat to be dismissed and that the Lines be settled as run & made by Mr. Mathews Deputy Surveyor in pursuance of the s'd Award.

Mr. Stephen Shewell applies for an Order of Survey for 166⅔ Acres on an ancient original Warrant signed by the prop'r Wm Penn Esq'r now produced for s'd quantity by Wm Frampton Dated which s'd Mr Shewell alledges was never layed out and that he has now the Right thereto. The Governor orders the secretary to send a Copy of the s'd Warrant with a full State of Mr. Shewells Title & Claim thereto, unto the Prop'rs for their Consideration & Direction. [Note.—s'd Warrant was never bro't to ye Surveyor Gen'l office or Sec'ry Office having lain ever since ye Date in the Hands of the party claiming & never produced till ab't 6 mo's ago].

John Kennedy
agt
James Murphy
} Hearing on Caveat.

On a full hearing of the parties the Governor orders this Caveat to be dismissed & that the Survey of 185 A's 67 P's made in pursuance of Ja's Murphy's Warr't of the 21st May 17—be returned & confirmed to him.

Michael Everighter
agt
Joseph Dennis
} on Caveat.

The Surveys made for Joseph Denis of the 2 Tracts on his Warrant of the 30th December 1758 appearing to be irregular the Surveyor General is desired to rectify the s'd Survey agreeable to the Course of the Office.

To send to the Prop'rs Copy of the Jersey Survey of the Island at the Mouth of Derby Creek leased by our prop'rs to Chas. Grantum.

Martin Link
agt
Conrad Fridley
} on Caveat.

On hearing the Parties ye Governor takes time to consider of his judgment and in the meantime orders the Sec'ry to write to the two next Justices viz't Messrs. Carpenter & Zacheus Davies Esq'rs to hear the parties on this Caveat & make their Report thereon.

James Martin
agt
David Mathews
} Hearing on Caveat.

The Governor takes time to consider of his Judgment & in the mean time the Surveyor General is ordered to make out his Re-

turn on the Warrant of Resurvey w'ch he has made on Mathias Martins Warrant of the 14th 1764 & lay out Draught before Mr Chew for his Consideration.

At a Meeting at the Governors on property Business the 7th March 1766.

The 4½ Feet of overplus Ground applyed by Thomas Lay on the South Side of his other Ground on the East side of Water Street between Market and Arch Streets & running into the River Delaware is ordered to be laid out by the Surveyor General & on his Report to the Governor that the same is vacant or Overplus the Terms to be considered & fixt by his Honour.

The like Order on Thomas Leech's Application for 4½ feet joining his Ground on the East Side of Front Street and extending to Water Street.

Mary Gerrard's Application for Lot No 21 in New Market Street near Callowhill Market, granted & ordered to be laid out by the Surveyor General.

The Application of Samuel Ashmead Esq'r for Lot No 22 in said Street also granted & ordered to be laid out.

Jacob Whistler's Letter of the 26th February last considered by the Governor, and the Secretary is ordered to write to Whistler to pull down the house put up by Christopher Tursh and any others that may be erected on the blue Rock Farm & to give in the Names of all Trespassers on the said Farm or any other the prop'rs Lands or Islands to Edward Shippen jun'r Esq'r in Order that they may be prosecuted when the Courts open.

The Secretary is ordered by the Governor to write to Bartram Galbraeth Deputy Surveyor to superintend the Islands above Andersons Ferry & to give in the Names of all Trespassers on any of them to Edward Shippen jun'r Esq'r in Order that they may be prosecuted when the Courts open.

Michael Dumma's Tract of 69 Acres 100 perches in Conestogo Manor ordered by the Governor to be granted to him at Fifty shillings ℔ Acre provided he pay the Whole at one payment on or before the 1st of May 1767 without Int'st.

Blaine agt Tea } on Caveat.

The Parties appeared and were heard.

The Governor takes time to consider of his Judgment And in the mean Time orders that the whole Tract on which Alex'r McClintocks Improvement (now claimed by said Blaine) was made, with the contiguous Vacancy be surveyed and that the Deputy

Surveyor send his Draught of the Land with his Remarks and to mark on his Draught the part where s'd Improvem't is made in Order that the Governor may further consider thereof.

[Illegible] agrees to convey to Michael Bishop Six Acres of the Land in dispute and therefore they agree and the Governor orders this Caveat to be withdrawn.

The Governor orders that the Secretary write to Samuel Johnston & Martin Echelberger Esq'rs to hear the Dispute between Lodowick Speece & Jacob Wymert respecting the piece of Proprietary Land of about 100 Acres near the Town of York for the purchase whereof each contends for the preference, and that they make their Report thereon to the Governor.

James McCarty agt Henry Cartwright } on Caveat.

The parties appeared and were heard.

And the Governor Orders the Surveyor General to cause the Land in Dispute to be surveyed or Resurveyed in the Order and agreeable to the Warrants issued to the said parties, And on Return thereof the parties (if they shall not be satisfyed with such Surveys) are to appear again before the Governor for his final Determination, And the Surveyor General to give particular Directions to his Deputy respecting this Affair.

The Governor Orders the Sec'ry to apply to Mr William Trent to desire he will reduce to writing his Remarks respectiug the laying out the Out Lots near the Town of Carlisle.

The Governor Orders the Surveyor General to enquire into the Reason why the Warrants to Cap'ns Basset, Barnsley, Dow & Ward for Lands on or near Wills's and Evitt's Creeks in Cumberland County are not executed And to take Care to get them executed as soon as conveniently may be save such of them as it may be proper to postpone till the running & Settlement of the Line between this province & Maryland is compleated.

At a Meeting at the Governors March 20th 1766, present
His Honour the Governor
Mr Hockley Receiver General
the Surveyor General
the Sec'ry.

James Elliot agt Wm Thompson } on Caveat.

Ordered that this Matter be heard the third Thursday in April

being the 17th Day of the same Month And that Notice be given to Elliot that unless he then appears or sends a reasonable Excuse his Caveat will be dismissed.

George Reigel
agt
Henry Shollenberger,
Martin Keiffer &
Henry Moyer
} On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties Ordered that the Caveat be dismissed & that Returns be made upon the Applications of the Defendants agreeable to their respective Quantities with the usual Allowance of six per Cent.

Christ'n Peidlar
agt
Owen Roberts
} on Caveat.

The Defend't having Notice of the hearing and not attending And it appearing that the Improvement mentioned in the Plaintiffs Caveat was made under a License from Mr. Cookson dec'd (who then had powers from the Sec'ry to give Licenses of Settlement's) for 50 A's the Governor determines that 50 Acres be surveyed to the plt. according to his Application of the 18th of January last, so as to include his House, Mill Race & other Improvem'ts in the most convenient Manner.

Martin Link
agt
Conrad Fridley
} on Caveat.

The Governor in Considering the Report made by Messrs. Emanuel Carpenter and Zacheus Davies takes further time to consider the matter & in the mean time orders the Sec'ry to write those Gentlemen to state to him the Facts and Reasons upon which they grounded their Opinion and to return an Answer by the third Thursday in April or sooner if they conveniently can.

At a Meeting &c at the Governors on Thursday the third Day of April 1766.

present

His Honour the Governor
Mr. Receiver General Hockley
Mr. Secretary
Mr. Surveyor General

Barbara Bowman
agt
Henry Stoner
}

Caveat to be dissolved unless Cause shown the first Thursday

in May next being the first Day of the Month due Notice being given by Stoner.

Philip Kimmel Assignee of Adam Kimmel agt Martin Klawdy

The parties being heard and the Return of the Surveyor made pursuance of the Governors Order of the 16th January 1766 being considered it is determined by his Honour the Governor that the Survey of Martin Klawdy be accepted for the Land Marked C upon the Return and that the Survey of Philip Kimmel alias Kimble be accepted for the Land marked A & B upon the same Return.

Ordered by the Governor that the Surveyor General examine & inform himself whether a Survey lately returned for Israel Morris in Mount bethel Township in Northampton County be laid upon any Land before surveyed for the Prop'r use & make Report with all convenient Speed.

Daniel McHenry agt Jacob & Michael Kimmel al's Kimble

Philip Kimmel having made Affirmation that he gave Jacob & Michael Kimmel and also Solomon Ferree Notice of a hearing on this Day, the Governor proceeds to a hearing of the Matter upon which it appeared that one Thomas Miles obtained a Warrant for 100 Acres of Land Dated 24th October 1743 & dyed and that Christina his Widow after his Death obtained another Warrant for 50 A's in Addition to that obtained by her husband which last Warrant was dated the 5th of November 1748, afterwards she sold her interest in both those Warrants, to one Edmunson and one Cook from whom the Right by Course of Conveyances some very irregular become vested in Philip Kimmel alias Kemble the elder who designing under these Warrants to include & return about 300 A's made Deeds of 100 A's a piece to his sons Philip, Jacob & Michael in Consequence of which they took separate possessions in different Parts of the Land afterwards Jacob & Michael without the Privity of Philip applied to the Land Office and procurred a Vacat of the old Warrants to Miles & his Wife and obtained a new Warrant to themselves in lieu thereof upon which Daniel Mchenry who married one of the Daughters of Thomas Miles entered the present Caveat supposing the Right lay in those Children together with his Widow. Afterwards Jacob & Michael sold their Right to Solomon Ferree and Philip Kimmel purchased the Rights of Mchenry & Wife And the

other Children of Miles so that Philip Kimmel now stands in the place of Mchenry and Solomon Ferree in the Place of Jacob & Michael Kimmel whereupon it is Ordered by his Honour the Governor that the Surveyor do return a Draught of the Whole Quantity of Land intended to be surveyed under the Warrant to Michael & Jacob Kimmel and thereon set off & describe for Solomon Ferree two thirds thereof to include the Improvements of Michael & Jacob & one third to include the Improvement of Philip each in the most convenient Manner to their respective Improvements in Order that Warrants may accordingly issue to accept Surveys for the said Philip & the said Solomon Ferree for their respective parts or that they may have a further hearing upon the said Return if the Division be not satisfactory to them.

Daniel Field
agt
Prop'y

Ordered that this Caveat be heard the last Monday in May 26th day. And that the Surveyor General give Notice to James Scull Deputy of Northampton County to attend at that time.

Wm. McFaren
agt
Ditto
} same

Francis Mason
agt
Do.
} same

Ordered that after the next first Thursday in May the last Monday in every Month be the Days for hearing Disputes on Caveats and for transacting other Business before the Governor as Commissioner of Property.

James Hanna
agt
Alex'r McDowell

On hearing, determined that James Hanna has made good his Allegation. That he be admitted to an Application for his Improvement and Land adjoining agreeable to a division Line run between the parties by Isaac Saunders Esq'r and that the Line shall be the Boundary of the Survey to be returned on McDowells Warrant.

At a Meeting at the Governors the third Thursday in April being the seventeenth Day of the same Month 1766,

present

His Honour the Governor
the Surveyor General
the Secretary.

Israel Morris
agt
the prop'y
} on Caveat

The Dispute is continued to the last Monday in May.

Sebastian Shallus
agt
Geo. Long.
} on Caveat

The Governor takes time to consider of the Affair till the last Monday in May.

Lewis Gordon
agt
Jno Lukens Surveyor General
and Alexander Brown

Ordered that this Caveat be postponed till last Monday in May of which Mr. Gordon is to give Alexander Brown Notice.

Ordered that the Secretary write to Mr. Samuel Johnston of York to take in the Application of any Persons inclining to build on the Lots on the North West of Codorus or new part of the said Town or the Outlots of the said Town, And to transmit such Applications to the Secretaries Office and to let the People know they may proceed to build and improve, on making such Application.

John McIlroy
agt
Nathaniel Clark
} on Caveat.

Ordered that the Surveyor make a Plan of the Lands & Improvements of each party, and likewise of the vacant Land adjoining them and return to the Board for the Governors final Determination of the Dispute, against the last Monday in June.

Martin Link
agt
Conrad Fridley
} on Caveat.

The Letters from Mess'rs Carpenter and Davis in Answer to the Secretarys Letter wrote them by the Governors Order being read and the Allegations & Proofs of the parties at a former hearing considered it appears That on the 10th of November 1741 David Burket obtained a Warrant for 100 A's of Land in Consequence of which he had at twice 81 A's surveyed he then sold his Right & Possession to Martin Link who before any Return made had an additional Survey of about 25 A's made. That there is some Irregularity in those Surveys which are not yet returned. That

the 5th August 1765 Conrad Fridley made Application to the Office for 50 A's of Land upon the new Terms under which Application he wou'd include the 25 A's of Link. And since that Application Link has hade an Application to the Land Office to secure the Land in Dispute upon the new Terms. And upon considering the Case the Governor adjudges that the Surveyor General make Return of the original Warrant of the 10th Nov'r 1741 to include in one Draught the several parcels of Land as aforesaid surveyed under it so as to exclude the Dwelling house of Fridley which Link gives up tho: it shou'd be within his pretensions. And to return under Fridleys Application as much Land as is clear of Links Pretensions.

Rowland McDonald agt James McTire jun'r } on Caveat.

It appearing that Rowland McDonald made an Application prior to McTire the Governor determines that no Survey be accepted or returned on McTires Application until Rowland McDonalds Application lodged with the Clerk of the Land Office be first satisfied by Warrant to be issued when the office is open in which Warrant a Caution is to be inserted that no Improvement now made by McTire be included.

James Elliot agt William Thompson } Caveat.

On hearing It appearing that Elliotts Warrant tho' subsequent to Thompsons is founded on an Improvement Title & purchase under it prior to Thompsons Warrant the Governor Determines that Elliots Warrant be first satisfied.

James Douglass agt Arthur Graham } on Caveat.

It appearing that Douglass had Notice of hearing to Day & not appearing the Governor Orders this Caveat be dismissed.

Upon the Application of the Secretary Ordered that the Surveyor General instruct all his Deputies that in all Surveys hereafter to be returned, some Name such as the person interested shou'd be given to every Tract of Land so to be returned.

At a Meeting &c at the Governors Thursday the first of May 1766.

present

The Governor
Mr Receiver Gen'l Hockley
Mr Surveyor Gen'l Lukens
Mr Secretary Tilghman

Ordered that the Surveyor General lay out a Lot for Doctor William Smith on 3d Street 30 feet wide 198 feet deep adjoining Lot of Mr. William Peters or Mr. Cha's Stedman and that it be granted under the annual Rent of £6 Sterling.

Mr. Richard Peters enters a Caveat against the grant of any Part of the Land cutt off Mr Rich'd Penns Tract at Tulpehoccon till such Time as Mr. Taylor or the s'd Mr. Peters as his assignee be satisfyed as to 778 A's part thereof which was ordered in the Year 1745 to be surveyed for Mr. Taylor in part of Mr. Penns Deed of Gift to the Crispins for 3000 A's and partly for 300 A's which had been granted to Mr. White and after regranted by the Prop'ry to other people.

Peter Lowbar agt Wm. Morris } on Caveat.

The parties agree to refer this Matter to the Determination and Report of John Caton, Caesar Rodney and Mr John Barnes or any two of them. And the Governor orders tne Secretary to write to them signifying the same.

same agt Sam'l Robeson Ex'r of Dan'l Robeson } Same.

Agreement and Order as in the Caveat next before vid'e 30th March 1767.

Wymert agt Speece }

Upon considering the Report of Messrs. Johnson & Echelberger, The Governor orders that the Secretary write to the said Gentlemen requesting they will consider and report upon a plott of the whole Land the Lines proper to include Wymerts Quantity of 125 A's accommodated with Woodland.

Christian Neff agt Adam Shalley }

It appearing by a Letter from Bartram Galbreath that the parties will not apepar with their Witnesses before Justice Reed & himself agreeable to a former Reference, It is ordered that the matter be heard before the Governor the last Monday in June of

which Adam Shalley who now attends is to give Christian Neff Notice.

Barbara Bowman agt Henry Stoner } on Caveat.

On hearing of the parties, Barbara Bowman not supporting the Allegations of her Caveat therefore the same is dismissed.

Lawrence Klein assignee of Cassell agt the H'rs of Henry Kuhn } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties the Governor is of Opinion that Lawrence Klein shall have his Warrant according to the priority of his Application.

Leonard Heykler agt Michael Kurr, Christian Kurr & George Kurr

Upon hearing of this matter it appears that the Land applied for by Heykler was before surveyed to one Philip Keedler and is now become the Right of George Kurr, And therefore the Governor Determines that no survey be accepted upon Heyklers Application.

Joseph Shank agt Baltzer Spengler

This Dispute continued to the last Monday in June. Mr. Weiss for Shank, Mr. Miller for Spengler present.

Upon Reading the petition of Daniel Brown ag't Bartram Galbreath the Governor Orders that the same be heard upon the last Monday in July, And that the Surveyor General give Galbreath Notice thereof and be allowed a Copy of the petition if he think proper to take it.

Nicholas Simon & Adam Cleman agt H'rs of Lazarus Stewart } on Caveat.

Upon considering this Case the Governor determines Nicholas Simon & Adam Cleman In Trust for the Lutheran & reformed Congregation of Hanover Township have surveyed to them on their warrant as much of Lazarus Stewarts Survey as will be excluded by continuing the 46 E. Line of that Land down to Swatawra Creek. And that so much be excluded from Lazarus Stewarts Survey the same appearing to have been included without the consent of the said Lazarus.

William Stewart in behalf of himself & his Brothers & Sisters, Uncles & Aunts. agt Lazarus Stewart } on Caveat.

In this case it appears the Lands in Contest were surveyed unto Lazarus Stewart Grandfather of William upon a Warrant obtained by him. That he dyed leaving John his eldest Son Father of the Pl't and Lazarus the Def't and also Peter, James, David, Margaret & Margery his other Children. That the said John is since dece'd leaving the Pl't his eldest Son & several other Children. That the said Lands since entering this Caveat have been valued to the said William the Pl't as eldest Son of the eldest Son of the said Lazarus the Grandfather by Order of the Orphans Court of Lancaster County at £375 to be paid to the Children of the said old Lazarus and the Representatives of such as were dead according to their respective Shares. The Governor therefore Orders that the Survey (after being regulated according to the Determination in the Caveat by Nicholas Simon & Adam Cleman ag't the H'rs of Lazarus Stewart) be accepted for the said William Stewart and that a pat. issue thereon to him upon paying the prop'y Demands.

Henry Bien agt John Bickle } on Caveat.

It appearing that the Pl't claims under a Warrant prior to the Defendants and that there was a Survey made & lines marked which survey does not appear ever to have been returned by the Officer the Governor determines and orders that the Surveyor General make an Order to his Deputy to Resurvey the Pl'ts Land according to its original Bounds by Virtue of the original Warrant & make Return of the same.

Frederick Tibbins agt the same } same as above.

There being a return made for Bickle under the Name of John Scull which Henry Bean & John Tuttle who appears for Tibbins do alledge never was made by him the Surveyor General is desired to write to John Scull relative thereto.

Robert Hogg agt John Kennedy } on Caveat.

The Governor takes time to consider the matter & in the mean time orders that Mr. McClay the Deputy Surveyor make a plan of Hoggs Survey and of the Improvements of each party & the

vacant Land adjoining each Improvement & Report the same by the last Monday in July next.

Peter Reiber agt James Young } On Caveat.

The Governor takes time to consider of this Matter till the last Monday in July. Mem'o in this Case Youngs Survey is returned & Reiber is to produce his Papers & if any prior Survey has been made Young is to have what that leaves.

Simon Eshbert agt Adam Brand } On Caveat.

The Defendant not appearing the dispute is put off to the last Monday in June of which Eshbert is to give Brand Notice.

At a special meeting of the Board on Monday the 5th Day of May 1766.

present

His Honour the Governor
Mr. Sec'ry Tilghman
Mr. Receiver Gen'l Hockley
Mr. Surveyor Gen'l Lukens

Ordered that the Surveyor General with all convenient speed repair to the place called Fort Bedford in Cumberland County upon the Waters of Juniata and lay out a Town there to be called Bedford into 200 Lots to be accommodated with Streets, Lanes & Alleys with a commodious Square in the most convenient place. The Main Streets to be 80 feet wide the others 60 feet wide the Lanes & Alleys 20 feet wide. The corner Lots to be reserved for the Prop'ry & every tenth lot beside the lots to be 65 feet on Lanes & Alleys 20 feet wide. The corner Lots to be 65 feet on the front & 200 feet deep if the Ground & situation will conveniently allow of that Depth. It is likewise ordered that the streets be laid out as commodiously as may be to any Buildings now on the place worth preserving. And that the Surveyor after laying out the town receive Applications & make Enquiries to be returned & Recorded in the Secretaries Office from any person or persons inclinable to settle & build in the same town. And that the people there now settled have the preference as to their own Tenements on which they are now settled. That the Ground Rent for the present be seven Shillings Sterling ℔ Annum. And the takers up of Lots be obliged to take out their patents within Six Months from the time of Application & give Bond to Build

within 3 years a house of twenty feet Square with a Brick or stone Chimneys, And in Case of failure the Lots to be forfeited.

It is further ordered that the Surveyor General make a Survey & Return a plan of the Lands nearly adjacent to the Town and Report the Nature & Quality of them.

At a Meeting of the Board of Property at the Governors on Thursday the 15th Day of May 1766.

present

His Honour the Governor
Mr. Receiver General Hockley
The Secretary

Upon the Application of Jane & William Woods the Governor Orders that the Deputy Surveyor of Lancaster County do make a Survey according to their Warrant and Return the same, giving Notice thereof to Bernard Hawer or any person claiming under him a Survey made in pursuance of a Warrant granted him Dated 21st November 1754 in Order that the s'd Bernard or other person claiming under him may caveat ag't the s'd Jane & William if he or they shall think fit.

Michael Graham
agt
Jarret Graham
} On Caveat.

On hearing of the parties & considering the Plotts & other Papers produced, it appears necessary before a final Determination that the place where a bounded post the Beginning of both their patents should be settled & it is recommended to them to choose 3 indifferent persons to settle the s'd plan of Beg'g. And they accordingly agree that James Moore, Samuel McIlduff & Samuel Allen or any two of them do settle the said dispute. And therefore it is ordered by the Governor that the said Dispute concerning the said Beginning Post of their respective patents be referred to the said Referees or any two of them. And that they make their Report upon the same.

Daniel McPeak
agt
James Blellock

Upon hearing the parties & considering the Papers & a State and the Opinion of Benjamin Chew Esq'r to whom the matter was referred by a former order, The Governor the late Sec'ry be revised, And that no survey be accepted for the 53 A's & 108 prs. by the s'd Judgment allowed to McPeak.

But that the survey of 190 A's made for Francis Woods & returned for James Blellock who purchased under him be accepted & a patent thereon issued upon the said James Blellocks complying with the Prop'ry's Demands upon the Land.

At a Meeting at the Governors on the last Monday being the 26th Day of May 1766.

present

The Governor
Mr Receiver General Hockley
Mr Secretary Tilghman.

John Clemson agt Dan'l McPherson } On Caveat.

The parties appeared & upon hearing it being evident that the Land claimed by McPherson under his Warrant is included within Patent Land claimed by Clemson. The Governor Orders that no Survey be accepted on McPhersons Warrant.

[Vide Rehearing app'd the 19th Dec'r 1766 in Caveat Book page 45 & Decision in McPhersons Favour 24th Feb'y 1767.]

Barbara Peery agt Charles King }

Barbara Peery not having had timely Notice of this Hearing the Matter is postponed till the last Monday in June King giving ten days Notice to Barbara Peery.

James Moore & David Campbell agt Samuel Bethel & ad contra } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties it apepars that Samuel Bethel Father of the present Samuel Bethel had a Warrant and Survey prior to any Improvements made by Moore or Campbell and therefore the Governor orders that Bethel have a petent on the Survey And that the Warrants of Moore & Campbell be not executed upon any Lands within Bethels Survey.

Lewis Gordon agt Alex'r Brown } on Caveat.

The Parties appear and Mr Brown agrees that his Pretensions do not interfere with Mr. Gordons. Therefore the Governor orders that Mr Gordons Warrant be surveyed & Return made thereon.

Sebastian Shallus agt Pettit & Geo. Long } on Caveat.

The Parties having laid their proofs & Allegations before the Board It appears that about 20 years ago one Thomas Pettit settled on the Land, the Survey of which is now in Dispute. In the Year 1750 he obtained a Warrant for 100 A's adjoining James Pettit and James Hodgin on Big Conewago in Dover Township York County under which Warrant in 1751 he had surveyed to him by George Stevenson 211 A's with Allowance which Survey was never returned till the Summer of 1765. In Order to accommodate the Tract with Meadow Ground the Survey was begun on the North West Side of Conewago and included a small Strip of Meadow Ground the whole Breadth of the Tract along the Creek Side. The Rest of the Tract lyes on the South East Side of the Creek.

Dover Township extended formerly on both Sides the Creek but a new Township being sometime before laid out called Reading that Creek was made the Bounder between that & Dover. So that at the time of the Warrant the Strip of Meadow Ground in Contest & upon which Shallus located a Warrant obtained in 1765 lay in Reading Township. All the Rest of the Tract in Dover. Petit afterwards in 1764 sold his Land to John Salisbury and Joseph Kyle and they to Long for the Consideration of 450£ besides the prop'rys Incumbrance And tho' it was objected that this Tract contained much more than the Quantity of the Warrant and if at all surveyed on the N. W. Side the Creek (which was denyed and it was alledged that the Survey was recently made by persons out of office) was laid in two Townships and on both Sides a large Creek which it is alledged is contrary to the Nature of Townships and against the prop'ry Interest, Yet as so inconsiderable a part of the Land lays out of Dover Township and it appears by the Blocks of the Boundarys that the Survey was made in point of time agreeable to the Return and it was common at that time of Day to return any Quantity without Regard to the Warrant and considering that this Piece of Ground was the main Object both of the Settler and the Purchasers and considering also the Opinion of Benjamin Chew Esq'r to whom the Matter had been referred, It is determined that the said Survey be accepted for George Long & that a Patent issue accordingly to him upon complying with the prop'ry Terms.

McFarren agt Prop'ry } on Caveat.

It appearing upon the Examination of James Scull that Mc-

Ferens Application was made before the prop'rys Survey was began. It is adjudged that Survey be returned upon the Application.

Daniel Field
agt
Do.
} same

Francis Mason
agt
Do.
} same

Israel Morris
agt
Do.
} same.

Isaac Reder
agt
Smith & Woods
} on Caveat.

This Dispute put off till last Monday in August.

Robert Christy
agt
Robert Mathews
} on Caveat.

This Case put off till last Monday in August in Order to see the Draughts of Robert Mathew's & Arthur Graham's Lands.

Thomas Dyer
agt
James Blaine
} on Caveat.

It appearing that Thomas Dyer purchased an Improvement of Neil McLaughlin (now) deced adjoining the Claim of Peter Dougherty on the East Branch of Cockalamus's Creek and that both the Warrant and purchase of Blaine are subsequent to Dyers and are likewise located on Doughertys Land the Governor determines that Dyers Warrant be first satisfied and that the Survey be laid upon the East Branch of Cockalamus's Creek & to include Neil McLaughlin's Improvement.

John Smith
agt
William Gammell
} on Caveat.

Ordered that it be referred to Mess'rs Samuel Johnston John Blackburn and William Mathews or any two of them to enquire into the priority and Validity of the purchase for Warrant for One hundred Acres granted to Francis Smith under which both parties claim and that they make Report accordingly.

James Moore
agt
Wm Wilson
} on Caveat.

It appearing by a Letter from Colonel Armstrong that he had made the Surveys of each party in the most advantageous Manner

for them both, the Governor orders that if the Surveys are not returned that they be returned as Colonel Armstrong has made them and if they be returned already that they be accepted.

The Governor taking into Consideration a Survey made for the prop'rys Use of a Tract of 210 A's ¾ of vacant Land lying between the plantations of James Davies, John Maxwell, David Jones & Francis Allison and the Welch Line dividing Tredyfrin from Charlestown Township Chester County, It is agreed with the said James Davies, John Maxwell, David Jones and Francis Allison that they have the parts of the said Vacancy opposite their Lands at 50£ ℔ hundred Acres & 4s 2d Sterling Quit Rent and that the Surveyor General order their several parts of the said Vacancy to be laid out by continuing their respective Lines up to the s'd Welch Line And make Return of their several & respective parts, in order for Confirmation.

Potter agt Mr Brown } on Caveat.

Continued to the last Monday in September.

Crone agt Do. } Do.

At a special Meeting at Bushkill on Tuesday the 3rd June 1766

present

The Governor
Mr Hockley
Mr Tilghman

On further considering the Warrant heretofore granted to Jonas Seely for 10,000 A's the Governor orders the same to be sent to the Surveyor General in order to be executed on the Terms of Settlement at first agreed upon.

Monday the 30th June 1766 at the Secretaries Office, The Governor being absent the Secretary proceeded to hear the Caveats which were ready for hearing Subject to the Governors final Determination in Case the party did not think proper to abide by the Secretarys Decisions.

Peter Ettinger agt Gracious Larch assignee of Reel }

Upon hearing the parties ordered that the Surveyor lay down

the Improvement of each party together with the vacant Land thereabouts in Order for the Governors final Determination and return the same the last Monday in August.

George Mayntzer
agt
Joseph Neu

Referred by Consent of Parties to the Determination of Emanuel Carpenter and Zacheus Davies Esq'rs and John Scull or any two of them. Report to be made the last Monday in August.

John Smyth
Charles Stewart &
agt
John Davison
upon Caveat.

The Defendants not appearing tho' served with Notice. Ordered that the plaintiffs Survey upon his Application when returned be received.

Edward Bennett
agt
John & Jos Park
on Caveat.

In this Case it appears that in the Year 1714 Edw'd Bennett Grandfather of the Plt, had a Warrant for the place in Dispute upon Condition to comply with Terms in three Months but there does not appear that any Survey was made upon it nor Money paid. In the Year 1728 Arthur Park the Father of the Defendant paid the prop'ry Eighteen Pounds in part of the Land he was settled upon which is the same Land. He dyed upon it and left it to his Children who have ever since lived upon it & John's Part has been patented. Joseph in the Year 1751 applied to vacate the Warrant of Edward Bennett for Noncompliance with Terms and obtained the Warrant & survey upon it Wherefor in Consideration of the long Possession of the Land by Park & his Family and that it does not appear that Bennett or any under him ever possessed the Land or complied with the Terms of the Warrant & am of Opinion that the Matter is with the Defendants And that Joseph Parks Return be accepted & a patent granted.

Barbara Peery
agt
Philip Jacob King Assignee
of Nicholas King

Postponed till the Surveyor General who has viewed the Dispute can be consulted and therefore the Matter stands postponed till the last Monday in July.

Simon Eshbert
agt
Adam Brandt

On hearing both Partys, it appears that Adam Brandts Warrant

is much older than Eshberts and therefore Eshberts Caveat is dismissed and Brandts Survey Ordered to be accepted..

Christian Neff
agt
Adam Shalley

The parties being heard it appears that Adam Shalley has the first Warrant and Location and therefore it is ordered that his Survey be accepted.

Joseph Shank
agt
Balthazer Spengler

Upon hearing the parties it is ordered that the Deputy Surveyor of York County make a Survey of Joseph Shanks Improvement on which he lives & likewise an Improvement sold by him to Peter Feyser and of the vacant Lands adjoining them and also the Land surveyed for Balthazer Spengler and return them together in a Draught in Order for the Governors final Determination of this Dispute. The Return to be made on or before the last Monday in September.

Daniel Jones
agt
Thos. McCord

In this Case it appears that the Land was surveyed for McCord in Virtue of a Warrant in 1755 but no Return is made of the Survey. It appears likewise that in 1755 an Improvement was made on the same Land by Robert Jones and that the plaintiff purchased of him and has lived upon it about eleven Years except two Years he was driven off by the Indians and was never molested in his Possession till about 3 years ago by the Demand of John Brown who purchased of McCord.

It appears also that Jones the plaintiff has made severa. Applications to the Office to take up his Improvement And because it does not appear at what time the Survey of McCord was made the Matter is postponed to the last Monday in August for final Determination.

Michael Graham
agt
Jarrett Graham

The Refferrees in this Case having made their Report, The Secretary appoints the last Monday in September for a hearing on the said Report of which Michael is to give Jarrett Notice.

At a Meeting at the Land office Monday the 28th Day of July 1766. The Governor being absent, The secretary, The Receiver

General Mr Hockley and Mr Lukens Surveyor General proceeded to hear Disputes upon Caveats.

Hieronomus Eckman
agt
George McCullough
} on Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties and seeing and considering their Papers it appears that the Disputed piece of Land claimed by each party was at the time of Eckmans Application Subject to a Warrant obtained by McCullough the 13th Day of April 1751 And therefore it is ordered that the Survey of McCullough be accepted including the Land surveyed under Eckmans Application and so as to include a barren hill (and any other Vacancy adjoining said hill) left out of his Survey and that Eckmans caveat be dismissed.

Mary Kennedy
agt
Henry Cunningham

Postponed till last Monday in or 27th day of October.

William Wheeler
agt
The heirs of
Charles Coulter
} on Caveat.

Upon hearing the partys and inspecting their Papers that a certain Robert Cade was possessed of the 106 A's of Land in Dispute under a Warrant obtained by one Robert Hudson from the Court of Date in the Year 1762 and several mesne Conveyances. That he dyed seized about thirty three Years ago leaving 7 Children, Robert, Thomas, John, Charles, Sarah, Jane and Mary, That upon his Death the eldest Son Robert entered into the whole and sold it and it is come down by mesne Conveyances to the heirs of Coulter, That after this Sale by Robert, Jane dyed Intestate and without issue and Charles is also dead without any Issue known And that William Wheeler has bought in the Rights of Thomas Sarah & Mary so that by the Laws of the lower Coun- of Thomas, Sarah and Mary so that by the Laws of the lower Counties where the Land lays William Wheeler has Right to
the Heirs of Robert Cade the younger
of Jane and Charles and the Heirs of Coulter to
being the Right of Robert Cade the younger. Wherefore it is determined that no patent Issue on the Resurvey of Coulters heirs But that a new Warrant of Resurvey issue to Resurvey the same Land and out thereof to William Wheeler to the Heirs of Coulter or any claiming under them and to the heirs of Robert Cade the younger or any claiming under them.

Philip Riccards
agt
Joseph Shankland

It appearing that Shanklands Family is indisposed so that he cannot conveniently attend and this Matter having been once postponed at Riccards Request It is now further postponed u'til the last Monday in October then peremptorily to be heard. Robert Mitchel for Riccards & Andrew McDowell for Shankland present.

Jacob Lantz
agt
John Creiss

Jacob Lantz having released the Land in Controversy to John Creiss as by a Writing under his Hand appears the Caveat is dismissed.

James Smith & O'rs
agt
Alexander McCasky
& Elijah Brown

In this Case before a final Determination it appears necessary to have a Survey made of the several Improvem'ts of James Smith Robert Gilcreas and Thomas Cowan & the Place where Alexander McCaskey and Elijah Brown intend to lay their Surveys and also of the vacant Lands adjoining the several Improvements and return a plan in which he is to take Notice of any consentable Lines belonging to the said Improvements, Therefore it is ordered that the said Survey and plan be made and returned by William Mathews Surveyor of York County by the last Monday in October next and report in his Return the time the Settlements were made the said Survey to be made at the joint expence of the contending parties.

John Rowan
agt
Peter Smith
on Caveat.

The parties being heard It is Ordered that the Survey made for Peter Smith be accepted Provided he pay John Rowan for the buildings erected on the premises such satisfaction as shall be adjudged by Mr John Jennings and Mr John Moore.

Barbara Peery
agt
Philip Jacob King
assignee of Nicho's King

Vide Rehearing appointed the 16th October 1766 Caveat Book pa 26th.

The Parties appearing agree to referr the Matter in Dispute to Samuel Johnston Esq'r, Martin Eyckelberger Esq'r, Doctor Jam-

aison, Henry Wolf, Jacob Rudisilly, George Keen, Michael Bast and Jacob Pilmeyer or the Majority of them. Report to be made by the last Monday in September next.

Michael Klein & O'rs agt Philip Ensmenger

Upon hearing the parties it appeared that Michael Klein in the year 1749 obtained a Warrant for 250 A's of Land And that William Parsons then Surveyor of Lancaster County surveyed a Quantity of Land for him under that W't w'ch was never returned and that the said Michael had in possession by the said Survey and consentable Lines agreed by the neighbours Seven hundred & ninety one Acres & seventy three perches, That the said Michael sold all his Right under that Warrant to his Brother Henry Klein who afterwards either conveyed or contracted to convey a great part of his Tract to his Sons in Law Jocob Graff, George Gisemer and Abraham Roeland who in consequence thereof settled upon their several parts. That when Philip Entzmenger had made his Application in order to assect part of the said Land the said Michael Klein finding there was no Survey returned by Parsons in order to support his sales aforesaid applied for and obtained from the Surv'r General a Copy of his Warrant directed to John Scull who returned a Survey according to the old Lines of the first Survey Wherefore in Regard that the s'd Survey was made at a time when the Surveyors were allowed to return a much greater Quantity than the Warrant specified and in Regard to the Sales & Settlements af'd some of which must be affected if Entzminger were allowed to lay his Application within the Lines of the Survey it is determined that the said Survey as af'd returned by John Scull be accepted and that patents issue thereon upon complying with the prop'y Demands.

Robert Hogg agt John Kennedy } on Caveat.

John Kennedy not appearing and Robert Hogg being heard it appears that Hogg made an Improvement on the place in Dispute long before Kennedy obtained any Warrant for the same & was driven off by the Indians, Therefore it is determined that the said Hoggs Survey of 393 A's made by Mr McClay be returned & accepted provided the said Hogg procure an additional Warrant for One hundred & fifty Acres upon the Terms of the first Warrant & provided none of the said Survey interferes with a Tract of Land surveyed for John Gray.

Peter Reiber
agt
James Young
} on Caveat.

Peter Reiber not appearing It is ordered that his Caveat be dismissed and that Youngs Survey be accepted unless he shew Cause to the contrary the last Monday in August next.

Davison
v
Smith
} on Caveat.

Mr Smith writing a Letter of Excuse for not attending &c Witness he depended upon not appearing the Case is postponed till the last Monday in October when it is peremptorily to be heard and Colonel Armstrong is requested to return a Draught of the Survey of Smiths & Davison and the adjoining Vacancy. Davison is to give Notice to Smith of the hearing.

John Blair
agt
Alexander Lowry
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Alexander Lowry obtained a Warrant Dated the 3d Feb'y 1755 for 400 Acres including a Spring adjoining John Potts and John Elliott on the Big Road in Tuscarora Path. John Blair obtained a Warrant on the 8th September 1755 adjoining Alexander Lowry on the West Side of Conecocheague Creek including his Improvement. That this Improvement he claims under one John McMichael who in the Year 1749 was dispossessed by Secretary Richard Peters under a promise to have the benefit of his Improvement whenever the Land should be purchased of the Indians by the prop'ry. Lowry is willing to relinquish the said Improvement of Blair and a sufficiency of the s'd Spring. Therefore it is determined that the said Warrant of Lowry be first satisfied so as to leave out the said Improvement of Blair and a sufficiency of the Spring to accommodate the Improvement and to fill up Blairs Warrant out of the Residue of the Vacancy and the Surveyor General is allowed to add to Lowry's Warrant 50 A's of the good Land in Consideration of the Mountain he has included in his Survey and to make his Survey in the Whole 450 A's And the Residue of the Vacancy may be included in Blairs Survey.

Daniel Brown
agt
Bartram Galbreath
} on Complaint.

Stands over till last Monday in November.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 4th day of August 1766

present

His Honour the Governor
The Secretary
The Receiver General
The Surveyor General

Benjamin Chambers & John Kerr agt Samuel Wallace } on Caveat

On hearing it appeared that in the Year 1764 Mr. Chambers applied to the Land Office which was then shut for some Lands adjoining his patent Land and that his Location was accepted in the Office. That John Carr purchased and Improvement in the Year 1764 of the heirs of Solomon Patterson first Improver. That Samuel Wallace purchased a small Improvement of one Charles McGill jun'r on which his Warrant of 1765 is grounded and it likewise appears that the Improvement bought by Wallace of McGill really belonged to Carr and that McGill had no Right. And Mr Chambers now applies for 2 Warrants on each side his patent Land and John Carr for his Improvement, Therefore in Regard to the prior Impt. of Carr and the prior Application of Mr Chambers the Governor Orders that Mr Chambers be allowed 2 Warrants for 200 A's each on each side his patent Land And that John Carr be allowed a Warrant for 300 A's if so much there be within his consentable Lines And that Samuel Wallace be allowed to lay his Warrant on the next part of the adjoining Vacancy, Mr. Chambers Warrant to take place of John Carrs & be first satisfied.

Upon Application of Mr. Edmund Physick for 200 A's of Land in the Manor of Lowther, The Governor orders that he have a Warrant for the same agreeable to an Instrument of Writing signed by Capt Gordon & Mr Croghan Allowing the same to Mr Physick out of the 1200 A's they have desired Leave to purchase Mr. Physick having been originally concerned with them for the said 200 A's when they first applied to the Governor.

Upon the petition of Adam Miller for a whole Lot for himself in the new part of York Town and for Lots for three of his Children where he should chuse agreeable to Mr Cooksons promise to him certifyed by Mr. Richard Peters ordered agreeable to his Choice that he have the 2 half Lots No 425 & 426 for himself at the Rent of 1s s't'g ℔ Annum if demanded and 422 & 423, 427, 428 & 429 at 3s 6d S't'g the half Lot and Arrear of Quit Rent from the Year 1749.

Ordered that Mr. Murray have a Lease of the prop'ry Plantation near Reading at the Rent of 35£ ℔ Annum for Blank Years.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Saturday the 9th day of August 1766

present

The Governor
the sec'ry
the Receiver General Hockley.

Ordered that the Surveyor General as soon as may be have the Quantity of 500 A's laid out in some commodious part of the Manor of Springetsbury in the County of York for a prop'ry Plantation in order to uphold the said Manor.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 11th Aug't 1766.

present

The Governor
Rece'r Gen'l Hockley
Sec'ry
Surveyor General

Ordered Mr Surveyor General renew his Instructions to his Deputies concerning the manner of laying out Lands.

In Consideration of the Stop put to Business by the Stamp Act those who make Applications for Lands on the East Side of Sasquehanna since the fifth of August last and before the first of May last have 6 Months longer both to have their Surveys returned & take out patents.

Agreed with Marcus Haines for 15s ℔ Acre of his Overplus Land near York being 143 Acres.

At a meeting at the Governors on Monday 25th of August 1766

present

The Governor
The Secretary
The Receiver General
The Surveyor General

Daniel Jones agt John Brown Assignee of Tho's McCord & James Armstrong } on Caveat refered from 30th June 1766.

As upon hearing it appears that the Improvement of Jones was begun before the Warrant or Survey of McCord & Armstrong and as it is not very clear when the survey was made and as it was never returned And as Jones has lived a considerable time undisturbed on the Land It is ordered that the Improvement of Jones be surveyed to him with 100 Acres including it and that the quantity of 155 A's be made up to John Brown out of the bottom and back Lands adjoining, The 2 Surveys to be made in a reasonable manner accord'g to Mr McClays Discretion who is to make them.

John Karnhaas
agt
John Jameson
} on Caveat.

It appears by the Affidavit of Karnhaas that he served Jameson with Notice of a hearing this day And he not appearing the Governor proceeds a hearing of Karnhaas exparte. And it appearing that the Land in Dispute was left out of the Survey & Patent under which Karnhaas claims either by Mistake or Design and afterwards a Warrant was obtained for Jameson but never executed And that the Land has for some time been possessed & improved by Karnhaas without any Opposition or Claim from Jameson, it is Ordered that a Warrant of Resurvey issue to the said Karnhaas in Order to include the Land in Dispute and that a Patent issue upon his paying the prop'ry Claim on the Land.

John Gilliland
agt
William Stewart
} Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that the Def'dt had a Warrant prior to any just or reasonable pretention of the plaintiff who claimed under a Warrant not filled up (as he alledged) and on which Warrant he made a Survey for less than its Quantity and obtained a patent thereon the Lines of which do not interfere with the Defendants Claim, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

tiff who claimed under a Warrant not filled up (as he alledged) and on which Warrant he made a Survey for less than its Quantity and obtained a patent thereon the Lines of which do not interfere with the Defendants Claim there fore the Caveat is dismissed.

Peter Reiber
agt
James Young
} on Caveat.

There being an Order of Dismission of Caveat at the last Day of hearing unless Reiber shou'd shew Cause to the contrary on this Day. And Reiber now appearing and shewing a Right under

a prior Warrant to one Robert Barnet & Survey to James Clark who purchased of Barnett it is now Ordered agreeable to the Order on the first hearing of Young on the first day of May last, that the Survey of James Clark and which Reiber claims be accepted for him and that a patent issue to him and that Youngs Warrant be laid on any vacant Land left out by James Clarks Survey which Reiber claims & has made Title to.

Rogers
agt.
Richardsons's Exec'rs

Postponed to last tMonday in October for want of due Notice.

George Mayntzer
agt
Joseph New

This Case being referred to Emanuel Carpenter and Zacheus Davis Esq'rs & John Scull or any two of them and the said Carpenter and Davis having reported their Judgment upon the same Reference that a certain Water Course and three Acres & 25 perches of Land agreeable to a Draught thereof and the said Carpenter and Davis having reported their Judgment upon the same Reference that a certain Water Course and three Acres & 25 perches of Land agreeable to a Draught thereof made by Henry Walter & returned into the Land Office shou'd be surveyed to Mayntzer the said Report is confirmed and it is ordered that the Surveys of Mayntzer & New be made agreeable thereto.

Eleanor Lynn Widow
of Adam Lynn
agt
Patrick McSherry Ass'ne
of Anthony Sell

Upon hearing it is Ordered that Mr Arch'd McLain go upon the Lands and execute both Warrants agreeable to the old consentable Lines settled between Henry Tenttonger and John McGriff under whose Improvem'ts the parties claim and make Return accordingly, having a special Regard to Settlements made under those consentable Lines.

William Stewart
on behalf of himself & O'rs
agt
Lazarus Stewart

Caveat & Rehearing.

Upon hearing the Allegations of Lazarus Stewart against the first Judgment the Governor having no Reason to alter the s'd Judgm't orders that it be confirmed.

John Walker & O'rs agt Hugh Stewart } Caveat.

Neither the said John Walker nor any of his Brothers in whose Behalf he entred the Caveat appearing but the said John requesting by Letter a further day for hearing Alledging he could not procure the Testimony of his Witnesses the last Monday in November is appointed peremptorily for hearing the Caveat of which Hugh Stewart is to give John Walker or any of his Brothers twenty days Notice.

Robert Mathews agt Robert Christy } Caveat & Rehearing.

Ordered the first Judgment to stand.

Joseph & Isaac Reder agt Wood & Smith. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that the plaintiffs had the first Improvement and applyed to take up the Land when the office was shut, And therefore it is ordred that their Application be first satisfied in order to secure their Improvement but in such a manner if it may be as to leave Room for the Application of the other parties.

Samuel Gattys agt Wade Boyd & Brackenridge }

The parties agree that it shall be left to Colonel Armstrong to fill their several Warrants as near as the vacant Lands there abouts will allow in proportion to their quantities and agreeable as may be to the consentable Lines of the contending parties and the adjoining Settlers and he is ordered to make Return of the Surveys accordingly.

Orendorff agt Mess— } On Caveat.

The Matter being heretofore left to the Decision of a neigbouring Justice and a Deputy Surveyor, The parties applyed to Jno. Philip Dehaas Esq'r. & Bartram Galbreath who now make Report thereon in favor of Hermanus Orendorff which Report is confirmed by ye Governor.

Jane & Wm. Woods agt Bernard Hawer } On Caveat.

This dispute is put off till last Monday in November in order to see and consider Woods Survey w'ch is not yet returned.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday 29th Day of September Anno Domini 1766.

present

The Governor
The Secretary
The Receiver General Hockley
The Surveyor General.

Upon Application of the Rev'd Mr. Richard Peters That the several Settlers upon the Three thousand Acres Tract upon Little Swatawra in Berks County which was cut off from Mr. Richard Penns Tract there may be called in, on order to contract for their Lands that the Seven hundred Pounds Sterling due to Mr. Taylor on Account of some patented Lands of his which had been granted out to others may be reimbursed.

The Governor Orders that it be accordingly done by Notice from the Secretary.

Ordered that a Paper delivered in by Mr. Richard Peters respecting his Orders to Colonel Armstrong about settling at Carlisle and his Services & Compensation for them be entered upon the Minutes. It is in the folowing wards:

Memorandum.

"That Col'l Armstrong might the more conveniently be called "on to perform the sundry services requisite in the prop'rs Busi- "ness at the Town of Carlisle he had my positive orders to set- "tle there at least for some years, and in consideration of sun- "dry Expences namely in repairing the Stone house bought of "Peter Wilky building thereto a Kitchen, clearing and draining "a Swamp that was otherwise a Nuisance and doing many other "Things that required Care & Experience, I did allow him to "take any Benefit that might arise from the cleared Fields of "Wilkey, Kilgore, Clark & McMullan until he should be amply "satisfied but it was expressly given him in Charge by me that "he should not demand any money nor put the proprietary's to "any Expence in Money. 29th Sep'r 1766.

"To His Honour the Governor.

Michael Graham agt Jarrett Graham } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it is agreed between them that the Whole Tract claimed by both parties whereof two Thirds belongs to Michael and one third to Jarrett be surveyed by Mr. Thomas Woodward & Samuel Lightfoot and two thirds be laid out to Michael and one third to Jarrett by a straight Line to be run as nearly agreeable to their patents as may be.

Margaret Nelson in behalf of the heirs of Alex'r Devin agt Francis West. } On Caveat.

The parties not being prepared fully to discuss this matter it is put off till the last Monday in January 1767.

Geo. Mich'l Hill agt. Jacob Zinn } On Caveat postponed.

John Reigart agt Abraham Treibelbis & Geo. Markley Ex'rs of Jacob Treibelbis. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears there is no foundation for the Caveat and therefore is dismissed.

Nicholas King agt The heirs of Nicho's Peery.

Award returned, read and confirmed and ordered to be entered in the minutes of the Proceedings of the Commissino of Property and that Peerys Survey be made according to it. The Award is as followeth, viz:

To the Honourable and Worshipful the Board of Property for the Province of Pennsylvania.

We, seven of the persons appointed by consent of the parties to determine the Dispute between Nicholas King and the heirs of Nicholas Peery dec'd concerning their respective Claims to a piece of Meadow Ground or March do Report, That we have viewed the premises and examined the parties with their Witnesses and having considered the same are of Opinion that the said Nicholas King is not in any manner entitled to the same. Dated this 15th day of September 1766.

SAMUEL JOHNSON,
MARTIN EYCKELBERGER,
HENRY WOLFF,
GEORGE KUNTZ,
JACOB BILMYER,
MICHAEL BAST,
JACOB RUDISILLY.

Nicholas Hollinger agt William Rary. } On Caveat.

Nicholas Hollinger appearing and Benjamin Davis who acts

for Rary having Notice but refusing to appear the Governor orders that the Survey under Hollingers Application be returned in Order for Confirmation.

McBroom agt Crone & Potter. } Upon Caveat.

The platt returned in this Dispute by Mr. Archibald McClain being viewed and the several Depositions respecting the old consentable Lines between the Parties and those under whom they claim being considered as also their several Warrants, The Governor orders that McBroom's Survey be made to extend from the letter A to the Black Oak at the Letter E thence with a straight Line to the Black Oak mentioned in William Campbells Deposition and from thence by the several Lines. Mr. McLain has laid down to Swift Run and from thence to the Beginning according to the said platt, And that Robert Crone's Warrant be surveyed agreeable to the said platt only with Liberty to leave out or include as he will the Land about the Black Swamp beyond the Line from the Letter F to the Pine by extending his Line from the Black Oak proved by Wm. Campbell to the pine and thence straight to the corner of William Ross's Land next to the Pine Meeting house or otherwise as he may think proper, And that David Potter may lay his old right Warrant on the vacant Land left out by those two surveys. The Governor further orders that Robert Crone have Liberty to remove all his Rails that shall fall within McBroon's Lines as af'd and to keep enclosed & to reap any wheat sown within the same lines, and that McBroom's or any claiming under him do not offer to molest him in these privileges.

Francis West Ex'r of Arthur Foster agt Abraham Mitchell } On Caveat. Continued.

Whereas Thomas Shoemaker heretofore applied for a Warrant for a piece of vacant Ground on the North Side of Chestnut Street which —— Cresson has likewise since applied for, The Governor Orders That the Surveyor General examine into the Matter of the said Vacancy and make Report thereon to the Board.

Ordered that there be a Survey made for the Proprietarys on an Island known by the Name of Keys Island opposite the late Mr. Cooksons Island situate between the Mouth of Swatawra & Conewago and and next below the hill Island and make Return of the same.

At a Meeting at the Land Office Monday 27th October 1766.
present
The Secretary
The Surveyor General.

Alex'r McIntire agt George Woods & Jno. Glen. } On Caveat.

It appears in this Case that John Glen purchased an Improvem't under Geo. Woods and obtained a Warrant for it. That Alex'r McIntire purchased an Improvement from George Campbell and also obtained a Warrant. That Woods and Campbell before they sold settled consentable Lines between them. Therefore, it is determined That the Surveyor General or his Deputy fill up both their Warrants agreeable to the said Lines and as convenient as may be to their Improvements.

In this Case Glenn did not appear though it appears by Affidavit he was served with Notice.

Robert Rogers agt the Execu'rs of Isaac Richardson. } On Caveat.

This Cause being put off from the last Monday in August to this Day because regular Notice had not been given and it now appearing that Notice of the hearing this Day has been given by Rogers And the Ex'rs not appearing It is ordered that the said Rogers have a Warrant for 52 A's of Woodland adjoining his other Land.

Henry Teech agt Edward Nichols } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it does not appear that there was a sufficient Foundation for the Caveat. It is therefore dismissed and Nichols's Survey established.

Mary Kennedy agt Henry Cuningham } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that the Improvement of Mary Kennedy was made before the Warrant under which Henry Cuningham claims was obtained and that she cannot have her house and small Improvement accommodated with less than Sixteen Acres, And as Cuningham will still have the Quantity of his Warrant it is determined that the said Sixteen Acres be taken of his Survey so as to include Mary Kennedys house & Spring. And that the said Mary Kennedy have a Warrant for the same. And that Cuningham's Survey be confirmed for the Residue.

William Waugh
agt
Francis Allison &
John McCasson. } On Caveat.

It appears that William Waugh begun a small Improvement on the Land in dispute in the Year 1742 after the Warrant for laying out the Manor or Maske and continued till the Year 1745, And then left it tnd that the cleared part grew up into Bushes. That in the Year 1755 Doctor Allison and McCasson made an Entry for 200 A's at this Place and that Mr. Waugh never made any further Improvement till the Year 1760 after being told by Doctor Allison of the Engagement the Land was under and now Doctor Allison in regard to the Improvements which have been made by Waugh is willing to give up the Right he has in the Land and to use his Influence with McCasson to do the same in Case Waugh will satisfy them 20£ for their Expenses and Trouble. Therefore it is determined that William Waugh have a Warrant for 160 A's of Land including his Improvement upon his paying Doctor Allison & Mr. McCasson the said sum of Twenty Pounds.

Elias Davison
agt } On Caveat.
William Smith

The parties appeared & agreed that in Consideration of a Contract subsisting between them for the purchase of the Land in Dispute from Smith by Davison that Davison shou'd give up his Warrant Right and that Davison should take out a Patent on his Survey in his own Name upon the old Terms paying Interest & Quit Rent from the 1st March 1755 and tnen convey to Davison.

Michael Halm
agt } On Caveat.
Christopher Shockey.

Upon hearing the parties it appears that Michael Halm has the prior Warrant and that Christopher Shockey had a small Improvement at the time of Halms Warrant which has since been enlarged. Therefore it is determined That Halms Warant be first satisfied but so as not to affect the Improvement of Shockey made at the time of the Warrant and as little as possible what has been made since. And this is left to the Discretion of the Surveyor who is ordered to make the Surveys as agreeable to the Conveniency of both parties as may be.

William Baird
agt } On Caveat.
Conrad Rechner

It appearing that Archibald Beard the Father of the Plaintiff

had a Warrant prior to Rechners and that the Survey was made before the Improvement of Rechner. It is determined that the Survey of Beard be accepted.

Phillip Richards
agt
Jos. & Sam'l Shankland } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties it does not appear that Philip Richards had any Warrant or Application prior to the Warrant of Joseph Shankland of the Year 1754 (on which the Survey in Dispute is founded) which can be said to interfere with it, his Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

Sarah Donally
agt
Charles Moore } On Caveat.

Mr. Galbreath appeared for Widow Donally & produced an Affidavit of Notice to Charles Moore who does not appear. And as it does not appear that Charles Moores Application which is prior to Donallys interferes with ers it is ordered that her Applica'n be surveyed unless Chas. Moore shews Cause to the contrary the last Monday in Jan'y. And of this Order the Widow Donally is to give Moore Notice.

David Starrett
agt
John Nesbit. } Caveat.

Postponed for want of due Notice and ordered that it be heard the last Monday in February of which David Starrett is to give Nesbit twenty Days Notice of the hearing.

John & Charles Cesnay
agt
John Owens. } On Caveat.

The Plaintiffs appear and inform the Board That the Matter is agreed and they withdraw their Caveat.

Andrew McDowel & Co
agt
Jona'n Vaughn & Co. } On Caveat.

Postponed by Agreement of the Parties.

Robert Campbell
agt
Thomas Wilson. } On Caveat.

Postponed till the last Monday in March at the Instance of Thomas Wilson in order to procure further Testimony.

Adam Spitalmeyer having made it appear that he had given Notice to Catharine Gilbert Wife of Charles Gilbert to appear at Philad'a to shew Cause why a Patent shou'd not be made out to

the said Adam for the Land of Martin Spitalmeyer agreeable to the Deed of the said Martin and she not appearing It is ordered that a Patent issue for the said Adam Spitalmeyer upon his compounding with the Prop'rs.

Christo'r Seely
agt
Samuel Hunter
} On Caveat.

It appearing that Christopher Seely had the first Warrant is determined that it shall be surveyed, And that the Survey of Hunter be not accepted.

Marcus Hulens
agt
Cornelius Atkinson
} On Caveat.

In this Case upon hearing the Parties it appears that Marcus Hulens has the prior Warrant and it is determined that it shall be first satisfyed. And that Cornelius Atkinsons Land must be laid out next adjoining and as the contending Parties cannot agree about the Manner of laying out their Warrants they have agreed that it shall be left to Tho's Forster & Sam'l Hunter to direct & decide in what manner the Warr'ts of both parties shall be laid in the manner the most agreeable to their Improvem'ts & so as to divide as nearly as may be the good & bad land between them in an equal & just manner.

Mary White
agt
James Kyle
} On Caveat.

Postponed for further Consideration.

Mary Porter
agt
Frederick Shryer
} On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties it appears That the Warrant of Shryer affects an old Improvement of Mary Porter And that all the vacant Land about the said Improvement is not more than sufficient to accommodate it. Therefore it is determined that upon Mary Porters paying Shryer the Expence he has been at in the matter she have a Warrant for the Improvement & adjacent Vacancy.

Timothy Horsfield
agt
Frederick Kuhl
} On Caveat.

Postponed for further Consideration.

See a Special Meeting the 4th Nov'r 1766 postea.

At a Meeting of the proprietary Agents at the Land Office on Monday 24th of November 1766.

present

The Secretary Tilghman
The Receiver Gen'l Hockley
The Surveyor General Lukens.

The Governor being absent on a Journey to Maryland the other Agents proceed to Business.

William Bennett & Comp. agt John Morris & Company } On Caveat.

It appearing that the Notice of hearing was not served regularly and Mr. Morris appearing and craving further time to prepare for hearing, the Matter is postponed to the last Monday in December next Mr. Morris & Mr. Strettell present.

Vide this Matter postponed till last Monday in Feb'y by Appointm't, ent'd the 17th Dec'r in Caveat Book.

William Brotherton agt John Jack } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that both parties claim under Conveyances from one Robert Meek who first improved the place in Dispute. That Brothertons Deed is prior to Jacks but it appears by a Note executed by Brotherton to have been really a Mortgage for 26£ 17s 6 advanced on the 15th Day of November 1761 tho' the Deed is upon the Face of it absolute. It is therefore determined that upon Jacks Payment to Brotherton the said principal Money & Interest by the 24th day of August next his Survey be accepted.

Samuel Cuningham agt George Glasford }

Continued till the last Monday in December next ensuing.

John Walker & O'rs agt Hugh Stewart } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties it appeared that James Stewart Father of the Complainants about 1749 bought an Improvement of the Ex'rs of one Purviance and gave his Bonds for 190£ for the purchase. That before he had removed his Family upon the Improvem't he dyed. And that his Widow by the Advice of her Friends gave up the Improvement to Hugh Stewart upon Condition that he discharged the Estate of the Bonds past by James Walker. That Hugh Stewart paid the money to the Executors of Purviance and took up the Bonds & in the year 1752 took out

a Warrant for the said Improvement and adjacent Woodland to the Quantity of 405 A's and had it surveyed and has ever since possessed it and paid the prop'ry Purchase Money and Arrears of Quit Rent. Wherefore the Agents are of Opinion that Hugh Stewart is entitled to the place in dispute and that the Caveat be dismissed.

Hendrick Heyser
agt
Matthew & Fred. Hern } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties it appears that the Land in Dispute had been surveyed to one Michael Peace in virtue of a Warrant dated the 30th Sep'r 1738 and transfered by several mesne Conveyances down to one Henry Derringer who leased the Land to Hendrick Hyser. The Agents are therefore of Opinion that the Applications are ill founded and that the Land be confirmed to Henry Derringer provided he pay the prop'ry Demands upon the Land in a reasonable time.

Adam Koch
agt
Jacob Allwine
Philip Faust
Christian Yoder
or Joder } On Caveat.

This Day being appointed by Adam Koch for hearing of his Caveat he does not appear and Christian Yoder claiming under an Application to John Hartley No 1527 which is prior to Adam Kochs and it not appearing that either Jacob Alwine or Philip Faust do in any sort interfere with them the Agents are of Opinion the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

John Smith
agt
Adam & Geo. Cook } On Caveat.

The parties not being ready for Trial the Matter is postponed till the last Monday in April.

John Rowan
agt
Peter Smith }

On Petition for Rehearing.

The parties appeared and agreed that John Rowan shall have 100 A's including his Improvement next to Esq'r Jennings his Land & Peter Smith is to have the remaining 111 A's next to his own Improvem't.

Thomas Colhoon in behalf of the Devisee of John Colhoon agt John Dunning } On Caveat.

John Dunning having due Notice of the hearing of the Matter at this Sitting of the Board and though in Town not appearing the Agents proceed to a hearing of the Caveats. And it appears That John Colhoon the Father of the Caveator 17th February 1734 obtained a lycence for 200 A's on Dunning's Spring signed by Samuel Blunston then proprietary Agent in those parts. That in Consequence of this Lycence John Colhoon in the Spring 1735 took peaceable Possession of the Land and cleared fenced and plowed about 3 A's of it and built a Cabbin on it and returned to Chester County where he then dwelt. That in April 1743 one William Armstrong took out a Warrant for the said Land suposing it to be vacant, And was told by Robert Duning Father of the Defend't That the Land had belonged to John Colhoon in virtue of his Lycence & Settlement Af'd. And that he (Duning) had purchased it of him And the said Armstrong applying to the late Secretary Richard Peters and being told that the Lycence of Blunston won'd take place of his Warrant he gave the Matter up and received back the Money he had paid on his Warrant. That some short time after this the said Robert Duning took out a Warrant for this Land in his own Name and had it surveyed which Survey was caveated in Mr. Cooksons Field Book by Colhoons H'rs. That the Land lay without any further Improvem't till about 1749 when Robert Duning dyed and John Colhoon went up to se the Land and get it surveyed in w'ch he was opposed by Dunings Widow. It further appeared that there was no foundation for the Allegation of Robert Duning that he had paid Colhoon for the Land or purchased it of him. That John Colhoon dy'd in the Year 17ɔ2 after Whose Death the Defend't John Duning Son of Robert took Possession of the Land and built a Cabin on it against whom John Colhoons heirs brought in Ejectment upon which he quitted it and remained without Occupant for sometime. That the Defendant afterwards purchased in William Armstrongs Warrant before mentioned and obtained an Order to survey that and also Robert Dunings Warrant before mentioned and got the Land Surveyed anew under them. And the Agents upon considering the whole Matter are of Opinion that a Survey shall be returned on John Colhoon's Lycence from Mr. Blunston and that a Patent issue thereon to James Colhoon Son of John Colhoon agreeable to the Will of said John Colhoon & the Deed of his Widow to the said James Colhoon upon complying with the prop'ry Demands. And

that no Survey made under Robert Dunings or Wm. Armstrongs Warrants af'd ought to be accepted.

Jane & Wm. Woods agt Bernard Hower } On Caveat.

Ordered that the Surveyor General write to John & Jasper Scull relating to this Affair.

Daniel Brown agt Bartram Galbreath }

The Governor not being in Town and this Application being made particularly to him the Matter is postponed for his Consideration.

At a special Meeting at the Land Office on Tuesday the 4th of November 1766.

In the Case of George Brown ag't Humprey Fullerton. The parties appeared and upon hearing it appeared That one Robert Hamilton has an Improvement before George Brown obtained his Warrant which is bounded upon Hamiltons Land That afterwards Robert Hamilton obtained his Warrant in1749 & had his Survey made & George Brown entered a Caveat against him & that the Year 1754 the late Sec'ry Mr. Richard Peters gave Judgment against Brown and Potter who then contended with him under Hamiltons Right, obtained a pat. under which there has been a purchase made Wherefore it is determined that Mr. Browns late Application be dismissed.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 29th Day of December 1766.

present

His Honour the Governor
The sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

John Runkle agt Nicholas Hantwork } On Caveat.

It appearing by the Affidavit of John Runkle that he served Hantwork with timely Notice of hearing to Day And he not appearing the Board proceeded to examine the Allegations of John

Runkles Caveat and do find that Hantwork sold his Right of a 25 A's Warr't to Ulrich Wild or Wilt And that the same Right by several Transfers is vested in Runkle who procurred James Scull to survey for him 25 A's 54 p's as appears by a Draught under his Hand. Therefore the Governor orders that the Survey af'd be accepted for Runkle and that a Patent issue to him upon complying with the prop'ry Demands, unless Cause be shown to the contrary the last Monday in January. In the meantime Runkle is to give Hantwork Notice of this Order.

William Dowell
agt
Doctor Wm. Smith & O'rs } On Caveat.

The parties appeared at the Board and upon Examination of the Matter it appeared that the Claims of the Parties do not interfere and that Doctor Smith's Title is prior to Mr. Dowells. Therefore the Governor Orders the Caveat to be dismissed as to Doctor Smith.

Same
agt
John Lukens } On Caveat.

The same as in the next preceding Caveat mutatis mutandis.

John Anderson
agt
John Simpson } On Caveat.

Simpson not appearing the Matter is ordered peremptorily to come on the last Monday in April of which Anderson is to give Simpson Notice.

Upon Application of Mr. McClay concerning the surveying of the Applications of Joseph Jacobs, Wililam Boyd and John Pauly, It is ordered that Wm. Boyds Application be fully served so as to include his Improvement which appears to have been began before Joseph Jacobs Application, And that John Pauly be allowed One hundred Acres only agreeable to Jacobs Consent his Improvement not being made before Jacobs Application. And it is further Ordered by the Governor that both these Surveys be made in four sided plotts as little incommodious to Joseph Jacobs as may be.

Robert Wilson
agt
Samuel Hunt } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the Parties it appeared that the place applied for by Wilson is liable to two Warrants granted to George Aston, the one dated the 14th January 1736. The other the 17th Jan'y 1739 which Warrants are unsatisfied and the Right of which it appears to the Board were vested in Roger Hunt deceased father of the

said Sam'l. Whereupon the Governor orders that the Application of Wilson be refused and that the vacant Land apply'd for by him be surveyed on those Warrants to the Representatives of Roger Hunt

Charles Brown
agt
Wm. McMechen
al's McMeen
} On Caveat.

William McMeen being served with Notice to appear this Day and not appearing the Board proceeded to examine into the Dispute And it appears that Charles Brown made the first Application to the Ser'ys Office for the Land in dispute but that McMeen in a surreptitions Manner procured a Copy of his subsequent Application upon which he got a Survey returned and a patent in course issued to him Whereupon the Governor orders that the Surveyor return the same Land or so much of it as Brown inclines to take upon Browns Application in Order for his obtaining a Confirmation.

Thomas Barnes in behalf of himself & O'rs
agt
Lawrence Growdon

Postponed for further consideration & decided at a special Meeting the 18th March 1767.

Thos. Witherspoon
agt
Alex'r Porter.
} On Caveat.

Postponed for further consideration.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 26th of January Anno Domini 1767.

Present

The Governor
The Secretary
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley
The Surveyor Gen'l.

Upon Motion of Mr Chew ordered that any Decision between Thomas Witherspoon and Alexander Porter may not be carried into Execution nor patent granted to either of them for any Land or Marsh in Wards Neck to the prejudice of Mr. Henry Ward Pearce of Maryland who lays a prior Claim to all the Land & Marsh there until he has been heard.

Martin Link
agt
Conrad Fridley.

Upon Considering the Return of John Scull in pursuance of the Governors Order it is determined that the same be confirmed. That Martin Link have a Warrant to accept his Survey of 158 A's ¼ & Conrad Fridley have a Warrant to accept his Survey of 13 Acres.

John Gammell
agt
Colonel Arthur Clayton } on Caveat.

It appears that Colonel Clayton was duly served with Notice of hearing this Day but not attending the Board proceed to hearing the Allegations of John Gammell and considering his Proofs upon which appears that prior to Colo'l Claytons Warrant one James Starret had obtained a Warrant for 400 A's of Land to include two Bottoms at a place called Sleeping Place at Harts Logg on Juniata one of which Bottoms is within Colonel Claytons Location And that the Warrant Right of Starrett is by several Conveyances vested in John Gammell. The Governor therefore orders that John Gammell have the said 400 A's surveyed and returned for him so as to include the said two Bottoms unless Colonel Clayton shew good Cause to the contrary by the last Monday in February.

Upon the Application of Mr. Geo. Taylor for a Rehearing in the Case of Joseph & Isaac Reeder against Wood & Smith The Governor allows the same and orders that the said Rehearing be the last Monday in May next of which Mr Taylor is to give Isaac & Joseph Reeder or one of them Notice.

Robert Montgomery
agt
James Wallace } on Caveat.

The Matter postponed till the last Monday in February, in the mean time James Wallace is required by that time to produce the Qualification of the Rev'd Mr. Elder who he says is a material Witness for him in the matter.

John & Sam'l Potts
agt
Francis Allison & O'rs

Postponed till Tuesday the 10th of March next.

Hugh McCurdy
agt
Samuel Mears } on Caveat.

It appears that Samuel Mears has a Warrant for the place in Dispute And that McCurdy claims only by a Purchase from one

Potts who does not apear to have had any Right That Mears has been seven Years upontheLand and made it his Subsistence, And that McCurdy lived there but one Winter & part of a summer and then deserted it And that Mears had lived a Year or two upon the place before McCurdy purchased of Potts. Whereupon the Governor is of Opinion that Mears ought to have the Land & determines acordingly that his Warrant be executed.

John Denmark
against
Wm Wallace
} on Caveat.

John Denmark not appearing and Wm Wallace showing a good Warrant Right to the Land in Dispute The Caveat is by the Governors order dismissed.

Casper Heisler
agt
Geo Lehman
Joseph Stout
Charles Stout
} on Caveat.

Postponed.

Robert McKee
agt
William West
} on Caveat.

Upon hearing the Parties it appeared That Robert McKee's Warrant is elder than William West's Therefore the Governor determines that McKee's Warrant be executed on the place where Wests has been executed And that William West have Liberty of laying his Warrant upon any of the adjacent Vacancy if he thinks proper.

Do
agt
Francis West
}

Upon hearing it appeared that Francis Wests Warrant to one Bigam under which he claims is elder than McKees Therefore the Governor orders thnt Wests Survey be accepted and that the Caveat be dismissed.

William Pugh heir &
Ex'r of Roger Pugh
agt
John Knowles
} on Caveat.

Upon the parties shewing their Papers it appears That the Caveat of Roger pugh is well founded as to the Survey of 37 A's of John Knowles And as to 158 A's Survey of Jno Knowles the said Will'm Pugh makes no objection Therefore the Caveat is confirmed as to the former and dismissed as to the latter.

At a Meeting at the Governors the 24th February 1767
present
The Governor
The Sec'ry Tilghman
The Surveyor General Lukens
Mr Receiver General Hockley

William Bennet & Co
agt
John Morris & Comp.

Upon hearing it appeared that were some old Lines insisted upon as run for one Peter Cook under whom Messrs. Morris and Comp. claim to which there is no Proof and therefore time is given to procure that or any other Proof and to have any other Matter relative to the Dispute enquired into And the Sec'ry is desired to write to Mr Johnston & William Matthews to go upon the Land at their Leisure and enquire into the Matter and reexamine any Witnesses who have been already qualified and to examine Others and make Report and Return the Depositions to the Board.

David Starrett
agt
John Nesbitt, Taylor.
on Caveat.

It appearing that John Nesbitt, Taylor, made the first Entry in the Office, but that before that David Starrett purchased the Improvem't and plantation of John Nesbitt, Farmer, which was taken to extend up to the surveyed Line of John Nesbitt, Taylor, and paid a large sum of Money for it on that Expectation, Therefore the Governor determines that Starretts Warrant be allowed & his Survey made thereon accepted.

Nicholas Deal
agt
George Stevenson
on Caveat.

Mr Stevenson not appearing but making an excuse on Account of the Indisposition of his Family The last Monday in March next is appointed peremptorily for hearing of which Nicho's Deal is to give Stevenson Notice.

Dan'l McPherson
agt
John Clemson
on Petition for Rehearing.

On the first hearing it was supposed That the Patent Land of Clemson adjoined the Land of James Logan But upon the Examination of several Surveyors who were appointed by the parties to examine the Lines of Logan & Clemsons Lands it now appears that there is nearly the distance of forty eight perches between the Boundaries of those Lands And that there is conse-

quently a considerable Vacancy And Daniel McPherson having applied for a Warrant on the same, the Governor Orders a Warrant for the same Vacancy And upon a survey thereof that it be confirmed to him paying the proprietary Demands.

John Hardy
agt
James Colhoon
on Caveat.

It apeparing that James Colhoon was duly served with Notice of a hearing this Day the Governor proceeded to hear the case of John Hardy And it appeared that twelve Years ago the Place in Dispute was settled by one McClean who sold his Improvement to Arthur McConnell for eight pounds And that afterwards Hardy gave McConnell Fifty Pounds for it and lived upon it till driven off by the Indians. The Governor therefore directs that no Survey be accepted on Colhoons Warrant but that Hardy be allowed a Warrant for 200 A's including his Improvement. [A Rehearing on this & decided in favor of Colhoon the 26th Jan'y 1768].

Robert Montgomery
agt
James Wallace
on Caveat.

It appearing that the 20 A's of Land in Dispute belonged rather to the Improvehent purchased by Wallace than that purchased by Montgomery The Governor Orders that Wallaces Survey including the same be confirmed.

Casper Heisler
agt
Joseph Stout
on Caveat

It appearing to the Board that the Land in Dispute was surveyed to Joseph Stout on a Warant prior to Heislers Application The Survey of Joseph Stout is confirmed by the Governor.

Jacob Morgan
agt
Jonas Seely
on Caveat.

Upon hearing the Parties it appears to be necessary for deciding the dispute that the plan of the Land surveyed by Jno. Scull for Jacob Morgan on a Warant prior to Seely's Warrant be returned. The Governor orders that the said John Scull do with all convenient speed return a plan of the said Survey And likewise with a plan of Seelys Land And that on the plott he ascertain the places of the Fork of Schuylkill & the Dugg Road.

Joseph Boude
agt
James Basqueens
on Caveat.

On hearing It appearing that Basqueens has had a Settlement there 16 Years on his Land applyed for And the Warrant set up

by Boude not being located on the Place It is Ordered that Basqueens have a survey upon his Application.

The Surveyor General pursuant to an Order of the Board makes Return of 1lt. 8 Ins. vacant Overplus in Chestnut Street between 3d & 4th Streets which lyes between the Lots of Thos. Shoemaker and Anthony Benezet And upon Thomas Shoemakers Application for the same it is Ordered that he have a Warrant to survey the same in Order to agree for the purchase of it.

The Surveyor Generals Return follows in these Words:

October 25th 1766.

Pursuant to his Honour the Governors Order of the 29th of Septem'r last past I did (with the Assistance of Joseph Fox and Samuel Rhoads Esq'rs City Regulators) Examine the several Lots on the North Side of Chesnut Street between 3d & 4th Sts and find the several purchasers there, have their Ground according to their Returns of Survey and that we found an Overplus of One foot & ten Inches between the Lots of Thomas Shoemaker & a Lot now of Anthony Benezet (formerly Joseph Breintnal) & that the other Deficiency in said Square is occasioned by Fourth Street being too much Eastward at the Intersection of Chestnut Street.

The whole Square shou'd be 396 ft.

1 ft. 8 Ins. of which is in 4th Street Jno. Lukens S. G.

3d Street

John Ashton.....	50 ft	
Anthony Weston	50	
Archibald Mickle	30	
John Kinsey.....	30	
R. Towsen.....	54	394-4.
Rich'd Gove.....	49 " 1-a	
ditto	49 " 1-a	
Susanna Pearson	49 "1-a	
Overplus,....	1 " 10	

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Friday the 13th of February 1767

present

The Governor
Mr Sec'ry Tilghman
Mr. Rec'r Gen'l Hockley.

Benjamin Chew Esq'r agt John Montgomery } on Caveat.

Postponed Mr. Chew having mislaid a material Paper which he cannot now find.

Thomas Witherspoon agt Alexander Porter } on Caveat.

There being a Request of Mr. Henry Ward Pearce of Maryland entred at the last Meeting to be heard against the pretension of both Porter & Witherspoon. The Governor postpones determining the Dispute between Porter and Witherspoon till Mr Pearce be heard And the sec'ry is ordered to give Mr. Pearce Notice of hearing the last Monday in March next.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the 10th March 1767.

present

The Governor
The sec'ry Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley
The Surv'r Gen'l Lukens

John Potts agt Francis Allison & O'rs } on Caveat.

Mr. Potts set up a Claim under a Warrant dat'd the bounded upon the Valley Creek and the Welsh Line which is not returned and it not appearing how much Vacancy there was there nor whether the Warrant might not be filled without intertering with Mr Allison and Others, The surveyor is ordered to survey & return all the Vacancy between the Valley Creek and the Land lately surveyed for Doctor Francis Allison.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the 11th March 1767

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley.

Aaron Boggs & O'rs agt Wm Reed } on Caveat.

This Matter being postponed for further Consideration The

Governor and Agents now take the Matter again into Consideration And it appears that one William Boggs whose Widow Wm Reed married lived and dyed upon the Place in dispute leaving several small Children. That Administration of his Estate was granted to Jane his Widow who afterwards married William Reed, That this Place was appraized as an Improvement at 40£ and that the whole Estate including this Improvem't amounted to 72£ 12. 6. That William Reed & Wife paid the Debts of Wm Boggs to the amount of 84£ 7s 3d of which 31£ 6s 9d was on Execution against the Estate for the satisfaction of which this Land was liable and to satisfy which the Land was advertized for Sale, That in the Year 1753 William Reed took a Warrant in his own Name for 180 A's of Land including this Improvement and bought in a 50 A's Warrant from one Johnston And upon these Warrants had a survey & Return of 397 A's of Land. That the said William after obtaining these Warrants was at a great Expence in Improvements on the place and brought up the family of Wm Boggs from their Infancy with great Care and in a very decent manner. It appeared also on the Part of Aaron Boggs by the Oath of Joseph Boggs That the same Joseph Boggs in the Year 1733 had obtained a Warrant for 200 A's on which he got one Elisha Gatchell who was not a public Surveyor to include the place in Dispute And that he afterwards sold the place to Aaron Boggs Father of the s'd Joseph & William which said Aaron sold to William But there appears no Return of Survey nor any Deeds of Sale nor was Elisha Gatchel a public Surveyor. Joseph Boggs in 1764 released his Right to the Children of William. And it also appears upon inspecting the Warrant of Joseph Boggs that is to include an Improvement on the Branches of Conewingo Whereas the place in Dispute is on the Waters of Pequea And it also appears by the Information of Isaac Saunders Esq'r that he had lived in the neighbourhood upwards of 20 Years and that Joseph Boggs had an Improvement on Conewingo which he always took to be the place for which he obtained the Warrant and which he sold And that Reeds place was always considered as an Improvement only. Wherefore upon the whole Matter the Governor considering, That Wm. Reed had overpaid the Estate of Wm Boggs and brought up his Children at his own Expence and taken up Executions which wou'd have affected this Land whether it was warranted as af'd by Joseph Boggs & sold as af'd or not, And considering also That the Warrant of Joseph Boggs appears rather to have been for another Place than that in Dispute That there was no Money paid for it to the prop'ry And that its Terms were never complyed with determines That the Children of Wm Boggs have no legal or equitable Pretence to take

from their Father in Law William Reed the Land in Dispute after such a length of time and for considerable Improvements made and therefore dismisses the Caveat and adjudges and Orders that William Reds Survey af'd be acepted and confirmed upon his complying with the proprietary Demands against the Land.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the 18th day of March 1767

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley.
The Surveyor Gen'l Lukens.

Ordered that a Warrant of Resurvey issue to Resurvey a Tract of Land in the Manor of Lowther lately granted to the Rev'd Wm Thompson and that a parcell of Land sufficient to make the whole Three hundred Acres be added according to an Agreement executed between Mr. Hockley & Mr. Thompson.

Thomas Barnes & O'rs agt Lawrence Growdon

This Matter being postponed for further Consideration it appears to the Board that the Land applyed for by Mr. Growdon was excepted in the patent under which he claims the adjacent Land, and reserved for a Timber Swamp for the Inhabitants thereabouts The Governor therefore rejects Mr Growdons Application and Orders that the Land as yet remain for the original Use of a Timber Swamp.

Ordered that the Surveyor General accept the Survey of Matthias Slough for about four Acres of Land covered with the Water of Conestogo the stream there appearing not to be navigable within the Intent of the Act of Assembly.

Ordered that the Surveyor General send positive Orders to the several Surveyors to survey as soon as possible and return all Warrants issued to the prop'rs of Iron Works and that they include all Lands off which the Wood is cutt.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 30th of March 1767

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry

James Templeton
agt
John McKnight Esq'r } on Caveat.

On hearing it appears that John McKnight obtained a Warrant in the Year 1762 on purchasing an Improvement on the place in Dispute And that James Templeton purchased a subsequent Improvement on which he did not settle till after McKnights Warrant obtained. Wherefore as McKnight claims by a Warrant & prior Improvement the Governor orders that his Survey be accepted.

Joseph Crunkleton & James
Thompson Adm'rs of Wm Brown
agt
John Merkle } on Caveat.

It appearing that Crunkleton & Thompson have been duly served with Notice of hearing and do not attend And the Warrant under which they claim is or may be filed without interfering with Merkles Survey The Governor orders that the said Survey be accepted.

Paul Fritz
agt
H'rs of Michael Kuntz by y'r
Guardian Philip Ber

Ordered that this Dispute be referred to Samuel Johnston, John Adlum and Michael Swoope Esq'rs or any two of them to enquire into the Matter and make Report by the last Monday in May.

Samuel Sturgeon
agt
Charles Brown

Postponed til the last Monday in June in Order to consider Mr. McClays Draughts which are not yet come to hand.

Vide pa 123 of Caveat Book.

William Kearney
agt
Philip Gilliland } on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Phillip Gillilands Improvem't is considerably affected by the Survey of Wm. Kearney & that there is great Reason to believe that there were consentable Lines settled between them convenient to both their Improvements Therefore the Governor Orders that Mr Tea principal Surveyor of the District where the Lands lye, go to the place and after enquiring fully into the matter make both their Surveys agreeable to any consentable Lines which have been settled between them and as conveniently as may be to their Improvem'ts.

Philip Upp
agt } on Caveat.
Michael Rope

It appearing that Philip Upps Application being to agree with the proprs as the Lands lye within four Miles of Easton, tho subsequent to Ropes who applied for the Land on the common Terms And the Land appearing to be most concenient to Upp, The Governor Orders that his Application be preferred.

Agreed with Lawrence Hipple for the Island in Schuylkill called Peters Island at 30's an Acre and ½ d Stg Qt Rt.

William Walton
agt } on Caveat
Philip Wentz

Upon hearing the parties it appears that the Land on w'ch Wents's Application was surveyed was vacant Land & not within any legal prior Survey The Survey therefore is confirmed and William Waltons Caveat dismissed.

Thomas White
agt } on Caveat.
Jacob Grove

It appears that Jacob Grove has a considerable Improvem't and a Warrant to include it and that Thomas White has only an Improvm't which does not appear to be prejudiced by the Survey Colo'l Armstrong has made on the Warrant but not compleated. The Governor therefore Orders that the Caveat be dismissed and that the Sec'ry write to Colo'l Armstrong that in compleating Groves Survey the same be done a little inconvenient to s'd White or to James Verdies who is situated on the other Side of Grove as may be.

Richard Lewis
agt } on Caveat.
Michael Fulmer

On hearing it appeared that the Warrant under which Rich'd Lewis claimed had been vacated by himself and relocated & patented Therefore his Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

Samuel Laird
agt } on Caveat.
Thomas Hamilton

On hearing it appeared that one Lodowick Laird obtained a Warrant in 1755 for 50 A's including the place in Dispute which Warr't Right Samuel Laird purchased but no Survey has been made. And that Thomas Hamilton lives where Lodowick Lairds Warrant is located and where Lodowick Laird lived before him and from whence he was driven by the Indians And that Hamilton has applied for a Warrant for 200 A's And as it is uncertain

whether there be Land enough vacant to satisfy both Warrants, The Surveyor of the District is ordered to survey the Vacancy there about and return a plott of the same in order that the Board may be enabled to judge how both Claims may be most commodiously accommodated, And the Surveyor is Ordered to note on the Plott the Place where the House & Improvement on which Hamilton is seated lyes, The Report is ordered to be returned by the last Monday in June next.

Thomas Wilson
agt
Robert Campbell } on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Thomas Wilson had surveyed to him on a 150 A's Warrant the Quantity of 271 A's which included a place where Robert Campbell had began the Works of a Mill and laid out upon it a considerable Expence And that Robert Campbell & William Buchanan some small time after Wilsons Warrant obtained a Warrant for 75 A's to include the s'd Mill Seat which remains unsatisfyed, Wherefore in Regard to the s'd Imp't & the large Overplus of Wilsons Survey by a Line to be drawn from the Black Oak at the End of the S. 53. W. Line of Wilsons Survey to the Mouth of Licking Creek to be returned under the 75 A's Warrant.

John McMeen
agt
Jacob Man or Mooney } on Caveat.

On hearing it apears that McMeen had heretofore purchased an Improvement on the place where Mans Application is located therefore the Governor Orders that the Land mentioned in Mans Location be equally divided so as to allow McMeen the Improvement so purchased yet so as that Man may have an equal privilege of Water & other Conveniences, And if these Conveniencies cannot be had by both parties without dividing the Improvement that is also ordered to be divided.

Andrew Man al's Mooney
agt
John McKenny } on Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties, Ordered That Mr. Tea Surveyor of the District execute the Application of Andrew Man first it being prior to that of McKenny And that in this Regard be had to the Conveniencys of Water & other Advantages properly belonging to both their Improvements.

Nicholas Deal
agt
George Stevenson } on Caveat.

It appearing that this Caveat was entred by Mistake Mr Weiss for Nicholas Deal withdraws the same.

Henry Ward Pearce agt Alexander Porter. } on Caveat.

This Cause referred to the proprietary can be consulted upon it which is to be done by the first Opportunity.

Thomas Witherspoon agt Alex'r porter } on Caveat.

Referred till the determination of the other above.

Michael Rush applys for Lot No 192 in Penn Street Reading & agrees to give 40s ℔ Annum Ground Rent. Valentine Kerber applys for No 193 in the same Street & agrees to give the same Rent.

Peter Lowbar agt Samuel Robeson Ex'r of Daniel Robeson } on Caveat and Reference.

John Barnes one of the Referrees in this Dispute being dead John Vining Esq'r is appointed in his Place by Consent & Agreement of Parties.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 13th of April 1767

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley.

Upon Reading a Letter from John Scull and hearing the Representation of Mr Arch'd McClean concerning Resistances made to executing Warrants in favour of John McClean and Haines & France. The Governor Orders that the Surveyors in the Districts where the Lands lye on the Surveyor Gen'l apply to one or two of the Justices convenient to the places to attend the making of the Surveys and to take the Peace Officers wih them & have the peace kept while the Surveys are making.

Upon Representation of Col'o Armstrong that the Settlers about the Bigg Spring in Cumberland County are greatly dissatisfied concerning the Number of Applications located amongst their Settlements, The Governor is pleased to order that Colo Armstrong in the first place lay off about the Settlements a convenient Quantity of Land to each Settlement in proportion to its extent none to exceed 250 A's & then to survey the Applications upon the vacancy that is left.

Upon it being represented that many Surveys are made & making which contain more Land than the Warrant or Application specifyes, The Governor Orders that no Surveys be received consisting of more than 10 A's ℔ Cent above the quantity specified in the Warrant or Application.

The Survey of a Quantity of Marsh Land in Sussex County for Mr Benjamin Wynkoop being returned it is referred to Mr Hockley to agree with him for the price.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 27th Day of April 1767

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley.

Christian Shick
agt
Jacob Orndt & Peter Sipe or Seib } on Caveat.

Christian Shick having due Notice & not atending the Board proceeded to hear Orndt & Seip And it appearing that Shick who had the prior Application intended to lay his Location on the West Side of his other Land where it will not interfere with the Defendants his Caveat is dismissed and the Surveys of the Defendants ordered to be Accepted.

William Poor
agt
Casper Riter } on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that William Poor had 31 A's surveyed upon an Application for 50 A's and that Casper Riter had 115 A's surveyed upon an application prior to Poor tho' by Omission it was not entred till after Poors Therefore it is determined that each Survey be accepted And that Poors Caveat be dismissed.

Thomas Burney
agt
James Elliott }

Submitted by Consent of Partys to the Determination of Colonel Benjamin Chambers, Thomas Beard, Richard Venables, Robert Urie and William Lyons or any three of them.

John Anderson
agt
John Simpson } on Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appeared that John Simpson sen'r Father of the Defendant in 1755 sold his Claim of One hundred

Acres adjoining the Lands in Question to one Nathan'l McKenny for 8£ 12s 6d and was to retain the Possession of that and the place where McKenny lived till the Money was paid That 2£ 0 3, of the Money was afterwards paid That this 100 Acre Right by several mesne Conveyances became vested in Robert Brown who upon surveying it left out the Land in Question to Anderson And that Simpson under his Fathers old Right now claims the Land from Anderson, The Governor is of Opinion that Simpson has no pretence of Claim upon Andersons Land and has only a Right to oppose Browns Patent till the Residue of the 8£ 2s 6d with Intrest be paid which McKenny changed as af'd upon the land and for which the Land was a kind of pledge and which Brown is willing to pay. Therefore he Orders that Andersons Application be surveyed & the Survey be accepted.

John Smith
agt
Adam Cook & George Cook } on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Adam Cook & Geo Cook have a Warrant issued in the Year 1765 And that John Smiths Claim is only a Groundless pretence of an Improvement made by his Son, Therefore the Governor determines that the Caveat be dismissed.

George Galloway
agt
Christian Hamilton } on Caveat.

Upon hearing it apepared that the Land in Dispute was first settled by one John Howard who sold the Improvement to several Persons, That the first Deed was to one Hugh Brown deceased on whose Estate Christian Hamilton administered that the second Deed was to George Galloway and the third to Adam Hoops, That the first Deed is very suspicious containing a Consideration very much above the Value of the Land And there appears no Evidence of the payment of any Money And the Vendor was in possession after the Deed. As to the second, the Consideration is adequate to the Value of the Land, And the Money well proved to be paid. And a possession immediately took place, & has continued to this time & very considerable Improvements have been made by Galloway Wherefore the Governor Orders the s'd George Galloway have One hundred & fifty Acres of Land including the said Improvement in Dispute according to his Application.

Andrew Stephen
agt
Robert Sturgeon } on Caveat.

On hearing the Parties and likewise David English who has made an Application for One hundred Acres next above Sturgeon upon Juniata. The Governor Orders that the Surveyor of the

District after discovering the Quantity of unsurveyed Land about the several Locations of the Parties do in the first place survey in a convenient Manner to each party One hundred Acres with Allowance first to Sturgeon who has the eldest Warrant then to English, and that the Rest be left for Andrew Stephens Improvement And that he take Care to lay out Sturgeons Land so as to injure Andrew Stephens Improvement as little as possible And that each party have a due Proportion of good & bad Land in their Surveys And if any of the Fences of Stephens shou'd fall within Sturgeons Land he shall either suffer Stephens to remove the Rails or mall him as many upon his Stephens part of the Land. If there shou'd in the whole be less than 300 A's The parties are to share it equally to be laid out as conveniently as may be agreeable to the above Directions [See the Caveat Book pa 156 the 4th May 1763].

Samuel Moorehead
agt
Adam Hoops
} on Caveat.

On hearing the partys it appeared That Mr. Hoops claims under an Improvement made by one William Craig which was allowed by Matthew Black the person under whom Mr Moorehead claims, The Governor therefore orders Mr Hoops Warrant to be first surveyed so as to include Craigs old Improvement and to be made as agreeable as may be to any consentable lines made between Craig & Matthew Black so far as the same can be discovered And that Mooreheads Caveat be dismissed.

Clemson & O'rs
agt
Daniel McPherson
} on Caveat.

The plaintiffs not appearing although regularly cited And it appearing that they have no Colour or Right to the Land in Dispute The Governor orders the Caveat to be dismissed and patent to issue to McPherson.

John Walker
agt
Joseph Wilson
} on Caveat.

John Walker though regularly cited does not appear to support his Caveat it is therefore dismissed.

James Purdy
agt
Smith & Buchanan
} on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared That Purdy claims under a Settlement made in the Year 1755 by one Andrew Erwin on which he since obtained a Warrant for 200 A's. And that Buchanan & Smith claim by a Warrant and Survey in the Year 1755 That the Sur-

vey consists of 963 A's ¾ under two Warrants of 200 A's each, That the said Improvement was begun before the Survey was made And that a Sum of Money was paid for it by Purdy who has made considerable Improvements and lives on it with his Family for which Reasons and for as much as James Patterson who first shewed the vacant Land to Buchanan & Smith has certify'd that the place shewn them was not within a Mile of the place where Purdy now dwells. The Governor determines that Purdy shall have 200 A's with Allowance surveyed upon his Warrant so as to include his Improvement with reasonable Conveniencies of Water & Meadow Land to be taken off from Buchanan & Smiths Survey which will still contain a much larger Quantity than their Warrants specify.

Joseph Mahaffy
agt } on Caveat
Hugh Mahaffy

Postponed till the last Monday in June there having not been timely Notice given the Defendant.

Richard Parker
agt } on Caveat.
Andrew Forbes

On hearing the Partys it appeared that this Dispute turns principally upon the Force of a Lycence of Settlement granted in the Year 1735 by Samuel Blunston to John Parker Father of the plaintiff upon inspecting which it is by no means certain that the Land in dispute was within the Design of that Lycence And it does not appear that Parker made any Settlement or Improvement under it But that Andrew Forbes so early as the Year 1743 was settled upon the place and then obtained a Warrant & Survey for it and has possessed it ever since for which Reasons and for that Mess'rs Robert Miller, John Montgomery, and William Brown to whom the Matter was referred by consent of Parties have certify'd that they went upon the Land and heard the Proofs & Allegations of the Parties & were of Opinion that the Right & Justice of the Matter was with Forbes. The Governor Orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Geo. Michael Hell
agt } Upon Caveat.
Jacob Zin or Sin

Referred by Consent of Partys to Jonas Seely Esq'r and William Scull & William Reezer or any two of them to enquire into the pretensions of the Parties & to report the Facts & the Opinion to the Board as soon as they conveniently can.

Alexander Gould
agt
Jane the Widow & the
H'rs of James Starrett
} on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that the Land in Dispute is part of the Manor of Springton on which the deceased James Starrett was settled & about 12 years ago contracted with Axexander Gould for the Possession of it, for a Sum of Money which never has been paid and the said Jane is willing to deliver up his Bonds for the same, That since that Contract the said Jane Starrett in behalf of herself and the Children of James Starrett have in Consequence of the said James Starrett's Application contracted with the prop'ry Agents for the purchase of the said Land in Dispute. The Governor is therefore of Opinion that the Land shall be patented to the said Jane Starrett according to her Agreement And the said Goulds Caveat is dismissed & he is left to take Advantage of his Contract against Starretts Estate.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on friday the 1st May 1767

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley.

Upon the Representation of the Surveyor General that great Numbers of Surveys have been returned both on Warrants & Applications exceeding the quantity mentioned in the warrants or applications & the 10 ℔ Cent allowed the Surveyor to exceed, And at this time to cut off the Excesses of those Surveys wou'd in a manner put a Stop to the Business of the whole Province And it appearing that the Surveyors have certifyed that in many Cases these Excesses of Quantity are to the Advantage & Intrest of the prop'rs as they include Land which by itself would probably never be taken up from its Barrenness. The Governor is pleased to order that as to what is past the Surveyor General receive the Return of the Surveys though they shou'd exceed the Quantities mentioned in the Warrants or Applications & the 10 ℔ Ct. But that for the future he strictly charge his Deputies that they shall not on any pretence return more than the Quantity with the usual Allowance for Roads & the 10 ℔ Cent upon pain of being obliged at their own Expense to rectify any surveys they shall return with such Excess of Quantity.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the 13th Day of May 1767

present

The Governor

The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman

The Surveyor General Mr Lukens.

Upon inspecting the plan of New Margaretta and new Market Streets on Callowhill there appears a Necessity of making some Alteration in the width of the said new Margaretta Street from the Intersection of new Market Street to Second Street on Account of some Buildings erected by John Bartram on the front of New Market Street. And it is concluded that new Margaretta Street be altered in its width from 50 to 38 foot And that to make John Bartram amends for his Ground taken off by the Alteration of old Margaretta Street, and for the loss of part of his front on new Market Street. It is ordered that he be allowed a front of 62 feet & 8 Ins on New Market Street & 80 ft on new Margaretta Street And that he still pay the same Rent as when he had the 80 feet front on new Market Street.

The Surveyor General with Col'o Jno. Armstrong if he can attend is directed to examine a few Divisions of the Manor of Lowther to see if they are exact, particularly that Tract on Sasquehanna River which was laid out for the prop'rs which they do not intend to reserve also to survey a suitable Tract for the prop'rs to contain about 500 A's fronting Conedoguanet Creek and between said Creek and the Road leading to Callendars & to the Westward of Tobias Hendricks, Also to survey a Tract of about 250 A's for Edmund Physick adjoining the last described Tract for the prop'rs & on both Sides Trundles Road And to Resurvey a Tract which William Thompson lately obtained a Warrant for in such a manner as will least incomode the adjacent Tracts And that after the said Alterations made a correct Draught to be formed from Col'o Armstrongs first draught and the Alterations & lodged in the Surveyor Generals & Secretaries Offices, And if Col'o Armstrong cannot attend while the Surveyor General is to the Westward, whither he is going, the Surveyor General is directed to execute the order alone.

At a Meeting at the Land Office Monday the 25th of May 1767

present

The Secretary Mr Tilghman

The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

Thomas Steel
agt
James McCanless Ex'r of } upon Caveat.
Alex'r McCanless

It appeared that Alex'r McCanless in the Year 1748 the 16th Feb'ry sold to Thomas Steel the Moiety of a Warrant dated the 4th of May 1744 for 200 A's And that on the 19th & 20th days of March 1750 George Stevenson Surveyor of York County surveyed to the said Steel 330 A's & Allowance in Virtue of an Order from Governor Hamilton which Order was founded on a Warrant granted the s'd Steel in the Year 1741 for 50 A's and the said Moiety of McCanless's Warrant, Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that the said Survey made by Geo. Steventson for the said Thomas Steel be accepted, to which James McCanless Ex'r of Alex'r McCanless being present consents.

Isaac Skyles.
agt } on Caveat.
Henry Skyles

Postponed till the last Monday in August at the Instance of Isaac Skyles.

See Caveat Book No 4 pa 1st

Joseph Shenywolf
agt } on Caveat.
Andrew Bonjour

On hearing the parties it appears That Bonjour claims under an Improvement which is proved by the Oath of old Garret Pendergrass and the Information of Samuel Finley to have been in a place which does not affect Shenywolfs Improvement, Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that Shenywolfs Improvement shall not be affected by Bonjours Warrant but that he be allowed to take up his Improvement with a sufficient Quantity of Land to accommodate it.

Joseph Shenywolf
agt } on Caveat.
Christopher Lens

Upon hearing it appeared That Shenywolf hath a very valuable Improvement on which he has laid out a great deal of Labour and Money before Christopher Lens obtained his Warrant And that there were consentable or conditional Lines settled between the said Christo'r Lens & the aaid Joseph Shenywolf, Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that the said Warrant of Christo's Lens should not affect any Lands within those consentable or conditional Lines on the Side of Shenywolfs Improvement but that he be allowed to take up Two hundred Acres including his said Improvement.

Michael Miller
agt
John Lehn

This dispute is continued till the last Monday in August.

Taylor
agt
Reeder

Postponed for further Consideration.

Brown
agt
Taylor

Postponed for further Consideration.

Kootz
agt
Fritz

Postponed for further Consideration.

Kenney & Fitzgerald
agt
Graham

Postponed for further Consideration.

Robert Moore
agt
James Maxwell
on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that the Land in Controversy was taken up or allotted to Mr Maxwell who assisted in the Expedition against Fort Duquesne by General Braddock and it was promised by the then Sec'ry to Mr. Maxwell, who in June 1762 obtained a Warrant forit, That in Feb'ry 1762 Mr Moore began to improve on it and was cautioned of the Right af'd wherefore and for as much as Mr Maxwell is willing to pay Mr Moore the Value of any Improvement he made on the Land, The Board are of Opinion that the Survey of Mr Maxwell on the said Warrant be accepted.

John Over
agt
Wm Sawyer

On petition of Rehearing of a Judgment of the late Sec'ry Wm Peters Esq'r., The partys agree that the 86 A's lately surveyed to Wm Sawyer in Consequence of his Judgment be divided between them by a line to be drawn from the Spanish Oak Grub at the End of the N. W. 69 perch line to intersect the S. 26. E. 50 perch Line so as to leave 50 A's with Allowance of the Land to Sawyer.

At a Meeting at the Governors Tuesday the 26th May 1767
present
The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman

James Armstrong
agt
Robert Guthrie
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Robert Guthrie has a Warrant and Survey And the Improvement Right of Armstrong is not at all clearly made out. The Governor therefore determines that the Survey of Guthry be accepted but Armstrong is to have Liberty to take off from the Land any Crop now upon it.

Robert Moore
agt
Francis Campbell &
Thomas Erwin
} on Caveat.

Francis Campbell appearing declared he had nothing to say to the dispute and freely gave up his Claim to Moor & it appearing that Erwins Warrant does not interfere with Moore but that his Survey is made on a wrong Place. It is ordered by the Governor that Moors Warrant be surveyed and returned And that neither Campbells nor Erwins Surveys be accepted.

Peter Delaback
agt
William Sawyer
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Delaback claims under a Warrant of the 1st May 1751 & that Sawyer claims under the purchase of an old settled Improvement of one David McCord made long before Delabacks Warrant and a Survey of that Improvement and a Warrant of Resurvey in the Year 1761 granted to Sawyer founded on that Title upon which Warrant there has been a Resurvey returned into the Sec'ry Office of 102 A's, Therefore it is adjudged that in as much as Sawyers original Title on which the Resurvey is founded is prior to Delabacks, he have a Patent on the said Return.

John Scott
agt
Robert Davison
} on Caveat.

On hearing the Allegations of both parties it is ordered by the Governor that each of their Surveys be returned as they are made & accepted.

Richard Wallis
agt
Jno. Scott
} On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Wallis cleared a small Field some-

time before Scotts Application which is included in the Survey. The Governor orders that Scotts Survey be returned & accepted provided he make Wallis such Satisfaction for his Labour as two indifferent Men of the Neighbourhood shall award.

Hugh Alexander
agt
Henry Taylor
} on Caveat.

Hugh Alexander not appearing It is left to Mr McClay to enquire whether Hugh Alexander had really any Improvement Right prior to Henry Taylors Application as Hugh Alexander alledges to support his Improvement & to report the Effect of his Enquiry to the Secr'y.

June 29th 1767 A. M. at the State house Before the Sec'ry The Governor being absent and the Rec'r Gen'l & Surveyor General not attending this Morning.

Alexander Wilson
agt
John Colhoon
} on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared That Alexander Wilson purchased an old Improvement with three hundred Acres of Land adjoining being the Claim of one Giles who sold the same to Ralph Whitesides who sold the same to Alexander Wilson for one hundred pounds and that the Application of John Colhoon has been attempted to be surveyed within the claim so purchased by Alexander Wilson, I am of Opinion and do adjudge that Alexander Wilson have the Liberty of obtaining a Warrant to secure his said purchase And that Colhoons Application be laid upon any remaining Vacancy thereabouts, And I am of Opinion that the Surveyor on Wilsons Warrant shall lay out his Survey in a manner as commodious as may be to his Improvement yet so as to leave out any Vacancy there may be thereabouts in a convenient manner in Order to execute Colhoons Application upon the same.

William Bunting
agt
Darlington Boyle

The parties appeared and having agreed their Dispute Wm Bunting yithdarws his Caveat.

Patrick Campbell
agt
Samuel Robb
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared That patrick Campbell claims under an Improvement made by one Gilmore about 16 Years ago which lyes

between the Land surveyed to Samuel Robb and the patent Land of Patrick Campbell and because it does not appear whether there be vacant Land sufficient to accommodate Gilmores Improvement between the patent Land of Campbell and the surveyed Land of Robb, I am of Opinion the Mater shou'd be postponed for further Consideration And that in the mean time Mr Lyons the Deputy Surveyor in those parts be ordered to lay down the Line of Campbells patent Land next to Robbs & the Line of Wm Wilsons Land & also the Lines of Robb in Order that it may be known what Quantity of Vacancy there is left to accommodate Gilmores Improvement & Mr Lyon is likewise desired to lay down and describe the Waters within the said Vacancy.

Henry Spengler
agt
John Fishell

Postponed for further Consideration and the Deeds under which both partys claim are ordered to be proved.

James Adams
agt
John McKnight

Postponed till the last Monday in December.

Hugh Mehaffy
agt
Joseph Mahaffy

Continued till the last Monday in July next.

John Fulton
agt
James Scott
on Caveat.

It appearing that James Scott has the prior Application and a Survey upon it And that John Fulton endeavours to support his subsequent Application by the purchase of a trifling Improvement which had been deserted for some time before the purchase the Board are of Opinion that the Survey of Scott be confirmed and that the Caveat dismissed.

Katherine Kimbling Widow of Bernard Kimbling
agt
Baltzer Knertzer

Postponed for further Consideration.

John Wenrich
agt
Joseph Garber
on Caveat.

It appeared by the Proofs and Allegations of the parties That the 4t Mhay 1757 John Stall or Stull obtained a Warant for 25 A's in Cumru Township then Lancaster now Berks County That

he afterwards sold his Warrant Right to Jacob Stehley who had 30 A's surveyed upon it promising the Surveyor he wou'd get other Warrants to make up the Quantity. Stehly sold his whole Right to Joseph Garber who sold 70 A's part thereof to Conrad Kreichbaum And the Remainder to Jacob Mingh who sold to John Wenrick to secure a debt of 31£. In April last Joseph Gardner took out a Warrant for 150 A's & laid it upon this Land And after debating the Matter John Garber in behalf of his Brother Joseph and the said John Wenrick do agree that Wenrick shall convey his Right to Joseph Garber for 35£ which when paid the Caveat is to be withdrawn.

Nicholas Michael
agt
John Child

It appearing by Affidavit That Child had due Notice of hearing but not attending the Board proceed to hear Nicholas Michael who producing a regular Survey, made on a Warant dated the 3d June 1762, It is the Opinion of the Board That a Patent issue on the same unles Cause shewn the last Monday in August of which Michael is to give Child ten Days Notice at least.

June 29th 1767 P. M. at the State house.

present

The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr Lukens.

John Mitchell
agt
Joseph Merriott
on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that the Defendant claims under a Warrant & Survey of William Clifton which is long posterior to the Warrant of Joseph Merriott, which was obtained in the Year 1739, Therefore the Caveat of John Mitchell is dismissed.

Peter Lowbar
agt
Elizabeth Gildersleeve
on Caveat.

This Cause postponed till the last Monday in October and it is ordered that the Surveyor lay down upon the Draught of the Resurvey of the Land called Quiet Entry made by Andrew Caldwell jun'r the original Survey of the said Land called Quiet Entry made by Richard Smith for Andrew Caldwell, sen'r, And Peter Lowbar who claims under a Deed made by the said Andrew Caldwell sen'r to Christopher Nugan, is ordered at the day of hearing to produce that Deed.

Adam Fink
agt
Philip Graber
al's Craver
} on Caveat.

It appeared that Adam Fink had the first Warrant but that Philip Graber had an Improvement & made an Applica'n for a Warrant to Geo. Stevenson who had power to take in Applications long before Finks Warrant, Therefore the Board confirm the Survey of Craver & dismiss Finks Caveat and Order that he may have Liberty to fill up his Warr't by including any Land on the other side of Cravers Land from him.

Sarah Donelly
agt
Charles Moore
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Charles Moore had a Warrant for 200 A's in the Year 1762 prior to any Pretensions of the said Sarah Donelly Therefore the Board adjudges that the Caveat be dismissed.

Samuel Laird
agt
Thomas Hamilton
} on Caveat.

On hearing it is Ordered by the Board That Samuel Laird having the prior Claim under an Improvement & a 50 A's Warrant obtained in 1755 have 100 A's of Land laid out to him at the upper End of the Vacancy returned in this Dispute by Colonel Armstrong to be laid off by one or two streight Lines to be drawn from Sheermans Creek joining on Thomas Hamiltons Upper field to Hustons Run And that the Rest of the Vacancy be surveyed to Hamilton but both Surveys are to be made in such a manner as not to prejudice the Dam of Joseph Hustons Mill nor to deprive him of the Water of Hustons Run.

Adam Gramlich
agt
Zachariah Nordon
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Nordon had the prior Application And that Gramlich had not any Improvement of any Significancy therefore the Board are of Opinion the Caveat be dismissed.

Tuesday the 30th June 1767 at the State house.
present
The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

David Lockart
agt
Michael Castor
} on Caveat.

It appeared on hearing That Michael Castor took out an Application prior to Lockarts bounding on his own Land & one Eaglestons which Application he had executed on Land bounding neither on his own nor Eaglestons but laying where Lockarts Application is located And that he afterwards took out an Application subsequent to Lockarts which he said on the place where his first was located. It was therefore the opinion of the Board That Lockart have his Land surveyed according to his Application And that then Castors two Applications may be executed in one Tract upon the remaining Vacancy agreeable to their Locations.

John Reed
agt
Jas Clark
} on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that one Thomas Henderson obtained a Warant for the Land in Question in the Year 1738 and sold his Warrant Right to David Lynn who sold it to Patrick Reed father of the plt. who by his Last Will & Testament ordered the place to be sold & it was sold accordingly in a public Manner for 124£ (the Land containing only 176 A's) to one Francis Brady who sold it for 175£ to James Clark It appeared also that the plt. and the other Children of Reed have received in part their Shares of the Money the Land sold for. The Board are therefore of Opinion that there is no foundation for the Caveat but that it ought to be dismissed.

Barbara Messersmith
agt
Robert Hinton
}

Postponed till the last Monday in August for further Consideration for proofs of Mesesersmith being in Wm. Peters's Hand & he being from home.

John McCormick
agt
Samuel Fisher
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared That Fisher obtained a Warrant for the Land in dispute so early as the Year 1748 & that McCormick sets up an irregular Claim from the Adm'r of one Matthews alledged to be the first Improver of the place, that the Land has since been sold by Fisher for a large sum of Money and therefore as this Warrant Right has laid so long uncaveated & a large Sum of Money has been paid for it The Board are of Opinion the Caveat shou'd be dismissed.

Robert Kelly
agt
Henry Gass

It appears by Robert Kellys Affidavit that he served Henry Gass with Notice of this hearing and therefore the Board proceeded to hear the Matter exparte Gass not appearing And upon inspecting the Warrants of both parties it appears That Gass obtained a Warrant for 50 A's of uncultivated land & Kelly for 100 A's including his Improvem't That they were both obtained the same Day but Gass's stands first upon Record Yet in as much as Kellys is for his Improvement the Board are of Opinion that his Warrant be first executed and that his 100 A's be laid out in a convenient manner to include his Improvement.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys on Monday 6th July 1767
present
The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr Lukens

William Bennett & Compa.
agt
John Morris & Compa.
on Caveat.

In this Case Morris & Compa: claimed under an old Right surveyed on an Iron Mine Bank in the Year 1765 and a patent thereon and likewise under a purchase from one Peter Cook of a Survey & Improvement about eleven Years since and Bennett & Company claimed under a Warrant obtained in the Year 1759 by William Bennett & a Survey thereon made in the Year 1762 in Order to secure the said Mine Bank which Warrant is not to be found either in the Surveyor General or Secretarys Offices but the Survey was made on a Copy of the said Warrant as usual signed by the late Surveyor General which Bennett & Company produce to the Board with the Receivor Gen'ls Receipt for the usual portion of the purchase Money and they produce likewise the Affidavit of Geo. Stevenson that he took out the Warrant for Bennett And upon reading & considering a great Number of Depositions taken in this Dispute concerning the said Survey of Cook and Improvement, It appears that there was upwards of eleven Years ago a Cabbin built by one McGrady near the place in dispute And that some small time after Peter Cook requested the Deputy Surveyor of York County to include that Cabbin and the place in Dispute by running some lines round the place which was done as Cook alledges in order to be added to an old unreturned Survey of his,

but which fact the Surveyor does not agree in, That McGrady soon after quitted his Cabbin (whether on Account of a purchase by Cook or by being threat'ned off by Cook does not clearly appear from the Depositions) upon which Cook removed the Materials of the Cabbin to his own place and made use of them, That Cook knew of the Survey of Bennett and never offered to object or caveat against it but rather declared he did not set up any Right to the place till sometime this last Winter since the Commencement of the present Dispute he sold it to Morris & Company, Upon considering all which facts the Board are of Opinion That the Survey of Morris & Company was improperly made upon the place where Bennett and Companys Warrant had been before surveyed And that the Survey of Cook made about eleven Years ago was without any Foundation, that the trifleing Improvement of McGrady cou'd give no Title to the place in Dispute And upon the whole that Bennett & Compa ought to have the Benefit of a Patent on their Survey.

At a Meeting Monday 27th July 1767 at the State house present

The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr Lukens

Lorentz Houtz
agt
George Dollinger
or Tullinger
} On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared That Lawrence Houtz claims under a Survey of Wm Parsons or some Persons who made a Survey for his Use which upon extending the Lines will not include the place in Dispute, And that George Dollinger had advanced a Sum of Money to one Bollinger who really made & erected ye Mill Dam which is the subject of Dispute & afterwards purchased an old Right under a Warrant to Evan Rees for 200 A's & laid upon the place. Therefore as Lawrence Houtz cannot make out any Title to the s'd Mill Dam either by survey or Improvement & Dollinger hath both legal & equitable pretensions the Board are of Opinion that there is no foundation for the Caveat.

Frederick Speigle
agt
Adam Hebberly
} on Caveat.

It appeared on hearing that Adam Hebberly had a Warr't on the place in Dispute dated the 29th July 1765 and Frederick Speigle had an Application dated the 26th Aug't 1765 under which

his Survey is made But at the same time it appeared that Speigle had four or five Years ago built a House near a Spring on the said Land in Consequence of an Agreement made with Gregorious Shultz who claimed the place in the presence of Jno. Trexler & Nicholas Moyer, Therefore the Board are of Opinion that as Hebberly has the prior Right his Warrant is to be preferred only Speigle is to have his House & the Spring with a small piece of four or five Acres of Land to include them out of the Land surveyed for Adam Hebberly, to be laid out in such a manner as the said Trexler, Moyer & David Shultz shall direct & added to his part. And that the whole Vacancy returned by James Scull be in all other respects surveyed for the contending Partys as the same is divided by that Draught.

Tetrick or Detrick Welgar
agt
Isaac Sumony

Tetrick Welgar not being prepared for hearing time is given him till the last Monday in Octover both parties are present.

See pa 123 of Cav't Book further postponed.

Robert Rodgers
agt
George Cross
on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Robert Rodgers had an Application prior to Crosses for 100 A's on which he had surveyed returned & patented the quantity of about 49 A's. After this Transaction Cross took an Application for the rest of the Vacancy And Rodgers insists upon the Lands being subject to his prior Location, But it being the practice of the office to make but one Survey upon a Warrant or Application The Board are of Opinion that the Land was liable to Crosses Application wherever it was left out by Rodgers Survey And therefore that the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

John Schweitzar
agt
William Trent
Postponed.

Jos. Mahaffy
agt
Hugh Mahaffy
on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that in the Year 1742 Mr Richard Peters late Secretary made an Order in favour of one Robert Young for surveying to him one hundred Acres more or less in East Nottingham Township Chester County That the said Robert Young sold his Right to Hugh Mahaffy & Gideon Thompson. And Gideon Thompson sold his Moiety of the Right to one Widow

Greer That Hugh Mahaffy made over his Right purchased from Young to his Son the said Joseph Mahaffy in the Year 1765 bounding it in such a manner in the Deed as to include the place in Dispute, That the place in Dispute was surveyed in the Year 1765 by George Churchman under the said Order of Richard Peters but whether to Joseph Mahaffy in his own Right or in Trust for his Father does not clearly appear from Churchmans Deposition That Hugh Mahaffy afterwards purchased an old Right which George Churchman surveyed for him on the same place supposing there was no Validity in the Order af'd of Richard Peters and Survey made upon it, And upon the whole Matter the Board are of Opinion That Hugh Mahaffy claimed the Land in dispute under the Right of Youngs Order of Survey and made it over to the said Joseph And that it was unjust & irregular to lay an old Right upon it after it had been made over & surveyed as af'd And that therefore the Caveat ought to be dismissed And that Joseph Mahaffy shou'd have a Warrant to Resurvey the Land as af'd surveyed by George Churchman, in Order that the same may be returned for Confirmation.

At a special Meeting at the Sec'rys Office on Wednesday the 29th July 1767

present

The Secretary Mr Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley
Surveyor Gen'l Mr Lukens.

Robert Armstrong agt Thomas McKee } on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that both parties claimed under Warrants to include Improvements That McKees Warrant and Improvement are eldest and that Armstrong has more than his Quantity in his Survey & McKee less Therefore the Board are of Opinion there is no Foundation for the Caveat.

John Meetch agt The same } on Caveat.

It appeared upon hearing the Partys and inspecting their Papers That McKees Warrant is elder than Meetches's and does not interfere with the Improvement purchased by Meetch on which his Warrant was taken out And that Meetch has surveyed to him more than the Quantity of his Warrant clear of McKees Survey. The Board therefore are of opinion that the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

At a Meeting of the Board at the Land Office on Monday the 31st of August 1767.

present

The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman

The Surveyor Gen'l Mr Lukens

Margaret Powell agt Thomas McKee } on Caveat.

Thomas McKee not appearing & sending an Excuse by Letter that Notice was not served upon him till soon after his Return home from a Journey to Philadelphia, The Board took into Consideration the papers laid before them by the Widow Powel & her Allegations By Which it appears that her husband John Powell about the Year 1736 settled upon the place in Dispute and lived thereon about 12 Years & dyed in the Year 1748 making his Will and leaving Thomas McKee, John Allison & the said Margaret Executors And that the said McKee & Allison took upon them the Execution of the Will and the Land and Improvements were returned in the Inventory of the Estate, That McKee took possession (as she alledges) of the plantation forcibly and put a Tenant into it and received Rent for 5 Years. That in the Year 1765 she returned to the possession of the Land obtained on Application for 100 A's and had a Survey in Consequence, That in the Year 1766 T McKee took an Application for the same That Powell by his Will left his Estate amongst his Wife and Children, therefore it is determined by the Board that said Margaret Powells Survey be accepted and have a Confirmation unless Thomas McKee at the last Monday in December support his Allegation that the Estate of Powell was largely indebted to him and was sold or retained by him for the Satisfaction of his Debt and that there was not sufficient Assets besides sufficient to satisfy him And of this Margaret Powell is to give McKee thirty Days Notice at least.

Valentine Shiteacre al's Shadacre & Jacob Fisher agt. Francis Campbell & Geo Armstrong } on Caveat.

Neither George Armstrong nor Francis Campbell appearing and Valentine Shiteacre making Affidavit of Service of Notice on George Armstrong the Board took the Caveat into Consideration on the part of Shiteacre and Fisher and it appeared that in the Year 1761 Shiteacre settled the Land in dispute and built upon it & cleared Land & in 1762 was driven off by the Indians. That in 1766 he returned & took an Application for the Land & had a Survey as appears by a Receipt from one Robert McKenzie And it also appears That Francis Campbell took a Warrant for Land

there or thereabouts in 1762 after the Settlement of Shiteacre & never had it surveyed til lately, The Board therefore determines that Shiteacres Survey be returned and accepted unless Cause shewn to the contrary by Armstrong the last Monday in December of which Shiteacre is to give Armstrong Notice.

Thomas Calvert
agt
John Steel

Mr. Steel excusing himself by Letter on Account of his Indisposition the Matter is postponed till the last Monday in December next.

Michael Miller
agt
John Lehn
on Caveat.

It appeared upon hearing the Parties that John Lehn obtained a Warrant the 19th of May 1752 for 100 A's & some small time after the Land of Lehn was surveyed by one McCullough since dec'ed who was then Deputy Surveyor to George Stevenson as appears by the Depositions of the Chain bearers on that Occasion which Survey was never returned by the proper Officer That Millers Warrant was obtained in May last Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that the Land of Lehn be again surveyed upon that Warrant according to the Lines run by McCullough and to be shewn the Surveyor by the Chain bearers or one of them as mentrioned in their Depositions And that Millers Survey be corrected and made agreeable to Lehns Survey.

William McCurdy
agt
Sam'l Duncan

This Caveat withdrawn by a Letter from Wm. McCurdy.

Alexander Lowry
agt
Jacob Downer

Upon Notice to shew Cause why Patent shou'd not issue to Lowry Upon hearing the Parfties it appeared That Lowry on the 12th of August, 1762, obtained two Warrants of 50 A's each & had them surveyed the 12th of April, 1763, That sometime after these Surveys Downer laid an old Right on part of them and then entred his Caveat and afterwards had a Survey made something different from the former in virtue of a Letter from the late Secretary Mr. Wiiliam Peters to the Surveyor General That a hearing was had before the late Sec'ry but no Decision was made That pending this Caveat Downer obtained a special Warrant setting forth That he had sett up a Ferry from his plantation in Donegal Township over the River Susquehannah And

had built a house and Wharffe and made other Improvements on the opposite Shore of the said River for accommodating his said Ferry with a Landing on that Side upon a piece of vacant Land particularly described but not mentioning Lowrys Surveys and being part of the Manor of Springetsbury but containing a Caution not to interfere with Lands surveyed upon former Warrants That upon this Warrant a Survey was made and returned upon parts of Lowrys two Surveys af'd And upon Application to the Office a patent was obtained the said Downer or his Agent upon being interrogated by the Clerk whether it was any part of the Lands in Dispute upon the Caveat, absolutely denying that it was & insisting that it was part of the Manor of Springetsbury Wherefore the premisses being considered the Board are of Opinion that the Warrants & Surveys of Lowry being prior to those of Downer ought to have the preference That the last Warrant obtained by Downer was founded upon a false suggestion of the Lands being part of the Manor of Springetsbury That the patent was surreptitiously obtained by the fraudulent Allegations af'd And that Lowry ought to have a patent on his two Surveys in order that he may be enabled to try his Title at Law for such parts of them as are within the patent af'd of Downer.

Jacob Rich
agt
The Childern of Geo Kleinhans
al's Little Johns

The Defendants not appearing the pl't produces an Affidavit of Notice upon which the Board proceeded to hear his Allegations and inspect the Papers And it appeared that Rich in the Year 1756 had 27 A's surveyed to him in Virtue of a Warrant of the same Year And that the Defendants made their Application so lately as the eighteenth of April last It is therefore determined by the Board that the Survey of Rich be established and that the Survey of Kleinhans al's Little John be acepted only for so much as lyes clear of Richs Survey And that the Deputy Surveyor accordingly correct the said Survey of Kleinhans al's Little Johns.

Robert Campbell
agt
Samuel Robb
} on Caveat.

It appearing that there was no regular Notice given to Samuel Robb of the hearing this Day, to save the partys the expence of another Journey to Philadelphia, The Board orders that James Maxwell Esq'r & Mr John McDowell be requested to hear the partys & to report their opinion to the Board concerning the Merits of the Dispute by the last Monday in November next and previous to the hearing Robert Campbell is to give Samuel Robb

or those who act for him or claim under him Notice of such hearing.

Barbara Messersmith
agt
Robert Hinton
on Caveat.

Referred by Consent of Partys to Mess'rs James Reed, John Patten and Benjamin Lightfoot or any two of them. Award to be returned by the last Monday in November next.

Jane Darrah in behalf of
Mary Rhea
agt
John Gibson
on Caveat.

John Gibson not appearing and Jane Darrah producing an Affidavit of Notice of hearing The Board proceeded to consider the Case as represented by Jane Darrah And it appeared that Joseph Rhea deceased the Father of Mary had purchased the Right of a Warrant obtained by John Smith for 100 A's on the 12th May 1743 & had himself a Warrant of the 12th Decem'r 1748 On which it does not appear that any Surveys have been returned, Therefore the Board are of Opinion That the said Warrants be surveyed for Jane Darrah in behalf of Mary Rhea in preference to the Application of Gibson.

Nicholas Michael
agt
George Ross Assignee of
Jacob Giles
on Caveat.

This Caveat was entred by mistake ag't John Child instead of Jacob Giles for whom the Survey caveated against was made and who has since assigned to George Ross. It appears upon hearing that Jacob Giles's Warrants bear date in the Year 1756 and that the survey contains 156 A's more than the Warrants And that Nicholas Michael settled his Land and paid Money for a Warrant to George Stevenson then Surveyor of York County before Giles's Warrants were obtained And that the interfering of the Surveys is trifling And Michaels Survey contains very little more than the quantity of his Warrant. Therefore considering the prior Settlement of Michael and the payment of his Money and the considerable Overplus which will still remain to Giles's Survey after Michaels is satisfied the Board are of Opinion that Michaels Survey be established & Giles's corrected accordingly.

Tuesday 1st September at the Governors
present

The Governor
& the Secretary.

Upon Application of Hezekiah Alexander who obtained a Warrant in February last to take up 200 A's of Land adjoining his other Land with Intrest & Quit Rent from the same time of his other Land taken up in 1751 The Governor allows him to release his last Warrant and to take up the Land upon the new Terms.

Upon motion of James Cohoon representing that John Hardly had obtained Judgment against him in his Absence when it was impossible for him to attend and praying a Rehearing the Governor grants the same and orders that no further proceedings be had in favour of Hardy till such Rehearing.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 28th Sept'r 1767
present
His Honour the Governor
The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr Lukens

John Watson
agt
James Lindsey

Postponed till the last Monday in December.

Robert Bryson
agt
Fergus McVeagh &
Moses Kempton
} on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Bryson claims partly under a Warrant obtained by one William Crawford about sixteen Years ago and a Survey made on it by Colonel Armstrong the Field Notes of which was burn'd in his House And partly under an Improvement purchased of William Crawford made about ten years ago adjoining James Crawford on the South, George Armstrong on the East, John Gilkis on the West and Henry Quigley and William Crawford on the North Therefore it is determined by the Governor that he have the old Warrant survey'd according to the old Lines run by Colonel Armstrong And that he have a new Warrant for 150 A's including his Improvement & adjoining the above Persons.

George Long
agt
Andrew Gammell
} on Caveat.

On hearing it was affirmed by William McDowell & Thomas Howard that William McDowells place referred to in Longs Warrant of 1753 is separated from the Land surveyed for Long by Robert Gilmores Plantation And it is agreed that Longs surveyed

Land lyes along Elk River as far as William McDowells plantation & Robert Allens old place And Long asserting that Doctor Allison who is absent is a Material Witness for him the Dispute is postponed till the last Monday in Novem'r next.

At the Governors Tuesday the 29th Sep'r 1767
present
His Honour the Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley

James Andrew
agt
Jno Shoneyfield
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that John Shoneyfield has the first Application and James Andrew can not make any Improvement Right to the Land Therefore it is determined by the Governor That Shoneyfields Application have the preference.

William McConnell
agt
John Burd & William Ramsey
} On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties it appeared that both Burd & McConnell had built houses at the Gap of Sidling hill And that one Money had lived at the place where McConnells House now is from whom McConnell bought his Claim. That McConnell before the office was open suspecting that Burd w'd apply to the Office caveated against his claim. That Wm. Ramsey who had purchased of Burd when the Office opened made Application for 50 A's including his place prior to the Application of McConnell who expected nothing wou'd be done till a hearing in the Office. And upon considering the premises the Governor confirms the Survey made upon McConnells Application which does not include Burds or Ramseys.

Stephen Jordan
agt
William Lyons
}

Postponed to the last Monday in January.

Samuel Findley
agt
Robert Davis
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it does not appear that Samuel Findley has any just pretensions to the Land claimed by Robert Davis. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Do
agt
Do
Same Judgment.

John Davison
v
Elias Davison & O'rs
On Caveat ent'd Feb'y 3d 1767.

It was made appear that John Davison (the Father of the said John & Elias) had in his life time purchased three Warrants the originals whereof are lodged in the Surveyors Generals Office. That by virtue of one of the s'd Warrants there was surveyed the quantity of 252 & ¼ A's and by virtue of two of the s'd Warrants the quantity of 147 A's & 153 p's in Antrim Township, Cumberland County. The Returns of which two Surveys are also lodged in the s'd Office. That the s'd Jno. Davison the Father had likewise improved two other Tracts one of them containing 33 A's & the other of them 29 A's 150 p's, And that the said 33 A's Tract was surveyed the 22d Sep'r 1747 & the said 29 A's Tract the 1st of April 1749 by Thomas Cookson late Deputy Surveyor for the said Jno. Davison but no Warrant or other Authority cou'd be shown or produced by the parties to support the two last mentioned Surveys. That the said John Davison lately dyed Intestate leaving a Widow named Margaret and six Children to witt the s'd John & Elias and Robert, Hugh & James Davison & Mary Owen. That the said Margaret the Widow on the 1st of August, 1766, entred an Application in the Land Office for 50 A's of Land including the Improvement on the 29 A's Tract and the said Elias obtained a Warrant dated October 31st 1766 for 100 A's including the Improvement on the 33 A's Tract. That on the 19th Day of November 1766 the said Elias Davidson preferred a petition to the Justices of the Orphans Court held that Day at Carlisle for the County of Cumberland praying that his said Fathers Estate to wit the 252¼ A's & the [illegible] A's &153 p's of Land & an Improvem't of 33 A's might be divided between his six Children or adjudged to one of them as the case should require Subject to the Widows Dower and Estate for Life as is directed by Law &c. That after the Report of the Sheriff Inquest the premisses in the s'd Petition mentioned were valued at 805£ and adjudged to the eldest Son Jno. Davison who secured the shares of the Widow & the other Children to the Satisfaction of the said Court. That the said Margaret Davison now Claims one of the said Improvements under her af'd Application and the said Elias Davison the other under his last mentioned Warrant. And the Governor Orders That the af'd two Improvements and the Lands belonging to the same shall be confirmed to John Davison

the eldest Son he paying to the honble the prop'rs the price at the rate of 15£ 10s ℔ hundred with Intrest and Quit Rent from the time of Beginning the said Improvements. And in order that the Widow and all the Children of the said John Davison deced may be benefited thereby That Colonel John Armstrong, William Trent & John Holmes Esq'rs or any two of them do value the said two Improvements & the Lands thereto belonging deducting thereout so much as is due to the said Proprietaries & the 5£ wherewith the said John Davison is already charged in the Orphans Court. Order the Residue of the s'd Valuation to be apportioned & paid in the same Manner and to the same persons as the value of the said deceaseds other Land was ordered to be paid by the af'd Orphans Court.

At a Special Meting at the Governors on Monday the 19th of October 1767.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Benjamin Lightfoot
against
Christian France & George Haine

It appearing that Benjamin Lightfoot was served with due Notice of hearing today and he not attending nor sending his Excuse the Governor Orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Peter Robeson
agt
Jno. Morris
On Caveat.

On hearing the Allegations of the Parties & inspecting their Papers The Case apeared to be as follows:

Major Jasper Farmer agreed with William Penn Esq'r for the purchase of 5000 A's of Land in Pennsylvania A. D. 1682. On his passage over Jaspar Farmer dyed leaving a Widow and three Sons Jasper, Richard & Edward.

Jan'y 31, 1683-4 Jasper the Son, applied for & procured a patent for 5000 A's (in behalf of his Father, his Brother Richard & himself) part of the Lands called by the Indians Umbelicamence, containing 5000 A's one end of which fronteth upon the River Schuylkill.

It does not appear that any survey was ever made in Consequence of this Patent, But it is alledged that William Penn with

his own hands marked a Tree, for a Beginning near the Indian Town Umbelicamence.

Jasper the Son dyed and left his Share of the said Land to his Wife Catharine who afterwards married one Billup. Richard also dyed Edward only survived.

Feb'y 7th 1689. A Warrant directed to Thomas Holmes Surveyor General Reciting that the heirs and assigns of the two Jasper Farmers were desirous of having their parts in Severalty. The said Surveyor General was ordered to survey to Edward Farmer 3750 A's and to Catharine Farmer now Billup 1250 A's in such Form & manner as they their Guardians & Attornies should agree.

Feb'y 14th 1689. In pursuance of this Warrant on the 14th Feb'y '89, 3750 A's were laid out for Edward Farmer beginning at the Tree marked by the proprietary & 1250 Acres were surveyed to the Widow Catharine above the Mill Tract.

April 10th 1690. In pursuance of the Warrant dated Feb'y 7th 1689 & surveyed the 14th Feb'y 1689 A patent passed the said Catherine for the s'd 1250 A's.

12thmo 15th 1689-90. A Warrant was granted to William Markham for 513 A's of Land lying between the Tracts of Catharine & Edward.

12thmo 22 1689-90. In pursuance of this Warrant was surveyed & laid out 513 A's & the 5th of the 2d Month 1690 was returned into the Secretaries Office.

2dmo. 9th 1690. A patent was granted to the said William Markham under whom John Morris now claims.

William Markham granted this Tract of Land to one David Williams.

David Williams granted to one Steiner, ...100 A's.
He gave his Son Joseph .....100 A's.
And his Son Isaac, ...150 A's.

And made his Son Rees Residuary Legatee of all his Estate Real & personal. Rees Williams conveyed his Right to Anthony Morris & Robert Jones. Robert Jones conveyed his Share to Anthony Morris who devised it as a part of the Residum of his Estate to Jno. & several others of his children who all conveyed their Shares to Jno.

There is found by a Resurvey of Jno. Morris's part of this Tract that an Overplus of 153 A's on which he lays his Warrant of the 10th of April 1767 for the old Rights therein mentioned. The Farmer Family never made any objection against this patent of Markham till now & there has been an uninterrupted Possession under it more than 70 years. Peter Robeson claims as one of the heirs of Edward Farmer and insists that this Land is within the first purchase of Jasper and Sons as above stated.

And the Governor considering that there never was any survey made of the said 5000 A's till those of Edward and the Widow of Jasper which contained the whole 5000 A's and considering the long and uninterrupted possession under Markhams patent, is of opinion that Peter Robeson has no legal or equitable Claim to the Land in Dispute and therefore Orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

At a Meeting in the Governors Absence at the Secretarys Office on Monday the 26th October 1767.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Jennett Bowles agt Jno. McClelland } On Caveat.

The Matter is postponed to the last Monday in March next of which Bowles is to give McClellan at least twenty days notice.

John Hannah agt Nathaniel Peebles or Peoples } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that John Hannahs Survey has been made ever since the Year 1754 and that he has cleared his plantation beyond what is now contended by Peoples to have been the old consentable Boundary between their plantations which however has not been proved to the Satisfaction of the Board. And as the Survey of Hannah has stood unimpeached so long, And it would be particularly inconvenient to him to have it cutt off where Peoples contends it ought and as Peoples has not made out his consentable Bounder to the Satisfaction of the Board, they are of opinion that Hannahs Survey should remain and Peoples be made comformable to it.

Alexander Sanderson agt James Brackings or Brickings. } On Caveat.

It appeared that Sanderson has neither Application nor Warrant for the Land in Dispute but sets up a Claim purchased from one Brandon in the year 1758, And two Improvements under it one made about seven years ago the other made since the Application of James Brackings was entred. And the Board determines that the Application of Brackings be

surveyed so as to leave out to Sanderson his first Improvement & all the Woodland laying between that Improvement & his surveyed Land and also a strip of about ten Rod of Woodland joining the Improvement at the East End by a straight Line down to Sandersons surveyed Line, But the Improvement made by Thomas Pitcock and the new Ground lately cleared by Sanderson joining it is adjudged to Brackings, And as a compensation for the clearing the Land by Pitcock & that by Sanderson joining it Sanclearing the Land by Pitcock & that by Sanderson joining it Sanderson is to have the Use of it for three Years by the Agreement of Brackings.

William Duffield agt Thomas Miller } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that the place on which Thomas Millers Application is laid is a small piece of Land properly belonging to William Duffields Improvement & has been so esteemed & accordingly left out by those who have had Surveys adjoining it. It is therefore the Opinion of the Board that it be not surveyed to Miller, But that Duffield have the Liberty of securing it with his Improvement.

Francis McConnell agt James & Sam'l Walker } On Caveat.

It appeared upon hearing that the consentaule Line which McConnell insists upon, was no other than a Concession made by the Walkers supposing they had more than their Quantity surveyed but finding that they have rather less than more, they retired from the Concession, which they had before Voluntarily made without any Consideration & now insist on their Survey as made before the Concession. Wherefore the Board considering that there was no Consideration for the Concession, And that no lines were run nor anything done in Consequence of it are of Opinion that the Walkers have a Right to adhere to their Survey And that McConnells Caveat be dismissed.

Anthony Hunter & O'rs Exr's of Nicholas Hunter agt Joshua Delaplaine. } On Caveat.

It appeared that the disputed Land was surveyed for Benjamin Shoemaker who sold it to Joshua Delaplaine and he sold it to John Yoder who sold it to Nicholas Hunter deceased. The Board are therefore of Opinion that Delaplaine has no foundation for his claim and that the Land in Dispute be confirmed to the Devisees of Nicholas Hunter according to his Will.

James Kelly
agt
John Gammell &
William McGhee
} On Caveat.

The Defendants sending their Excuses for Non attendance on account of Indisposition & praying that another day may be appointed for hearing the last Monday in April is appointed for the hearing and in the meantime Wm. Matthews the Deputy Surveyor of York is Ordered to return a Draught of the Claims and pretensions of the parties and containing all the vacant unsurveyed Land thereabouts with the Situation of Kellys or any other Improvement on the said Land, And he is desired to give the parties Notice of the Day of hearing.

Rich'd McDaniel
agt
Thomas Coulson.
} On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Thomas Coulson had a Warrant and Survey returned in the Office prior to the Application of Richard McDaniel but that the Lines of the said Survey no way interferes with the Application of McDaniel, Therefore the Board are of Opinion that McDaniels Application be surveyed on the place called the Broad Meadow where it was intended at first to be laid.

Peter Lowbar
agt
Elizabeth Gildersleeves
} On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Peter Lowbar claims under a Survey of a Tract of Land called Quiet Entry made for Andrew Caldwell sen'r in the Year 1716 by one Richard Smith Surveyor of Kent County which said Andrew Caldwell conveyed one hundred Acres thereof to one Christopher Nugent or Nugan in the year 1719 a great part of which, tho' supposed to lay within the Survey of Quiet Entry is really without it the same survey appearing to be very erroneous and irregular. That the Land in Dispute has ever since the Year 1716 been held & possessed by Andrew Caldwell sen'r and those claiming under him and considerable Improvements have been made on it. That in the Year 1740 William Finney took out a Warrant for 80 A's Vacancy adjoining his Plantation which plantation & Warrant were afterwards taken by Attachment and sold to Noah Gildersleeves late husband of Elizabeth, And the Warrant lay unexecuted till the Year 1766 and is now executed on a part of the original Survey of Quiet Entry and also on the old possessions af'd which were deemed to be part of that Survey & contains much more Land than the Warrant expresses. Wherefore the Board upon consid-

ering that the Land in Dispute has been so long possessed by the Claimers under the original Survey of Quiet Entry and was probably intended to be included within it and that the same Land was very probably not intended to be affected by the Warrant of Gildersleeves are of Opinion that only so much Vacancy as lyes to the Southward of the E. by N. Line of the original Survey of Quiet Entry be returned on the Warrant of Gildersleeves And that Peter Lowbar have Liberty by a Warrant of Resurvey to include the Land in Dispute in Order for Confirmation upon Terms to be agreed on with the prop'ry Agents.

Robert Hutchinson agt Benjamin Chambers. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Benjamin Chambers had purchased a Warrant of Patrick Martin obtained in the Year 1737 and had a part of it surveyed in the Year 1749 at Larabies Gap and a part elsewhere. Wherefore the Board determines that so much of Hutchinsons Land as lyes within Chambers's Survey at Larrabies Gap be cutt off from Hutchinsons Survey and the Return be accepted for the Residue And the Surveyor of the District is ordered to examine Mr. Chambers Lines & adjust what part of Hutchinsons Survey (if any) be within them.

James McLean agt William Finley. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that McLeans Warrant & Survey are prior to Finleys but it does not appear how much they interfere, it is therefore referred to the Surveyor of the District to cutt off from Finleys Survey the part which interieres with McLeans.

Eyler for Harriers Heirs agt George Stevenson }

It appearing that the disputed Land is included in George Stevensons Survey and patent and it appearing that Eyler has only a possession without any Warrant, The Board are of Opinion that a Warrant cannot be allowed for the patent Land of Stevenson Although the Improvement of Eyler was prior to Stevensons Warrant.

Joseph Tomlinson or Tumbleston agt John Henthorn. } On Caveat.

It appeared that John Henthorn has an Application for the Land in Dispute which is included in a Maryland patent to Doctor David Ross who sold the Land to Tomlinson, And it ap-

peared also that the same Land had been surveyed in the year forty four or forty five for Governor Bladen & was contracted to be purchased by the said Henthorn & Tomlinson in partnership But upon Governor Bladens failing to comply with the Terms of the Maryland Land Office, & Doctor Ross's securing the Land Henthorn agreed to give the Whole purchase up to Tomlinson and to rent the Improvements he had made upon the place of Tomlinson for four years paying a bushel of Indian Corn a Year Rent. Wherefore the Board are of Opinion that Henthorns Application ought not to affect Tomlinsons Right circumstanced as af'd, And that only so much of Henthorns Survey be returned as is clear of Tomlinsons Patent.

Curtis Grubb
agt
Valentine Rein. } On Caveat.

Curtis Grubb having appointed this Day for hearing & neither attending nor sending an Excuse the Board are of Opinion the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 30th of Novem'r 1767.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley
Mr. Surveyor Gen'l Lukens

John Forsyth
agt
Edward Crawford } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that in Year 1750 the Land in Dispute was warranted and surveyed to Alexander Stewart who conveyed to the Rev'd Richard Peters & Jno. Forsyth, And that Crawford claims under a Warrant in 1766, The Governor therefore determines that no Survey be received on Crawfords Warrant.

John McNutt
agt
James Alexander. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared That George Alexander Brother of James under whom he claims obtained a Warrant in February 1755, And that Robert McNutt Father of Jno. McNutt obtained a Warrant in August in the same Year under which Warrant the McNutts claim, And that the Dispute between the partys was

heard by Col'o Armstrong and on agreement made that the Land shou'd be laid out on both Warrants by Mr. McClay Surveyor of the District. Therefore the Governor orders that Mr. McClay shall upon considering the Location in each of their Warrants and hearing their Claims survey each of their Warrants according to the Agreement made before Col'o Armstrong in such manner as appears to him to be agreeable to the priority & Location of their Warrants.

James Wilkins
agt
John McKnight Esq'r. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Mr. Mc. Knight has a Warrant & Survey after which James Wilkins bought in an Improvement Right knowing of the Warrant & Survey and that likewise McKnight has an Improvement at least as strong as Wilkins's Therefore the Governor determines that McKnights Survey be accepted & confirmed.

James Adams
agt
John McKnight

Agreed McKnight paying Adams £20 for his Improvement Right.

Alexander Brown
agt
Robert Mucklewaine. } On Caveat.

It appeared upon hearing that Alexander Brown claims by a late Application And that the Land in Dispute was surveyed to Robert Mucklewaine in the year 1746 under a Warrant purchased of Charles Coulson bearing Date in the Year 1743. The Governor therefore orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

John McNutt for
John Rogers
agt
Robert McKee. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared That John Rogers claims under an old Settlement made by his Father and a purchase for 10£ of an Improvement on the same place from one Black, That McKee claims under a purchase from one Johnston who likewise claimed under an Improvement Right, So that with respect to the Improvement Title the Matter is entirely obscure but there is some Reason to believe that old Rodgers was the first who made a small Improvement on the place. But in Regard that McKee had a Warrant in the Year 1762 for the same Land and has lived upon it & improved it, The Governor determines that his Title be confirmed he agreeing to pay Rogers the sum of six pounds

being his Proportion of the Money paid by Rogers to Black for the Land.

John Rogers
agt
James Armstrong } On Caveat.

The dispute and facts in this Matter are the same as in the foregoing Case of Rogers & McKee and the Governor makes the same Determination only the proportion of Money to be paid by Armstrong to Rogers is £4.

Robert Taylor
agt
James Armstrong } On Caveat.

In this case it appears that James Armstrong has the prior Warrant and that Taylor complains that his Survey unreasonably affects his Improvement. Therefore the Governor Orders that Mr. McClay Surveyor of the District correct the Survey of Armstrong if it be unreasonably made & lay out both their Lands in the most convenient & equitable Manner.

At the Governors on Tuesday the first of Decem'r 1767.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry
The Surveyor Gen'l.

Samuel Morrison Assignee
of Daniel McConnell Who
was Assignee of Wm. Steel } On Caveat.
agt
William Ritchie.

On hearing it appeared that in the year 1747 the present Dispute was settled by Mr. Rich'd Peters then Sec'ry between William Ritchie & Wm. Steel and Daniel McConnell under both of whom Morrison now claims. And upon considering that Determination the Governor is of Opinion that it was just & though it has been proved that William Ritchey was then dissatisfyed with it yet he is now willing to have his Warrant executed according to it, And the Governor Orders that it be so executed, And that the Judgment & the Platt of John Churchman to which it refers be given to the Surveyor in Order to make the said Survey by, And that the Caveat be dismissed. And it is further ordered that if any fencing or other Improvements of Morrison fall within the Lines of Ritchey, Morrison shal have Liberty of removing them.

Joseph Hunter agt Robert Robb & O'rs. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Robert Robb & O'rs claiming under James Harris's Warrants of the 7th July 1762 must have their Warrants surveyed according to the Intention of their Locations of which the Surveyor must judge when upon the Lands and that only the Quantities of the Warrants with the usual Allowance of ten per Cent be surveyed. And if the place of Joseph Hunters Location be left vacant, that it be surveyed to him.

Patrick Campbell agt Samuel Robb & Benj'n Kidd his Assignee. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Patrick Campbell claims under a small Improvement which lyes near to his plantation whereon he dwells but out of the Lines of that Plantation, And that Benjamin Kidd has but one little Odd of One hundred Acres surveyed under Robbs Application for 200 Acres, And that there will be 67 Acres left to Patrick Campbell adjoining his Plantation, And the Governor considering the premisses as also taking into Consideration the Report of Messrs. John McDowell & James Maxwell relative to the prem'es is of Opinion that the 67 A's or there abouts is sufficient for the Improvement af'd as Campbell does not live upon it nor has any Warrant for it & only wants it by way of Addition to his Plantation, And therefire Orders that Kidds Survey be confirmed And that Campbell may have a Warrant for the Remainder to include the s'd Improvement.

Robert Campbell agt Samuel Robb & B'n Kidd his Assignee. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that the Land surveyed for Kidd under the Application of Rob does not interfere with a Survey of 189 A's ¼ made for Robert Campbell under a Warrant of a subsequent Date to Robbs Application. Therefore the Governor determines that both Surveys shall stand as they are made.

Nicholas Hermans Heirs agt Michael Long. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that the heirs of Herman claim under a Lycence from George Stevenson in the Year 1755 for 150 A's to be agreed for And that Michael Long claims under a Warrant for three hundred Acres and that Hermans Heirs have had 150 A's Survey and Michael Long not his full quantity. Therefore the

Governor Orders the Survey of Long to be confirmed and the Caveat to be dismissed.

Frederick Hake
agt
Michael Long. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Michael Long has the prior Warr't and has not his full quantity nor does encroach upon any consentable Lines fixed between them. Therefore the Governor Orders his Survey to be confirmed & the Caveat to be dismissed.

The Rent of Jno. Bartams three Lots settled at 40s Sterling a Lot.

Upon the Representation of the Inhabitants in and about the Town of Reading that a Number of Water Lots on each side of the River are wanted to be laid out near or adjoining the Town for the building of Storehouses to take in the produce of the Country. The Governor is pleased to order that the Surveyor General take the first Opportunity to lay out such Lots & return a plan for his Aprobation.

George Long
agt
And'w Gamel. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that George Long claims under a Warrant to his Father David Long located on Elk River, William McDowell, Robert Allens old place & his own surveyed Land purchased of McClelland in East Nottingham Township, Chester County. And Gammell claims under an Aplication for 30 Acres adjoining his plantation. Robert Gilmore & Widow Steel in New London Township, Chester County That the Land in contest lyes in New London Township and does not any way join Wm. McDowel, and the Water of Elk River lyes between the plantation of the contending parties and divides the Townships of New London & East Nottingham. It is therefore determined that the Warrant of Long being located in New London Township and adjoining McDowell cannot be laid on the Land in dispute as neither of these Descriptions will be answered nor is it thought reasonable that any of the Vacancy on the New London Side of Elk should be taken from Gammell & added to Longs Plantation. And upon the whole it is determined that Longs Caveat be dismissed and that Gammel have Liberty to take up all the vacant Land adjoining his plantation. Robert Gilmore & Widow Steel by an additional Application if there be more than sufficient to fill up the one already made.

At a Meeting of the Board of Property at the Governors on Monday the 28th Day of December Anno Domini 1767.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley.

Margaret Powell agt Thomas McKee. } On Caveat.

Thomas McKee having been duly cited & not showing Cause this Day against the Governors Judgment of the last Monday in August last that Judgment is now confirmed.

John Oyster agt Rich'd Tea & Wife Ex'r of William Maybury. } On Caveat.

It appearing that Richard Tea had due Notice the Governor proceeded to hear the Allegations of Oyster, And it appeared that Oyster obtained a Warrant in August 1744 for 100 A's, And that William Maybury surveyed 415 A's after the said Warrant obtained, 100 A's of which interferes with Oysters Warrant, And that Maybury did not obtain a Warrant till 7 or 8 years after his Survey. The Governor is therefore of Opinion that Oysters Warrant be surveyed and that 100 A's & Allowance be cutt off from Mayburys Survey & applyd to Oysters Warr't.

Jacob Beck agt Jno. Moore Son of Archibald Moore. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Jacob Beck claims 200 A's of Land under Thomas Green who had a patent in the Year 1735 and that Moore claims under a Warrant to Joseph Barnett Dated the 12th of May 1737 and Survey thereon, but it does not appear in what Manner the Lands interfere the Governor therefore orders that the Surveyor of the District carefully survey the patent Land of Beck according to its ancient Lines & Boundarys and make the Survey of Moore conformable to it, And if there be found a Difference between the Courses & Distances of Becks patent and the marked Lines & Boundarys the Variance is to be taken Notice of & returned to the Board.

William Henry Assignee of Geo. Armstrong Assignee of James Piper agt James Potter Assignee of James Patterson. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appeared that the Land in Dispute is claimed by James Potter under an 30 A's Warrant granted to one William Doran in the Year 1755 and by William Henry under a 200 A's Receipt to George Armstrong in James Pipers Name in the Year 1762, And that the Land in Dispute consists of 603 A's which was both surveyed on Dorans Warr't and returned for Armstrong in Pipers Name upon the said Receipt. And the Governor considering that there is Land abundantly sufficient to satisfy both the Warrant & Receipt And that both Claims have been purchased for valuable Considerations by both the contending parties determines that the Land shall be divided between them equally & reasonably yet so as to include in Mr. Potters part the Improvement of Doran.

John Oblenes agt John Horner Nicho's Moyer & John Packar. } On Caveat.

The Defendants not appearing and the plaintiff claiming under two Warrants one of the 13th Septesmber to 1743 to Hans Ulrick Waggoner and the other to William Bull al's Bole granted the 26th May 1746, And Surveys on each of them said to be made in Thomas Cooksons time as appears by some Certificates made by George Stevenson as Ex'r of Thomas Cookson, And in order that it may be known with Certainty whether the said surveys were made or not the Governor orders that the Surveyor of ye District go to the Lands & examine the Lands & return the Lands on the Warrants according to the ancient Lines so far as they can be found.

Joseph Doddridge agt Wm. McClay. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing and being inclined to an Accommodation by dividing the Dispute between them the Matter is postponed.

Israel Morris agt Robert Simenton. } On Caveat.

Israel Morris not appearing to support his Caveat the same is dismissed.

Valentine Shitacre or Shadacre & Jacob Fisher agt George Armstrong & Francis Campbell

There having been Judgment in August last for Shitacre and

Fisher unless Cause shewn to the contrary on the last Monday in December and now there not being sufficient Cause shewn to the Contrary, the Governor confirms the Judgment & makes it absolute.

Whereas the honorable Board of Property thought proper to appoint Jonas Seely Esq'r, William Rezer and William Scull or any two of them to enquire into the pretensions of George Michael Hell and Jacob Zin respecting some Lands in Contest between them and to report the facts and their Opinion, This may certify that we the Subscribers on the 17th October 1767 did examine into the Matter in Dispute, without waiting for the Assistance of Mr. Seely (who was likely to be absent longer than suited either party to wait) & found the facts to be these viz't: That on the 5th day of February 1761 George Jacobs & George Hell obtained an Order from the late Sec'ry Mr. William Peters to have two pieces of vacant Land situate on the Line of Comru & Heidelberg Townships in the County of Berks surveyed & returned for the Use of the hon'ble the prop'rs to agree with said Jacobs & Hell for the same. That the Land was surveyed by that Order containing about twenty Acres, including all the Vacancy, excepting a high Rocky Mountain and it appears by the solemn Affirmation of John Alderfer one of the Chain Carriers, that at the time of Survey there was no sign of any house being erected or other Improvements made on said Ground by said Jacob Zin. In Regard to the other part of the Controversy it appears that s'd Zin lays Claim to an Improvement conveyed to the said Geo. Mich'l Hell by Bill of Sale dated 1st July 1766 from the Ex'rs of Conrad Weiser dece'd who bought the same at a Sheriffs Vendue as part of the Estate of Hans Zimmerman, to which Imp't & Vacancy af'd we are clearly of Opinion, the said Jacob Zin has not the least shadow of Right, and that the Vacancy in particular was settled by the said Zin purely out of Opposition to Hell as it is a barren piece of Land destitute of Water & Timber, valuable only to a person who has an adjoining plantation which is Hells Case.

WILLIAM REESER,
WM. SCULL.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Thursday the 10th Dec'r 1767.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley

George Hell or Hill & Geo. Jacobs agt Jacob Zin or Sin. } On Caveat Referred.

The Governor upon taking into Consideration the Report of Wm. Scull & Wm. Reezer confirms the same and orders the surveys of George Michael Hill or Hell & George Jacobs to be respectively accepted and confirmed.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the 20th Jan'ry 1768.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley

Catharine Gimbling Widow of Bernard Gimbling agt Baltzer Knertzer & Mich'l Quiggle. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Baltzer Knertzer in May 1750 paid unto George Stevenson £5 to obtain him a Warrant for 100 A's of Land, And that afterwards the 10th Sept'r following the Warrant was obtained. That in Nov'r of the same or the following Year Baltzer Kneetzer agreed with Simon Melhorn & Adam Crumling for the purchase of the Land surveyed under that Warrant which in the Agreement is expressed to be about 150 A's more or less. That the Right of Crumling by several Conveyances became vested in Bernard Gimbling. That Bernard Gimbling was shewn certain Lines and Boundarys by Knertzer as the Limits of the purchase and placed his Buildings accordingly to those Boundarys. That Simon Melhorn was afterwards thro' his own Ignorance and the Imposition of Knertzer induced to cancel his first Agreement and to accept of a Survey and new Conveyance for much less Land than he at first purchased from Knertzer the first Agreement mentions that the Land was then surveyed & contained about 150 A's. That Bernard Gimbling under the same Imposition purchased in the Right of Simon Melhorn agreeable to the latter surveys made by one Thomas Armor who there is great reason to believe was concerned with Knertzer in imposing upon Gimbling & Melhorn. And it further appears by the Allegation of the Widow Gimbling that the Boundarys now allowed them by Knertzer and Quiggle (who had purchased a large Tract of Land there abouts of Knertzer) will leave out all the Improvements of Gimbling or the

greater part of them and will not leave for his purchase much more than half the quantity at first agreed upon, Whereas She alledges that the Land possessed by Quiggle under his purchase from Knertzer greatly exceeds the quantity of his Warr't. The Governor upon considering the whole Matter is of Opinion that Gimbling ought to have the full benefit of the first Agreement with Knertzer and as there has yet been no regular Survey returned upon the Warrant of Knertzer of the 10th Sept'r 1750 the whole Right of which is vested in Gimbling and Knertzer when he first contracted with Crumbling & Melhorn under whom Gimling claims allowed there had been surveyed about 150 A's. As the Governor Orders that the Surveyor General when next he goes to York do survey to Catherine Gimbling In Trust for herself & the Children of her husband Bernard Gimbling the quantity of 150 A's to include the Improvements & to be made as near as may be to the lines first run by Knertzer if they can be discovered or to the lines & Boundarys shewn by him to the persons who claimed by or under the first Agreement af'd. And that in the mean time the Survey of Knertzer made in virtue of his Warrant of the 10th Sept'r 1753 be not received but suspended.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Friday the 22d Jan'y 1768.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens

Upon the Application of Dr. John Cox that three Tracts of Land which he is concerned on Applications taken out by James Benezet, Cornelius Cox & Benjamin Jones have, since they have been surveyed by Wm. McClay one of the Deputies of Cumberland County, been likewise surveyed by the Deputies under Mr. Rich'd Tea though in McClay's District on Warrants dated in the Year 1762 in the Names of James Dixon & William McManimy and not laid on the place where they are located. And further to evade an Endorsement made by the Secretary on them to prevent their effecting prior Warrants, Improvements or locations, the times of their being surveyed are altered from a Day posterior to those Surveys of Benezet, Jones & Cornelius Cox to a time prior to them. And the Governor being satisfy'd of the truth of the Complaint and that the Warrants of McManimy & Dixon have an entire vague Location & very probably are not laid where they were at first

intended and that they were made out of the District of the Dep'y Surveyor who surveyed them, orders that they be withdrawn & that the Surveys of Benezet, Jones & Cox be accepted.

The Surveyor General laid before the Governor a plan of 24 Lots Numbered 1 to 24 lately laid out on the River Schuylkill adjoining or near the town of Reading in pursuance of an Order of the Board, which is approved And the Governor Orders that they be let on Leases for 21 years. That the Rent of No. 15, 16, 17, 18 and 10, 11, 12, 13 be 10 shill's Sterling with obligation to fence & build as may be agreed on. And that the other Lots be let under the Rent of 7s 6d Sterling with Obligation to fence.

Ordered that the Surveyor General take the very first Opportunity to survey for the prop'rs Use, all the vacant Lands in the neighborhood of York Town as well Settlements as woodland in order to prevent the surveying them on old Rights which has of late been too much practis'd.

At a meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the 26th of January 1768.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens

James Colhoon agt John Hardy. } Rehearing.

Upon the Rehearing it appeared that James Colhoon set up an Improvement Right at least of equal strength to Hardys and having a Warrant & living upon the place in Dispute the Governor reverses the first Determination and orders Colhoons Survey to be received provided he pay Hardy three pounds ten shillings for the Expenses of his Attendance at the time of the last hearing.

Alexander Fulton agt Henry Robinson. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that although the Warrant of Fulton is prior to that of Robinson on which his Survey is returned. Yet Robinsons Warrant being obtained for an Improvement & Settlement made long before Fultons Warrant. The Governor Orders that it shall for that Reason have the preference & that Fultons Caveat be dismissed.

Tetrick Melgar
agt
Christian Shite or Sheet. } On Caveat.

Postponed till last Monday in May.

William Lyons having made a Return of the Land in Dispute between Patrick Campbell & Samuel Robb in which 108 Acres & Allowance is surveyed to Gilmores Improvement mentioned in the Order of the Board of the 29th June last. The Governor Orders that quantity of Land to be returned to Campbell as Wm. Lyons has laid it out unless Samuel Robb shew Cause to the contrary the last Monday in April next of which Campbell is to give Robb Notice.

Philip Henry Moore
agt
John Shertle or Shertly. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that each of the Parties have Improvements and Shertle alledges there was a line of Division between them which Moore denys. But Shertle who made an Application prior to Moores Warrant (though Moore had several years ago applied for a Warrant when the Office was shut) apply'd for fifty Acres only without any mention of his Improvement whereas Moore applied regularly & fairly agreeing to pay Interest & Quit Rent from the year 1749. The Governor orders that Shertles Survey be first made in a convenient manner for his Improvement to contain not more than fifty-five Acres. And that then Moores Warrant be surveyed in a convenient manner for him and if there remain any Vacancy after both Surveys made in manner af'd that Shertle have the liberty of taking by an additional Appl'n.

Vanhoy
agt
Timothy Hansons h'rs }

Postponed till last Monday in April. In the mean time Mr. Vining is desired to take the Depositions of Witnesses in behalf of Hansons Heirs if any they have to examine.

Philip Hinckle
agt
John McGlaughlin. } On Caveat.

It appearing by an Endorsement on a Copy of the s'd Caveat now produced that the Matter is agreed between the s'd parties the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 29th day of February Anno Domini 1768.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens

Jost Meyer
agt
David Deshler.
} On Caveat.

Jost Meyer appearing and proving that he had left Notice of hearing in this case at Deshlers house three Weeks ago, The Governor proceeds to hear his Allegations & proofs, And it appearing that both partys claim under Applications and that Meyers is prior, The Governor Orders that this Application be first surveyed & confirmed.

Absalom Weily or Willy
agt
Samuel Davis.
} On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that in the Year 1717 one Edward Burroughs made an Entry for 200 A's of Land on one of the prongs of Tussaky Branch in Sussex County in the Books of Robert Shankland the Surveyor according to the Customs of that time. That a Survey was made on the said Entry by William Shankland afterwards Surveyor of that County for Burroughs who afterwards sold his Right to Abraham Wynkoop deceased, but it is not certain what the Quantity surveyed was. That said Abraham Wynkoop in 1748 obtained a Warrant for 400 A's to include his purchase of Burroughs which never was fully surveyed. That Benjamin Wynkoop Son of Abraham sold his Warrant Right to Abraham Wiley. That in Year 1745 one James Smith obtained a Warrant for 500 A's of Land on the place where Burroughs Entry was made for And that Sam'l Davis purchased the Warrant Right of said Smith from one of his Sons And that William Shankland has since surveyed the said Warrant for Davis and included in the Survey the Land before surveyed for Burroughs under his Entry af'd. And upon considering the whole Matter the Governor orders that Wiley have a Warrant of Resurvey to resurvey & Return the said Land heretofore surveyed to Burroughs according to the ancient Lines & Boundarys thereof. And that the Residue of the Land surveyed for Davis be return'd to him upon his making a Title to the same from all the Children of James Smith to whom the Warrant under which he claims was granted.

James McFarland
agt
Jno. Clark.
} On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that John Clark has the prior Warrant & his Survey falls something short of his quantity. Therefore the Governor orders the Land to Clark. But in regard James McFarland has made some improvements upon the Disputed place the Governor orders that they refer the matter of Satisfaction to two of their Neighbors to decide between them.

Joseph Walker
agt
George Churchman. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that George Churchman obtained a Warrant the 4th Day of January 1759 for 60 A's of Land joining William Burnsides and John Anderson in Colerain Township, Lancaster County. And an Application No. 1470 for 300 A's of Land in the Barrens adjoining the Land of James Brown, John Evans, Heirominus Eckman and others in Colerain Township. That Joseph Walker obtained a Warrant on the fourteenth Day of November 1753 for 30 A's adjoining his other Land & William Barclay in Little Britain and Colerain Townships. It appeared also by a Draught produced by Benjamin Parvin That their several Lands had been surveyed to them agreeable to their Warrants & locations except the 30 A's Warrant af'd which by its Location does not interfere with Churchmans Claims. And the Governor considering the said Draught is of Opinion that the Lands have been all surveyed agreeable to the Warrants & locations. And as they do not interfere he orders that they be returned as they have been surveyed. And that the Caveat be dismissed.

Joseph Walker
agt
James & Hugh Brown. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties & the Surveyor who surveyed their Warrants it appeared that Browns & Walkers Warrants are both properly surveyed & the Governor Orders that the Surveys be returned & confirmed.

William Dean
agt
James Roddy. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that in the Year 1735 Evan Shelby obtained a Lycence from Samuel Blunston Esq'r deceased (who had then Authority to grant such Lycenses) to appropriate & settle 300 A's of Land at a place called Black Walnut Point then in Lancaster now in Cumberland County and that the place was afterwards taken in Execution and sold by Samuel Smith Sheriff of Lancaster County to one Richard Philips for the Satisfaction of a Debt due from the said Evan Shelby. That the s'd Richard Philips conveyed the same to Robert Black who conveyed to John

Smith of whom Roddy purchased and afterwards had it surveyed by Thomas Cookson then Surveyor of Lancaster as appears by the Surveyors Receipt for his Fees In which Survey was included 420 A's ½ & All'ce, And that Roddy has been in possession upwards of twenty years, And that Dean does not appear to have either Improvement or Warrant Right within the Bounds of the Survey. The Governor therefore Orders ..... the Survey af'd as certifyed by Geo. Stevenson Ex'r of Cookson from the field Books of said Cookson be accepted & a patent thereon granted. And that the Caveat be dismissed.

Doctor Wm. Smith agt Charles Coxe. } On Caveat.

In 1755 Barnaby Barnes took out a Warrant for Mr. Teas Use for the place in dispute. In 1763 Mr. Tea Received from George Crogham 10£ 13s to take out a Warrant for Charles Coxe in the Name of William Paxton for 200 A's on the North Side of Juniata between Hugh Crawfords place at the Mouth of Standing Stone & Shavers Run in the County of Cumberland. In 1766 Doctor Smith took out an Application for the same place as Mr. Teas Warrant. By Mr. McClays Information there is but one place between Hugh Crawfords place & the Mouth of Shavers Creek on the North Side of Juniata which cou'd be the Object of a Warrant. The Circumstance of Mr. Tea's Warrant in Barnes's Name is the same of that of many others in Governor Morris's Time, they were made out entered in the Warrant Book in the Secretarys Office & sent to him to sign but were never signed by him Only that it is allowed that no Money was paid by Mr. Tea or Barnes on the Warrant. Wm. Paxton dying soon after the above Receipt by Mr. Tea the Money was paid into the Office & a Warrant obtained in Samuel Purviance's Name who has conveyed to Mr. Coxe. In September 1767 Mr. Tea agreed to convey Doctor Smith his Warrant Right & has since conveyed A Survey made by Mr. McClay on the disputed place in Order to be applied either to Mr. Coxes Warrant or Doctor Smiths Application as the preference shou'd be determined but no Survey is yet returned. Dr. Smith when he took in Mr. Teas Warrant knight knew of Mr. Coxes Warrant but not of Mr. Teas Receipt before mentioned. And the question is upon the whole to whom & on what Warrant or Application the Survey ought to be returned.

Tuesday the 15th March, 1768.

The Governor & Sec'ry taking into Consideration the

facts stated in the Case of Doctor William Smith & Charles Coxe on Monday the 29th Feby. last at the last Meeting. And also the Information given to the Secretary & a Letter from Richard Tea to Doctor Smith relating to the Matter are of Opinion that the Warrant to Barnaby Barnes was the first Appropriation of the Land in Dispute and the Governor determines that Mr. McClay the Surveyor shall return the Land in Dispute to Doctor Smith on that Warrant. And that on Return into the Secretarys Office he have a Confirmation upon complying with the usual Terms.

James & Henry Westby
agt
George Churchman. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that George Churchmans Survey is made upon a Warrant much prior to Westbys Application. And that it is made agreeable to its Location therefore the Governor orders it to be confirmed & the Caveat to be dismissed.

Alexander Ewing
agt
David Ferris } On Caveat.

The Land in dispute appearing to be out of the Lines of Ewings Surveys the Governor Orders that the Survey made on Faris's Application be confirmed.

Christopher Seely
agt
Robert Samuel. } On Caveat.

It appears that the contending parties obtained Warrants on the same day bounded upon each other. It appears also by an Instrument in Writing signed by Samuel that Seely had bought of him an Improveme't which he had bought of John Carmichael who had bought in the Claim of James Jack which was bounded by James Galley or Galler on the East & Robert Samuels on the West. And the Governor orders that Mr. Wm. McClay himself do survey both their Warrants so as to leave to Seely the Improvements & claim of Jack agreeable to Robert Samuels Deed to Seely.

Fergus McVea
agt
George Armstrong, Taylor. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Armstrong had a Warant in the Year 1750 which was surveyed in 1752 & which included a part of Mr. Richard Peters's Land, That in February 1767 Fergus McVea obtained an Application for 150 A's, That afterwards Colonel Armstrong resurveyed George Armstrong's Land and excluded the part which was before surveyed for Mr. Peters and included a small Vacancy which was within McVea's Application. The

Governor therefore considering that George Armstrong will still have greatly more than the quantity of his Warrant and that at the time the Vacancy af'd was taken in it was subject to McVeas Application, Orders that the said Vacancy be excluded from George Armstrongs Survey which must be returned thus amended and that the Vacancy be returned on McVea's Application.

Thomas Cullen
agt
Jno. Edmunson.

Referred to the Report of Charles Lukens to whom the Surveyor General is to write.

John Caneely
agt
Wm. Man. } On Caveat.

On hearing John Caneely not being able to prove any Imp't Right the Application of Wm. Man is ordered by the Governor to take place & a Survey to be returned on it.

Curtis Grubb
agt
Valentine Reine } On Caveat.

Upon Rehearing this Cause it appears that the Land for which Reine obtained a Warrant was settled and improved upon at least twenty Years ago by one George Sowder who seventeen Years ago sold his Right for four ton of Bar Iron to one Abraham Hare whose Title in the Land is vested in Curtis Grubb. It is therefore determined that Grubb have a Warrant to take up the said Land & that it be confirmed to him upon his Payment of the prop'rs Demands.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 28th March A'o d'i 1768.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Rockley

Melchoir Stecker
agt
Robert Campbell. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Campbell has the first Application and that Stecker has no just Claim of Improvement. Therefore the Governor Orders that Campbells Application be first surveyed upon the vacant Land within his Location.

George Eaker
agt
James McClean. } On Caveat.

It appears upon hearing that the Survey of Geo. Eaker does not interfere with the Survey of McClean against which it is pointed therefore it is determined that the Caveat as to that be dismissed. But the design of the Caveat was against another Survey supposed to be made for Daniel McClean father of James in the Year 1750, which Dispute is postponed till the last Monday in October next as mentioned in another Entry of this Day.

Baltzer Spangler
agt
Joseph Shank. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the partys and considering a Draught of all the Vacancy thereabouts returned by Charles Lukens in pursuance of an Order of the Board, it appears there is vacant Land sufficient for the Applications of Joseph Shank & Feyser and also to satisfy the Warrant of Baltzer Spangler which is surveyed conveniently to the other claims. Therefore the Governor confirms the Survey of Spangler.

Upon Application of William Brotherton that John Jack has not paid him the Money & Interest ordered by John Jack to be paid by him by a former Order of the Board, The Governor with the Consent of Brotherton gives him eight weeks from this time to pay the money & Interest otherwise the Land in Dispute to be absolutely confirmed to Brotherton.

James Adams
agt
John Jack } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that the place in Dispute was settled about 20 Years ago by one Robert Adams who lived upon it about five years and dyed and his Widow sold it for fifty five pounds and after several Conveyances it came into the hands of Jno Jack who gave £320 for it & has greatly improved it and the Governor determines that as Jack claims under the Widow of Adams the Settler whose Family has been benefited by the sale of the Land that it shall be confirmed to him upon his paying 15£ 10s ℔ hundred with Interest & Quit Rent from the Year 1744.

John Cornthwaite
agt
Samuel McConnell. } On Caveat.

Postponed till the last Monday in September, in the mean time the Sec'ry to write to Jno. Scull to know why Cornthwaites Applications were not surveyed before McConnells.

Peter Schwar
agt
John & Peter Brubaker. } On Caveat.

Peter Schwarr claims under a patent to his Father Peter Schwar in 1740 on Warrant in 1734 and an Alien patent to himself in 1765 after having purchased the Rights of his Brothers & Sisters. The Brubakers claim under Warrants in the Year 1733 under which no Surveys appear to have been made, And the possession of the Schwars has continued ever since the Year 1740 nor is it clear that the Warrants to the Brubakers are for the same Land. There was no Money paid on those Warrants and the terms of them were not complied with, And upon the whole it is determined by the Governor that there does not appear Objection to the Title of Schwarr.

Wm. McIlhenny
agt
Wm. Wogan or Vogan. } On Caveat.

On hearing the partys it appears that in 1751 James Vogan Father of William obtained a Warrant for 50 A's and in the same Year had 118 A's surveyed on that Warrant. That James McCullough under whom McIlhenny claims entred a Caveat soon after the Warrant of Vogan was taken out & never prosecuted the same nor does it appear that he sold any Claim to McIlhenny which he set up at the Caveat but on the contrary has certifyed that he thought himself in the wrong in entering the said Caveat. And the said McIlhenny pretends no Right to the said Land by Warrant or Survey but only an Agreement between Wogan & McCullough about the dividing the Vacancy which lay between them, upon considering all which it is determined that the Survey of Wogan be accepted.

Henry Spangler
agt
Michael Fischall. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Michael Wellick the 30th October 1736 obtained a Grant or Warran from the hon'ble Thomas Penn for 250 A's of Land in the Manor of Springetsbury. That in 1746 he sold to Henry Spangler his Improvements on that Tract and that part of the Land next to Baltzer Spangler as appears by an Assignment on the Warrant & a Deed in 1748, That afterwards in 1748 he made a Deed of 100 A's part of this Tract contiguous to Henry Spangler to John Shryack or Shriock. It appears also by the Confession of Henry Spangler that Shryack lived upon the place before he (Spangler) purchased of Wallick. And it further appears that Shyrack had surveyed to him 162 A's of this Tract which it seems contains a good deal more than the 250 A's

originally granted. That Shyrack sold to John Fishall Father of Michael and that Shyrack & Fishall always till lately quietly possessed, Wherefore upon considering the Deeds & all the Circumstances the Governor is of Opinion that the Deed of Spangler never was intended to pass the whole Tract, And that its very probably as Shryack lived on his Land before Spangler purchased that he also purchased before him though he did not take a formal Deed till after Spanglers second Deed which was also taken to supply the Supposed Defect of his 1st Deed which was an Assignment upon the back of the Warrant. And upon the whole determines that Fishals Survey of the 162 A's which does not contain more than his proportion of Overplus & is agreeable to consentable Lines & long Possession be accepted.

Samuel Sturgeon
agt } Continuance on Caveat.
Charles Brown.

Upon inspecting the Draughts of both partys it appears that John Brown under whom Charles claims had a Survey on a Warrant made in the Year 1755 the field Notes of which were burned in Colonel Armstrongs house. That Mr. McClay the Surveyor of the District made Sturgeons Survey not knowing Browns and lay a great part it of in Browns Survey as appears by Mr. McClays Information who has traced the Lines of Browns Survey. It appears also that Sturgeon has still a good deal more Land than the quantity of his Warrant. Therefore it is determined that Mr. McClay return Browns Survey agreeable to the old lines run by Colonel Armstrong and for Sturgeon as much of his first Survey as is clear of Browns & if there be part entirely cutt off from the Residue by Browns Survey he is to return such part in a separate Draught upon the same Warrant expressing the same to be by virtue of an Order of the Board of Property.

William Armstrong
agt } On Caveat.
Joseph Richardson.

On hearing the partys it appears that Joseph Richardson has the first Application. And that James Armstrong bought of Wm. Armstrong a part of a surveyed Tract with his claim to the Land in Dispute. That James Armstrong raised a Cabbin upon the Surveyed Land and extended his clearing into the Vacancy a little way and got some Rails upon the Vacancy. And the Board are of Opinion that the above is not a sufficient Improvement Right to operate against the Application & Survey of Richardson, therefore ordered the Caveat to be dismissed.

Jacob Smith agt Wm. Springle } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that William Springle obtained a Warrant for 100 A's Anno 1746 and in December 1752 had 217 A's of Land surveyed upon it as appears by a Certificate from George Stevenson late Surveyor of York County. And as Smith claims under an Improvement of about five years standing & a late Application the Board are of Opinion that the Survey made by Stevenson shall be established if upon examination the Lines are found to agree with Stevensons Draught.

Frederick Sower agt James McBride. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Andrew McBride Father of James claimed this Land about 20 Years ago & settled it about 14 years ago, And that James McBride sold about 60 A's of the Claim af'd by virtue of an order of the Orphans Court. The Board therefore determines that James McBride be allowed to take out a Warrant for 200 A's of Land to include in a convenient manner the plantation af'd In Trust for himself and the other Children of Andrew McBride exclusive of the Land sold as af'd by virtue of the Order af'd, And that after the said 200 A's laid off the Application of Sower to be laid upon the Residue of the Vacancy. And it is further ordered that a Regard be had to the Conveniencys both of McBride & Sower in laying out the Lands.

Jannett Bowles agt John McClellan jr. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that McClellan has a Warrant & Survey on the disputed place and Jannett Bowles has no Right at all but the erecting of the Logs of a Cabbin which at that time was disputed with her by McClellan, the Board therefore determines that McClellans Survey shall be established.

George Eaker agt James McClean. } On Caveat.

George Eaker having a Survey made by an Application for 243 A's, James McClean alledges that the same Land or a great part of it was surveyed for his father Daniel McClean by James Smith Deputy Surveyor of the place in the Year 1750 on a Warrant for 100 A's, But as there is no Survey returned nor any Evidence of one except a Rough Draft made by Mr. James Smith without any Certificate of the foundation on which it was made, Therefore the matter is postponed till the last Monday in October & in the mean

time McClean is to bring proof of the Survey being made by Mr. Smith, And the Surveyor of the District is ordered to examine the old lines of McCleans Survey & to make a Draught of Eakers Land & McCleans agreeable to his Lines & return the same to the Board.

John Friend agt Bernard Dougherty. } On Caveat.

Bernard Dougherty having been cited to attend this Day, does not appear nor send any Excuse And the Board taking the matter into Consideration as stated by Friend it appears that Doughertys Warrant was obtained some small time before Friends, But that Friend was settled on the place before that time, That he bought the Improvement of one Johnson who afterwards sold the same Improvem't to one Major Levinston who sold to Dougherty as appears by the Testimony of several Witnesses That Dougherty knew of Friends Claim when he bought of Levingston, That Friend or some body for him has lived ever since the February after he bought the place upon it excepting the time he was driven off by the Indians, And upon considering these Facts The Board are of Opinion that, Friend had a good Improvement Right before Dougherty. And therefore that Friends Warrant shall be surveyed unless Dougherty shew Cause to the contrary by the last Monday in September next of which Friend is to give him Notice within thirty Days from this time.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 25th of April 1768.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr Hockley.

George Cuningham agt Samuel McCune or McKown. | On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that George Cunıngham in the Year 1756 obtained a Warrant for 100 A's & had an unfinished Survey made upon it which if closed wou'd contain 284 A's or thereabouts. That in August 1766 Samuel McCune obtained a Warrant for 100 A's adjoining George Cuningham & others and that in October 1766 George Cuningham obtained a Warrant for 200 A's. That McCune had 118 A's & Allowance surveyed. And upon considering the whole Matter the Governor determines that as McCunes

Warrant is prior to Cuninghams second Warrant it ought to take place but in regard there is eight Acres more surveyed upon it than by the Rules of the Office ought to be returned he orders that the eight Acres be cutt off square at William Duncans Corner and added to Cuninghams Survey to be returned on his two Warrants.

Barbara Messersmith agt Rob't Hinton. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Messersmith claims under a Resurvey founded on a Survey which she alleges was made many years ago by Nicholas Scull without any Warrant which he was to take out but never did take out. And Hinton claims under an old Right laid on the disputed Land before the Warrant of Resurvey Upon which the Governor determines that the Survey made without Authority ought not to prevail against the Survey made under the old Right & therefore dismisses the Caveat.

Wm. McMullen Ev'r of Thomas M'Mullen agt Wm. Benj. & Jno Underwood. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties and Considering the State of the Facts drawn up by a Committee of the Monthly Meeting of the Quakers of York County to whom the Matter was referred by the partys, The Governor is of Opinion & orders that the Surveys of Benjamin & Jno. Uuderwood shall be confirbmed as they were first made. And part of the Survey made of Thomas McMullen under a Warrant of the 8th August 1751 be cutt off by a straight Line to be drawn from a post at the corner of William Underwoods Tract as first surveyed to a Hickory at another Corner of the same Tract, And that the piece so cutt off be added to William Underwoods Tract, And tnat so much of McMullens Survey as lyes within Benjamin Underwoods first survey be also cutt off and that the rest of McMullens Survey on that Warrant of 1751 be confirmed.

Daniel Poorman agt Ephraim Little. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Ephraim Little claims by a Resurvey of two Tracts surveyed in the Year 1753 within the Bounds of one of which the Lands claimed by Poormans Application lyes. Therefore the Governor determines that the Resurveys of those tracts be confirmed and the Caveat dismissed.

Patrick Campbell
agt
Benjamin Kidd Assignee } On Caveat.
of Samuel Robb.

There being an Order of the last Monday in January last for laying out to Patrick Campbell 108 A's of Land & Allowance including an Improvement made by one Gilmore which was purchased by said Patrick Campbell unless Cause shewn this day by Samuel Robb And now Benjamin Kidd his Assignee appearing the Board proceeded to a hearing of the partys and are of Opinion that sufficient Cause has been shewn by Kidd to supersede that Order & that the Order of the Board of the 1st Dec'r last in favor of the said Benjamin Kidd shall oe confirmed.

Benjamin Humphrey
agt
John Roberts Miller.

Postponed until a Survey can be made of two Tracts of Land lying near or contiguous the one surveyed for Thomas John Thomas & the other for Evan in Order to determine if any Vacancy beteen them. And the Surveyor General is ordered to make the Survey & return it to the Board.

Robert Hill
agt } On Caveat.
William Owens.

On hearing it appears that Robert Hill claims by a Warrant for 150 A's under which 167 A's & Allowance have been surveyed & on Application under which 53 A's 150 p's have been surveyed, And that Owens claims under an Application prior to Robert Hills Warrant or Application. It is therefore determined that Robert Hill Survey on his W't to include his Improvement be confirmed, And that Owens Application being prior to Hills Application the Land surveyed to Hill on his Application be surveyed to Owens & returned for him.

Wm. Owens
agt } On Caveat.
Marmaduke Wilson.

On hearing the parties it appeared that Marmaduke Wilsons Survey of 180 A's being in Consequence of a Warrant for 150 A's to include an Improvement ma[illegible]efore Owens Application be confirmed as to 165 A's & Allowance which is all that consistent with the Rules of the Office can be returned upon his Warrant. And that the Residue of his Survey be cutt off in a convenient manner to accommodate Owens.

Samuel Bethel
agt
Moore Campbell & Pyat. } On Caveat.

The partys came to an agreement That Moore Campbell & Pyat shall give Bethel 100£ to relinquish his Right And that they shall be bound for each other, And that no Patents shall issue to them until the Money is paid to Bethel who upon the Payment shall release.

Peter Ettinger
agt
Gratius Lerch. } On Caveat.

On hearing the partys it appears that Lerch has an Application prior to Ettingers which has been surveyed & paid for but the Application is laid on a place different from the Location on which he had a Survey made in the year 1758 in Expectation of obtaining a Warrant for the same. Wherefore it is determined that for as much of Lerchs Survey was made without any authority the same be not accepted but that the Land be surveyed & returned upon Ettingers Warrant and confirmed provided he comply with the terms of his Warrant.

William Abernathy
agt
Thomas Barton. } On Caveat.

The above Abernathy setting up a Title by Improvement & being only able to prove that he had grubbed a few Acres plowed a little of it & enclosed it only with a fence two Rails high, the Board looked upon the proceeding rather as an Attempt to gain Possession in order to sell rather than settle the place & therefore dismiss the Caveat.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 30th of May 1768.
present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley.

Upon the Representation of John Brown, David Brown, George Gooding, Geo. James, Michael Randle, Benjamin Brown, John Brown jun'r, Jane Brown & Thomas Barrett, That they some time ago made applications & obtained Orders for Lands in the Path Valley Cumberland County and that the Surveyor Colonel Armstrong refused to survey those Applications on account of the Land on which they are laid having been heretofore ordered

to be surveyed for John Potts & Company for the Accommodation of their Iron Works. The Governor Orders that unless the said John Potts & Company shew Cause to the contrary within four Months that the said Applications shall be surveyed for the Applicants. And it is further ordered that the Appliants serve the said John Potts & Company or some of them with a Copy of this order within thirty days from this time.

Hugh Gibbs
agt
Jno. Miller Esq'r. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Mr. Miller holds 100 A's of Land surveyed under a Warrant to his Father, within which the chief of Gibbs Improvement lyes which Gibbs denys. Therefore the Governor orders that the Surveyor of the District run out the 100 A's and likewise the contigous Vacancy & return a Draught of the same distinguishing the surveyed Land from the Vacancy and that he describe on the Draught the Improvement of Gibbs.

Hugh McGuire
agt
Jno. Miller Esq'r. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that McGuire has the first Application expressed to adjoin the Lands of James Sharp and William Armstrong, And that the Surveyor refused to survey it because the vacant Land adjoining Sharp only and did not extend up to Armstrong. The Governor therefore orders that McGuires Application shall be surveyed upon the Vacancy adjoining Sharps Land and returned for McGuire.

Abraham Vanhoy
agt
The H'rs of Timothy Hanson. } On Caveat.

It appearing that there is a suit depending in an action of Trespass in Kent upon Delaware whereby the disputed Facts will be ascertained the Governor determines to postpone the Matter till the said Suit be ended.

Christ Stoner
agt
Jno Grefield or Greffte }

Agreed between themselves.

Alexander White
agt
Jno. Semple. } On Caveat.

It appeared on hearing that Alexander Brown obtained a Warrant for 100 A's in the Year 1741 the Right of which is vested in John Semple, And that in February 1755 Semple obtained a Warrant in his own name for 20 A's adjoining Walter Beatty &

William Holliday and has since obtained a late Application for 100 A's. It does not appear that there was any Survey made on Browns Warrant but a Survey was made in 1754 by Semple in order to obtain a Warrant for, which was burnt in Colonel Armstrongs house after the making which survey the 20 A's Warrant was taken out.

It appears that on the Side of White that in 1744 a Warrant was obtained for 100 A's by one Martha Henry on an Improvement made by her husband in his lifetime on which there was surveyed in the same Year the quantity of 88 A's ¾, The Right of which is vested in Alexander White. That the said White claims 50 A's of Lawn. under a Warrant to Walter Beatty of Sep'r 8th 1755 & 50 A's more on a Warrant to himself of ye 26th May 1763 on which there has been a survey not yet returned. And upon the whole the Governor determines that the Survey of Martha Henry shall be returned as made by Mr. Cookson deceased & confirmed. That next the Warrants of Alexander Brown & John Semple shall be surveyed & returned for Semple, And then the Warrant of Beatty shall be surveyed for White and also his own Warrant of 1763, And if any Vacancy is left it is to be surveyed on Semples Application, And the Surveyor is to make those Surveys as agreeable & convenient as possible to the plantation & Improvements of the partys & the Locations of the Warrants.

James Gordon agt James Eager al's Agar. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that James Agar in the Year 1742 obtained a Warrant for 100 A's which was surveyed but never returned, And that in 1750 he obtained a Warrant for 75 A's to be surveyed in three Places about his other Land which was surveyed but never returned, And in Feb'ry 1768 he obtained another Warrant for 150 A's adjoining his other Land. That Daniel Henly obtained a Warrant in 1753 for his Improvement & had surveyed soon after 77 A's which are now vested in James Gordon. That James Gordon before Agars last Warrant made Application to the Oce & obtained an Order for 100 A's which is the Vacancy intended to be affected by Agar last Warrant. That Agars last Warrant was designed to cover the Land intended to be taken by the 75 A's Warrant which had long laid unexecuted or at least had not been returned. Upon consideration of the whole Matter the Governor determines that the hundred Acre & seventy five Acre Warrant be surveyed according to the old lines but as Gordon alleges that Eager had five pieces of Land surveyed upon the seventy five Acres Warrant instead of three the Governor orders that only three of those pieces be re-

turned according to the Warrant & the old lines of survey. And if the old lines cannot be found that the quantity of seventy five Acres be surveyed conveniently to all the partys adjoining the principal Tract of Eager, And if any Vacancy left after executing Eagers two Warrants according to the above Directions that it be surveyed on James Gordons Application, And if any part of the old surveyed lines contain any land conceded by Eager to Robert Rowland by the Judgment of Thomas Steel & James McCandbso that the same be taken as part of Eagers seventy five Acres Warrant.

Davison Philson
agt
Caleb Kirk.

The partys appeared voluntarily before the Board without any Caveat entred. And the Case appears to be that Davison Philson is Guardian to the Children of Samuel Philson who are entitled to a Tract of Patent Land which is supposed by the Evpression of the patent to join upon the Lands of Martha Bazalion & O'rs, but in fact cannot by the Terms of the Survey join upon Bazalions Land between which & Philsons Kirk alledges not denyd by Philson that there is such a Vacancy, And as Caleb Kirk has an Application on it the Governor Orders the Application to be confirmed.

John Vernon
agt
Philip Stitts. } On Caveat.

The partys having agreed & Stitts having relinquished to Vernon his Application Right The Governor Orders that Vernons Warrant be surveyed.

Daniel Sharpless
agt
William Starr. } On Caveat.

Daniel Sharpless by his Caveat alledging that Wm. Starr by obtaining the Grant apply'd for will raise the Water of Ridley Creek greatly to the prejudice, And the partys agreeing that William Parker, Henry Hale Graham & John Morton Esq'rs or any two of them will be proper persons to make a Report of the damage the said Grant may be to the said Sharpless & how the same (if any) may be most properly compensated, the Governor Orders the dispute to be postponed till the said Gentlemen shall make a Report concerning the premises.

George Smith
agt
George Shrader. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that George Shrader hath an App'n No.

1727 for 100 A's & purchased Andrew Grafts App'n No. 1538 both which are elder than Smiths Application or Improvements. Therefore the Governor orders that they should both be surveyed for Shreader but orders also that be executed strictly agreeable to their Locations and not to execute either of them on Lands not within the description of the s'd Locations.

James Webb jun'r
agt
Wm. Redman.

James Webb jun'r not appearing the Governor orders the Caveat to be dismissed unless Cause shewn the last Monday in October of which Wm. Redman is to give James Webb, at least 20 days Notice.

John Becker
agt
Peter Earhert for
Peter Folk.
On Caveat.

Peter Earhart having obtained a Warrant in 1750 for 50 A's of Land adjoining Henry Cook which Right is vested in John Becker. It is Ordered that Becker have surveyed to him on that Warrant Seventy five Acres agreeable to Lines & Bounds settled between him & Peter Folk and that Folk have his Application surveyed & confirmed paying Interest & Quit rent from 1st March 1750.

Detrick Welgar
agt
Shite Ohl & Sumony.
On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Shite Ohl & Sumony claim by late Applications That Detrick Welker in the year 1751 being possessed of an Iron Forge in the neighborhood of the disputed Lands obtained several small Warrants For Lands in those parts & obtained a general Order from the then Secretary for surveying to Welker all the vacant Lands in this neighborhood of his Forge Upon which Edward Scull then Surveyor in that District proceeded to lay out & did actually survey for said Welker amongst many other pieces of Vacancy the Lands in dispute but that Edward Scull being soon after taken sick and lingering for several years at length dyed without making any Returns on the said Warrants and Order. The Governor therefore Orders that said Welker have a Warrant of Resurvey to lay out the said Land as surveyed under the s'd Warrants & Order according to the old Lines & Boundarys in Order that the same may be confirmed to him. And the Governor further orders that this be upon Condition that Welker pay them for their Applications & surveying Fees & their expenses of attending at this Time as the Cause was at last hearing postponed at his Request.

Joseph Fox & Others the Guardians of Wm. Mayburys Children agt Daniel Oyster.

The Caveators not appearing it is ordered by the Governor that the Caveat be dismissed unless Cause shewn to the contrary the last Monday in July Of which Oyster is to give the Guardians or some of them at least ten days Notice.

Henry Gossler agrees to give 20s Stg ℔ Annum for a Lot No. 416 in the Town of Reading for which a Warrant is to issue.

Philip Clinger agrees to give —— Stg ℔ Annum for Lot No. 352 in the Town of Reading.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 27th day of June 1768.

present

The Governor.

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.

Curtis & Peter Grubb agt Stephen Berringer & O'rs } on Caveat.

It appears that Curtis & Peter Grubb claim under a Survey made by William Parsons without any Warr't. and that Berringer claims under an old Improvement and a Warrant in the Year 1754 regularly surveyed And it does not appear certainly whether the two Surveys interfere or not The Governor determines that as Berringers Survey is made in pursuance of a Warrant and Grubbs without any Authority That Berringer have a patent upon his Survey.

Henry Wyerman agt John Oblenes

It appeared upon hearing that William Wyerman Father of the present Henry Wyerman had two Warrants one upon the place disputed and the other in another place and that the Surveyor executed the Survey by the wrong Warrant That this Survey was made long before Oblenes's Application and it was sold by the present Henry Wyerman who had accounted for its Value to the other Children by his Father whereupon it is determined that the Caveat stand good & that no Survey be made under Oblenes's Application And it is further Ordered that Henry Wyerman release to Oblenes a Caveat

entred by his Father against a Warrant obtained by one Bull or Bole in the Year 1746 for Land on the opposite Side of Conewago under which Warrant Oblenes claims.

Margaret Long or Longing
agt
Conrad Repp
} on Caveat.

It appears upon hearing That Lawrence Laufer former Husband of Margaret Long had a Survey made on the Land without any Warrant & there is about 2 A's of it cleared And that Rep obtained a regular Order of Survey & has had a Return made upon it And the Governor determines that Rep having regularly applyed & obtained a Survey ought to have it confirmed.

John & Peter Bower
agt
Peter & Michael Ulrick
} on Caveat.

John & Peter Bower setting themselves up as Ad'rs. to their Grandfather Andrew Pawley or Polly who made the Improvement on the Land in dispute And Peter & Michael Ulrick producing a Deed from the said Pawley for the said Improvement & having taken out a Warrant & made a Survey in pursuance thereof, the Caveat is dismissed as being without Foundation.

Jacob Meyer
agt
John Thomas &
Israel Morris
} on Caveat.

It appearing that the Def'dts. have prior Warrant & Survey the Caveat is dismissed.

Tuesday the 28th. day of June 1768 at the Secretarys Office before the Secretary The Governor being absent and the other Agents being engaged in Business they cannot leave.

David George
agt
Wm. Gill
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that in the Year 1732 one Griffith Edwards settled upon the Lands in dispute & lived upon it about two Years and sold it to David George and afterwards rented it for two Years of David George at 20s. a Year That David George has e'er since possessed the Land & made Improvements upon it particularly planted an Orchard That in the Year 1746 one John Wells obtained a Warrant for the s'd place on which David George entred a Caveat against him which was never decided. John Wel's not appearing to the Caveat John Wells gave his Right to Wm.

Gills Wife who was his Daughter and Gill had it surveyed I am of Opinion & do adjudge that the Survey of Gill on Wells Warrant ought not be accepted, in regard to the prior Improvement of Edwards sold to David George & his constant possession ever since And that he obtain a Warrant for the same unless Wm. Gill will assign over to him his Right in which Case I am of Opinion David George ought to pay Gill the Money paid for Wells Warrant likewise the Expence of surveying.

Archibald Stewart
agt
Robert Dunbar
} on Caveat.

It appearing that Robert Dunbar has left this province and settled in York Government And that the place on which he took his Application was improved by Joseph Scott about the Year 1758 and sold by him to Thomas Dermond who sold it to Alexander Miller who sold it to Archibald Stewart who now lives on it I am of Opinion & do adjudge That Dunbars Application be not surveyed But that Archibald Stewart be allowed to take a Warrant paying Interest & Quit Rent from the 1st. March 1760.

Christian Henrick
agt
Henry Birk
} on Caveat.

It appeared on hearing that John Reinhart obtained a W't in 1759 for 20 A's. of Land including the Land in dispute And upon Christian Henrichs representing to him that it wou'd be very injurious to him to take the woodland which was so near his house from him, he consented to take only 10 A's. on his Warrant and to leave the rest out for Henrick And Henrick accordingly got the Residue of the vacancy circumscribed by lines run by the Surveyor, afterwards before any other Steps taken by Henrick to secure the Land Birk got an Application & Order to Survey it I am of Opinion that tho' Birk had the first Order yet in Regard that the Land was Subject to Reinarts Warrant & was left for Henrick and taken possession of by him in the manner before described I think it was a kind of Gift by Reinart who had the Land in his power and is such an Appropriation as takes off the force of Birks Application And therefore that Birks Survey ought not to be excepted And I am also of Opinion that Henrick shou'd pay Birk Forty Shillings for his Expence of Application & Order of Survey & other Charges.

Lawrence Simons
agt
Baltzor Sneider
} on Caveat.

It appeared on hearing that Sneider has the first Application and that Lawrence Simons has no Improvement on the disputed

place Therefore I am of Opinion that Schneiders Survey be accepted.

Ulrick Bagestoss agt Henry Bossert & Nicholas Miller } on Caveat.

Caveat withdrawn by Consent of both partys who agree to apply for a Warrant of Resurvey & Division.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Thursday the 30th. of June 1768

present
The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

James Kyle agt Mary White } on Caveat.

On hearing the parties and examining their proofs it appeared That William White deceased the husband of the said Mary made the first Improvement on the Tract claimed by Mary White before the Land in those parts was purchased of the Indians, at or near the place she now lives & was settled on it in the Year 1749 when Mr. Rich'd Peters late Sec'ry of the Land Office with several Magistrates of the County went to turn off the People who were settled on the Indian Lands on Juniata, That William White then quietly relinquished his Settlement and went off in Obedience to the Orders of the Government and was promised by the Sec'ry that when the Land was purchased of the Indians his place should be secured to him. That in 1753 or 1754 one Henry Newkirk made a Settlement on that Side Juniata about half a Mile above where William White had set down which William White perceiving warned off the place alledging his claim to the Land That Newkirk notwithstanding continued his Occupation and afterwards by Deed dated the 10th. April 1754 assigned his Right to James Kyle in Consideration of 17£. 10s. That on the 3d of February 1755 William White obtained two Warrants for 100 A's each The one to include the Improvement where one Kyle (as it is expressed) had presumed to settle, The other on the North Side of the first mentioned 100 A's to include part of a Big Meadow That on the 3'd June, 1762 James Kyle obtained a Warrant for 100 A's adjoining William White on the North Side of Juniata & a reputed Improvement by one Hays That some time after the Warrants

obtained by William White Colo'l Armstrong Surveyor in those parts surveyed & laid out in pursuance of them a Tract of Land which according to a Draught of those lines made by Mr. William McClay contains 673 A's & Allowance including as well the Improvement of White as that of Kyle who at that time was absent the Settlement being broke up by the Indian Incursions. That this Survey of Col'l Armstrong never was returned into the Surveyor Generals Office, That afterwards the Rights & Claims of the present contending parties coming into dispute before Wm. Peters Esq'r. late Secretary of the Land Office the matter was heard on the 18th. Day of July 1765 And Mr. Peters determined, "That after Wm. Whites two Warrants shall have been executed and fully accommodate with a reasonable & full Share of the s'd 673 A's Tract agreeable to his the said William Whites two Warrants that then the said Warrant to the said James Kyle shall be executed on the other part of the said large Tract as agreeable to the true meaning thereof as may be," That afterwards in November 1765 the said James Kyle obtained a Warrant for 200 A's located within the said Tract, And upon considering the whole matter the Governor is of Opinion that the said last mentioned Warrant of Kyle was not regularly issued as the whole Tract had been before determined by the Secretary to be divided between the partys or at least that it ought only to be considered as additional to his first Warrant to cover the Overplus of his Share of the large Tract above the Quantity of his first Warrant & not to abridge the portion of that Tract allotted to White & he determines accordingly That James Kyle have only 225 A's & All of the large Tract surveyed & returned on his said two Warrants to be laid out as agreeable as may be to all the s'd Warrants on both Sides and the Directions hereby given And that the rest of the large Tract be returned one Whites Warr'ts, And the Governor further Orders that Mr. William McClay on whose Judgment & Integrity he relys in making the ordered Distribution, do divide the front on the River as conveniently to both partys as may be And if it be necessary in order to a fair & convenient Division of the said Front, to include in Kyles part any part of the Improvement af'd made by Kyle now in possession of White that the same be done notwithstanding the Terms of Whites Warrant in Consideration of his having purchased the place and improved it and in Consideration of Whites having the largest Share of the Tract on the prior Warrants But if any such part of the said Improvement be surveyed to Kyle White is to have quiet liberty of taking off the Crops now on the same.

At a meeting at the Governors on Monday the 25th day of July 1768

present
The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley.

James Greer
agt
Geo. Churchman

Postponed till the last Monday in September.

Joshua Lowe
agt
James Welsh
upon Caveat.

The partys being heard it appeared that James Welsh had a Warrant and Survey on the Land in the Year 1748 & permitted his Brother Andrew Welsh to live on it several Years & after his Death appraized the Improvements in Andrews Estate & accounted for the same to the Estate, The Governor if of Opinion That as there is no Evidence of purchase & the Improvements have been Accounted for the Caveat be dismissed.

Charles Imhoff
agt
James Gregory
on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Charles Imhoff has had an Improvem'nt on the place disputed and has lived upon it seven or eight Years, Therefore the Governor is of Opinion that he have a Right to take a Warrant for the same And that the Application & Survey of Gregory be rejected And that the Land be returned for Imhoff.

Nicholas Yoner
agt
Mark Snyderfer
on Caveat.

The partys being heard it appeared upon Evidence that in the Year 1753 Melchoir Beltzuber lodged Money in the hands of George Stevenson Esq'r to purchase a Warrant on the disputed place In 1755 he sold his Receipt to Yoner That in 1756 Mr. Stevenson without obtaining a Warrant surveyed the land for Yoner who paid Tax for it, The Governor determines this Transaction to be such an Appropriation as that Snyderfers Application shall give way to it And that Yoner have liberty of taking out a Warrant for it.

Tuesday the 26th July 1768 at the Land Office.
present
The Secretary.
The Rec'r General.
The Surv'r General.

Godfrey Green al's Greensweick agt Martin Sheiffler or Shibley } on Caveat.

Sheiffler being served with Notice and not appearing or sending Excuse the Board proceeded to hear the proofs & Allegations of Green and it appeared that Green in the Year 1767 bought an improvem't of one George Pellman and afterwards the 15th Sep'r 1767 obtained an Application for 100 A's including s'd Improvem't and on the 6th October following Sheiffler obtained an app'n for 100 A's which the Surveyor laid upon the place of Green's prior App'n & Improvem't. The Board are therefore of Opinion & order that Sheifflers Survey be rejected & that the Land be surveyed to Green unless Sheiffler shew Cause to the contrary the last Monday in October & of this Green is to give Sheiffler 10 days Notice.

Anne & John Walker Ad'rs. of Henry Walker deced agt John Walker sen'r.

It appearing to the Board that John Walker hath a Warrant & Survey prior to Henry Walkers And that the Survey has been made by Thomas Cookson Esq'r deceased but never return'd, They Ordered that Bertram Galbreath Surveyor of the district where the Lands lye survey & return the old lines of John Walkers Survey as run by Cookson And likewise a Draught of Henry Walkers Land that it may be known how the said Surveys interfere if they do interfere at all and that it be done against the last Monday in November next when the parties are to appear again And of this John Walker sen'r is to give Anne & Jn'o Walker jun'r or one of them thirty Days Notice.

Margaret Crone agt George Waggoner

George Waggoner being served with Notice & not appearing nor sending Excuse the Board proceeded to examine the proofs & Allegations of Margaret Crone upon which the Case appeared to be That Michael Fetter (lately deceased) made an Improvement on a piece of vacant Land in Oley hills then in the County of Philad'a now in the County of Berks, The said Michael Fetter sold his said Improvement to John Jordan who

took out a Warrant for the same which he pawned to Samuel High of Oley for 3£ 6s. which he owed him, John Jordan lived about two years on the said Improvem't and died leaving a Widow & small Children. John Weiler intermarried with the said Widow & lived on the said Improvement which he soon after sold to John Souder for 30£ which 30£ went to pay the Debts of John Jordan. John Sauder sold the same to Jacob Landsiscus for 50£. Said Landsiscus sold the s'd Improvetment to Martin Crone for 70£ who dyed leaving a Widow Margaret & 6 Children the said Margaret Crone was in the Year 1753 sued by Jacob Landsiscus for the Remainder of the s'd 70£ with Interest which she paid to the s'd Landsiscus. The said Margaret Crone has been in possession of the s'd Land about eighteen Years since her said husband Deceased The said Margaret understands that her Son-in-Law George Wagoner sets up a Claim to the said Land by a purchase of one or more of the Heirs of John Jordan It doth not appear that any body administered to Jordans Estate, the Office at Reading having been searched for that purpose. After Margaret Crone was sued by Landsiscus she administred to her husbands Estate, The Board are of Opinion & do adjudge that George Waggoner has no pretense of Right by his purchase of Jordans Warrant Right under the Circumstances before mentioned And that Margaret Crones Survey be returned (if not already returned on Monday 29th August 1768.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office (the Governor being absent) on Monday 29th. August 1768.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Hockley.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

George Wisecarver agt James Delap } on Caveat.

Upon hearing partys it appears that Mr. William Lyons or Major Ward has a Claim upon the disputed Land And the Board proposed to the partys to refer the Dispute to Mess'rs. Richard Tea, John Frazier and Christopher Lems, Esq'r. or any two of them to which the partys agree and the said gentlemen or two of them are requested to take the trouble of examining the Rights of the partys and those of Mr. Lyons & Mr. Ward & to report their Opinion to the Secretary.

Joseph Ribbeth
agt
James McClenathan
Guardian of
Wm. McClenathans Child'n. } on Caveat.

On hearing the Parties it appeared that the land in dispute was surveyed to Wm. McClenaghan about 17 Years ago under a Warrant of the 20... of October 1750 which was prior to Ribbeths Claim, The Board therefore of Opinion that McClenaghans Survey be established if the same be returned, if not that the Guardian be allowed a Resurvey in order to return the land according to the old lines And that the Caveat be dismissed.

Andrew Stephen, Robert Sturgeon and David English.

The Surveyor having made Return of a Draught according to a former Order and the same being examined the Board approve of it and order that separate Returns be made for the partys according to the said Draught.

James Watson Son of John Watson represents to the Board that his Father John Watson sold his Improvement & about 200 A's of Land in York County to John Lindsay for 130£. That sometime after John Lindsay in order to defraud his Creditors made over his Estate to his Son James Lindsay and now refuses to pay the Money due for the purchase of the Improvement That the said James Lindsay after having his Fathers Conveyance made Application to the Land Office for a Warrant for the said Land in the time of the Stamp Act but has never since given himself any Concern about it And the said James Watson now applys for a Warrant for the said land unless James Lindsay will pay him the purchase Money for the same which his Father contracted to pay And the Board are of Opinion that unless the said John Lindsay or James Lindsay do within three Months after Notice of this Order take out a Warrant for the land and make Satisfaction to the Representatives of John Watson according to the Sale & Contract aforesaid a Warrant for the same ought to be granted to the said James Watson in Trust for himself and the other Representatives of his Father, & James Watson is to give James Lindsey a speedy Notice of this Order by serving him with a Copy of the same.

Francis West Ex'r of
Arthur Forster
agt
Abraham Mitchell
Assignee of Jno. Linton

The Board taking again into Consideration & having inspected & considered the Deposition of Catharine Montour Wife

of Andrew Montour and also a Certificate signed by Henry Montour who is also called Andrew Montour the husband of the said Catharine attested by Col'o Croghan and Major Smallman are of Opinion that John Linton under whom Mitchell claims was settled on the land in dispute by the said Andrew Montour who had Authority from Richard Peters Esq'r late Secretary for so doing And that as he was settled & had made considerable Improvements for which Mitchell paid a considerable Sum of Money and one Story under whom West originally claims made a trifling Settlement without any Authority they are of Opinion that Mitchells Warrant & Survey ought to be confirmed and that he shou'd have a Patent issue thereon.

The Governor & Secretary being absent on public Business the last Monday in September & October the hearings for those Months postponed to the second Monday & last Monday in December by Advertisem'ts in the news Papers.

At the Governors on Tuesday the 29th. November 1768.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.
The Surveyor General.

Wm. McPherson & Marg't. his Wife late Marg't Porter agt Robert Hearse } on Caveat.

Upon hearing the pretensions of the Partys & considering their Papers and a plan of the dispute made by Col'o Armstrong it appears that Margaret Porters two Warrants are both fitted by the Survey of the Vacancy divided by Col'o Armstrong between the Partys And therefore the Governor is of Opinion that she has no Cause of Caveat or Complaint And that Col'o Armstrong return the Warrants of both partys agreeable to the Plott returned by him in the dispute.

Nathaniel McCarrol & Joseph McCarrol agt Robert Couden

Robert Couden being cited & not appearing the dispute is postponed till the last Monday in February at which time the matter wil be heard whether Couden attends or not provided McCarrol gives him Notice of this Order.

Jonas Seely Assignee of Isaac Sailor agt Joseph Hymes } on Caveat.

This day being appointed by Jonas Seely for hearing and he not appearing and having this matter postponed heretofore the Governor orders the Caveat to be dismissed and that Hymes shall have the Land if he pays the Proprietor in a twelve Month otherwise Seely or any other may have the Opportunity of taking & paying for the Land.

At the Governors Wednesday the 30th November 1768
present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry.
The Rec'r Gen'l Hockley.
Surveyor General.

Richard Kirkpatrick agt Samuel Fisher }

On Caveat & Judgment of the late Sec'ry & Rehearing before the Governor, Secretary, Rec'r Gen'l and Sur'r General.

Geo. Sanderson agt Samuel Fisher } On Caveat.

Judgment & Rehearing as above.

Upon the Rehearing it appeared as it did before the late Secretary that the said George Sanderson & Richard Kilpatrick both settled on the large Tract of Land which the said parties now claim 15 or 16 Years ago before the said Samuel Fisher settled there & before it was purchased from the Indians and a Division line was agreed on by the s'd George Sanderson and Richard Kirkpatrick between their proposed Settlements But that their settling with divers others on those Lands before purchased giving Umbrage to the Indians and they making Complaint to the Government the said Settlers by Order of the Governor were prevailed on to move away & leave their Settlements on a promise of the the Sec'ry that they shou'd have Warr'ts for & return to them after purchased of the Indians.

That accordingly the s'd Geo. Sanderson afterwards obtained a Warrant in the name of his Son John dated the 4th Feb'y 1755 for 150 A's in order to include his said Setlement.

That the said Samuel Fisher soon after obtained a Warrant for 200 A's there dated the ——— May 1755.

And that the said Richard Kirkpatrick also then applied for a Warrant for his said Settlement of which he was then disapointed but afterwards obtained one dated the 10th of November 1762 for 200 A's including his Improvem't in Sheermans Valley.

That on or about the 15th April 1761 (before Kirkpatrick got his Warr't) Samuel Finley by the Direction and as Assistant to Col'o Armstrong survey'd a large Tract for the said George Sanderson & Samuel Fisher amounting to about 1100 A's on their two Warrants as appears by a Resurvey thereof since made by Bertram Galbreath Deputy Surveyor by Order of the Sec'ry & Surveyor General & now produced.

And upon the Matter thus circumstanced it appeared to the late Secretary and the Surveyor General (then present at ye hearing) that the said large Tract was very sufficient to satisfy not only the said two Warrants of Sanderson & Fisher but also the said Kirkpatricks said Warrant And the Sec'ry was therefore of Opinion that the said Kirkpatrick ought to have a part thereof laid out to him towards the middle between the houses of Saunderson & Fisher in such manner as the Surveyor General should judge most just & equitable for satisfying all the said three Warrants and so as to include the head & part of a Spring where the said Richard Kirkpatrick cleared a small Piece supposed to be at or near the place marked in the said Galbreaths Resurvey b R K And the said Secretary did adjudge and direct that the Surveyor General shou'd lay out or cause the said Tract or so much thereof as he shou'd judge proper to be laid out & divide amongst the said George or John Sanderson, Samuel Fisher & Richard Kirkpatrick for satisfying their said Warrants And upon the Rehearing it appeared further by the Information of the Surveyor General that he had lately been upon the Lands with Capt. Wm. Lyon and viewed the same in order to execute the s'd Judgement but found it impracticable to lay the Warrant of Kirkpatrick as above directed by the late Sec'ry. without ruining the Settlements both of Fisher & Sanderson And it appeared also by the Deposition of one Jno. Scott who is allowed to be a Man of Credit that after the removal of Kirkpatrick & Sanderson from off their Settlements as aforesaid a certain Andrew Montour by permission of the Indians settled on or near the place And some time after sold the place to Fisher whereupon both Fisher & Kirkpatricks Warrants are located and that afterwards Fisher, Montour and Kirkpatrick upon a Dispute between them concerning the Right of the Land referred the matter to the said John Scott & several others and that the said Arbitrators did adjudge the Land to Fisher and that Montour should pay Kirkpatrick Nine pounds which they determined to be of value of the Improvm't

he had made upon the land and that the said Kirkpatrick was con'ted with the Judgment And it appeared also on the said Rehearing that the said Kirkpatrick has never since made any Improvement or Settlement on the said Land not being allowed to do it by Fisher And the Board now taking the whole matter into Consideration are of Opinion that as the Warrants of Fisher & Sanderson are both prior to Kirkpatricks and were surveyed before he obtained his and their Improvements are of considerable Value that their Possessions ought not now to be disturbed by Kirkpatricks subsequent Warrant especially as he had once submitted his dispute to Arbitrators who had determined the Right of the Land against him and ordered him a Compensation for his Improvem't And the Governor accordingly orders that the Warrant of Kirkpatrick shall not be executed according to its Location and the Judgement of the late Secretary which left the matter in some measure to the Discretion of the Surveyor Gen'l who upon view of the place finds it cannot be executed without the Inconveniences above mentioned And that the Division line between Fisher & Saunderson shall be drawn across the Survey made by Finley at the Lick described upon the plott of the Resurvey by Galbreath agreeable to the old consentable line between Kirkpatrick & Saunderson and that Kirkpatrick be allowed (if he chuses it) to lay his Warrant on the East End of the large Survey by Finley where Thomas Fisher Son of Samuel Fisher has built a Cabbin & made a trifling Improvm't without any kind of Authority And if he does not chuse to lay his Warrant there the Governors Orders that he have a new Warrant for the same Quantity of his old one in any part of the County where he can discover any vacant unappropriated Land And that the Money paid the prop'rs for his Warrant be applyed to such new Warrant upon his Release of the other And that the Surveyor may the better understand this Judgement the Division lines between the parties are drawn upon the plott of the Resurvey above mentioned in Rec.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 28th Day of November 1768.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.
The Surveyor General.

Isaac Skyles in behalf of himself & his Brothers & Sisters Children of Peter Skyles agt Henry Skyles } on Caveat.

The Land in dispute was part of a Tenament belonging to Peter Skyles deceased and was ordered by the Orphans Court to be held for payment of Debts, Isaac Skyles alleges that Henry Skyles bought it not for himself but in Trust for the Children of Peter but it appearing by the Deeds of Purchase and by the Record of Lancaster Court that Henry Skyles made the purchase to his own Use and the Governor orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Hugh Means agt William Coxe

It appearing upon hearing that Means claims under an old Warant to one Blythe obtained in the Year 1755 for 50 A's on Juniata generally which was not executed till the 11th August 1766, And that Mr. Coxe had eight Months before the Survey obtained a Warrant for the same place by particular Location And also that Mean's Warrant had been first surveyed in another place by George Armstrong who purchased under Blyth and conveyed his Right to Means. The Governor orders that Mr. Coxe Warrant & Survey be preferred.

The 6th of December 1768 the Reverend Mr. Peters applyed to the Governor informing him that the hon'ble the prop'rs had been pleased to make him a present of 2000 A's of Land for his Services at the Treaty of Albany in the Year 1754 which he had procured Col'o Jno. Armstrong to survey for him on Juniata ———— in Cumberland County And that though Col'o Armstrong had engaged to lay his Warrant on a Tract of very good, he Mr. Peters was informed & had good reason to believe the Survey was made on a very worthless Tract Wherefore he requested the Governor to allow him to relinquish the said Warrant & Survey & to take a new Warrant when the Office opened for the new purchase which Request the Governor thinks reasonable & accordingly is pleased to allow.

———

Monday the 12th December 1768 at the Land Office.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.

The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley.

John Hudson
agt
Henry Keiker

The parties appeared Henry Keiker in person & the Hudsons by Samuel Richards their friend & concluded to settle the matter amongst themselves.

Philip Henry Moore
agt
Christian Winebrenner } on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Christ'n Winebrenner in the Year 1756 about the 5th of March obtained a Warrant for 100 A's of Land and there being none vacant at the place as appears by the Surv'rs Certificate the Warrant was surveyed about three Miles distant from the first Location on an Improvement made about 16 Y'rs ago which Winebrenner purchased from one Conrad Hake for 75£ And the Survey was made in December 1756 long before the Warrant of Phil. Hen. Moore And it appears that Henry Moore was present & consenting therefore the Board are of Opinion that there is no foundation for Moores Caveat & that it ought to be dismissed.

Henry Bucher
agt
Thomas Lilly } on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that the Land claimed by Henry Bucher was surveyed near twenty Years ago by Geo. Smith deceased for Samuel Lilly Father of Thomas Lilly by legal Warrants as appears by a Draught of the land survey'd according to the old lines by the Deputy Surveyor of the District and by him certify'd, the Board are therefore of Opinion that the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

Henry Spoon or Spohn
agt
John Patton } on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that John Patton having due Notice of hearing declared he would not attend and as it does not appear that any prior Claim of Mr. Patton affects the land ment'd in Spoons Application the Board of Opinion that Spohns Application ought to be surveyed & returned unless Cause shewn to the contrary the last Monday in January next of which Spohn is to give Patton Notice.

George Kapp &
Frederick Kapp
agt
John Patton &
Fredrick Weiser } on Caveat.

Patton & Weiser having due Notice and not attending the Board

proceeded to hear the Allegations of the other partys and to inspect their papers And it appears that they obtained a Warrant on the 10th Day of July 1765 for 50 A's Land and there appears no prior Right in Patton & Weiser to the same Land therefore the Board are of Opinion that the Warrant of Geo. & Frederick Cap be surveyed unless Cause shewn to the contrary the last Monday in January next of which they are to give Patton or Weiser Notice by serving on them a Copy of this Determination.

Monday 12th December 1768 at the Sec'rys Office.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.

The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley.

Godfrey al's Greensweich
agt
Martin Shively or Sheebly

There being an Order heretofore made in favour of Greensweich to be confirmed unless Cause shewn to the contrary, Martin Shively now appears and alledges that he bought the Improvement in dispute at a Sheriff Sale and that Green had before his Deed bought it fraudulently of George Speilman the late possessor after it was in Execution And as it is uncertain how the fact was the matter is postponed to the last Monday in February in Order to have the Sheriffs Qualifications & other material proofs.

Leonard Kersteter
agt
Jacob Seigrist
on Caveat.

It appeared on hearing that Kirsteter claims under an Application of Valentine Wolf which is prior to Jacob Seigrists But according to Mr. McClays information Wolfs Application is located in a different place from Seigrist's and therefore the Board of Opinion that the Caveat be dismissed.

Jeremiah Bernard
agt
James Rankin

Postponed till the last Monday in April at the delend'ts Request.

Jacob Godshalk
agt
John Hoover
Assignee of
Andrew Hershey
on Caveat.

John Hoover not appearing the Dispute is postponed till the last Monday in Feb'y. of which Jacob Godshalk is to give Hoover Notice.

James Elder & Alex'r McCormick agt Randle or Rennalls Alexander } on Caveat.

On hearing the parties & inspecting & considering their papers and a Draught of their Surveys and disputed claims made by Col'o Armstrong It is the Opinion of the Board that Col'o Armstrong the Surveyor of the District do return their respective Surveys according as they are laid down in the same Draught except that so much of Randle Alexanders Survey as lyes between the pricked line & the Creek be cut off & added to Alex'r McCormicks And that the said Draught is ordered to be returned to Col'o Armstrong for his direction in returning the said Surveys And that James Elders Survey be returned under his two Warrants tho' it exceeded their Quantity a small Matter.

At the Secretarys Office Thursday the 14th Dec'r 1768.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.

The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley.

James Howe Assignee of James Hunter agt Randle Alexander & Henry Hockenberry his Assignee } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that James Hunter had the first Warrant and that his Survey was first made erroneously and that the Distance mentioned in the line of the Draught from the Chestnut Oak to ye Post is greater that the real distance between those boundarys which occasions a Contraction of the Survey & lessens the real Quantity of the land within the marked lines, The Board are therefore of Opinion that the Survey be corrected by marking the line from the Chestnut Oak to the full distance of the perches mentioned in the draught and that the other Courses & Distances be pursued from the End of that line thus corrected in the manner described by pricked lines in a Resurvey made by Samuel Finley which is transmitted to Col'o Armstrong which will bring the survey nearer to its original design & to the quantity of the Warrant And after cutting off from Hockenberrys Survey as much as How's Survey altered as af'd takes in the rest may be returned for Hockenberry.

Monday the 26th December 1768 at the Governors.
present
The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Thomas Kerr
agt
Samuel Finley
} on Caveat.

On hearing the partys it appeared that Finley had the first Warrant and that it is bounded above the Improvm't. of one Swan which Kerr claims and which he says Finly has included in his Survey a Tract which Finly denies therefore the Governor Orders that Mr. McClay the Surveyor of the District make a Draught of the vacant Land out of Finleys Survey in order that it may be known whether there be enough left for Swans Improvement And that the Matter be postponed to the last Monday in May.

Joseph Fox & O'rs
Guardians of
Thomas Mayburys
Children
agt
Daniel Oyster
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Thomas Maybury in his lifetime obtained of Mr. Rich'd Peters late Sec'ry verbal Orders or Directions from time to time to survey lands for the Accommodation of his Iron Works which Surveys were made without any Warrant and when returned into the Surveyor Generals Office it was usual to issue Warrants of Acceptance as appears by several Transactions in the Sec'rys & Surveyors Office And it further appears by the field Works of Edward Scull deceased that the Land in dispute was surveyed in the Yr. 1745 for Thomas Maybury And further appears that it was valued in his Estate and divided amongst his Children Therefore the Governor is of Opinion it was by such Survey appropriated and that Oysters Application could not affect it And Orders that upon a proper Certificate of the Survey from Edward Sculls Books and the payment of the proprietary Demands it be accepted by a Warrant for that purpose.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the 27th Dec'r 1768.
present
The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Brown, David Brown, George Gooding, George James, Michael Randal, Benjamin Brown, John jun'r. & Thomas Barrett. agt. John Potts.

The Applications of the Plaintiffs having been stopped by the claim to the places of their Locations by John Potts who set up a Survey on an old Right and also a Survey made by Col'o Armstrong including all the vacant Lands adjoining, The Matter stood over till this day for Proof of the Allegations of Potts And he being since deceased And his Representatives now relinquishing all their Claim both to the old Right Survey and the Vacancy adjoining as appears by a Writing produced signed by Jno. Potts the Son of the deceased and by the Information of Thomas Potts another Son of the deceased who appeared before the Board, the Governor orders that Col'o Armstrong the Surveyor of the District do survey & return the Locations of the plaintiffs.

James Dickey agt Wm. Henderson } on Caveat.

This Dispute is referred by Consent of Partys to the Determination of Mess'rs Wm. McClay & James Patterson who are desired to settle the Dispute according to which Mr. McClay is to make & Return their Surveys.

James Kelly agt John Gammell & William McGee

This dispute is postponed for further Consideration till the last Monday in June next and in the meantime William Matthews the Deputy Surveyor of the district is ordered to lay down the claims of both parties & to describe on the plot any conditional or consentable Lines which may have heretofore been settled between the possessors and also the lines (if they can be found) of a Survey supposed to be made for James Carr or James Rodgers who heretofore possessed the Land claimed by Kelly & to return a Draught to the Board.

James McClean agt. Simon Eaker in behalf of his Son Geo. Eaker

The parties being heard and their papers considered it appears to the Board that the dispute is not sufficiently cleared up therefore the Governor orders that further time

be given to the partys to produce other Testimony and that Mr. Lyons the Deputy who was once before on the Land examine such lines as Simon Eaker shall point out as Daniel McCleans old Survey and report the Age of those lines as they appear by the marked Trees and that the dispute be continued till the last Monday in October Eaker alledging he has Witnesses to procure from Carolina.

Peter Ruffner
agt } on Caveat.
George Burkhard

It appears That Ruffner & Burkhards claims are both in the prop'rs. reserved Lands near Reading Ruffner claims by Warrant of the 1st of Aug't 1765 Burkhard by Warrant of 16th Aug't 1765 both include Improvem'ts. the Governor orders that both the Surveys shall be made (Ruffners first) in such reasonable manner as John Patton Esq'r and William Scull shall think proper and that the Surveys be made & returned by William Scull.

At a meeting at the Governors on Tuesday 27th Dec'r 1768.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

John Piper
agt
Bernard Dougherty

Agreed between the parties that Mr. Pipers Survey shall be returned as made by Mr. Tea on Pipers two Warr'ts, And that the house built by Mr. Dougherty on the Land shall be valued by William Proctor and James McCall And Mr. Piper is to pay Mr. Dougherty the value set up by them and the charges paid by Mr. Dougherty for surveying his Warrant.

At the Sec'rys Office on Wednesday the 28th Dec'r 1768.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

John Cornthwaite
agt
Samuel McConnell
} on Caveat.

The plaintiff not appearing the Board proceeded to consider the Defendant Case And it appearing that McConnell the Defendant without knowing of a prior Application of the plaintiff made Application for the Land in dispute and had it surveyed the plaintiff having neglected to move on his Application for more than six Months after making it And the Board having reason to think that some other Person had applyd to the Office in Cornthwaites Name, are of Opinion that McConnells Survey ought to be received and Cornwaites Caveat dismissed especially as he does not think proper to attend the dispute.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the 25th Day of January Ao. di. 1769.

present

The Governor.
Mr. Hamilton.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

The Board assisted by Mr. Hamilton took into Consideration the Terms on which the Office should open for the late new purchase and are of Opinion that the Application plan in general be continued but are of Opinion that there shou'd be some Alteration as to the time of returning the Surveys & paying for the Land and taking out Patents which is referred to further Consideration.

At a Meeting at the Governors Monday 30th Jan'y 1769.

present

The Governor.
Mr. Hockley.
Mr. Physick.
Mr. Lukens.

Henry Spohn
agt
John Patton

John Patton not appearing the Governor directs that the Judgment given the 12th December 1768 be duly executed.

George Cap & Fred'k. Cap
agt
John Patton & F'k. Weiser

John Patton having by Letter requested the hearing of this Case may be postponed till the last Monday of next Month the Governor consents to the Delay till that time.

James Johnston
agt
William Irwin

Deferred till the last Monday if next Month at the Request of Irwin that Johnston who is not present may have a further Opportunity of appearing.

Rob't. Cather
agt
Andrew McDowell

On hearing the parties it appeared that Rob't. Cather obtained an Order for the Land in dispute dated 14th Jan'y 1746 and that with the knowledge & Consent of Andrew McDowell, that some time afterwards McDowell procured a Survey to be made for himself by George Churchman under pretense of having bought the Land of Robert Cather, but Cather alledging he never sold him the Land & McDowell not being able to prove his having bought it the Governor judges it right that the Survey made for McDowell be returned for the Use of Robert Cather and that a Patent be granted to him on his paying the remaining Part of the purchase Money Int. Qt. Rt.

Geo. Meal.
agt
Jacob Rex

The Land in contest is claimed by George Meal on prior application ent'd the but by a Letter produced under the hand of Henry Vanderslice dated it appears that he had surveyed the Land in the Year 1750 by Order of Edward Scull the Deputy Surveyor who had received 50 s from said Jacob in order to take out a Warrant for him which he had neglected, but that Rex confiding in his Care had ever since occupied the Land, therefore the Governor Orders the Survey shall be returned for said Rex he paying for the Land on the old Terms with Int. & Qt. R. from 1st March 1750 & Paying George Meal the surveying Fees.

James Kenny &
Jno. Fitzgerald
agt
Wm. Graham

Request for Rehearing.

Wm. Graham being not present the hearing this Cause is deferred till the last Monday in April next.

At a Meeting at the Governors the 3d Feb'y 1769.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Ordered that Col'o Francis and the Officers of the 1st & 2d Battalion of the Pennsylvania Regiment be allowed to take up 2400 A's to be divided amongst them in the district Surveys on the Waters of the West Branch of Sasquehanna to be seated with a Family for each 300 A's within two Years from the time of Survey paying 5£ Sterling ℔. hundred & 3d. Stg. ℔. Acre The Land to be taken as near as may be together and in bodys of Eight Thousand Acres at least. If more that eight Thousand Acres can be had in one place they may have the Liberty of taking it & laying out the Residue into other places, if it can't be got in one The whole paid for before patents issue for any Parts Surveys to be made & returned in nine Months and Settlements made and Money paid in 15 Months after returns made Int. & Quit Rent to commence in nine Months after Application, If all cannot pay for their parts in time patents to issue for the whole to such as will pay the whole Money still seated as above.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Saturday the 11th Feb'y 1769.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Thomas Cullen agt Jno. Edmunson.

The Matter being by Order referred to the Report of Charles Lukens and his Report being considered the Governor orders that the Land in dispute be surveyed & returned for Thomas Cullen who claims under the Applications of Robert Gray upon the place of Edmunsons Warrant & prior to it.

The plan of the Out Lots at Carlisle made by Col'o Armstrong approved & the Governor orders that the Ground Rent of 3s Ster'g p. Acre for ever be laid on them No one person allowed to take up more than three Lots. Patents to be taken out in six Months after Application made to the Secretarys Office and if

Pattent not taken out in that time any other person may take up the Lots And no Possession to be taken of the Lots till patent obtained. The Lots on Letorts Spring reserved for the present. Col'o Armstrong and Mr. Lyon allowed their Choice of two Lots apeice upon the common Terms of other Lots. The Governors orders the Sec'ry to enclose a Copy of the above Minute to Col'o Armstrong to be set up at the Court house door.

At a Meeting at the Governors the 22d Feb'y 1769.
present
The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens

The Board taking into Consideration the Application of Dr. Morgan and Major Smallman in behalf of themselves and other Officers of the Pennsylvania Regiments of the Years 1756, 1757, 1758, 1759, & 1760 (a List of whose Names they delivered in) to be allowed to take up Eighty Thousand Acres of Land beyond the Mountains upon the Terms on which the Application of Col'o Francis and his Corps have been allowed to take up Lands on the Sasquehanna are of Opinion that they shall be allowed to take up by way of Application when the Land Office opens Forty Thousand Acres (being nearly the proportion allowed Col'o Francis & Company) upon the same terms vizt. 5£ Sterling p. 100 A's & 1d Stg. p. Acre p. Annum Quit Rent to be taken up as near together as may be and in body of eight Thousand Acres each and Two thousand or in larger Bodys if it can be had the whole to be paid for at one payment before Patents issue for any parts. The Tracts to be divided amongst the Officers into district Tracts and a Family to be settled on each 300 A's in two Years from the time of Application. The Surveys to be made in nine Months from the time of Application & purchase Money paid with Interest from the Expiration of the nine Months in two Years from the time of Application. If all Officers cannot pay for their parts Patents to issue for the distinct Tracts to such as will pay the whole, still seating as above. And the Governor accordingly allows of their Applications on the above Terms.

At a Meeting at the Governors the 24th Feb'y, 1769.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

The Governor with the Advise of the Board orders that the Land Office be not opened for receiving Applications to take up Land in the new Purchase till the 3d of April next in order that the back Inhabitants may have sufficient time to bring in their Applications And the Secretary immediately give Notice of the opening the Office on that day by separate Advertizem'ts to be distributed as soon as may be & by inserting them in the next weeks papers.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office on Monday the 27th Feb'y, 1769.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

George Kap & Fred'k Kap
agt
Jno. Patton & Fred'k Weiser.

Mr. Patton appearing and alledging that Mr. Weiser is the party principally concerned and that he is ill and cannot attend The Board are of Opinion that unless Weiser shews Cause to the contrary the last Monday in March the Order of the 12th December last shall stand confirmed.

Godfrey Green al's Greensweich
agt
Martin Shibley or Shively &
George Haag his Assignee.

This Matter having ben postponed for further proof it appears that the Place in dispute was the Improvement of one George Peilman or Spielman and was taken in Execution by the Sheriff of North Hampton and sold to Shivley But that after the Execution issued and before that Sale Greensweich bought it of Spielman in a fraudulent Manner and then made an Application to the Office before Shibley who afterwards made his Application, And the Board are of Opinion that tho' Greensweich was prior in his App'n. Yet as the Land was taken in Execution at the time the

Sale was not good And that therefore Shibley who purchased fairly ought to be preferred & have his Survey confirmed.

James Johnston & John Lane his Assignee agt William Irwin.

On hearing the Board are of Opinion that a Piece of Irwins Survey shall be cut off by a straight line to be drawn from the Walnut Corner or Lewis William's old Tract to the post at the End of the No. 3d. W. line of William's Land af'd, And that this be added to Lanes Survey And that Col'o Armstrong should make the above Alteration of Erwins Survey and return both their Draughts acordingly, Irwins part to be upon the old Terms with Interest & Quit Rent from the 1st March 1748.

John Lane agt Wm. Irwin. Caveat dismissed.

George Elder agt Eleanor Hill & Robert Stephenson. ...n Caveat.

Eleanor Hill and Robert Stephenson having Notice of hearing and not appearing the Board are of Opinion that George Elders Application be surveyed & returned unless Cause shewn to the contrary by Hill & Stephenson the last Monday in April next of which Elder is to give them or one of them Notice.

John McMean agt Jacob Man als. Meany.

There being an Order of the Board the 30th March 1767 to Richard Tea to Survey & Divide between the partys the Land in dispute and it appearing by Mr. Tea's Letter and Draught that the Tract consists only of 110 A's ¼ which is too small to divide into two plantations and the former Order being founded on an Apprehension that there was vacant Land enough for two plantations which it seems there is not the Board are now of Opinion that McMean who has an Improvement Right should have a Warrant for the whole Tract paying Interest & Quit Rent 12 Years unless Man shew Cause to the contrary the last Monday in May next of which McMean is to give Man Notice.

Jacob Godshalk agt John Hoover.

Continued till the last Monday in June.

Nathaniel McCarrol
agt
Robert Coudon.

On hearing it appeared that William Coudon dyed about 24 Years ago possessed of an Improvement in Chester County leaving his Widow & Robert Coudon afd. his only Son and Mary & Margaret his Daughters by his last Wife and four Daughters by his first Wife viz't. Jane who married John Carrothers, Isabel who married Nathaniel McCarrol and Susanna the Wife of William Ligget and ——— the Wife of Thomas Clyde That Robert Coudon took Possession of the Improvement after the Death of William and sold 54 A's of the Land belonging to it to Thomas Clyde and afterwards 28th Aug't 1765 took out an Aplication No. 656 for 100 A's and has since compounded with Ligget for his share of the Improvement Right And the Board are of Opinion that the said Robert ought not to have taken out an Application for the said Land considering that it contained an Improvement, And that this Title be not confirmed until he shall compound with the other Sisters for their Shares of the Improvem't Right of their Father, And that as he deceived the Office in not disclosing the said Improvement and applyed as for vacant Land he shall be obliged to pay Intres't & Quit Rent from the 1st March 1745 upon the Terms of 15£ 10s Currency ℔. 100 A's & ½d Stg. Qt. Rt. That he shall comply within Terms in six Months otherwise they shall have the Land paying him a reasonable Rate for his Share.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 27th March 1769.
present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Jacob Beck
agt
Jno. Moore &
Archibald Moore.

This Matter was heard on the last Monday in December 1767 and an Order was made that a Survey should be made of the lines of Becks Patent Land in order to discover how they agree with the marked trees, Moore suggests that there was a variance, And now upon view of the Draught and Certificate of Thomas Woodward who examined the lines by Order of the Surveyor General it appears that there is some small difference between the Courses and Distances of Becks Land and what Moore insists upon as a Corner of that patent upon boxing the Tree or Stump it appears

to be younger than Becks Survey, And as Becks Survey will not contain its full Quantity confined to that Tree or Stump, The Governor Orders that Becks Patent shall have its full Extent of Courses & Distances and that Moores Survey be made conformable to it.

Christian Hare
agt
Adam Staub. } On Caveat.

Continued to the lines between Pennsylvania & Maryland are confirmed.

James Greer
agt
Geo. Churchman

Same
agt
Samuel McConell

George Churchman having now twice excused himself on account of his inability to attend It is ordered that the matter be postponed till the last Monday in August at which time the matter will be heard and if Churchman cannot then attend he must get some Friend to do his Business.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office on Monday the [illegible]th of March 1769 in the Afternoon.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Thomas Maybury
agt
Nicholas Harmony } On Caveat.

Nicholas Harmony being served with Notice & not appearing the Secretary & Surveyor General after inspecting Mayburys Papers find that he claims under a Warrant granted to Frederick Poppenmeyer the 25th October 1753 for 20 A's more or less adjoining Jost Henry & Nicholas Harmony, And that Harmony claims by a Warrant to himself in the year 1755 for 25 A's more or less. That there is a Vacancy thereof about 90 A's which has been all surveyed to Harmony And they are of Opinion that the Land shall be divided between them by a straight Line drawn from the Eastermost End of Nicholas Harmonys seven and a half perch line of the Survey of this Vacancy to the Southernmost End of the forty perch line of the same Survey And that each hold the

part next to his other Land And that their Surveys made by this Division be returned & accepted.

Jacob Graaf
agt
the H'rs of Alex'r Logan } On Caveat.

It appearing that Alex'r Logan had both a Warrant & Survey prior to Jacob Graafs Warrant The Secretary & Surveyor General are of Opinion that the Surveys on both Sides ought to remain as Col'o Armstrong has made them.

Jacob Graaf
agt
William Townsly } On Caveat.

It appearing that William Townslys Warrant & Survey are both prior to Graafs Warrant The Secretary & Surveyor General are of Opinion that the Surveys on both Sides ought to stand as Col'o Armstrong has made them.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Saturday the 8th of April 1769.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Marcus Hulings & Cornelius Atkinson & Ors. in behalf of themselves & Or'rs their Associates
agt.
Col'o Turbutt Francis & Others Officers of the Pennsylvania Regiment } On Caveat & Petition

The petitioners having by the Governors Permition entered a Caveat in the Sec'rys Office and left Town without waiting for a hearing or appointing a Time the Board take the Petition into Consideration and allowing the facts in ye same to be true are of Opinion that they are not sufficient to affect the Claim of the Officers who by proper Authority have made a Survey including the Land in dispute And for anything that appears the Petitioners have made the Improvements they insist upon without any Authority at all And as this is a proceeding which ought to receive the most early Discouragement the Governor Orders that petition be totally rejected unless the Petitioners can shew some better pretensions than they have disclosed upon the face of their petition by the 8th May next And that in the meantime they do

not on any pretense whatever presume to make further progress in their Imp'ts or remove themselves or Effects to the Lands in Question.

Mendum: the last Monday in June appointed for hearing the Case of Coxe & Bassett.

Monday the 24th April 1769 being the Day appointed for the hearing of several disputes in the Land Office and the Governor & Surveyor General being out of Town & the Auditor General & Rec'r Gen'l being indisposed I proceeded to hear & determine such Cases as were ready for hearing Subject to the Control of the Governor & the Board of Property in Case any o- the partys thought proper to appeal from my Decision.

Simon Eaker
agt
Geo. Robb & Rob't Beard } On Caveat.

By a Letter of Excuse from Eaker it appears that he had ten Days Notice of this hearing which he alledges was not sufficient time for him to prepare for a hearing but sent his Case & proofs And it appears upon inspecting them and the proofs of the Defendants and hearing their Allegations that Eaker claims under a Warrant to James Brotherton dated the 8th of June 1762. George Robb claims by two Applications one dated the 30th December 1756 the other the 5th December 1767. And Robert Beard claims by an Application dated the 2d April 1767. The Defend'ts alledges that Brothertons Warrant is located on the East Side of Stony Ridge and that the Land intended to be taken by that Warrant was given up to & surveyed by George Patty Father-in-Law to Eaker and that it cannot properly affect their Lands. I am of Opinion that the Surveyor of the District shall carefully examine into these Allegations and if he finds that the Lands in dispute do not lye on the East Side of Stony Ridge or that he gave up the Land he intended to take by his Warrant to Patty then he is to return the Lands in dispute for the Defendants according to their Locations but if he finds they are within the description of Brothertons Warrant and that it has not been surveyed to Patty he is -rst to survey that Warrant & if any Land left to survey it on those Applications according to their priority & description.

Henry Huber
agt
Christian Marburger } On Caveat.

It appears upon hearing that John Marburger obtained an Ap-

plication for the Land in Dispute which lay much more convenient to Huber than to him.

And afterwards upon Agreement between them John Marburger gave up & relinquished to Henry Huber all that part of his Right of Application in the part of Land which lay on the North Side of the Road from Philad'a to Macungy. Afterwards in the Year 1768 John Marburger sold his Application Right to Christian Marburger who knew of the Relinquishment afd. In Feb'y 1769 Henry Huber took out an Application for the Land (John Marburger being run away) And in March last Christian Marourger got the whole surveyed upon the first Application I am of Opinion that Henry Huber ought to have all the Land. On the North Side of the Road surveyed to him on his Application paying to Christian Marburger his Expense of surveying.

Bethuel Watson
agt
John Walton
} On Caveat.

On hearing Parties it appeared that John Walton Father of the Defendant made an Entry with the Deputy Surveyor of Sussex County in the Year 1724 according to a Practice then in use for 200 A's on Tussakey Branch of which the Land in Dispute ca ed Waltons Island contained about 100 A's is part and entred upon it & possessed it. In January 1744 he made his .. & left his Landed Estate from part of it under the same Circumstance of that in dispute having no other Right to it than such an Entry as above amongst his Sons in particular distinct Dispositions. The above 200 A's he left to his Son William The Lands were all left to the Sons to come into Possession after the termination of their Mothers Widowhood. In 1745 William Walton being a Minor the Widow Anne Walton tok out a Warrant for the Land in Dispute in her own Name and had a Survey made by the Name of Walters Island which was never returned into the Office This land after her death was possessed by the said William Walton and in the Year 1767 was taken in Execution by the Sheriff of Sussex County to satisfy a Debt recovered against him in that County Court of Common Pleas, and in virtue thereof was afterwards sold and conveyed according to Law by the said Sheriff to the afd. Bethuel Watson without any Opposition from any Person And I am of Opinion that as the Land in dispute was possessed by John Walton the Testator and left to his Son William and from him comes regularly down to Bethuel Watson that he ought to have the Preference of securing the same by a Warrant notwithstanding the Warrant taken out by Anne Walton which I think it highly probable was obtained in trust for her Son William to whom it was left and that upon the whole Circumstance a Court of Equity wou'd decree it a trust in her.

John Hershy
agt } On Caveat.
Jasper Scull.

On hearing it appeared that Jasper Scull has the prior Location & Hershy has the prior Survey But that Scull Survey is not agreeable to his Location and Hershys is, Therefore I am of Opinion that Hershys Survey be returned & accepted.

Peter Winter
agt } On Caveat.
Michael Worm.

It appears upon hearing that Thomas Coulson had two W'ts each for 100 A's the one Dated in 1747 the other in 1749. Upon these Warrants he had a Survey partly made but not finished & then sold his Rights and Claims to Michael Worms and Nicholas Strayor by Deed dated in 1764 And Strayor to cover a part of these Claims obtained a Warrant for 100 A's Dated in 1762. Peter Winter claims under an Application of the 13th of October 1767 After the purchase made of Coulson by Worm & Strayor. Thomas Armor who had acted under George Stephenson & who had began Coulsons Survey as af'd finished the Survey of Coulsons two Warrants leaving out the Vacancy on which Peter Winter made his Application And then soon after as Winter affirms made another Survey and took in some more land but it appears by Armors Draught that he did not at last include more than 296 A's ½. I am therefore of Opinion that there is no foundation for Peter Winters Caveat as the whole Land surveyed does not exceed the quantity of the Warrants prior to Winters Location But as the Survey was not finished by any Surveyor in Authority I do order that the Deputy Surveyor of the District shall make a new Survey upon these Warrants pursuing the old lines as far as they extend and then those run the second time by Armor and returned the whole in separate Surveys according to the different pretensions of Worm & Strayor. And then lay out the remaining Vacancy on Peter Winters Location Provided always that the Quantity of Worm and Strayors Tract does not exceed 300 A's with allowance for Roads.

John Elliott
agt } On Caveat.
William Steel.

On hearing the parties it appears that Mary Steel 22d March in the Year 1749 took out a Warrant for 100 A's of Land more or less and had a Survey made by Francis McCullough dec'ed in the Year 1753 which according to a Draught made by George Stephenson contains 233 A's & Allowance but it is said there is upwards of One hundred Acres more within the lines. This Survey was after-

wards conveyed by Mary Steel to William Steel. John Elliott claims by a Warrant obtained by himself in the Year 1762 for fifty Acres adjoining Mary Steel which he alledges was to cover a Claim of John McGaughy (from whom he purchased it) agreeable the possessors of Steels place between McGauchy & Jno. Hood the possessors of Sleels place before Mary Steel purchased the Improvement of him, for which she got the Warrant. It appears also that John McGauchy after the supposed Line settled between him and Hood surveyed his Land without coming up to the same, I am of Opinion that the proof of any consentable line at all is very weak & slender and it is not pretended that any was ever run between the Partys, And therefore I think that a Survey of so long standing as Steels ought not to be effected by a recent Warrant and the pretence of a consentable line never run between the partys, And therefore that the Caveat is without foundation But I do order that the Deputy Surveyor of the District do examine the lines of Steels Survey correct the same if any Errors therein and return a Draught into the Surveyor Generals Office with the real Quantity it contains.

Jacob Kreber agt Simon Witmyer & Conrad Isenhart. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties it appears That Kreber claims under a Warrant to agree dated in Feb'y 1767. The Warrants of Witmyer and Isenhard are dated in April 1767. But it also appears that one Jacob Welsh made an Entry with George Stephenson Deputy Surveyor of York County in the Year 1752 for about two hundred and fifty Acres including his plantation and accordingly had the Survey made in the Year 1755 and after sold it to Paul Burghart whose Ex'rs sold it to Witmyer & Isenhart who alledged that the Land surveyed on their Warrants is the same which was before surveyed to Welsh whereas the fact is deny'd by Krever who asserts that the 30 A's which he claims is out of the surveyed lines of Welsh. I am of Opinion that if it be within those lines the Caveat of Krever is without Foundation but if it be without them Krever ought to have the preference upon the priority of his Warrant, And Samuel Johnson Esq'r is requested to inquire into the facts & certify wnat he finds to be the Truth.

Joseph Huston agt William Beard, David Shields & John Stephenson. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that the contending parties in the Year 1762 was seated upon several plantations with consentable lines between them, And that Joseph Huston, William Beard and

David Shields on the 3d of June 1762 obtained Warrants for their plantations. Hustons Warrant is posterior to Beards & Shields's not only as theirs are prior to his upon the Record of Warrants but as his has reference to and bounds upon theirs and John Stephensons. It appears also that there several Warrants have been surveyed acording to their consentable lines. I am therefore of Opinion that their Surveys ought to be accepted as they are returned and that John Stephenson be allowed to take out a Warrant for his place & to Survey upon it the Land left out of the other Surveys.

Before the Sec'ry & Surveyor General.

John Richey
agt
James Savage

Agreed by the partys this Dispute to be left to the Determination of William Smith Esq'r William Mathews & William Gammell or any two of them at the same time agreed that any Land allowed to John Richey shall not go further towards James Savadges Plantation than the old Lines run for Alex'r McCanless by Thomas Armor.

John Lesher
agt
Will'm Davis.

John Lesher not appearing determined that the Caveat will be dismissed unless Cause shewn to the contrary the last Monday in June of which Davis is to give him Notice.

James Barclay
agt
Henry Dildine, Thomas Miller & Geo. McMurtrie. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that John Craig in the Year 1750 obtained a Warrant for 50 A's of Land which was for the Use of James Barclay.

That there was soon after surveyed on the same Warrant 176 A's & 28Ps. as appears by a Resurvey of the old lines returned into the Surveyors Office which is the Land in dispute and that John Craig has conveyed the Land to Barclay. It appears also that one William Craig without any Pretence of Right sold the Land to the Defendant George McMurtrie. And that the Defendants have taken out Locations for their several parts of it and that they have constantly paid Taxes for it. The Board are of Opinion that John Craigs Survey be confirmed to Barclay And that Barclay ought to repay to the Defendants the Land Taxes which they have paid for the same.

George Elder
agt
Eleanor Hill &
Robert Stevenson.

There being an order of the 27th of Feb'y last that George Elders Application should be surveyed unless Cause shewn this sitting of the Board And it appearing that they have had due Notice of the Order and do not appear to shew Cause as above the Order is confirmed.

Jeremiah Bernard
agt
James Rankin.

James Rankin not attending and it appearing by a Note on Bernards Draught that David Chandler has a Claim on this Land and there being some reason to believe that Bernards Survey has not been regularly made the matter is postponed till the last Monday in August of which Jeremiah Bernard is to give Rankin & Chandler Notice and in the meantime the Deputy Surveyor is to examine & measure the lines of Bernards Survey in Order to know if they agree with the Draught returned into the Surveyors Generals Office.

At a special Meeting at the Sec'ry Tuesday the 9th of May, 1769.

present

The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Marcus Hulings & Cornelius Atkinson in behalf of themselves & Associates
agt
Col'o Turbutt Francis & Others Officers of the late Pennsylvania Regiment.

This Cause having been postponed to this Meeting and the Caveators shewing no new Reason to support their petition the Govenor Orders that the same be rejected.

Mathias Gantzhorn & George Lechner
agt
Richard Peters Esq'r in Right of John Price

The parties appeared voluntarily before the Board and referred

the dispute to the Report of Samuel Johnston, Esq'r.

Detrick Welkar
agt
Andrew Ohl.

Postponed to the last Monday in July.

Tuesday afternoon before the Sec'ry, Rec'r & Surv'r G'l.

Adam Holman
agt
Jona'n Coates

On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that about 18 Months ago Coates applyed at the Surv'r Gen'ls Office for an Island in Schuylkill called Buckwalters Island situate (as appears by the Draught of which he then took a Copy) between the Lands of John Buckwalter & David Longanecre and this Matter lay till when Adam Holman applyed for an Island between the Lands of Daniel Longanecre & John Buckwalter and obtained an Order of Survey from the Surveyor General had a Survey made and returned into the Surveyor Generals Office, Upon this Coats got a Copy of Holmans Survey on which his name was not mentioned the Survey being made for the proprietaries under the Warrant for surveying the Schuylkill Islands and brought it to Mr. Hockley to compound for the purchase Money without informing him it was Holmans Draught, upon which Mr. Hockley received the price agreed And Coates had a Patent made out at the Sec'rys Office but before it was sent to be sealed Holman discovering the matter entered his Caveat, to satisfy both partys it was proposed that they should take out a joint Patent which Holman readily agreed to but it seems Coates is not satisfied. The Board are of Opinion that Coates had first intended to take the Island afterwards surveyed for the Proprietaries in order to agree with Holman though by the Draught of which he took a Copy it appears he really applyd for another Island, Yet as there was more regularity in Holmans Application and proceedings and Coates paid in his Money not upon his own Draught but upon Holmans without informing Mr. Hockley which was a proceeding somewhat surreptitious, the Board are of Opinion that a Months time from this Day be given Coates to consider whether he will take a joint patent with Holman and that if he refuses so fair a proposal a Patent ought to issue to Holman.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday 29th May 1769.
present
The Governor
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Thomas Kerr & Wife
Widow of Swan
agt
Samuel Finley
} On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Samuel Finley has the prior Warrant and has had his Land surveyed & returned these several Years & Kerr has had a sufficiency of Land surveyed to the Improvement of Swan under which he claims therefore the Governor Orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Wynkoops Ex'rs
agt
Daniel Clifton

Postponed till the last Monday in September.

John Smiley
agt
Benjamin Wallace

The partys appeared and were heard and John Smiley not making good the Allegations of his Caveat the same is ordered to be dismissed.

Jeremiah Stillwell
agt
Evan Jenkins
} On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Evan Jenkins claims under the Application of Agnes McCrea which is prior to Stillwells therefore his Survey is ordered to be accepted and the Caveat to be dismissed.

Joseph Warford
agt
James McKenzie

Joseph Warford alledging that the Land located by James McKenzie is within the lines of a Tract of Land patented under Maryland but which now falls within Pennsylv'a. And McKenzie not appearing the Governor orders that in Case the fact be as alledged the Surveyor of the District shall not make any survey under McKenzies Location.

Ordered that Col'o Armstrong be wrote to by the Sec'ry to have the Out Lots about Carlisle drawn by way of Lottery, if the people chuse to take them upon the Terms lately published of three Shillings Sterling an Acre to patent in six Months after drawing

or to forfeit the Lots drawn. The Lots upon the Springs not granted to Mr. Steel to be reserved.

The Surveyor General is desired to make Enquiry into the State of some Lots built upon between the North End of the City and Peggs Run and also upon Callowhill and know by what Right they are held.

Rowland Harris
agt
Jno. Jordon

Rowland Harris appearing & Proving that he had served Jordan with Notice to appear and shew Cause why Patent shou'd not issue to the said Rowland Harris and the said Jordan not appearing the Governor Orders that Harris's patent be made out.

The Governor concluding to grant ye Water Lots at Reading in Fee Simple on Ground Rt. there are rated as follows, viz't:

The Water Lots in Reading No. 21 to 24 inclusive valued at 15s Stg.

The Lots 9 to 14 inclusive at 15s. Stg.
The Lots 15 to 18 inclusive at 15s Stg.
The Lots 1 to 8 inclusive at 12s Stg.

William Cochran
agt
Francis West

Postponed for further consideration till the last Monday in September and in the meantime Mr. McClay is desired to represent the Dispute to the Board by laying down the Survey of Mr. West and the Claim of Cochran upon it and the quantity of Vacancy left for Cochrans Warrant and to note down upon the Draught the Improvm'ts under which Cochran claims and the Nature and Extent of them and to lay down also the line of Mr. Bartons Land adjoining Cochran.

John Davison
agt
Elias Davidson & Or's.

The Valuation of certain Lands mentioned in the Judgm't of the Board the 29th Sep'r 1767 between those partys being referred to Col'o Armstrong, Mr. Trent & John Holmes Esq'r, And Mr. Trent being gone to England and the Lands being remote from Col'o Armstrong & inconvenient for his attendance the Matter is referred to Jno. Allison Esq'r, James Cuningham & Robert McCrea or any two of them.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Tuesday 27th June 1769.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Kenny & Fitzgerald agt William Grimes } On Caveat.

Continued till the last Monday in August.

John Lesher agt William Davis } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that in the Year 1750 John Lesher obtained a Warrant for 50 Acres and some time after had the Land in Dispute surveyed. The Survey has never yet been returned but he erected Woodcutters houses upon it & cutt Coalwood on it. That about two Years ago Davis took out an Application and had the Land surveyed and returned upon which Lesher caveated. The Board are of Opinion that Lesher having a prior Warrant & Survey he ought to have a Confirmation. But as he has so long neglected getting a Return the Board are also of Opinion he ought to pay Davis his Expence of Application & Survey.

Jacob Shaffer agt Michael Krouse } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that John Peter Suber obtained a Warrant in 1753 for 100 A's of Land which by several Conveyances became vested in Jacob Schaffer who in 1762 had the Land surveyed. That afterwards Michael Krouse had 55 A's ¼ of this Tract surveyed under a Warrant to Ulrick Waggoner for 50 A's dated in 1752 but it does not appear that that Warrant was for the Land in dispute the Board are of Opinion that it ought to be returned for Shaffer.

Jacob Deel als. Teel agt Adam Schuler } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that one hundred & fifty one Acres of Land was surveyed for Philip Seabold in the Name of Christ or Boyer by Warrant of the 18th Octo'o 1738 obtained by Boyer who never complyed with the Terms. That Philip Seabold afterward sold Ninety one Acres of it to Jacob Teel and the Remainder was mortgaged to Adam Shuler. That no Money was paid to the Prop'rs for this Land by Boyer. The Board are of opinion that as there is no Conveyance from Boyer whose Warrant became void for Noncompliance with the Terms that each party shall take out

a new Warrant for his particular Share, Jacob Teel first for Ninety one Acres and Adam Shuler for the Residue and have separate Returns & Confirmations.

Cassimer Greenmyer agt John Wetzle } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that John Wetzle has an Appl'n and Survey and that Greenmyer claims under a Warrant to Michael Bourne in the Year 1752 but it does not appear to be for the same place therefore the Board are of Opinion that the Survey of Wetzle shall stand.

Henry Keiker agt Jacob Freymire } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that Jacob Freymire under a Warrant of 28th ——— 1737 had surveyed the 7th Nov'r 1744 180 A's of Land And he let Henry Keiker who is his Son-in-Law have 100 A's of it retaining to himself the Residue of which the present Dispute is part. Afterwards it appearing that one John Hudson had Keikers entire part and also the disputed land Surveyed in the Year 1743 under a Warrant of the 25th Octo. 1761. Keiker purchased in his Right And the Board are of Opinion that in regard the Land was divided off to Keiker by Agreem't with Freymire he cannot now affect that Settlement between him & Freymire by purchasing in the Warrant Right of Hudson which is younger than Freymires, And therefore that the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

Nathan Evans Assignee of James Arnet agt John Patton } On Caveat.

It appears on hearing that John Patton has a Warrant on the Land in dispute prior to Arnets Application therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 31st July 1769.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

Thomas Cary
agt
Joseph Pollock or Poke } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that in the Year 1725 Edward Cary had a Survey of 162 A's part of the Land in dispute. This Survey was made without any Warrant. This Land was left by the Will of Edward Cary to his Son William who made his Will in 1744 when he was somewhat under 20 Yrs. of Age and left it to his Brother Edward Cary who in 1745 took out a Warrant for this Land and in 1747 had this with other Land to the quantity of [illegible] A's in the whole surveyed and in 1751 he sold to the Defendant Joseph Pollock who has ever since possessed it tho' it was claimed by Thomas Cary. Upon considering the above State the Governor is of opinion that the Warrant of Edward Cary obtained under the equitable Circumstance of the Will his Brother William ought to govern the Title & give the preference to the present possessor Jos. Pollock to whom his Honour Orders patent to issue upon payment of the Arrears of Quit Rent.

Jonathan Hemmons
agt
Samuel Shankland }

The Governor takes time to consider of this Dispute till the last Monday in September.

Thomas Calvert
agt } On Caveat.
John Steel

On hearing it appeared that Mr. Steel has a Warrant & Survey That Mr. Calvert hath a prior Warrant which upon comparing with Mr. Steels does not appear to interfere with it but that is located at a distance from Mr. Steels Survey, the Governor therefore Orders that the Caveat be dismissed.

John Kennedy
agt } On Caveat.
Walter Hood

On hearing it appears that John Kennedy claims under warrant & Survey to James White who under a 200 A's Warrant had 167 A's surveyed. That afterwards Hood obtained a Warrant adjoining James White That Kennedy cleared over his surveyed lines & now would include the Land under Whites old Warrant. The Governor is of Opinion that Kennedy must be confirmed to the lines surveyed by White under whom he claims and that Hood had a Right to join those lines And therefore Orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Ohl
agt
Detrick or Tetrick Welgar } On Caveat.

Referred to Charles Jolly, John Bull and George Shombach or any two of them. Report to be made to the Board the last Monday of October next.

Robert Thomas, John Hornecker & Daniel Swartz
agt
Detrick Welgar } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Detrick Welgar in the Year 1752 being possessed of an Iron Forge in Philad'a County obtained several small Warrants in Order to take up a Quantity of Vacant Land near his Forge in order to accommodate it. That the Deputy Surveyor Edward Scull deceased thinking the Quantity of the vacancy too great to return upon those Warrants Welgar in pursuance of his Advice applyd to Richard Peters Esq'r then Secretary of the Land Office for an order to survey all the vacant Land in that Neighborhood and obtained it & delivered it to the said Edward Scull Who upon those Warrants and Order surveyed all the said Vacancy but being in a declining State of health for several years never made any Return of the said Survey. That the said Welgar in 1768 obtained a Warrant of Resurvey to have the said Survey returned according to Edward Sculls Field Works which has been executed. It appears also that John Hornecker upon a subsequent Warrant & Survey obtained a patent upon a Tract of Land about thirty Acres of which is within the Survey and Resurvey af'd. And that Daniel Swartz upon a Warrant prior to Welgars had a Survey made also prior to Welgars Warrants and Order by which he included less than the Quantity of his Warrant, which he would make up out of the Vacancy surveyed for Welgar rejecting his first survey. That Robert Thomas had likewise a prior Warrant for 100 A's and surveyed upon it Seventy five Acres and by another Survey has extended his pretensions beyond his first lines. And the Governor determines That Welgars Caveat as to thirty Acres within Horneckers patent be dismissed. And that his Resurvey be made conformable to Horneckers Patent. And as to Swartz and Thomas he determines that they be confirmed to the old lines of their first Surveys And that Welgars Resurvey be also made conformable to those old lines which the Deputy Surveyor is to examine carefully before Welgars Resurvey be returned.

The Board give Welker Notice that it is expected he will pay half the purchase Money Interest & Quit Rent of his Lands surveyed & Resurveyed as above mentioned in six Months from this

time & the Remainder within twelve Months from this time Otherwise the Land will be granted to any body who will pay for it.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office (the Governor being absent) on Monday the 28th August 1769.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Wm. Updegraafe Assignee of Joseph Updegraafe
agt
Mathias Reslar

On hearing it appeared That Mathias Reslar claims under a Survey supposed to be made for one Baltzer Knertzer by one McCullough Deputy of George Stevenson in or about the Year 1751 but never returned which is denyed by Updegraafe. And as the matter cannot be decided without examining the old lines of the said supposed Survey Charles Lukens the Deputy Surveyor is desired to examine the said lines & report to the Board how he finds the matter and also the quantity of Land which Wm. Updegraaf holds as belonging to his Plantation on Conewago.

Benjamin Humphreys
agt
John Roberts, Miller
On Caveat.

Upon hearing Benjamin Humphreys not being able to shew any Right to the Land claimed by John Roberts the Board are of Opinion the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

George Churchman
agt
Samuel McConnell & James Greer
On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that George Churchman in the Year 1758 obtained a Warrant for 50 A's of Land more or less adjoining several persons Lands therein mentioned upon which Vacancy he afterwards returned 109 A's ½ which was entirely circumscribed by other Lands which made it needless to make any actual Survey. That he returned a Survey of the said 109 A's ½ into the Surveyor Generals Office in the Year 1768. That Greer entred a Location for part of the Survey in the Year 1766 & had it surveyed. And McConnell entred an Application for part of it

in 1768 after Churchmans Return made & had a Survey made of it. The Board are of Opinion that Churchmans Warrant was intended to take the whole Vacancy therefore the Survey shall be established in regard his Warrant specified fifty Acres more or less but inasmuch as he omitted to return the survey so long and by that means Greer was misled as not knowing whether he wou'd return a survey for the whole or part of the Land, hey are ofOpinion that he ought to pay Greer the Expence of his Application & Survey.

Adam Holman
agt
Jonathan Coates

This Matter coming before the Board this Day on Rehearing the partys came to an Agrement that Coates who only acted as a Trustee for several persons in the Neigmborhood of the Island in Contest who used it for the Convenience of Fishing should take out a Patent in his own Name which should be subject to a Trust Agreement to be entered into by Coates & his party & Holman and that Adam Holman shall be repaid his Expence paid the Surveyor for his Fees.

Adam Holman applys for the Island in Schuylkill lying between the Lands of David Longanecre & John Buckwalter about 80 perches distant up the Stream from the Island called Buckwalters Island which is Ordered to be patented to Jonathan Coates.

Joseph Wilson
agt
the Widow of And'w Stephen — On Caveat.

Wilson having proved that he served Widow Stephen with due Notice of hearing the Board proceeded to hear the Allegations of Wilson and to consider the Warrant & Application of the partys and the Surveys made under them. And it appeared from the papers and from Writings produced by Wilson That he had bought from Andrew Wilson an Improvement originally made many Years ago by one Cochran with the Claim of Andrew Wilson and one Thompson from whom he had purchased which Claim extended to the surveyed lines of Andrew Stephen who had a large Tract of Land adjoining That Joseph Wilson has made considerable Improvements on the Land And on the 13th Decem'r 1767 obtained a Warrant for 200 A's according to the boundarys under which he purchased. But before he obtained the Warrant Andrew Stephen obtained an Application for 40 A's bounding upon the line of his other Land to which the Claim of Wilson extended, Wilson has had his Survey made up to Stephens Line And it is found to contain but 187 A's. And at the same time Stephens

had 27 A's ½ part of the s'd 187 A's surveyed upon his Application. It appears without this 27 A's ½ the plantation of Wilson will be greatly prejudiced And that his Plantation & Improvement was always esteemed in the Neighbourhood to extend up to Stephens old line, And upon considering the whole matter the Board are of Opinion that Wilsons Survey ought to be establisned & Stephens rejected.

Jeremiah Bernard agt James Rankin & Lewis Lewis Assignee of David Cantler } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Jeremiah Bernard obtained a Warrant for 80 A's dated the 14th of March 1753 to include an Improvement made in the Year 1750 and bounded his Warrant upon the Lands of McAdam, Noblitt & Rice which would include a Body of land of five or six hundred Acres. That the Warrant of Bernard lay in the hands of different Suprveyors from the Year 1753 to the Year 1768 before it was executed before which Application had been made in the Year 1766 by James Rankin and in 1767 by Cantler for Lands within the large Vacancy and had Surveys made in Consequence of them before any Survey made by Bernard on his Warrant. That Bernard included all Rankins Survey and the greater part of Lewis's. And the Board are of Opinion that there is Land abundantly sufficient to satisfy both the Warrants and Applications, that it ought to be divided amongst them in a reasonable manner but as there appears to be very considerable Errors in the Survey of Bernard they Order his Survey be run a new by Charles Lukens & Thomas Woodward and the Surveys of Lewis & Rankin be laid down on the same Draught and also that they add to the Draught the adjoining Vacancy and return the same to the Board for the better Information in order finally to determine the Dispute.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office on Monday the 25th September 1769.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.

The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Wm. Noble agt James Moore } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that John Bowman in the Year 1754

obtained a Warrant for 40 A's and in 1755 James Moore obtained a Warrant 20 A's. That when Bowman came to execute his Warrant James Moore complained that it came too near him and per suaded him to lay his Warrant at the other End of his Land to which he agreed and Moore immediately got a Warrant for the place to which Bowman never objected but began to make his Surveyed at the other End of his Land which likewise answered the Description of the Warrant. After the Death of Bowman, William Noble bought this Warrant Right & would now lay it on ye place which Bowman gave up, And the Board are clearly of Opinion that the Survey of James Moore should be established as Returned.

James Noble agt Andrew Moore & George Cooper } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that William Gray in the Year 1738 obtained a Warrant for a Vacancy of about 100 A's under which George Cooper claims by a Conveyance from one Jno Herd for 150 A's which Herd sold under this Warrant as is supposed. In the Year 1767 George Cooper procured a Survey of 150 A's under this Warrant. But in the year 1766 both Andrew Moore & James Noble had obtained Applications for 50 A's each joining George Cooper. Andrew Moore had his 50 A's laid out adjoining the 150 A's of Cooper And now James Noble alledges that William Gray or some of the Claimants under him had the Warrant of Gray executed many years ago so as to include only 100 A's, And this Application being made before the new Survey of Cooper ought to give him a Right to the 50 A's which is out of the lines first surveyed on that Warrant. The Board are of Opinion that as Andrew Moores Application is prior to Nobles the Survey of it ought to be established. And that as to the Dispute between Cooper & James Noble that Thomas Lightfoot the Surveyor of the District be ordered to view the Land of Cooper and examine if any such Survey of 100 A's was made heretofore as Noble Alledges and make Report to the Board as also to take Notice of any & what quantity of cleared Ground there is out of the said old line & how long it has been cleared and what Improvm'ts if any & Note all in a Draught.

Peter Brunner agt Philip Jacob Julius } On Caveat.

It appears that Julius claims under a Warrant obtained by one Jacob Leer in 1754 for 50 A's more or less on which a Survey was made by George Stephenson but not returned, That John Peter

Brunner obtained an Application in 1767 for 150 A's and that upon the Surveyors going to make the Survey a difference arose between the partys which they submitted to four of their Neighbors in the presense of Charles Lukens the Surveyor who decided the matter between the partys with which Brunner was at first contented but afterwards refused to stand to. The Board are of Opinion that the Surveyor return Julius Survey according to the lines run by Stevenson & the s'd Determination of the Neighbors And lay the Appl'n on the Residue of the Vacancy.

William Noble
agt } On Caveat.
Thomas Elliott

Thomas Elliott not appearing tho it was proved that he had timely Notice the Board proceeded to hear the Allegations of William Noble And it appeared that Thomas Elliott had a Survey under a Warrant in the Year 1755 for two hundred Acres which Noble alledges was run out and a line made between Elliott and the plantation which he Noble now holds, And it appears by several Affidavits that such a Survey was made And that the said Elliott has by another Survey on the said Warrant run further in upon the plantation of Noble, And the Board are of Opinion that Elliott ought to be confined to his first lines which the Board are of opinion ought to be established unless Elliott shew good Cause to the contrary the last Monday in Novetmber next.

Joshua Brown of Lan'r County
agt } On Caveat.
Thomas Cullen

Upon hearing it appeared that Joshua Brown claims under an old Warrant which he has lately purchased in but which is not for the place in contest and therefore the Board are of Opinion that his Caveat ought to be dismissed & Cullens Survey established.

Joshua Brown of York County
agt } On Caveat.
James Gordon

On hearing it appears that James Gordon claims under an Application and Survey and Joshua Brown under an Improvement on which he has lived some time and which has been bought & sold from one to another ever since the Year 1754 when the first Improvement was made, And that the partys chose two of their Neighbors John Steel & Patrick Scott to settle their differences who upon considering the matter determined upon a line which was run & marked between them in both their presence. Wherefore the Board are of Opinion that the same line shall be established & that so much of Gordons Survey as it takes shall be cut

off & that Brown be allowed a Warrant to be taken out for his plantation within six Mo's from this Day & if he do not take a Warr't in that time Gordons Survey shall stand as it is.

William & James Moore agt John Craighead jun'r } On Caveat.

William & James Moore nor appearing & John Craighead proving he gave them due Notice of Hearing the Board proceeded to hear his Allegations and it appears that he claims under a Warrant to Robert Sample obtained in the Year 1767 for 150 A's of Land adjoining Richard Peters Esq'r. And that a Survey has been made agreeable to the said Location And Craighead alledges that Wm. & James Moore claim under an Application entred by William Rainey the Right of which he alledges the said William & James Moore purchased after Rainey had sold it to Thomas Craighead. The Board are of Opinion that the Warrant of Semple must prevail against the Application of Rainey let the matter of the Sales to William & James Moore & Thomas Craighead be as it will. But that the said Moores be allowed till the last Monday in November to appear & shew Cause why this Judgment shall not stand and he is to serve them with a Copy of this Determination at least thirty Days before the s'd last Monday in November.

Christ'n Demy agt Robert Porter } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that one David English obtained an Application for the place in dispute which Robert Porter purchased of him and had the Land Surveyed, That Christ'n Demy claims an Improvement on the said Land which he alledges to have purchased of one John Rice, But it does not appear that he or Rice ever lived upon it but only raised a few small Logs and that the Improvement such as it was was abandoned for near three years before English's Appl'n. And upon Consideration of the Matter the Board are of Opinion that there was no such Improvement as ought to overthrow the Application & Survey of Porter and that therefore the Survey ought to be established.

Edward Jones agt Samuel Cochran } On Caveat.

It appeared necessary for illustrating this Dispute that a Draught should be made of all the vacancy to the Westward & Northward of Samuel Cochrans Survey and also that the Situation of his Improvements should be noted uopn the Draught, the Surveyor of the District is ordered to make such a Draught and

return it to the Board, what is meant by vacancy is Land unsurveyed or unlocated and if upon such Vacancy any Improvm'ts are made they are to be taken Notice of upon the Draught.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the eleventh Day of October 1769.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

Thomas Witherspoon agt Alexander Porter. } On Caveat.

On hearing the Governor & Majority of the Board were of Opinion that Alex'r Porter having a Warrant for the Land in dispute & Survey And the Warrant or Order under which Witherspoon claimed not being made appeared to their Satisfaction, And therefore the Governor ordered the Caveat to be dismissed.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office Monday November 27th 1769. The Governor being absent at New York.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Sumberland agt Frederick Kuhn } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that in the Year 1752 John Oliver obtained a Warrant for 50 A's on which was afterwards surveyed about 228 A's and in the Year 1760 sold a part of the said Tract on which was his Improvement to John Sumberland and marked the lines which were to bound the purchase across the Land as appears by the Depositions of Thomas Stone and William Harper, that he afterwards sold the Residue of the said Tract to William Thompson who sold to Sampson Archer Who sold to Frederick Kuhn and Henry Slagle. And that Slagle afterwards sold his Right to Kuhn. It appears also that when Archer came in to possession of the Land, Thomas Armor run a Division line between him & Sumberland different from the lines to which Oliver had sold to Sumberland and to Sumberlands prejudice. And that Frederick

Kuhn's Resurvey of his part is made agreeable to the Division wrongly made by Armour. And the Board are of Opinion that Kuhns Resurvey be altered and made agreeable to the lines to which Oliver first sold to Sumberland, And the Deputy Surveyor make due return of each partys Land according to this Decision.

John Potter
agt } On Caveat.
Frederick Kuhn.

On hearing it appears that in the Year 1746 Thomas Cookson received of Rudolph Brown the sum of £5 7s 6d Curr. to pay for a Warrant which he was to take out for him And afterward surveyed for him the Tract of Land part of which is in dispute which contains 153 Acres or there abouts & Allowance for Roads. Mr. Cookson neglected to take out a Warrant or to pay the Money into the Office and the Matter rested upon a Supposition that it was done and the Land was sold by Brown to Mathias Baker and from him to the said John Potter for 150 A's more or less.

John Potter afterwards sold this Land to David Potter his eldest Son And by the Deed he agreed that if the Tract fell short of 150 A's he was to make it up out of his own joining dwelling Plantation and that if it exceeded 150 A's the Overplus was to remain to him in order to be added to his plantation. That David Potter afterwards sold this Tract of Land to Samuel Haddon for 150 A's who sold his Right to Frederick Kuhn and Henry Slagle and Henry Slagle conveyed his part to Kuhn. It appears also that before Kuhn and Slagle purchased they were informed by Potter of his reserving in his Sale to his Son David all the Overplus above 150 A's, Yet Kuhn in his Resurvey of the Land has included the whole of the Survey And the Board are of Opinion that as John Potter sold only 150 A's of the Tract to his son David under whom Kuhn claims and was to make it good if the the Tract fell short & expressly reserved the Overplus if any above that quantity & Slagle & Kuhn were made acquainted with the facts before they purchased, Kuhn ought to be allowed no more than 150 A's on his Resurvey which is 142 A's & Allowance or thereabouts, And that the Overplus be cut off at the North Corner of the said Tract as conveniently as may be to both partys.

Tuesday the 29th November 1769.
present
The Sec'ry, Rec'r Gen'l & Surv'r Gen'l.

Abraham Lewar
agt
Philip Dewees.

It appears that Philip Dewees has been gone some Years to Carolina & there being no Opportunity of serving a Notice on him the Board proceeded to hear the Case of Lewar. And it appears that he obtained an Application & Survey for a place for which Dewees in the Year 1753 obtained a Warrant but never paid any Money to the prop'rys on the same nor ever proceeded to survey the Land, And the Board are of Opinion that as Dewees never took any steps for so long a time to prosecute his Warrant that the Survey of Lewar ought to be received and a patent granted.

Francis Allison Assignee of Hamilton Bell
agt
Samuel Wallis. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that both partys claimed under Applications for the same place And that Mr. Allisons is prior to Mr. Wallis's tho' the Survey was made on Wallis's therefore the Board are of Opinion the Survey made for Wallis ought to be returned for Allisons & accepted.

Peter Mishler
agt
John Berrod. } On Caveat.

John Berrod having twice had Notice of hearing & not attending, the Board proceeded to hear Mishler exparte, And it appears that both partys claim under Applications And that Mishler's has the priority. Therefore they are of Opinion his Survey ought to be first made and confirmed.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the 7th of December 1769.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.

John Smith
agt
Wm. Gammell.

This matter being referred to the Report of Messrs. Sam'l Johnson, John Blackburn and William Matthews, The Sec'ry laid the Report before the Governor which was in favor of John Smith and which upon Consideration was confirmed & Smith Survey ordered to be accepted.

[See Rep't among ye Rough M's.]

At a Meeting at the Governors the 11th December 1769.
present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

James Kelly agt William McGee & John Gammell.

On hearing it appeared that James Kelly claims under a Warrant obtained by James Carr in the Year 1748 and an application for a Warrant in the Year 1766. In the Year 1749 one James Crow sold an Improvement on the North Branch of Muddy Creek to Peter Lewis. In 1751 Lewis assigned to James Carr. In 1752 Carr assigned to James Rodgers. In Feb'y 1748 James Carr had obtained two Warrants for 25 A's each one of them near the Land improven by Nathaniel Morgan on both Sides of Muddy Creek in Fawn and Chanceford Townships the other to include his Improvements in Fawn Township, in 1754 James Rodgers who had purchased of Carr for a sum of Money advanced by James Kelly mortgages his Stock of Horses, Cattle, &c'a (as P. Mortgages) and a Tract of Land being one of the Tracts surveyed for James Carr under his Warrant of 1748 for 25 A's more or less on both Sides of Muddy Creek. This Land was afterwards sold on a proceeding on the Mortgage & James Kelly became the purchaser. In May 1766 before the Repeal of the Stamp Act James Kelly made Application for a Warrant for 100 A's including his Improvement. In the Year 1767 Wm. McGee entred an Application for 150 A's adjoining Peter Lewis, James Kelly's & McCarleys Creek in Chanceford Township. At the same time John Gammell entred an Application for 180 A's between Thomas Kelly Peter Lewis & Wm. Jameson in Chanceford Township, Kellys Improvement is the same which was James Rogers's. The Governor Orders the matter to be left to such two persons as the partys shall chuse and to William Kersey Surveyor or any two of them to view the Lands and Claims of the Partys and consider the Papers & Proofs of the partys and report to the Board how much Land ought to be apply'd to Kellys Warrant & Application and in what manner it ought to be surveyed and to return a Draught of the same.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office Tuesday the 26th December 1769.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Wm. McCroskey Assignee of Craig agt John Lee Assignee of Geo. Palmer.

On hearing it appears that Craig had the prior Application and that Palmer under whom Lee claims had a subsequent Application for the same place. That Lee has settled & improved. That there has been surveyed by Mr. McClay 395 A's of Land in order that the Board might determine the pretentions of the partys And the Board upon view of the Draught are of Opinion that John Lee shall have ninety five Acres of the surveyed Land with Liberty to add what back Land he thinks proper And that McCroskey be allowed the remaining three hundred Acres of the said surveyed Tract. That Mr. McClay is to lay off Lees 95 A's so as to include his house & Improvements on the front of the River and in other respects as commodious to both partys as he can which is left by the Board to his Discretion.

Benjamin Dean Assignee of Samuel Hughes agt John Ewing Son of Thos. Ewing Assignee of Jno. Wright.

On hearing it appears that John Wright has ye prior Application & that his Survey is made agreeable to the Intention of his Location. The Governor therefore Orders Deans Caveat to be dismissed and Ewings Survey to be accepted provided he comply with the Terms of Paying for & patenting his Land by the third Day of April Next .

Benjamin Dean Assignee of David or John Robertson or Robison agt Levi Stephens Assignee of Wm. Nana & Mary Stevens. } On Caveat.

Levi Stephens having due Notice of hearing this Day attends not nor has sent any Excuse, therefore the Board proceed to hear the Allegations of Benjamin Dean and it appearing that he claims

under prior Applications the Governor orders his Survey to be accepted provided he complys with the Terms of Paying for & patenting his Land by the third Day of April next.

Margaret Blyth
agt
William McCroskey
Assignee of Wm. Erwin.

The Governor upon the Petition of Wm. Blyth the Father of Margaret before the opening the Office having given her the Liberty of purchasing this disputed place & she being a real Settler Orders that it be surveyed and returned for her.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Thursday 11th Jan'y 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.

John & Chas. Coxe
agt
Thomas Bassett.
Postponed.

Jacob Bausman
agt
Hugh Crawford.

Upon hearing it appeared that Crawford had a Location & Survey upon a place called Crawfords Sleeping Place allotted him for a place of Trade by the Six Nations. And that Bausman claims only by an Improvement purchased by one Saltsman who when he went upon the place was informed by Col'o Croghan that it belonged to Crawford. Therefore as the said Crawford appears to have not only the Right by Application to the office but the prior Right of Occupancy and possession, The Governor by the Advise of the Board orders that his Survey be accepted provided he complys with the Terms of paying for the Land and patenting by the third Day of April next.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the 31st Jan'y, 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.

Jacob Beck
agt
Nicholas Shoemaker.

Upon hearing it appears that Jacob Beck has the first Application for 100 A's to include his Improvement made three years before, And that the Improvement was really made nearly twenty Years ago. The Board are therefore of Opinion and the Governor Orders that the Land surveyed for Shoemaker be returned upon Becks Application with his Improvement. And the Surveyor enquire and certify at the foot of the Draught how old Becks Improvement is And it is further Ordered that if there be more than 100 A's & Allowance in the whole Vacancy the Remainder is to be returned for Shoemaker.

Peter Buss & Jno. Dentler
agt
Frederick Stover.
} On Caveat.

It appears that Frederick Saltzgeber the 2d June, 1746, obtained a Warrant for 100 A's in Paradise Township, York County and in pursuance thereof had surveyed a Tract of 237 A's. Saltzgeber soon after the Survey cleared a Field of about 5 A's on the adjoining Vacancy. The surveyed Tract and Improvement was possessed by Saltzberger during his Life and were inter alia devised to his Sons who for the consideration of 610£ conveyed the same to Buss and Dentler. It is alledged by the Caveators that Saltzgeber and Stover went to Thomas Armour & applyed to him to take out Warrants for them to secure the vacant Land between them which lay between their plantations, But that Armour obtained a Warrant for the Land for himself and sold it to Stover. All this time it is said Saltzgeber thought he had secured the Improvement, But that Buss and Dentler upon Resurveying their Land found that the said Improvement was not secured and in November 1769 obtained a Warrant for Fifty Acres to include the said Improvement paying Int. & Qt. Rt. from 1st March 1746. Stover was cited but he neither appears nor send Excuse. Therefore it is ordered that the Surveyor of the District do survey the said 50 A's Warrant according to the Directions of Buss and Dentler and give Notice to Stover to shew Cause the last Monday in March why the Survey should not be accepted for Buss and Dentler, And at the same time the Surveyor is directed to inform

the Board what Lands are held by Stover either by Survey or otherwise and to return a Draught of all the vacant Lands between the Improvements of the partys that their several pretensions may be duly examined.

On Application of Jonathan Vaughn & Comp, Owners of Deep Creek Iron Works it is ordered that a Warrant issue for Resurveying a large Tract of Land on Nanticoke surveyed & returned pursuant to a proprietary Warrant being Date the —— day of —— issued in order to agree with them for the purchase of the vacant Land near the Works and excluding all the Maryland Patents within the said large Tract and to ascertain the Quantity of the Vacancy within the outlines of the said large Survey in order that the said Jonathan Vaughn & Company may complete their agreement for the purchase af'd the Warrant of R'y to be returned within twelve Months.

Benjamin Dean Assignee of Frazier agt Thomas Lemmon claiming under Jacob & Jno. Carpenter. } Upon Caveat.

On hearing it appears that the Carpenters had Applications prior to that of Frazier, But the Board upon maturely considering the said Applications are of Opinion that the Locations were not intended to affect the place of Fraziers Location, And therefore the Governor Orders the Land in dispute to be returned for Dean and that the Return be accepted and that the Surveys return into the Surveyor Generals Office for the said Carpenters be rejected.

Daniel Montgomery agt The Same } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Carpenters had Appl'ns prior to that of Daniel Montgomery, But the Board upon maturely considering the said Applications are of Opinion that the Locations were not intended to affect the place of Montgomery's Application, And therefore the Governor Orders the Land in dispute to be returned for Montgomery and the Survey to be accepted And that the Surveys returned for the said Carpenters into the Surveyor Generals Office be rejected.

Lewis Rishell agt Dewalt Mecklin. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Lewis Rishell claims under an Application of Peter Miller entred long before Dewalt Mechlein applyed for warrant therefore the Application of Mechlein is rejected & the Land in dispute ordered to be surveyed for Rishell.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the 7th day of February Anno Domini 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Auditor General Mr. Hockley.

Benjamin Austin, Thomas Austin & Joseph Ellicott agt John Montgomery & Alex'r Stuart. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that John Montgomery & Alexander Stuart obtained Applications on the 9th of Feb'y 1769 for two thousand Acres of Land One thousand on the North Side of Kiskamenitas Creek including the mouth of Black Leggs Creek & Black Leggs Town and one thousand on the South Side of Kiskamenitas Creek below the Mouth of Black Legg Creek. That upon inspecting the place af'd in order to survey the said Location it was found that there was not a sufficiency of Land there to fill them. That the Deputy Surveyor at the Instance of the s'd John Montgomery made four separate Surveys at or near the places af'd the Land not allowing the Quantities to be surveyed in two surveys only. That the Surveyor also at the Instance of the said Montgomery (it being too remote from Philadelphia to send for Relocations) made four other Surveys a considerable distance lower down the Kiskamenitas, all subject to the Approbation of the Governor. And that Application was made to the Governor for Approbation And the same was obtained before the opening the Office for the new purchase. That the whole of the Surveys does not exceed the quantity allowed on the Applications to be surveyed. That on the seventh day of April next after Opening the Office the said Benjamin and Thomas Austin entered an Application on one of the places where one of the said Montgomerys & Stuarts last mentioned four Surveys was made. And upon considering the whole matter the Governor orders that all the said Surveys be received into the Surveyor Generals Office in order for confirmation upon Warrants of Acceptance to be issued for that purpose.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 26th of February 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.

John Jenkins agt Cromwell McVity. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that one Clement Cherrington in the Year 1738 took out two Warrants one for 100 A's and the other for fifty Acres on which no Money was paid to the proprietarys. That both these Warrants were many years ago surveyed for one Mordecai Quin of Gwin who is supposed to have derived some Title under Cherrington. That these Lands after several Sales came into Possession of Jenkins in virtue of an Administration he took of the Estate of one Cornelius O'Bryan who purchased from Gwin & lived upon the Land, That so lately as the Year 1769 Cromwell McVity made a purchase of Clement Cherrington of the 50 A's Warrant Right and got the Land in dispute returned on it and made some Improvements tho' Clement Cherrington had never claimed the Land since his taking out the Warrants but acknowledges to have sold one of the Warrants to one McGary under whom Cornelius Bryan claimed. That one George Fockler took out an Application for 40 A's part of the disputed Land & sold his Right to Jenkins. The Board recommended it to the parties to agree that Cromwell McVity should have that part of the Land on which he had improved and they accordingly agreed that the End of the Tract returned for McVity whereon his Improvements are shall cutt off by a straight Line to be drawn from the End of the N. 83d E. 164P. line to the Black Oak at the beginning of the W. 230 P. line, And it is ordered that the same be confirmed to him and that the Rest of the Survey be returned for Jenkins, as also the Survey of the 100 A's Warrant heretofore surveyed by Benjamin Lightfoot for Mordecai Gwin.

(Cont'd to last Monday in May. Agreed since.)

John Clark agt Joseph Shippen Assignee of Lawrence Shinney. } On Caveat.

It appearing that Shinney has a prior Location though both put their Locations into the Lottery And that Clark built a Cabbin &

made some small beginnings of an Improvement since the new purchase but does not live upon the place. And the point of this kind of Improvement having been before determined to have no weight The Governor orders that the Survey made on Shinneys Application be received.

Peter France Assignee of Mishler agt John Berrod.

It appeared by the Allegation of John Berrod & several Depositions he produced that Peter Mishler having the first Application went to the place in dispute with the Surveyor in Order to have it surveyed but not liking the place told the Surveyor he would not have the Land and that he might survey it for anybody else upon which he surveyed it for Berrod who had a subsequent Location and the matter is continued over & the Surveyor General is desired to write to Nicholas Scull the Deputy Surveyor to know the truth of the matter.

Alexander Brown against Matthew Richey & William Duffield.

Continued to the last Monday in October next Ritchey and Duffield not atending.

William Sherrer for his Son John Sherer agt Peter Resner. On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that William Sherer has an Application for his Son John Sherer No. 320 and that Peter Resners Application is No. 3094, And that he had no family settled on the disputed place at the time of making the new purchase, therefore the Governor orders that the Surveyor of the Districts return a Survey of the said place for the said William Sherers Son John Sherer.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 6th of March 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.

William Scull informed the Secretary that he had surveyed Divers Tracts of Land on Chillisquaque Creek whereon Richard

Irwin, Marcus, Hulings, George Irwin, James McMahon, William Irwin, Francis Irwin, James Morrow, Adam Cooper, James Cooper, William Johnson, Robert Fowler & Alex'r Morrow had made some Improvements. That these Surveys were made by consent of the Settlers, and that it was to be submitted to the Board of Property whether the said Surveys should be returned for the said Settlers or for those persons who drew earlier Numbers in the Lottery for those places than the said Settlers and prayed that the Opinion of the Board might be given or whom he should return the said Surveys. And the Governor appoints the last Monday in June next for a hearing of the Dispute at which time the said Settlers or any two of them may lay their Case before the Board & be heard upon their pretensions.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 26th March, 1770.
present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Abraham Snell agt Simon Heller. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Abraham Snell being in possession of two small Tracts of Land on which he had improved four Years gave up the possession of his Estate & Affairs into the hands of his son Peter under Contract that said Peter should maintain him during life and pay to his the said Abrahams Son Jacob Fifteen pounds and give him a Rifle Gun & a Sheep and to his Son the said Abraham fifteen pounds. And that the said Peter on the 5th December 1765 thereupon took out Location for the said Improvements and had Surveys made and is since dead and Simon Heller is his Administ'r. Therefore the Governor orders that upon complying with those terms or giving Security to perform them the Adm'r may have a Patent In Trust for the Heirs of Peter Snell.

Mr. Jos .Swift Assignee of Chas. Coxe who was assignee of Thomas Charleton agt Matthew Taylor. }

On hearing it appears that Mr. Joseph Swift claims by a Warrant prior to Taylors Location & a Survey returned on

it, And tho' Taylor attempted to shew that the Location of the Warrant is at a different place the Board are of Opinion that Warrant is executed on the right place and therefore it is ordered that it be accepted.

John Stephens, Jno. Montgomery & Jno. Morgan agt William Plunket

It appears to the Board that the Land claimed by the several contending parties is a long narrow Bottom with Swamp & Barrens at the back which barrens though not timbered are tillable Doctor Plunket has the prior Location and Jno. Stephens the next and he disputes Doctor Plunkets Location which refers to a bottom called Oughcapochany which Stephens alledges is at another place. It is the Opinion of the Board and the Governor orders that the said bottom be divided by Charles Lukens & William Scull into as many Fronts as it will allow of, taking in as much of the back Lands as are fit to be taken up or as the partys are willing to take into their Surveys and that the matter stand over till the matter is becided between Stephens and Plunket as to Plunkets Location.

Smith & Others agt Samuel Wallis.

Samuel Wallis alledging that he has a necessity of the Testimony of Samuel Harris, John Farmer, John Dallum, Miles Hillbourn, John Ferguson, Hawkins Boone, Charles Lukens & Jesse Lukens, The Causes are put off till the last Monday in April.

John Buchanan agt Doctor Smith.

On hearing it appears that Doctor Smith has the right by a prior Application of Richard Edwards No. 714 and that Buchanan claims under an Improvement made last Spring and a later Application than Doctor Smith to wit No. 1300 in the name of John Casper. The Board are of Opinion and the Governor orders that the Improvement making no Right & the Application being later than Doctor Smiths, Doctor Smiths Survey be received.

William & James Moore agt James Craighead j'r. on Caveat.

John & James Moore having had notice to shew Cause why the conditional Judgment of September should not stand appear and

prove to the Satisfaction of the Board that there was at first made a Survey to Robert Sample Under whom Craighead claims of only sixteen Acres And that afterwards William Rainey of whom William Moore purchased by Deed made an Application for the Land in dispute & had an Order of Survey for the same And that a good while after such Order obtained the Survey which Craighead sets up, was made, It is the Opinion of the Board that Sample at first having only sixteen Acres surveyed the Application of Rainey being made before the second Survey of Sample or Craighead the Application ought to prevail as the disputed place was in the State of Land left out by Sample when Rainey made his Application But Craighead alledging that Rainey before he sold to Moore had verbally sold to one Thomas Craighead for the use of John Craighead who had conveyed to John Craighead jun'r. & paid the Consideration Money which was only seventeen Shillings & producing some Depositions to that purpose which Rainey positively deny'd and offered to dispose to the contrary the Dispute is postponed till the last Monday in October for further Proof & Consideration.

Robert Ewing
agt
Nicholas & Jno. Cooper } on Caveat.

On hearing it appears that in May 1766 before the Stamp Act was known to be repealed Robert Ewing made an Application for a Warrant & never applyed after the Act was repealed for a Warrant, the matter lay in this state near two Years before Cooper entred an Application & obtained an Order of Survey & had the Land surveyed, After which Ewing caveated on Account of his Entry aforesaid and the Board are of Opinion that in as much as Ewing had so long delayed applying for his Warrant though Advertizements had been published calling upon the people who had made such Entrys, he ought now to invalidate the Survey of Cooper and that therefore it ought to be established.

Patrick Kenner
agt
Samuel Garwood

Patrick Kenner not appearing it is ordered that unless he appear on the last Monday in May his Caveat be dismissed of which Samuel Garwood is to give him ten Days Notice.

William Marlin
agt
Thomas Armor, John Dickson & Wm. McKinly

The Defendants not appearing & William Marlin proving Notice of hearing the Board proceeded to hear his Allegations.

[Note. The Allegations not here entered].

The Rev'd. Thomas Barton
in behalf of Rich'd Peters Barton
agt
Elizabeth Brown
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Brown had the prior Application But Barton denyd that it pointed to the place in dispute as that of Richard Peter Barton undoubtedly did, The Board upon consideration of the Expressions of the Location and the Testimony of four persons who never with the person who took up the Land in the name of the said Elizabeth Brown who all prove the place & upon considering also the Information of Samuel Wallis who is well acquainted with the River Sasquehanna and who is of Opinion that no other place will answer the Description of Browns Location, do determine that Browns Survey as it appears to be made by Charles Lukens the Deputy Surveyor by a rough Draught produced to the Board shall be returned & established provided the proprietarys purchase Money be paid in two Months.

Peter Buss & John Dentler
agt
Frederick Stover

The Board took into consideration the Report & Draughts of Charles Lukens the Surveyor which he was ordered to make by Order of 31st. Jan'y 1770 and also the Depositions of Jacob & Casper Saltsgeber & are of Opinion the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

Land Office March 29th. 1770.

Mitchell, Lightfoot & Company
agt
Vaughn & Company

Ordered this Cause to stand over till the last Monday in April 1770 & then to be preemptorily heard. In the meantime both parties are to cease digging or taking Ore from the South Side of Green Branch and Mess'rs. Lightfoot & Company to confine themselves to the North Side till the Determination of the Dispute.

James Tilghman sec'ry.

March 30th 1770.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Phouts
agt
Alex'r. McKee &
Nathan'l Barber

Upon hearing the Allegations & Proofs of the parties the Board are of Opinion that there shall be cutt off from the Survey of Nathaniel Barber so much of his Survey as lyes below Berrys or Perrys Run and from that of Alexander McKee so much as lyes above a straight Line drawn East from the End of the N. 270 Ps. line to the River Sasquehanna and that the pieces of Land with what lyes between them on the River be returned for John Phouts on his Warrant of the 3d Feb'y 1775 for 100 A's joining Barber.

Land Office April 7th. 1770.

There are two Locations No. 32 for Michael Weyland & 128 Joseph Hutchings they are both located on the same Spring & therefore the No. 32 must include the Spring at the River not more than one perch And the Land on the River and back must be so divided that each may have a due proportion of the Meadow Ground on the Spring, And as a more explicit Direction cannot be given Mr. McClay and Mr. Lukens are desired to lay out the two Locations in the best manner they can agreeable to the above Direction, Weyland is to lay down the River from one perch above the Spring.

JAMES TILGHMAN,
Secretary.

At a Meeting of the Agents (the Governor being absent at North Hampton) on Tuesday the 1st. Day of May 1770.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Archibald McGuire
agt
Joseph Shippen
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Mr. Shippen has the prior Application

for the disputed Land and therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

John Jones
agt
Jacob Yoner &
Samuel Nelson
on Caveat.

Yoner & Nelson having due Notice to appear & not appearing the Board proceed to hear the Allegations of Jones and it appearing that Jones has an Application of the 27th of April 1769 for the place in dispute And that Yoner & Nelson claiming under an old Right did not lay take same before the 7th October 1769, The Board are of Opinion that if Yoner did not locate his old Right before the Date of Jones's Application or if his old Right was filed before that time elsewhere the Land ought to be returned for Jones.

Michael Carl als. Carg
agt
John Shroad or Shot
on Caveat.

It appearing that Shrode has been served with Notice to appear & not appearing the Board proceed to hear the Allegations of Carl and it appears that Shrode has obtained a Warrant for Land for which Carl has a prior Application, therefore the Board are of Opinion that the Surveyor return the Land for Carl on his Application.

Sarah Donaldson als.
Donelly als. Donnell
agt
Thomas Criswell

Upon hearing it appeared that Thomas Criswell claims under a Warrant to James Brown dated the 20th October 1748 and Sarah Donaldson under a Warrant to Henry Donelly als. Donaldson als. Donnell dated in the Year 1750, hat in 1753 the dispute about this Land was heard before the Surveyor and Witnesses were produced & the Matter determined in favor of Browns Warrant And that there was also an Indictment for Trespass on the disputed Land found against Henry Donelly about fifteen Years ago and tryed in York Court in which Henry Donnelly was convicted since which the matter has rested and Criswell gone on with his Imp'ts till Octo'r last when this Caveat was entred and upon the whole matter the Board are of Opinion the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

Cornelius Fry
agt
David Stouts Hrs.
on Caveat.

It appearing that the Heirs of Stout claim under an old Improvement & Warrant & Survey and Fry under a late Application the Caveat is dismissed.

Adam Hayes
agt
James Love

This dispute is referred to the Determination of Robert Miller Esq'r, John Holmes Esq'r & Mr. Jno. Agnew or any two of them and the Surveyor is to lay out & return the Land of each party according to such Determination.

[See Book No. 2, 26th Ap'l 1773.]

Joseph Wright
agt
William McCartney
on Caveat.

This time being appointed for hearing and McCartney lately applying for further time which was granted him upon condition that he shou'd give Right Notice of the Dispute being postponed before he should leave home, And it appearing that he did not give Wright such Notice The Board proceed to hear the proofs & Allegations of Joseph Wright upon which it appears that McCartney having a Claim thereabouts sold a part of it to David Wright from whom Joseph Wright purchased and William McCartney afterwards obtained a Survey & included in it part of the Land sold to Wright the Survey being made in the absence of Wright, And that a straight line from the Survey made for one McCormick to a marked White Oak Treee standing at the Fot of a Ridge some perches from the bank of Lost Creek and to the Northward of said Creek and a line from the said tree to a steep Bank at the lower part of a Bottom was the Division lines between them, it it is Ordered that Mr. McClay upon Notice given to McCartney go to the Land & upon hearing and considering the Witnesses or Qualifications of the parties make both their Surveys conformable to what shall appear to him to be the real Sale and Contract between McCartney & David Wright.

Nicholas Sheaffer
agt
John Duffield

John Duffield not appearing tho' duly served with Notice the Board proceed to inquire into the merits of the dispute upon the representation of Sheaffer and it appears that Duffield has the prior Application but it is located upon the mouth of Roaring Creek or Run about 7 Miles from Fort Augusta and Nicholas Sheaffers Application is located upon the mouth of Popemetang Creek which is about 17 Miles from Fort Augusta, That both these Creeks have obtained the name of Roaring Creek, And the Board are of Opinion that Duffields Location must be confined to the Mouth of that Creek called Roaring Creek which is nearest to Fort Augusta & most agreeable to the Distance from Fort

Augusta mentioned in the Location And that the Land at the Mouth of Popemetang be surveyed for Sheaffer unless there be some other Location than Duffields prior to Sheaffers on that place.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 28th day of May 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor General Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

Upon viewing the Petition of Col'o Chambers to the proprietarys and their Indorsement Ordered that the Facts be stated by the Secretary & a Report to the prop'rs drawn up.

Martin Eyselman
agt
George Alsbach

Upon hearing it appears that George Alsbach has the first Warrant and a Survey on it and that Eyselman claims under a Survey made about 16 Years ago without Warrant and Improvements about 12 Years ago particularly an Orchard of about thirty Trees and a Warrant obtained since Alsbach, And the Board are of Opinion and the Governor orders that twenty Acres shall be cut off Alsbachs Survey by a straight Line from Alsbachs other Land to Peter Wox's soil to include Eyselmans Improvements and returned under his Warrant and the rest of Alsbachs Survey be returned for him.

Abraham Schneider
agt
John Elliott

Upon hearing it appears that John Elliott has a prior Application for the disputed Place and that the Application under which Schneider claims is located on a different place, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Thomas & Wm. Lightfoot & Comp.
agt
Jonathan Vaughn & Comp.

Upon hearing the partys & maturely considering their Proofs & Allegations The Majority of the Board are of Opinion & do determine that the Stream of the Green Branch shall be the Division between them which is the boundary of Vaughn & Companys proprietary Warrant And that so much of the Survey made for Lightfoot or Mitchel & Company under their 5000 A's prop'ry

Warrant as lyes on the West Side oft he Stream of Green Branch af'd be cutt off & returned in a separate Survey for Vaughn & Company under the prop'ry Warrant as afd. to them granted for which they are to agree before makin any further Use of the Land.

John Miller
agt
Hugh Gibb

On hearing it appears that Hugh Gibb ten or eleven Years ago made an Improvement upon a surveyed Tract of Land belonging to John Miller and the Board adjudges the Land to Miller and that he shall have Patent upon paying Hugh the Value of his Improvements as the same shall be estimated by William Clingang Esq'r and Herman Skyles and Richard Hope or any two of them and also complying with the proprietary Demands.

Jacob Loos
agt
Daniel Hill

On hearing it appears that Loos has the first Application but the Land has been surveyed to Hill And Loos is willing to relinquish his Right in all Hills Survey save six acres to be cut off by a straight Line next to Peter Duncklebergers and Hills old Lines and the Judgment of the Board is that the same six Acres be cutt off from Hills Survey on his Application in manner afd. and returned for Loos on his old Warrant of April 1765 and that Loos's Application be void and of none Effect, to which he agrees.

John Elder
agt
Samuel Moore &
John Little

This Cause postponed till the last Monday in October next.

Benjamin Jacobs Assignee
of Jonathan Martin
agt
James Patton sen'r & j'r.

Patton not attending the Dispute is postponed till the last Monday in August at which time it is expected James Patton & his son or one of them will attend Jacobs is to give them twenty Days Notice.

Samuel Garwood
agt
Patrick McCanner
als. Patrick Kenner

On hearing it appears that Samuel Garwood has the first Application & Survey & does not interfere with any Improvements of

McKennah, therefore it is ordered that Garwoods Survey be accepted.

James Elliott
agt
Thomas Burney

This dispute having been heretofore referred by consent of parties to Col'o Benjamin Chambers, Thomas Beard, Richard Venable, Thomas Urie & William Lyon or any three of them it was determined by a Majority of them that a certain pricked Line mentioned on a Draught produced to the Board & underwritten by Col'o John Armstrong and the said Referees should be the Division between the Partys, which line had also been recommended by the said John Armstrong for a Division between them, And tho' this Division at first was disagreeable to both parties yet now Thomas Burney is willing to abide by it, And the Board are of Opinion & the Governor Orders that the said Determination be confirmed and that the Surveyor General make the Returns of each party conformable to the same.

Albert Torrence j'r.
agt
Benjamin Chambers

On hearing it appears that Albert Torrence has the prior Application and therefore the Board determines that 250 As. & Alowance be cutt off Col'o Chambers's 329 As. ½. Survey for Torrence at the end of next to Henry Black and that the rest be returned for Col'o Chambers And that Col'o Chambers be allowed to take out a Warrant for 250 As. ¼. to include James Henrys old Improvement & Survey upon the old Terms Int. & Qt. Rt. from the 1st of March 1754.

Cornelius Atkinson
agt
Moses Kirk & Edwd. Reardon

Referred to Thomas Foster, Samuel Goudy & John Gallagher or any two of them.

George Woods of Bedford applys for a lease for ten Years of Croghans houses near Bedford and forty Acres of Ground about them at 3s Stg. ℔. Acre and to which the Agents agree and an Order is given to Capt. St Clair to lay out the Ground and return a Draught in Order that a Lease may be drawn.

On the 14th day of June 1770 William Henderson & James Purdy having disputes between them concerning the laying out & surveying three Warrants & one Location of William Henderson obtained in the names of himself & Sons and a Location of James Purdy they appeared before the Sec'ry & agreed to refer their disputes to Samuel Lyon, James Alexander, called James Alex-

ander of Nottingham & John Gammell or any two of them, And the Surveyor is to make their several Returns agreeable to the Award & Determination of the said Arbitrators or any two of them. In Witness whereof the Partys have signed the said Agreement & Reference.

Test. J. L. WM. HENDERSON,
sec'ry. JAMES PURDY.

[See the Report of the Referees which was approved of by entred in Caveat Book 5 Jan'ry 1786].

On the same day appeared in the Office Robert Wilson in behalf of himself and also for and in behalf of John Colter and alledged that William Henderson has obtained a Survey of 400 & odd Acres of Land on a 5£ Warrant which interferes with prior Warrants & Surveys of the said Robert Wilson & John Colter and it is agreed by the said Wm. Henderson that the said Surveys ought to be taken out of his Survey And that he is not entitled to patent on the said Survey till these prior Right are taken out of it.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the 26th June 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor General Mr. Hocklty.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

Richard Peters
agt
Daniel Schlegle

Mr. Peters claims by Warrant of the 16th December 1747 and Survey returned thereon and upon Inspection of the Draught of Schlegle on his Application caveated against by Mr. Peters it does not appear to interfere with it, And the Caveat ought to have been against a Warrant of Schlegles on which he has surveyed 125 As. 37 ps. against which Mr. Peters now caveats before the Board and the dispute is ordered in the presence of both parties to be heard on the last Monday in November next.

Archibald Morrison
agt
Nathaniel Giles Assignee
of the Sherriff of York

On hearing it appeared that the Land in dispute was long possessed by Joseph Morrison who was returned Owner thereof when

the Manor of Maske (part of which it is) was laid out and that ie was sold by Sheriffs Vendue to Mr. Guiles as the property of Joseph Morrison who took out a Warrant for it in the Year 1765 and had a Survey on it, The Caveator claims under John Morrison the Father of Joseph and Archibald but does not give the Board any Satisfaction as to that point, The Governor therefore orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Samuel Thompson agt Alexander Work Assignee of William Work

This Cause continued till the last Monday in August next when it will be heard whether Thompson attends or not.

William Cromwell agt Samuel Lyons & Isaac Pearse

It appears that Lyons and Pearson obtained Locations & Surveys for their plantations agreeable to the Rules of the Land Office and are settled with their Families upon the Lands in dispute. William Cromwell claims under a Settlement made by him about the Year 1753 under a Permission from Christopher Gist deceased who had settled in that part of the County by Order of the Ohio Company who then claimed a Right in that part of the Country. It is the Opinion of the Board that as Lyons and Pearse claim by regular Applications according to the rules of the Land Office in Pennsylvania the Settlements made heretofore under the Ohio Company cannot have so much weight as to overthrow the Rights of those regular Appliants.

Samuel Cunningham Assignee of Geo. Glassford agt William McCroskry

Upon hearing it appeared that in the Year 1763 Wm. McCroskry made Application to the Land Office for a Warrant for 200 As. of Land located upon the place in dispute, the Warrant was not then granted because of some Apprehensions the Clerk of the Office had that the place was not vacant and he received the Application into the Office and filed it informing the person who applyed in McCroskrys behalf that Warrant should issue when McCroskry should give Satisfaction about the Vacancy. The Office was sometime after shut and McCroskry made Application for the Warrant which on account of the Office being shut was deny'd. When the Office opened for receiving Applications for Land on the West Side of Sasquehanna the 1st of August 1756 Glassford

entred an Applicatlon for a part of the disputed Land had an Order of Survey and a Survey made in December following. In January 1767 McCroskry again applyed for warrant on his Application received & filed in 1763 which was granted him & a Survey was made on it including part of Glassfords Survey. It is the Opinion of the Board that McCroskrys Warrant have the preference But in as much as Glassford has made his Survey prior to the Warrant the Survey on which takes in the greater part of Glassfords Survey they are of Opinion that McCroskrys pay Cuningham two thirds of the Expence of Survey and the Governor orders accordingly.

Andrew Miller
agt
William McCroskry

The Case between Miller & McCroskry is the same as above between Cunningham & McCoskry with this difference only that Miller obtained his Application on the 8th of November 1766 & had no Survey made when McCoskry obtained his Warrant as above mentioned and the Governor Orders that McCoskrys Warrant take place and that his Survey be accepted.

William Allison
agt
William & Nathan
McDowell

This Case appears to be that William and Nathan McDowell obtained Applications which affect a part of the Land in dispute and that William Allison before the Office opened made the beginning of an Improvement which was very inconsiderable when the Land was surveyed to William & Nathan McDowell, the Board therefore are of Opinion thatt he Surveys of McDowells ought to be established, But that William McDowell shall pay Allison for his Improvements to be valued by two Neighbors and that he shall allow him to take off what Crop he has in the Ground.

Robert Erwin
agt
Wm. McCoskry

In this dispute it appears that McCroskry has the prior Application and that the place in dispute was vacant when the Location was entred, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

John Coxe Assignee of
Thomas Smith
agt
Samuel Wallis &
Wm. Perry

On hearing it appears that the Location under which Perry or

Wallis claims is prior to Smiths But Perry's Location is entirely loose & vague excepting in the Reference to a marked Tree which in the Opinion of the Majority of the Board from sundry proofs must have been marked after the Office opened and the putting in the Location and Smiths Location is fully descriptive of the place in dispute, It is therefore the Opinion of the Majority of the Board that Smiths Location be preferred and the Governor orders accordingly.

William Smith Assignee of John Montgomery Jr. agt Samuel Wallis & Jno. Litton

On hearing it appears that the Location under which Litton or Wallis claims is prior to Montgomerys But Littons Location is entirely loose & vague excepting in a Reference to a marked tree which in the Opinion of the Majority of the Board from sundry Proofs must have been marked after the Office opened and after the Location was put in And Montgomerys Location is fully descriptive of the place in Dispute, It is therefore the Opinion of the Majority of the Board that Montgomerys Location be preferred and the Governor ordered accordingly.

James Scott agt Thomas Simpson

It appearing to the Board that Simpson came part of his way as far as Carlisle and did not proceed any further And it appearing that the said James Scott had the first Location in the Office and that the Improvement insisted on by Thomas Simpson is very trifling and that it was not made before the late purchase & he then living on it, they are of Opinion the Land ought to be surveyed & returned for Scott and the Governor orders accordingly, And as James Scott offered to pay him the s'd Simpson thirty two shillings for his Improvement according to a Valuation made in December last, it is Ordered that he pay him the same or tender it to him before the survey be made.

Geo. Sweigart agt Adam Sheafer

The plt. producing a Deed from the Defend't for the Land in dispute between the partys the Caveat is dismissed.

Jonas Weaver agt Jacob Ham

Jacob Ham not appearing the Board heard the Allegations of Weaver and it appears that the said Ham & one Sabina

Hess or Hessling now the Wife of Weaver jointly purchased the Right of one Martin Ritter to a Warrant dated the 8th of May 1750 And the said Ham took out the Bill of Sale in his own Name, therefore it is Ordered that the said Jacob Ham be not allowed to take out a patent for the whole unless he shew Cause to the Board by the last Monday in August to supersede this Order.

Hugh Montgomery agt Cornelius Coxe Ass'ee. of Jacob Staut

It appearing to the Board that Montgomerys Location is prior to Stouts It is ordered that William Scull the Surveyor examine the Location carefully upon the Ground and if he finds it answers the place disputed then he is to survey and return it for Montgomery.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 30th. Day of July 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor General Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

John Vining Esq'r and several Other Persons having applied for Warrants of Resurvey in order to exclude from their surveys parts of elder interfering Surveys & to add as much contiguous Vacancy as will make up the Deficciencies, It is ordered that the Secretary may issue such Warrants of Resurvey in any such Cases.

Conrad Alleman agt James Russell & Oliver Ramsey

Upon hearing it appeared that Conrad Alleman claims by a Warrant of March last and the Defendants claim under Warrants of 1744 & 1745 to one James Russell & Surveys made in consequence of them by Thomas Cookson Deputy 18 or 19 Years ago But never returned and Resurveys made since Allmans Warrant but in as much as Allemans Alledges that in the Resurvey they have departed from the lines of the original Surveys, It is Ordered by the Governor that Bertram Galbreath himself carefully examine the lines of the original Surveys & make the Resurveys strictly conformable to them and as the Dis-

pute will turn upon this point the Governor requests that Col'o James Burd will join Mr. Galbreath in this Examination and in the report to the Board.

At the Receiver Generals Office on Monday the 27th of August 1770, the Governor being absent.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Thomas Steel
agt
Robert Donald

Upon hearing the parties it appears that Thomas Steel claims under an Application dated the 23d day of May 1767 and Donald claims under an old Survey made in the Year 1742 by John Churchman for Daniel McConnell of whom he purchased, But the Board are of Opinion upon considering Churchmans Survey and an Examination of the lines by William Matthews that Churchmans Survey cannot extend to the place claimed by Steel on his Application That the N. 76 E. 54 Ps. line in William Matthews Return does not belong to that Survey And that the said old Survey ought to be confined to a line S. by E. from the end of the 3d Line 80 Ps. or thereabouts to a Corner White Oak according to the said old Survey & Steels Survey on his Application is to be made accordingly.

Benjamin Jacobs & Comp.
Assignee of Jona'n Martin
agt
James Patton Assignee
of Richard Coulter

In order to illustrate this Dispute Mr. Lyons is requested to return a Draught to the Board of Property, of James Pattons Survey made in Right of Richare Coulter j'r and the vacant Land adjoining that Survey from Shearmans Creek to the Land of Dunbar Walker and to note upon the Draught the place of Pattons Mill Seat and the place where James Patton lives also to return an Account of the Improvements made at the Mill Seat and the quality of the Land in general in order that the Board may decide the Controversy in Case the partys do not agree amongst themselves And Mr. Lyons is requested to make the Survey in the presence of both parties in Case they think proper to attend upon Notice given.

Samuel Thompson
agt
Alex'r Work
} on Caveat.

On hearing it appears that each of the parties claim under a Warrant to Hugh Cook in the Year 1752 under which a Survey was made by Col'o Armstrong the Draught of which was burnt in his house And that since a Survey has been made at the Instance of Work which is different from the first Survey That both Work & Thompson purchased the Right of Daniel McCort who had bought of Hugh Cook and that their purchases was each a Moiety of the Land as it stood upon the old Survey, The Surveyor of the District is Ordered to examine the Lines of the first Survey & return a Draught of them and also of the later Survey And of a Survey lately made for Work under a Location and to note upon the Draught the Improvements of Thompson and any vacant Land which may lay between Hugh Cooks old surveyed lines & the lines of Thompsons 211 As. surveyed by John Grundles Warrant in Order that the Board may determine the dispute between them.

Elizabeth Widow of
James Dunbar
agt.
Nathaniel Brittain
} On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Nathaniel Brittain has the prior Location but the Board are of opinion that it is not for the place where Dunbars Location is for and herefore that James Dunbars Application being for the place in dispute ought to be preferred And that as Brittain has been at the Expence of surveying the Land Elizabeth Dunbar pay that Expence.

James Fleming
agt.
Wm. Plunket
}

In this Case it appears that an Application was put into the Office of Doctor Plunket or Doctor Williamson who acted for him in the name of James Fleming and was surveyed at the expence of Plunket. Plunket affirms the same was put in for the use of himself & Company and not for James Fleming And on the other hand Fleming affirms that the Application was offered by Doctor Plunket to him & put in for his Use and refuses to release to Doctor Plunket in order to entitle him to obtain patent But insists on a patent for himself and as this is Allegation against Allegation the Board are of Opinion they must take the matter as it appears upon Record and that Fleming must have the patent but that before obtaining the patent he pay Doctor Plunket the Costs of surveying & returning the Land.

Francis West
agt.
Wm. Cochran

On hearing it appeared that Francis West has a prior Warrant & Survey & that Wm. Cochran claim under a large Survey made without Warrant or Authority & also an Improvement for which he has had a Warrant & a larger Quantity of Land surveyed than his Warrant mentions clear of Mr. Wests pretensions the Board are therefore clearly of Opinion that Mr. Wests Survey ought to be confirmed.

Thomas Ward
agt.
Samuel Bond
on Caveat.

To illustrate this Dispute James Scull the Surveyor of the District is ordered to lay down in one Draught the surveyed Lands of Samuel Bond and the vacancy adjoining and to note the Improvements of Bond And also the place where Thomas Ward lived when he was driven off by the Indians and to describe any Division or consentable line which was formerly settled between Thomas Ward & Valentine Hood & return the same to the Board.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 24th day of September Anno Domini 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

On Motion of Mr. George Ross Esq'r that he and company in the Year 1762 took out Warrants for 1000 Acres of Land in Manheim Township in York County upon which he paid 15£ 10s. ℔ hundred and that the Tracts intended by those Warrants to be surveyed contain more than the Warrants mention but that they do not interfere with the Land of Others and praying that the Surveys may be received the Governor with the Advise of the Board do allow of the same but not so as to prejudice any others who may claim a part of the Land.

Jacob Rupple &
George Tush
agt.
James Jack

Postponed for further Consideration.

Jacob Wolf
agt.
John Weaver } on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that Weaver claims by several purchases under a Warrant to one William Morgan in the Year 1738 for 300 A's and in the Year 1763 had 284 As. 145 Ps. surveyed and in 1765 sold 41 As. part thereof to Wolf to be conveyed after patent obtained by Wolf. Wolf afterwards contended that there was a small Survey made for Morgan which included much less than Weavers Survey and that he hath an Application for part of the Land out of the old Lines and within Weavers new Survey and produced a Survey made by Benjamin Lightfoot in the Year 1739 which never appeared till now. And upon the whole matter (Weaver being ready to convey the forty one Acres afd. according to Agreement) the Board are of Opinion that there is no Cause for Caveat that the same be dismissed and that the said Survey made for Morgan be not received into the Office & the Governor Orders accordingly.

Thomas Officer
agt.
David Cochran &
Jno. Caruthers } On Caveat.

Upon inspecting a Return of the Vacancy in dispute between the partys by Benjamin Parvin in pursuance of the Secretarys Order The Board are of Opinion that Thomas Officer have returned for him ye 24 As. which lyes according to Parvins report to the Northwestward of a line drawn from a small Hickory in Joseph Wilsons line to Newport Road And that Cochran have the Remainder of the Vacancy as Caruthers has agreed not to interfere with him And the Governor Orders accordingly.

Jacob Eversole Assignee
of Richard Gilson
agt.
Isaac Wall

Isaac Wall not shewing Cause according to Notice against the issuing Eversoles patent the Governor Orders the patent to issue.

James Simpson
agt.
Thomas Jameson &
Richard Shannon

The Defendants not appearing further time is given them until the last Monday in March of which Simpson is to give them Notice.

George Sailer
agt. } on Caveat.
Martin Cleaver

George Sailer having a Survey in the Year 1735 prior to Martin Cleavers Survey and Martin Clevers Survey upon inspection not being found to interfere with it Martin Clevers Survey is ordered to be established as the same appears to have been made by Nicholas Sculls Field Notes laid down and certifyed by James Scull.

William Kenny
agt. } on Caveat.
John McDowell

John McDowell not appearing at this Day the Governor orders that the Matter be postponed till the last Monday in March next on which day the Board will proceed to hear William Kennys Allegations whether McDowell appears or not Of this Kenny is to give McDowell at least thirty days Notice.

Bernard Haur
agt. } On Caveat.
Jane & Wm. Woods

This Matter being postponed till a draught of Jane and William Woods Lands should be returned And the same being now inspected by the Board they are of Opinion that there has been a sufficiency of Land surveyed to them without interfering with the prior Survey of Bernard Haur And that their Claim of part of Haurs Survey ought to be rejected and they confined to the 175 As. 107 Ps. which appears upon the Draughts in the Surveyor Generals Office to be clear of Haurs & Carpenters Surveys.

To the Honourable John Penn Esq'r. Lieutenant Governor of the Province of Pennsylvania &ca. and to the rest of the Board of Property In Obedience to such part of the within Order as relates to the Town of York we have viewed the Lands belonging to the honourable the prop'rs of this province adjoining the said Town and find that a sufficient Number of Lots cannot be laid out to supply all the Inhabitants and should the town Lands be taken up & become private Property it will be very injurious to a great Number of the Inhabitants who are poor but industrious and as they cannot support their Families without pasture for their Cows will then be obliged to leave the Town Therefore we are of Opinion that the laying out of the Town Lands in out Lots at this time will greatly obstruct the Improvement of the Town.

SAMUEL JOHNSON,
WM. MATTHEWS.

York Town June 2d. 1769.

[See the Order referred to pa. 4.]

At a Meeting at the Sec'rys Office (the Governor being absent at Newcastle) on Monday the 29th day of October 1770.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r General Mr. Physick.

Alexander Brown agt. Matthew Richey } on Caveat.

On hearing it appears that William Duffield obtained a Warrant for 25 As. in York County bearing date the 2d April 1751 And that Benjamin Coxe for the Use of said Duffield obtained a Warrant for 100 As. bearing Date the 9th. January 1752 And that William Duffield and Matthew Richey obtained an Application No. 2968. And that Duffield sold 100 As. Warrant to Peter Miller That these Warrants & Application will cover all the disputed Vacancy and are become the Right of Matthew Richey except the 100 As. above mentioned. Alexander Brown hath neither Warrant nor Application but alledges that one Proctor from whom he bought his Plantation had a Claim on part of the Vacancy which he sold him But it does not sufficiently appear that he made such a purchase nor that Proctor had any Right to sell the place thereof the Board are of Opinion that the 25 As. Warrant above mentioned be surveyed & returned for Matthew Richey And that the rest of the Vacancy be surveyed & returned for him in a separate Draught on the Application.

On Application of Isaac Levan & Thomas Younkman of Reading for Lease of a piece of Land near the Town of Reading for a Brick yard the Sec'ry is to write to Jemmy Scull on the Subject.

Craighead v. Moore & Reney }

This matter having been heard before and postponed for further Consideration & proof it now appears that the Survey of Semples sixteen Acres under his Warrant was never regularly returned into the Surveyor Generals Office And that it was on a Warrant to agree for Land within the Reserves about Carlisle And that Moore & Reney claim under an Application which does not effect the Reserves Therefore the Board are of Opinion that Craigheads Survey under that Warrant be allowed & established provided he agrees with the Agents for the price.

William Armstrong j'r. agt. James Parr }

Postponed till Armstrong produces affidavits to support the Allegations of his Caveat.

John Caruthers Son of William
agt.
Abraham Lesher

Ordered that Capt. Thompson make a Draught of the Survey of Abraham Leshure and the adjoining Vacancy And also William Fergusons Survey and note upon the same Draught the Lick referred to in Caruther's Application And also the house of Lesher and the quantity of Land cleared by Lesher at the time of the opening the Office as near as can be estimated by the best Information The Surveyor can get The Surveyor is also to describe the main Branch of Sewickley and the Branch on which the above Lick is And return the Draught to the Office by the last Monday in April.

John Caruthers Son of John
Assignee of Andrew Forbes
agt.
John Nicholas

Ordered that Capt. Thompson make a Draught of Nichols's Survey and the adjoining Vacancy left for Caruthers or Forbes's prior Application And that he describe upon the Draught the several marks and Descriptions mentioned in both the Application & return the same to the Office by the last Monday in April.

Thomas Crafts
agt. On Caveat.
Henry Speers

Henry Speers appearing to have the prior Application & Survey and the possession of the Land at the time of the new purchase under valuable Improvements And Crafts pleading only a former order of Settlement from Col'o Monckton which Settlement appears to have been given up by him to Speers The Board are of Opinion Speers Survey shall be accepted.

William Rankin
agt.
John Allison Assignee on Caveat.
of Jno. Allison

Upon hearing it appeared that William Allison obtained a patent for the Land and Rankin not shewing sufficient Right to that part of his Survey which interferes with the Patent the Caveat is dismissed especially as Rankins Survey has never been returned.

Adam Dickey
agt.
James Erwin

Adam Dickey making it appear that he had served James Erwin with a Copy of his Caveat and that James Erwin declared he

would not attend according to Notice And it appearing that Dickeys Application is prior to Erwins the Board are of Opinion the disputed Land ought to be surveyed & returned for Dickey.

James Savage
agt.
John Richey

James Savage not appearing the last Monday in October 1771 is appointed peremptorily for hearing of which Richey is to give Savage Notice.

Alexander Cooper
agt.
John Steel
On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that John Steel took out a Warrant for forty Acres of Land which was surveyed And Alexander Cooper then took out an Application for the Vacancy left out by the Survey And afterwards the Surveyor surveyed the Warrant over again and included the Land for which the Application of Cooper was obtained And the Board are of Opinion that the s'd Survey on the Warrant of Steel was totally irregular and that the Surveyor return only the forty Acres first surveyed on Steels Warrant and that he Survey & return for Alexander Cooper the rest of the Land included in the second Survey on Steels Warrant.

John Elder
agt.
Samuel Moore &
John Little

This Dispute between John Elder & Samuel Moore is by consent of Partys referred to Matthew Henderson, Thomas Blair & James Elder or any two of them who are to Divide the Vacancy between the partys according to their mutual Convenience As to John Little it appears that his Location is younger than Jno. Elders and that he was warned by John Elder not to make his Improvement therefore the Board are of Opinion that he has no Right and that his place must be accounted Vacancy & liable to John Elders Location who has likewise an Improvement Right upon the place where Little has settled When the Arbitrators have agreed upon the matter of laying out the Lands of each party the Surveyor Mr. Henderson is to make & return each Survey accordingly.

John Baily Assignee of
John McGinty
agt.
William Henderson
on Caveat.

William Henderson having Notice of hearing does not appear whereupon they proceed to hear the Allegations and inspect the

proofs of John Baily upon which it appears that John McGinty's Warrant & Survey are prior to Hendersons But the Notes of Survey were burnt in Col'o Armstrongs House wherefore the Board are of Opinion that Mr. McClay the Surveyor of the District return for John Bailey the Survey of McGinty according to the old Lines surveyed by Col'o Armstrong as they may be proved to him And that so much of Hendersons Survey as is within them be cutt off from his Survey.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 26th day of November, 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r General Mr. Physick.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

John McDowell agt. James Alexander Assignee of Rachele Alexander } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that in the Year 1765 Alexander & Samuel Torrington & William Dearmond settled in Kishiquoquillis Valley soon after which Alexander & Samuel Torrington took out 50 As. Warrants for their places William Dearmond then being in quiet possession of his place That John McDowell purchased these Warrant Rights That in 1761 James Alexander purchased in the Improvement Right of William Dearmond That in 1762 a Warrant for 100 As. was taken out by Rachel Alexander (whose Right James Alexander now has) located on a place several Miles from the present dispute That Alexanders Warrant being somewhat vague he had it executed upon the place he had purchased from Dearmond All this time the Warrants of the Torringtons lay unexecuted some time after the Survey of Alexander McDowell had Alexander Torringtons Warrant executed so as to include ninety odd Acres including about 30 As. of Alexanders Survey And the place where Dearmond lived. He also executed the Warrant of Samuel Torrington & included about 113 As. and made another Survey between those on the Torringtons Warrants And the Board upon considering that Alexander Torringtons Warrant was not designed to affect Dearmonts Improvement nor could it affect it And that McDowell will upon the whole have a good deal more Land than the amount of his Warrants which were 50 As. more or less are of Opinion that the part of his Survey

which affects Alexanders Survey be cut off and that Alexander be allowed a special Warrant to accept his Survey afd. paying Intrest & Quit Rent from the 1st of March 1755.

William Forster Assignee of James Ramsey agt. James Alexander sen'r.

This Dispute is referred to a Report of it to be had from Mr. McClay the Surveyor for which the Sec'ry is to write to him.

Robert Conn agt. Geo. Leadley & Michael Troy — On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Robert Conns Application is prior to Leadlies And that it is for the same Land which has been surveyed for Leadlie therefore it is determined that the Land be surveyed & returned for Robert Conn.

John Harter against Thomas Gallagher — On Caveat.

Thomas Gallagher though regularly cited does not appear And the Board proceed to consider the proofs & Allegations of Harter And it appears that in 1755 Michael Brochard obtained two Warrants & had Surveys made on them which are supposed to have been burnt in Col'o Armstrongs House And that Gallagher took out a Warrant in 1762 and had it surveyed upon the same place That John Harter lives upon the Land The Board determine that the Surveyor General issue new Copies of the Warrants with Orders to lay them according to the old Lines run by Col'o Armstrong And that the Surveys be confined to Harter unless Thomas Gallagher can shew cause to the contrary by the last Monday in April.

Richard Peters agt. Daniel Slagle — on Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Mr. Peters has had a Warrant & Survey upon the disputed Land ever since the Year 1747 The Warrant Book in the Secretarys Office shews the issuing the Warrant, but the Warrant is not to be found in the Surveyor General Office the Warr't & Survey of Slagle is much later The Board therefore are clear of Opinion that Mr. Peters Survey be confirmed except a small part which lies within an elder Tract of Slagle survey'd upon a Warrant elder than Mr. Peters's.

Richard Beard for Archib'd Beard a Minor agt. Hugh McCartin

This Dispute is by consent of Partys referred to Allen Keelough, Patrick Jack & William Latta or any two of them who are desired to transmit their Award & Judgment to the Secretary.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 31st Day of December 1770.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Long agt. Thomas Cooper & Walter Robinson on Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that in the Year 1748 one James Crummy took out a Warrant for 100 As. And as appears by a Certificate from the Books of John Churchman then Deputy Surveyor of the District there was surveyed by a said John Churchman on that Warrant the Quantity of 99 As. and Allowance the 15th December 1749 That John Churchman did not make any Return of this Survey That in the Year 1757 another Survey was made on this Warrant by Thomas Armor Deputy Surveyor under George Stevenson containing 191 As. That afterwards Crummy sold to one James Thompson who first Mortgaged forty two Acres of his Tract to Walter Robinson for 42£ And then mortgaged the whole to John Long In the Year 1767 Walter Robinson applyed to George Churchman Son of John for a Certificate from his Fathers Books of the first Survey of 95 As. and lodged it the Surveyor Generals Office and then took out an Application for 100 As. in order to affect such part of the Survey made by Armor as lay without that made by Churchman and had the same surveyed That in July last Robert Gordon who had purchased from Long agreed by a writing from under Hand & Seal to make over & lay out to Robinson the 42 As. between the old Temporary Line and the new provincial Line which Gordon alledges was gained from him by surprize And the Judgment of the Board is that the Survey made by John Churchman be rejected and that by Armor established after being examined & corrected by an Order to be

sent to the Dep'ty by the Surveyor General that such vacancy as remains clear of that & the elder Surveys be returned upon Robinsons Application And also that 42 As. of Crumys last Survey lying between the two lines afd. be cut off to Robinson according to Gordons Ag't & returned for Robinson & the Residue of the Tract for Gordon.

John McCall agt. William Kersey

It appearing that a Survey was heretofore made by Thomas Armor for John McCall on a Warrant of the 19th May 1752, which has never been returned it is the Opinion of the Board that the Surveyor General order the Deputy of the District where the land lyes to examine the Lines of the Survey as run by Thomas Armor & return a Draught.

John McCall agt. Andrew Finley

It appearing that John McCall obtained a Warrant of the 4th April, 1754, for 150 As. of Land adjoining John Finley which he alledges has never been surveyed but that Andrew Finley obtained a Survey upon a later Warrant, on the place where his should have been executed it is the Opinion of the Board that the Surveyor General order the Deputy of the District where the Land lyes to return a Draught of John Finleys Land and Andrew Finleys afd. and also the vacant Land adjoining John Finleys in order to determine whether there may not be still vacancy sufficient to answer McCalls Warrant without breaking Andrew Finleys Survey.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday the ninth day of January Anno Domini 1771.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor General Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

The Board upon taking the Matter of the Chillisquaque Lands heretofore laid before them by William Scull into Consideration find that the Owners of the Locations opposed to those of the Settlers George Irwin, Francis Irwin, James Morrow, William Johnson & Robert Fowler, tho' earlier on their Numbers than those of

the Settlers above named had put into the Lottery three sets of Location for the same places and therefore had unequal and therefore unfair advantage over the Others And the Board are therefore of Opinion and the Governor orders that the Settlers above named though later in their Numbers shall have the preference against them And that the Surveys be returned for those Settlers above named. The Rest are to remain for further Consideration.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the 29th January 1771.

Present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Mr. Physick.

Joseph Loughead Ex'r of Robert Loughead agt. James Simpson } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that Loughead in his Life time obtained a Warrant for 40 As. adjoining his other Land in virtue of which he had surveyed by the Deputy or Assistant of George Churchman Surveyor of the District two small parcels of Land adjoining his other Land one of which is the place claimed by Simpson in virtue of a Survey on a late Application But George Churchman though paid for the surveying never returned those Surveys The Governor and the Board are of Opinion that the Surveys made by Churchman be returned & accepted for the Representatives of Robert Loughead and that that made for Simpson on his Application be rejected.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 4th Feb'ry 1771.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.

The Board taking into consideration the Sale of the proprietarys Land at Wioming are of Opinion that they be Resurveyed and the Barrens excluded from the Surveys and the Residue laid out into commodious plantations of not more than 150 As. each and sold to actual Settlers And that the plantations which have been

laid out and leased to such as have not forfeited them be contracted to 150 As. each unless the People would rather chose to stand upon their Leases than to have a smaller Portion of Land on Fee Simple Terms and then the leased plantations are to remain as they were first laid out and the Governor Orders that the Surveyor General & Mr. Charles Stewart the Deputy of the District go immediately upon this Service and execute the same with all possible Dispatch In the Grants of the Lands there is to be the usual Reservations to the Prop'rs of all Miners.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 25th Feb'ry 1771.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r Mr. Physick.

Joshua Elder agt. Peter Ish } Upon Caveat.

It appearing that Joshua Elder hath the first Application & Survey it is ordered that his Survey be received and confirmed But as Peter Ish alledges that he has made some Improvements on the said Land which will be of use to the said Elder It is left to the Judgment of William Patterson Esq'r and James Gallagher what Value those Improvements are to the place and Elder is to pay Ish s'd Value.

On Application of Joseph Spiers by Mr. Stevenson to have a piece of Land of 240 feet on East Street of Carlisle continued on the West side of the Spring and to extend from the Street to the Spring for erecting a Tanyard Ordered that Col'o Armstrong continue the said Street and lay out the Grounds according to the Application and add the same to the Town Plan & return a Draught into the Surveyor Generals Office in order for Confirmation The said Spiers agrees to pay for the Lot as Mr. Miller & Mr. Colhoon pay for their Tanyard Lots.

The Hrs. of Tho's Jones agt William Williams } Upon Caveat.

Upon hearing it appeared that William Williams in the Year 1734 had a Warrant & Survey on the disputed place & sold it to Thomas Jones the Father of the Caveator and never since made any claim though he lived in the Neighborhood And that the

s'd Thomas Jones and his family have possessed the Land ever since but their being no Deed executed Williams now claims the Land The Governor orders that the heirs have a vacating Warrant unless William Williams will release to them.

William & Jonathan Moleston agt William Hudson

Referred by consent of Partys to Cesar Rodney Esq'r & Mess'rs Charles Hillard & John Banning or any two of them who are to report their Judgment to the Board.

No Board the last Monday in March 1771.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Thursday the 4th of Ap'l 1771.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Rec'r General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

The Governor appoints the Sec'ry, the Surveyor General & Joseph Shippen j'r. to sell the proprietary Lands at Wyoming at Easton on Thursday the 11th Day of April Instant under the following Instructions:

To James Tilghman, Joseph Shippen Jun. and John Lukens Esquires:

Gentlemen, I have taken a Resolution to sell the Proprietary lands at Wyoming if anything of a price can be had for them, And I desire you will proceed to Easton there to sell them according to notice given of the sale You are to endeavor to get at least Thirty pounds ℔ hundred acres upon an average and as much more as you can prevail upon the people willingly to give for them, If that price cannot be got I would not have them sold as yet, but in such case you are to try to induce the people to keep possession at their own expence on terms of Lease upon encouragement of extending the Leases longer than what has already been allowed with liberty of purchasing at the expiration of the Leases either at a limited or then common price. If they incline to purchase at the above price you may agree that they enter im-

mediately and keep the possession at their own expence, to pay one third of the purchase money in nine months, and Mortgage for the residue with Intrest & Quit Rent, and if these Terms are not agreeable you may make such other as to the manner of making payment and completing Titles as you may judge answerable to the purpose of selling those Lands to avoid the future expence of defending the possession of them, Such persons as has Leases and have endeavored to keep their possessions according to their Agreement should have their places as now laid out confirmed to them if they will give a proper price, Others who have been active in gaining or keeping possession especially those who have sustained losses are to have preference of purchasing to those who have not that kind of merit, But those who have acted for pay only are not entitled to any preference, If persons having equal pretensions cannot agree about their Lotts, they may draw for them, Every purchaser must agree to keep one able bodied Man at least constantly upon the Ground and if hereafter and before confirmation the Lots shall be assigned from one to another the Assignee must fulfill the above engagement of the persons from whom he purchased otherwise not to have his Title confirmed, Mines must be reserved as usual and Quit Rents.

As many things may occur in the Negotiation, which cannot be forseen, and in which you cannot be instructed, in such case you must use your discretion.

I am, with great Regard Gentlemen,
your most Obedient Humble Servant

April 9th. 1771. JOHN PENN.

The Reverend Mr. Peters upon his return from the Treaty of Fort Stanwix applyd to the Governor for Liberty to take up in his new purchase 2000 As. of Land in lieu of 2000 As. which the honble prop'rys had been pleased to bestow upon him for his Services in the Year 1754 and which had been laid out for him on Juanita & upon Examination appeared to be very mean Land in general to which Application the Governor thought proper to condescend And the prop'rys afterwards were pleased to approve by Letter to Mr. Peters and Mr. Peters releases his Warrants & Surveys afd And obtained by the Governors Order Warrants in the name of the prop'rys for the s'd 2000 As. of Land before the opening of the Office for the new purchase One thousand of which were laid out on the other Side the River near Shamokin And Whereas the prop'rys may want the s'd 1000 As. or a part thereof for the accommodation of a Town at or near Shamokin if they retain the same or any part thereof Mr. Peters is to have an Equivalent.

I approve of the above being entred in the Minutes of Property.
Philad'a May 3d 1771. JOHN PENN.

April 2[illegible]n 1771. At the Land Office.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surv'r Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Josiah Watson
agt.
Chas. McJennett

Upon hearing it appeared that Margaret Watson obtained a Warr't in 1763, for an improvement first made by Thomas Greer under which Warrant Josiah Watson claims, Charles McJennett claims under two Locations obtained in 1767 No. 4337 & 4338 & Surveys made soon after while Margaret Watsons lay in the Surveyors hands who had been applyed to in order to have it surveyed but could not do it on account of other Improvements. Watsons Survey has since been made and the quantity falls short on account of McJennetts prior Surveys and a part of the Land which Watson alledges was his ancient Claim & Improvement is surveyed into McJennetts Survey or Thomas Grays under whom he claims The Judgment of the Board is that it be left to Col'o Benj'a Chambers and Matthew Wilson to examine Witnesses on the place and ascertain the Extent of Josiah Watsons just Claim That this be done in the presence of the Surveyor or his Deputy and that Watsons Survey be altered agreeable to the Opinion of the said Referees if in their opinion it ought to be altered from what it is & extended.

Andrew Steel
agt.
James Burd

Upon hearing it appeared that Andrew Steel obtained two Warrants in 1763, one for 100 As. located on the sixth Crossing of little Aughwick the other for 50 As. on the 3d Crossing & adjoining his other Land and James Burd claims by a Location obtained the 1st August 1766 joining Andrew Steels Land. It appears to the Board that Andrew Steels two Warrants must first satisfy'd. And as to the beginning of Andrew Steels first Warrant which is to govern the second Doctor Smith having a Warrant elder than Burds Location but subsequent to Steels Warrants on which Doctor Smith there has been a survey & Patent which Survey extends a small distance above the 6th Crossing It is the Opinion of the Board that Steels Survey is to bound upon Doctor Smith Patent Line and extend upward and that Steels second Survey being on the first and include the 3d Crossing And whatever Land is left will be liable to Burds Location. That the Surveys be thus made and be subject to the Control of the Board

of Property. Mr. St. Clair is to join Mr. Smith in making the Surveys.

David Polk
agt.
Absalom Wiley

Upon hearing the parties and inspecting their Papers it appears that the persons under whom they claim have had long Possession, Polk under Maryland Rights & Wiley under Pennsylvania the Land being situated on the Borders of the Lower Counties & Maryland That Wileys Right is under an Entry made in the Books of Robert Shankland in the Year 1717 and a subsequent Survey made in 1748 without other Warrant than the Entry afd. That Polk claims under a Maryland Warrant Survey & Patent all made in the year 1741 and possessed and ocupied ever since That the part of Wileys Land which interferes with Polks is not within the Description of the Entry though it was included in the Survey therefore the Board are of Opinion that the Survey under which Wiley claims must give way to the prior Right of Polk And Polk is entitled to a Confirmation respecting such Lands as by the Division Lines should fall into each others Territories.

John Caruthers Son of Wm.
agt.
Abra'm Lesher

The Board having viewed & considered the Draught of this dispute returned by Capt. Thompson agreeable to the order of the Board, of the last Monday in October are of Opinion that Caruthers his Survey should be made agreeable to his Location which is prior to Leshers That the Survey ought to begin at the Lick mentioned in his Location and be laid in a reasonable manner whether it affects the Surveys of Ferguson Duncan & Lesher or not and that so much be cut off the other Surveys as shall lay within that of Caruthers.

John Caruthers Son of
John Assignee of And'w Forbes
agt.
John Nichols

The Board taking into Consideration the Draught returned by Capt. Thompson according to the order of the last Monday in October find that the Description of the Locations are not mentioned on the Draught according to the Order and therefore Capt. Thompson is ordered to make Caruthers's Survey according to the Location of Forbes & to note upon the Draught the Discriptions in both the Locations such as Jacobs Swamp, Jacobs hunt-

ing Cabbin & the rich hill and to return both that & the Survey of Nichols together that the Board may discover how they interfere & how far they agree with their Loca'ns.

To the Honorable John Penn, Esquire, Lieutenant Governor and Commander-in-chief of the Province of Pennsylvania and Counties of New Castle, Kent & Sussex upon Delaware.

May it please your honour:—

In pursuance of your honours instructions of the ninth Instant we proceeded to Easton in order to sell the Proprietary Land at Wioming to such as had the best pretensions to the purchase of them and on the Eleventh instant we met a number of the people who had had Leases of those Lands or who had been instrumental in retaining the possession of them against the Connecticut Intruders. And agreeable to your Honours instructions of those who were present and considered those of the Absentees (who remained at Wioming to guard the possession) upon the fullest information we could gain, and after a Negotiation of several days we fixed upon the persons named in the annexed list as purchasers of those Lands which had been some time before laid out and devided into Lots numbered as in the list by the Surveyor General and Charles Stewart Deputy Surveyor of the District.

The great number of purchasers were present and entered into the Agreements hereunto also annexed, Those who were absent we were given to understand would agree to the same terms as those who were present, And we instructed Mr. Stewart who in a short time was to repair to Wioming to take the Agreements of those who were not present to the Allotments & prices mentioned in the List, and to the terms which were agreed to by those present, The prices were the best which could be had the prime of the Land is what is called the Shawnese Town which is entirely without Wood. Those Lots were to be accommodated with Wood Lots on the other side of the River or a good way back and separated from them, And for these we agreed with the Settlers at £50 Currency an hundred Acres for both plow and Woodland under a penny Sterling an Acre Quit Rent which circumstanced as it is we think a tollerable price as very little Land upon the River of equal quality and better accommodated with Timber has been sold for more, The other Lands we rated in proportion and upon the whole the Sale turns out nearly £40 ℔ hundred Acres. There were more purchasers than there were lots laid out, and therefore several were excluded whose pretensions were not so well founded as those of the persons to whim the Allotments

were made, for these we instructed Mr. Stewart the Deputy Surveyor to lay out Lots if they could be found to their liking within the Manor at Wioming to be priced according to the quality of the Lands which we are well assured must be very mean, upon the whole we used our best endeavours through out this affair to promote the Interest of the proprietors and hope our proceedings will meet your Honours approbation. We have the honour to be your most Ob't. Servants,

JAMES TILGHMAN.
JOSEPH SHIPPEN, Jun.
JOHN LUKENS.

April 20 1771.

Number of the Lots.	Persons names to whom Lands have been and are to be granted by Messrs. Tilghman, Shippen, Jr., Lukens, Commissioners for Honble proprietary.	Quantity in the several lotts strict measure.	Quantity in the several lotts with allowance of six per cent. for Roads.	Quantity of woodland to be appro'd to the lotts in Shawanese Town and with allowances.	Valued at/fixed per Hundred Acres on Each Lot.
		Acres.			
1	Cornelius Vancampen,	159	150	75 15	Agt. ex'd at Easton.
2	William Ledlie,	159	150	75 15	
3	Garret Broadhead, Esq.,	159	150	75 15	13 Ap'l 1771.
4	Nicholas Dupui,	159	150	75 15	
5	Daniel Shoemaker,	159	150	75 15	ditto.
6	ditto	159	150	75 15	
7	John Vancampen, Esq.,	159	150	75 15	
8	John Arrison,	159	150	75 15	Agreement executed
9	Beniah Munday,	159	150	75 15	@ £50 ₱ Ag't ex'd.
10	John Smith,	159	150	29:133	
11	Robert Duchee, }	159	150	. . . . .	
	2 small lots, }	79 } 111:100	74:80 } 104:53	. . . . .	Agreement executed.
12	Joseph Wheler,	31 }	29:129 }	. . . . .	ditto.
13	Aaron Dupui, Esq.,	116:50	109:116	. . . . .	ditto.
14	ditto	123 } 218	146 } 206	. . . . .	ditto.
15	Samuel Canon,	95 }	90 }	. . . . .	ditto.
16	Amos Ogden, Adm'r of Nathan,	156	147:20	. . . . .	ditto.
17	David Ogden,	168:60	158:135	. . . . .	ditto.
18	Charles Stewart,	175:80	165:90	. . . . .	ditto.
19	Philip Johnston,	175:80	165:90	. . . . .	@ £ 45 ₱ ditto.
20	Samuel Rodgers,	170:60	160:60	. . . . .	@ £ 40 ₱ ditto.
21	Thomas Vanhorn, Esq.,	161:	151:140	. . . . .	@ £ 40 ₱ ditto.
22	Cornelius Vanhorn,	202:	190:90	. . . . .	@ £ 40 ₱ ditto.
23	James Lawson,	189:80	177:132	. . . . .	@ £ 40 ₱ ditto.
24	John Dolson,	172	162:40	. . . . .	@ £ 35 ₱ ditto.
25	William Armstrong,	150:120	142:34	. . . . .	@ £ 30 ₱
26	Bernard Creifz,	85:	80:30	. . . . .	@ £ 30 ₱ Agreem't exec'd.
27	David Fowler,	150	141:80	. . . . .	@ £ 30 ₱ ditto.
	George Field,	160	151	. . . . .	@ £ 30 ₱ ditto.
		177	167:30	. . . . .	

Number of the Lots.	Persons names to whom Lands have been and are to be granted by Messrs. Tilghman, Shippen, Jr., & Lukens, Commissioners for Honble proprietary.	Quantity in the several lotts strict measure.	Quantity in the several lotts with allowance of six per cent. for Roads.	Quantity of woodland to be appro'd to the lotts in Shawanese Town and with allowances.	Valued at/4ed per hundred Acres on Each Lot.
28	Mill Lott,	181	170:120		@ £ 45 ℔ Agreement executed.
29	Robert Martin,	86:12	81		@ £40 ℔ ditto.
30	Alex'r Patterson,	100	94:54		@ £ 35 ℔ ditto.
31	Dr. Andrew Ledlie,	128	121:100		@ £ 40 ℔ ditto.
32	Joss Morris, Assignee of John Jennings,	147:90	139:33		@ £ 45 ℔
33	Jno. Dick, Assignee of Isaiah Jennings,	142	134.		@ £ 45 ℔ Agreement executed.
34	George Eyerson,	134:120	126:80		@ £ 45 ℔ ditto.
35	Martin Eyerson,	130:56	122:150		@ £ 45 ℔ ditto.
36	David Johnson,	127:50	120		@ £ 35 ℔ ditto.
37	Samuel Johnston, S.,	122:60	115:70		@ £ 35 ℔ ditto.
38	James Johnston,	119:100	112:136		@ £ 35 ℔ ditto.
39	Gilbert Ogden,	117:112	111.		@ £ 35 ℔ ditto.
40	Jno. Ring, Assee of Dan'l Leet,	117:24	110:80		@ £ 35 ℔
41	Richard Manning,	119:12	112:53		@ £ 35 ℔
42	Luke Brodhead,	129:40			@ £ 30 ℔
43	Thomas Craig,	127:96	120:40		@ £ 30 ℔
44	Silas Crane,	131:116	124:37		@ £ 30 ℔
45	John Collins,	134:76	126:40		@ £ 30 ℔
46	Jesse Clark,	118:40	111.		@ £ 30 ℔
47	John Salmon,	212	200.		@ £ 30 ℔ Agreement executed.
48	Inserted adj. No. 33,			47:86	@ £ 40 ℔
49	Michael Rope,	144			@ £ 20 ℔ Agreement executed.
50	Lawrence Ranier,	127	135:135		@ £ 20 ℔ ditto.
51	John Murphy,		120.		@ £ 20 ℔ ditto.
52	Robert Martin,	81:136	78:24		@ £ 30 ℔
53	Peter Keeklin, Esq.,				Engaged ditto

The above allotments were made and Rates and prices fixed the 13th. day of April 1771 by us the Subscribers empowered by the Governor to contract for the Sale of the Proprietary lands at Wioming. And the terms and Conditions of Sale are expressed in certain Articly of Agreement then entred into between the Purchasers and us.

JAMES TILGHMAN.
JOSEPH SHIPPEN, Jun.
JOHN LUKENS.

Present at signing
CHAS. STEWART.

We the Subscribers do agree to purchase of the Honorable the Proprietaries of Pennsylvania the lots of land at Wyoming against our names respectively set and numbered in the List hereunto annexed, at the Rates and prices to the said lots respectively affixed in the said list upon the term and conditions as the other Settlers and purchasers of Lots of land at Wyoming have purchased their Lots according to their Agreement executed at Easton the 13th day of April now past, between the said purchasers and James Tilghman, Joseph Shippen jun. and John Lukens Esq'rs.

As Witness our hands the Eight day of June 1771.

BENIAH MUNDAY. (Seal.) JOHN SMITH. (Seal.)

Instructions for Mess'rs John Jennings, Charles Stewart and Amos Ogden, for settling the Proprietary lands at Wioming.

You have a writing under my hand of equal date with this, containing the terms on which you may invite people to settle on the Proprietary tracts of land at and near Wyoming on the East branch of Susquehanna, which you may read or shew to those inclined to settle, I desire you will proceed with all possible dispatch on that service, and that you will get as many Houses built & People settled on the lands as you can this Winter, and in such a manner as may best answer the design of lawfully defending the possession of those tracts and the vacant lands in that quarter, against any lawless Intruders or settlers and especially against the people of Connecticut who have had the assurance to advertise in the public papers an intention of settling on the Susquehanna. If any such intrusion shall be attempted you are not only to give immediate opposition to it, but to dispatch Expresses to inform me thereof, As this Settlement will probably be made by a mixture of Jersey people and those of Pennsyvania you are to take care that the greater or at least an equal number of the Settlers be people of this province, lest it should be thought that there is a

want of confidence in our fronteer people which there really is not, You are to confer together upon the proper methods of conducting the service and inform me from time to time of the progress you make on it, and observe such other instructions as I may hereafter think proper to give relating thereto. For the Execution of this trust you shall have suitable Encouragement.

JOHN PENN.

To John Lukens Esquire, Surveyor General & Charles Stewart Esquire.

As soon as you arrive at Wyoming you are to proceed with all possible expedition to Resurvey, and lay out the Proprietary Lands there agreeable to the Resolution of the Board of Property of the 4 February 1771 a copy of which you are to take with you. The Land which was not at first surveyed to be leased, lying between the Town as it is called, and the Mill, and some good land adjoining it (if it can be spared after a sufficient number of plantations are laid out to make a good settlement) I would reserve for the Proprietaries. The Mill Seat and 100 Acres of land about it must be laid out for Amos Ogden.

If there be no reason to apprehend that Lazarus Stewart and his party or the New England people, are coming again soon to take possession of Wyoming, the people now there in the Fort, or as many of them as you may thing proper may be discharged, especially those who have plantations there, who may be employed about their own affairs. As soon as the people at Wyoming, can get any other kind of Shelter, I would have the fort destroyed, or at least so much of it as the people can do without, that the Intruders if they come on again may be without that advantage. This must be left to your discretion when upon the Spot.

You are to inform the people, that who ever expects to purchase a plantation, must be ready to settle it immmediately, or to put one Man at least to work upon it.

As a very great expence has already been incurred in the support of this settlement, I would recommend every step which may tend to lessen what may here after arise, As opportunitys offer, you are to keep me advised of your proceedings and the State of affairs at Wyoming while you stay there.

I am Gentlemen your most obedient humble Serv't.

JOHN PENN.

Philadelphia
12th February 1771.

At a Meeting at the Land Office on the 29th Day of October 1771.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Rec'r General Mr. Physick.

James Nailor Assignee of James Beaty agt. Daniel Bailey & Hugh McMullen Assignee of Sarah Pippin & James Crawford

There being no Draughts of the Land claimed by the partys which are necessary for the Determination of this Matter, it is ordered that the Surveyor of the District make a Draught of the Lands of the parties as they have been surveyed and return the same to the Board by the last Monday in March next to which time this Dispute is postponed.

John Sweitzer agt. Wm. Trent & Wm. Coxe

To be heard the last Monday in May next.

At a Meeting of the Land Office on Monday the 25th day of November 1771 by order of the Governor he being absent in Jersey.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

Jacob White & Hamilton Craig on behalf of Robert Craig agt. Anderson Parker — On Caveat.

The Party's laid their Papers and Claims before the Board by which it appeared that the Survey of Anderson Parker is very clearly within the Bounds of a patent Granted to William Trotter in the year 1684 under which the Complainants claim, and therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that the Survey and the Warrant are ill founded and ought to be rejected.

John Nich's Mildeberger agt. John Glick } On Notice to shew cause why Mildeberger should not have a Warrant.

John Glick appeared and made it appear that in the year 1750 one George Gottner obtained a Warrant for twenty five Acres, and under that Warrant had surveyed to him in the same Year the Quantity of 270 As. 104 ps. and that it was not uncommon at that Time of Day to include large Quantities upon small Warrants And that Glick hath a regular Conveyance for the land, and hath lived many Years upon it. The Board are therefore of Opinion that the right of Glick ought to be confirmed, if he pays the Proprietary Demands upon the Land in a reasonable Time.

Margaret Hall agt. Jacob Sherman } On Caveat.

It appears on hearing the Parties that the Land in dispute was an Improvement originally purchased by James Hall, father of Margaret, of one Adam Miller to whom he paid all the purchase money except fourteen Pounds, for which he passed his Bond, that soon after in the year 1765 he gave a Bond to Margaret Hall to make over to her 150 Acres of the Tract. And afterwards in 1768 took an Application in her Father's Name for the whole Tract, which she alledges she did because she had not the Bond with her at the Office, the land was afterwards Surveyed in James Hall's Name and in April 1770 he executed a Deed to her for the whole Land. She produces both the Bond and Deed which are cancelled, She alledges by her Brother to prevent the Land going to her And by the Deposition of the Father it appears he knows not of the Cancelling the Obligation but nothing is said of the Deed Both the Bond and Deed were Voluntarily for anything that appears. In July Term 1770 Judgment was obtained by Miller against James Hall on the Bond aforesaid, and the Land in dispute taken in Execution on that Judgment and sold in a public manner to satisfy that and other Judgments against Hall.

Nicholas Harmony agt Thomas Maybury. } On Caveat.

On hearing the Parties it appears that Nicholas Harmony upwards of twenty years ago procured the disputed Land to be Surveyed without any Warrant of Authority & cleared & worked about five or six Acres of it adjoining other Land of his. That Thomas Maybury finding there was no Warrant for the Land took out an Application for it after which Harmony applied to the Office for it. And the Board are of Opinion that the Land ought to be confirmed to Thomas Maybury, except eighty Acres of it

to be laid out to Harmony including his cultivation in a convenient manner to both Partys and which Harmony may have the Liberty of securing upon the old Terms paying Interest and Quit Rent from the time of his cultivation.

Wednesday the 27th of November, 1771.

David Robb agt James Parr & Philip Davis.

This dispute was appointed for hearing at the last Monday in February last. At which time John Robb in behalf of David Robb appeared but the Defendants tho' served with Notice did not appear And it was then the Opinion of the Board that David Robb, should have an Order for making his Survey upon his prior Application, unless the Defendants should shew Cause to the Contrary the last Monday in March then following, but the said Order was not at that time entered And the Board now taking the matter into Consideration do order that David Robb shall have his Application surveyed according to Location, and that the Survey of the Defendants so far as they interfere with his be rejected unless they or one of them shew Cause to the Contrary the last Monday in March next, of which David Robb is to give one of them thirty days Notice.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the thirtieth day of December, 1771.

present

The Hon'ble Richard Penn Esq'r, Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Joshua Polk agt Wm. Hust or Husk.

William Hust having made his Excuse for not appearing the dispute is put off till the last Monday in March next when it is to be heard peremptorily.

Patrick Ewing
agt
Sam'l Young.

In this dispute it appears that Patrick Ewing obtained a Survey upon an Application, which Survey is not yet returned. And that Samuel Young after the Survey made obtained a Warrant for the same place, and had a Survey also made on it as Ewing alledges. The Board are of Opinion that the Warrant ought not to have been executed but that Young ought to have caveated the Survey of Ewing, And order that the Surveyor immediately return Ewing's Survey in order for Confirmation unless Young shew Cause to the Contrary, the Last Monday in February next, Of which Ewing is to give at least ten Days notice, by leaving a Copy of this Minute at the usual place of his abode, or by giving him Personal Notice.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the 13th day of January 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Gen'l Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Upon considering the Case of the Contractors for the Lands at Wioming, the first payment for which was to be made by this day, the Governor is pleased to indulge them with six Months longer for making their first payment without Interest on such first payment.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the twenty eighth Day of January 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Mr. Lukens.

Mark Bird
agt
Paul Guiger.

Upon hearing it appeared that Mark Bird claims under an

Applications both for Lands supposed to lye in Union Township, and Guigers's Application and Survey are in Robeson Township Berks County. And therefore tho' Mark Birds are prior it is the Opinion of the Board that they do not affect Guiger and that the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

Jesse Lukens. agt John Cox.

Jesse Lukens having made several Surveys under Applications of Robert Iredel and Charles Iredel, and John Cox having an Application in the name of Thomas Smith Suggests to the Board that Mr. Lukens's Surveys are made in an irregular manner not agreeable to the Rules of the Office to the exclusion of Thomas Smiths's Application. It is ordered that Messrs. William McClay & Wiliam Scull make a Survey and Draught of Mr. Cox's Application and a Draught of Mr. Lukens' Surveys and the adjacent vacant Land or such as was vacant when the surveys were made and return them in one Draught and report the quality of the vacant Land in order for the decision of the Board.

George Garlich or Gerlach agt Henry Faust. } On Caveat.

George Garlich obtained a Warrant the 20th of November 1771 for 100 Acres, and Henry Faust obtained another ye 11th Decem'r, 1771. James Scull Deputy Surveyor, Surveyed only 53 A s 111p's on Garlich's Warrant and 70¾ A's on Fausts and it does not appear clearly to the Board whether these Tracts interfere or not. The Survey made for Garlich seems to bound on the East for Land surveyed for Philip Faust father of Henry but by what Authority it was Surveyed does not Appear. Faust alledges that his Father Philip obtained a Warrant dated the twenty fifth Day of March, 1738, under which he had Surveyed upwards of 250 Acres, And another of the 22d day of October, 1746 under which he had 80 Acres and upwards Surveyed, And that he purchased of some other man whose name Henry knows not a Warrant for 50 Acres under which the 53 Acres in dispute was Surveyed by Edward Scull in 1748. And the Governor order that James Scull do certify to the Board of Property whether the Lands surveyed under the s'd Warrants of November and December last do interfere, and if they do in what manner. And whether the Land of Faust surveyed under his Warrant of December includes any Land Subject to any old Warrant or Survey or Improvement of Henry Faust or his father Philip Faust and whether it apears by Edward Scull's field notes by what Authority he made the Survey

of 1748 which is supposed to be the land lying on Garlich's North 166½ line. He is desired to be as explicit and expeditious in this matter as he can and to make the Report by the last Monday in March next.

John Simpson
agt
James Erwin.

Erwin not appearing and Simpson having No affidavit of Notice the dispute is put off till the last Monday in March of which Simpson is to give Notice.

Philip Hinckle
agt
Thomas Shewell.

In this case Hinckle Claims under a Warrant and Survey to one James Poak in which there is as he alledges a Surplus and that the place on which Shewell's War't is laid is within it. Therefore it is ordered that Hinckle take out a Warr't of Resurvey to resurvey the said tract and that the Surveyor be ordered carefully to examine the Corners of the Land to know the extent of the Original Survey. And that the dispute stand till they return after which the party's may be heard again concerning the extent and lines of Poaks Survey.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the Tenth day of February 1772 to consider of proper Means of Collecting the Quit Rents.

present

The Hon'ble Richard Penn Esq'r Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Mr. Lukens.

Assisted agreeable to the Letter of the Proprietaries by several Gentlemen of the Council to wit:

Mr. Hamilton,
Mr. Chew &
Mr. Hicks.

Mr. Allen who is mentioned in the Proprietaries Letter being obliged to attend the Assembly could not be present. The Proprietaries Letter concerning the Collection of the Quit Rents being read and considered It is the opinion of the Board that the Receiver General immediately publish Advertisem'ts agreeable to the

Act of Assembly for receiving the Quit Rents the next Rent Day in the Counties of Philadelphia, Bucks, Chester, Lancaster and Berks, And after the end of six Months mentioned in the said Act that he make distresses upon such delinquents as he may think proper. And as the Rent Rolls cannot be fully prepared and copied off for Receivers in each Count by the next Rent day, it is ordered that all possible dispatch and Expedition be used by the Receiver General in preparing and copying off the Rent Rolls of the several Counties in order for the appointment of County Receivers as soon as may be agreeable to the proprietary directions Which the Board are of Opinion will be found to be the best measure which can be taken for the Collection of the Quit Rents.

At a Meeting at the Receiver Generals Office on Thursday the thirteenth Day of February, 1772.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Wm. Foster
agt
James Alexander.

This dispute being referred to the Report of Mr. McClay and the report being returned & considered as well as the Locations and proofs of the Partys. The Board are of Opinion that as the Application of James Ramsey under which Foster Claims is prior to Alexanders Survey as amounts to the quantity of Ramsey's Application be cutt off from that Survey by Mr. McClay and returned for Foster and that it be so cutt off as to join the Lands in dispute between McKnit and Alexander and to include the round Spring referred to in Ramsey's Application and that without any regard to any Buildings or Improvements of Alexander or any under him since the Commencement of this dispute. And as to the residue of James Alexander's Survey as there is great Reason to believe his Application was for another place it is likewise the Opinion of the Board that Wm. Foster have a Warrant for it unless James Alexander shew cause to the Contrary the last Monday in May next of which Foster is to give Alexander twenty days Notice at least.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the eighteenth Day of February, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Mr. Lukens.

The Board took into Consideration the Case of Col'o John Armstrong of Carlisle, which by the Information of the Reverend Mr. Peters, late Secretary of the Land Office communicated through the Governor & Secretary appears to be as follows, About the time of settling Carlisle, Col'o Armstrong then living on a farm in York County was fixed up by Mr. Peters as the most proper Person to Manage the Proprietary Affairs in and about Carlisle. That he was induced by the Invitation of Mr. Peters against his own Judgment & inclination to remove to Carlisle upon Expectations in general of having his removal made advantageous to him and particularly of having a Plantation in the Mannor of Lowther upon Moderate Terms, and that this Step was approved by the Proprietarys. Col'o Armstrong alledged that his Situation in Carlisle has been much more expensive to him than it would have been on his farm And that he hath not as yet had his expectations answered And the Governor with the Advice and Concurrence of the Agents is pleased to order that Col'o Armstrong be allowed to purchase his Choice of the only two Plantations left unsold in the Mannor of Lowther one known by the name of Calverts Neck, the other Situate nearly opposite Harris's Ferry. If he chuses Calverts Neck, he is to have it at 20s. an Acre, if the other at 27.6 ℔ Acre. These terms being made to Col'o Armstrong he expresses himself satisfied with them.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the twenty fifth day of February, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John McKee appeared before the Board and alledged that he had purchased the right of an Application No. 3046 entered by John Brownfield 13th April, 1769, for a Tract of Land lying about

12 Miles from Fort Pitt And that Notwithstanding his Priority the Deputy Surveyor of the District had surveyed a later Application No. 3130 entered by George England which is now claimed by Cap't Batt, on the place of his Application. And the fact appearing by Cap't Thompsons Information to be as alledged It is the opinion of the Board and the Governor orders that the Survey be returned for the s'd John McKee & the Land confirmed to him Provided he pay Cap't Batt his expence of Survey & pay the Proprietary Demands & take out patent in three Months from this time.

At a Meeting at the Governors on the last Tuesday of February, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Mr. Lukens.

The Board took into consideration the Memorial of John Ormsby setting forth his Pretensions to divers Lots in the Town of Bedford Nos. 3, 126, 192. And it appears that these Lots were Settled and built upon during the War while the Place was in the Possession of the Kings Garrison, and before it was laid out by the Proprietaries for a Town and that these Lots were purchased by the said Ormsby from the People who had improved them and applyed for by him when the Town was laid out. It is therefore the Opinion of the Board that the said John Ormsby shall have the Lotts aforesaid, Provided he pays the arrearages of Rent due on them and takes out Patents within nine Months from this Time and provided also that he leaves an open way of twenty feet wide from Thomas street to the Spring on the Lot No. 192, which way the Spring shall be reserved for the Use of the Inhabitants of the Town.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the thirty first day of March, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.

David Robb
agt
James Parr &
Philip Davis.

Neither Parr nor Davis appearing tho' regularly served with Notice The Judgment of the twenty seventh of November is confirmed.

John Holmes
agt
John McCarty. } On Caveat.

Upon Inspecting the Proofs and hearing the Allegations of the partys it appears that in the Year 1762 one Joseph Leny made an Improvement where McCarty now lives, Built a small House and lived in it with his Family and afterwards in December the same Year sold his Right of occupation to John Holmes. The Indian War soon after happened and Holmes did not further prosecute the Settlement but in —— 1766 took out a Location and order of survey for the Land. At this time John McCarty had settled upon the Land & Holmes understanding it went to him and warned him not to proceed. McCarty likewise took out an Application but subsequent to that of Holmes. And when Holmes warned him as aforesaid McCarty offered to pay him the Sum of Five pounds, which he said was what he Holmes had paid Leney. This was not accepted and McCarty went on Improving and has now upwards of Forty Acres cleared and has built a dwelling House & made other Improvements. And it also appears by the Affidavit of one James Armstrong that he bought of one John Simpson an Improvement began in 1754 on the disputed Land by the s'd Simpson, but did not build any House or lived there. And that when Leney first attempted to build in 1762 he informed him that the place belonged to him. Upon which Leney left off for the present, but returned again in the same Year and built the House afores'd. And that he Armstrong sold his Improvement right to John McCarty in the Year 1765. The Board are of Opinion that as McCartys Improvement is now become considerable and is his only dependence for the Subsistance of his Family and he was Settled on the Land at the Time of Holmes's Application and had bought in a right of Ocupation prior to that of Leney, that he ought under all these Circumstances to be preferred provided he will pay Holmes the six pounds he gave for the Improvement right with Interest from December 1762, and his Expences of Application and survey and that upon these terms may have the Land surveyed for Holmes returned to him and confirmed upon paying the Proprietary Demands.

George Gerlach
agt
Henry Faust.

James Scull having made a return on this dispute agreeable to the order of the twenty eighth day of January last, it appears thereby that the Survey against which Gerlach Caveated does not interfere with his Survey made on his Warrant of the 20th November, 1771. But that the 53 Acres of Land surveyed for Gerlach under that Warrant was Surveyed in the year 1748 for Philip Faust father of the said Henry as appears by the field Notes of Edward Scull And that there was cleared on this Land by Philip Faust in his Life time about three Acres of Meadow and thirteen Acres of Plowland, and by Henry Faust about seven Acres of Plowland. But it does not appear that Philip Faust had any Warrant for the Land. And upon the whole considering that there have been such considerable Improvements made on the Land by the said Fausts father & Son, and they have so long possessed it, And that it was not very uncommon about the time that the Survey was made for Philip Faust for the Surveyors to make Surveys in Expectation of Warrants to be afterwards taken out which have sometimes been omitted. The Board are of Opinion that Gerlach's Money paid on his Warrant to the Proprietaries should be returned to him, And that Faust shall have a Warrant to Accept the Survey for his father in the year 1748 paying to Gerlach his Expences of Survey.

The Board took into consideration the Case of Captain Wm. Thompson who Claims a part of Land now within the Mannor of Maske in York County upon a Warrant (obtained before the Mannor was actually Surveyed, and Survey thereon. And they are of Opinion that the Survey ought to be accepted And the Governor orders accordingly and that Captain Thompson have a Patent.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday the Sixteenth Day of April, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.

Mr. Robert Miller applys for the Lot of Ground on the Spring adjoining the one he purchased of Stephen Folk and he is allowed to take it at twenty Shillings Sterling.

Upon Consideration of the Applications for the Proprietary Lots in the Square of Carlisle it is thought proper to reserve still longer the Lots No. 141, 143, 144, 172.

Mr. Robert Miller applys for Lot 142 and is allowed to take it at Fifty Shillings Ster'g ℔ Annum.

Joseph Dobson applys for Lot 171, and is allowed to take it at the same rent. To enter into Terms of Building.

Robert McGaw applies for No. 169 and is allowed to take it at the same Rent and to enter into Terms of Building.

John Montgomery applies for No. 143. John Steel for 144. Charles McClure for 172 and Cap't Callender for 141, and they are allowed them upon the same Terms of Rent and building as the others.

Doctor Irwin is allowed to take up No. 93 at 20s. Ster'g ℔ Ann.
Andrew Colhoon is allowed to take up No. 260 at 15.
John Montgomery is allowed to take up No. 92 at 15.
William Lyon is allowed to take up No. 220 at 20.
Ditto. is allowed to take up No. 261 at 15.
William Miller is allowed to take up No. 53 at 15.
John Boyd is allowed to take up No. 52 at 15.
Ruth McCroskry is allowed to take up No. 221 at 20.
Sam'l Laird is allowed to take up No. 150 at 20.
These last Lots to be built on also.

At a Meeting at the Land Office on Monday the twenty fifth day of May, 1772.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Fiscus
agt
Wm. Ferguson.

John Fiscus claims under a younger Application than Fergusons but Fiscus insists that Ferguson Application is not so proper for the place Surveyed as his, which by Mistake was sent to another Surveyor and not in Cap't Thompson's hands when he made Ferguson's Survey. The Matter is referred to Cap't Thompson, Robert Hanna, Esq'r and Mr. Samuel Sloan to consider the two Applications and the place Surveyed and report to the Board which they think intitled to the preference.

John Ecker & Adam Sherman agt Patrick McSherry.

Upon hearing the Parties it appears that McSherry claims under a Warrant or Ticket and Survey prior to the Warrant and Survey under which the Caveators Claim And that the Survey of the Caveatee's returned long since into the Surveyors Office does not interfere with the other but is correspondent with it. The Board are therefore of Opinion that the Caveat ought to be dismissed, And that each party have confirmations accordingly to their returns.

Nicholas Garretson agt Stephen Woolley.

Nicholas Garretson not making good the Allegations of his Caveat, the same is dismissed.

William Rainy agt John Jordan.

Upon hearing it appears that William Rainy had an Application in the name of Richard Wallis for 200 Acres, and John Jordan had a prior Application for 100 Acres. That Rainy had 250 Acres Surveyed on his Location And afterwards Jordan producing his Application had 100 Acres struck off from Ramsey's Survey And the partys have separate Returns of their parts. The Board are of Opinion that each Survey shall be confirmed as returned, And that Rainy's Caveat be dismissed.

Jacob Moyer agt Gotlieb Fisher.

Upon hearing the Parties it appears that Jacob Moyer obtained an Application dated the 24th of October, 1768, for 200 Acres of Land in Newberry Township, York County, including his Improvement, which s'd Improvement appears to be of nine years standing. And the said Gotlieb Fisher afterwards on the sixteenth day of August, 1769, obtained an Application for 100 Acres at the Mouth of Bennett's Run adjoining Abraham Rosenberger. And afterwards purchased in the right of a Warrant granted in the Year 1753 to one Mathias Byer for 50 Acres of Land including an Improvement made by Alexander Thompson adjoining Henry Cox and Bennetts Creek in Newberry Township. That Mathias Byer had a Survey made in the year 1767, including the Improvement of Alexander Thompson and extending over Bennetts Run by Agreement of Jacob Hypsey, under whom Jacob

Moyer Claims, but it does not appear under what Warrant or by what Authority the Survey was made. That Gotleib Fisher hath also obtained a Survey to be made lower down Bennetts Run near the Mouth of it, and including part of the Run which he wou'd set up under the Warrant to Byer in order to gain a preference to Moyers Aplication. The Board are of Opinion that Fisher shall be allowed to hold the first Survey and to have it returned under a Warrant to Byer, And the second as far as Bennetts Run but not to cross it as Moyers Application which is elder than Fishers ought to extend to the Run on that side. And that the Run from Fishers bounded Hickory downwards ought to be the Division line between them. And it is ordered that the Surveys be made and returned according to the above directions.

William Foster
agt
James Alexander.

By the Judgment of the Board on the thirteenth day of February last, it was ordered that William Foster should have two hundred Acres cutt off from the survey of Alexander and should have a Warrant for the residue of Alexanders Survey, unless he shew'd Cause to the Contrary on this day and it now appearing to the Board that the s'd Alexander had due Notice of that Judgment yet does not appear or shew Cause as the above William Foster is allowed to take out a Warrant as above mentioned, and to have a Patent upon his Application mentioned in the Judgment and the Warrant now Ordered for the whole Land Surveyed by Alexander.

At a Meeting at the Receiver Generals Office on Tuesday the thirtieth Day of June, 1772, the Governor being out of Town.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.

Frederick Reigart
agt
William Henry.

On Caveat.

Mr. Henry deriving his Title under a Warrant to Charles Rei-

gart dated the twenty seventh day of May, 1763, and Frederick Reigart claiming by a later Warrant and Improvement prior as he alledged to Mr. Henry's Warrant and being duly Cited and not appearing the Board are of Opinion that the Caveat ought to be dismissed especially as Mr. Henry has made it fully appear by several depositions and the Certificate of the Surveyor of the District and others, that Reigart has no pretense of Claim under any Improvement to which any Regard is due.

George Helman
agt
James Cuningham. } On Caveat.

George Helman not being able to make out the Facts of his Caveat the same is dismissed. James Cuningham engages to lodge in the Surveyor Generals Office his own and Helman's Draughts by the 7th August next.

Jacob Kennell
agt
David Haun.

Postponed till last Monday in September.

Thomas Armor
agt
Peter Lice.

Agreed between the Parties Lice agreeing to purchase Armor's Right.

Robert Donald
agt
Thomas Steel. } Rehearing.

Ordered that the first Judgment be confirmed.

Randless Alexander
agt
Thomas Asky. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that Randless Alexander hath two Warrants and Thomas Asky an Application. That one of the Warrants is older and one Younger than the Application. That a Survey hath been made and returned upon the older Warrant which does not interfere with Asky's Survey on his Application. The Board are of Opinion that he hath no foundation for his Caveat and that it ought to be dismissed.

Vide see minutes of 1st July 1772, entred pa. 270. Omitted here by a Mistake.)

At the Council Chamber Thursday the Second Day of July, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.

Samuel Caruthers
agt
James McGaughey.

Referred by agreement of Partys to James Marshall, Michael Finley, David Kennedy, Reynoly Ramsey & William Porter or any three of them.

At a Meeting at the Secretary's Office on Tuesday the 28th day of July, 1772.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

John McNulty
agt
Thos. Armore, ju'r.

Upon hearing it appears that the place in dispute was an Improvement made in the year 1759, long before Armor's Warrant and purchase by McNulty. And that Thomas Armor is willing to give the Matter up to McNulty upon payment of his Expences. But the Board are of Opinion that McNulty ought to have a Warrant for the Land provided he pays the Money for it in six Months and that Armor may have back the Money he paid to the Proprietarys upon releasing his Warrant.

George Walber & Ors.
agt
Daniel Broadhead.

Daniel Broadhead tho' regularly Cited as appears by Affidavit does not appear, but it being harvest time Mr. Broadhead may probably be prevented from attending. And therefore the Board think proper to put off the hearing till the last Monday in October next, Walber giving him twenty days notice.

At a Meeting at the State House on Tuesday the fifteenth Day of September, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.
The Surv'r General.

David Rankin agt Joseph Wiley. } On Caveat.

Neither Parties have either Warrants or Surveys but both Claim by Improvements Joseph Wiley made the last Improvement but it is Considerable and he lives upon the Land and has made Considerable Improv'ts. The Improvement which Nelson Claims was at first very inconsiderable and indeed insignificant and had been abandoned for seven or eight years before Nelson purchased the Right of it which was after Wiley had made his Improvements and it was become his Subsistance. The Governor therefore thinks proper to prefer Wiley and to give him a Warrant for the Land Provided he takes it out within Three Months.

Thomas Burney agt Robert Sample & James Karr. }

Robert Sample has the first Location but Burney alledges that his Survey is not laid according to its Location altho' Burney requested Henderson Col'o Armstrong Deputy to Survey his Location the night before he made Sample's. The Board order that the Secretary write to Col'o Armstrong to inform how this Matter.

James Duncan agt Thomas Burney. }

About the year 1737, Thomas Burney obtained a Warrant for the disputed place and had a Survey made. And it appears by the Testimony of the Neighborhood that one Henry Milroy and his Heirs under whom James Duncan claims has been in possession upwards of thirty four years. And it also appears by the Testimony of Robert Wright that above eighteen Years ago he heard a Bill of Sale read from Thomas Burney to Henry Millroy for the said Land and by the Testimony of Rebecca Wright that she was present and hear the Bargain made between them. And it also appears by the Testimony of Matthew Smith, William McClure and Samuel Allen That at different times they have heard the said Thomas Burney say he had sold the Land to Henry Millroy to all which Burney can only reply that he had

leased the Land to Henry Millroy and Wife during Life, but could give no proof of any such Lease. The Board are of Opinion that the Land shall be confirmed to Duncan upon discharging the Proprietary Demands.

John Cook
agt
Rob't McFarland
John Denny &
James Grimes.

The Defendants do not attend and Cook complains that the Surveyor had not Surveyed his Application according to its intention but in prejudice to him and in favor of the Defendants. But upon view of the Lands in a plot produced by Cook and considering the Locations it appears to the Board that Col'o Armstrong has made the Surveys of all Parties in such a Manner as they ought to have been made, and are of Opinion they ought not to be altered.

John Cook
agt
Francis Innis.

Francis Innis having been removed from his Settlement in Tuscarora by Richard Peters, Esq'r, with promise that he shou'd have his Land when purchased of the Indians and having afterwards Settled there was driven off by the Indians and suffered great Hardships. And in 1762 obtained a Warrant for 200 Acres only under expectation given him by Mr. Peters that he should include more Land in his Survey as was common at that time. And in 1768 he had 357 Acres Surveyed under his 200 acre Warrant for which John Cook had obtained an Application for 300 Acres of Land adjoining Francis Innis and ———Cochran. The Survey afs'd of Innes joins Cochran's Claim so that there is no Room for Cook to come in unless the Survey of Innes and the Claims of Cochran can be abridged. Cook alledges that the Survey of Innes ought also to be extended to take in an adjoining Ridge of Timber which will still leave for him more Room. The Board desire the Secretary to write to Col'o Armstrong and Mr. Maclay to know whether Mr. Peters gave Col'o Armstrong any order to exceed the Quantity of the Warrant in the Survey and to know of Mr. Maclay if it be reasonable to include any part of the Ridge in Innes's Survey and to cutt off part of Cochrans, now George Robinson, Esq'rs Claim in order to make way for Cooks Application.

At Mr. Physicks the Receiver General, Wednesday 1 July, 1772.

Michael Warmkessel complaining that John Biddle, James Sculls Deputy for Northampton County will not Survey his Location, but has Surveyed the Land without Authority for William Hains. It is ordered that the Surveyor General write to Biddle immediately to Survey the Location or give his Reasons for not doing it.

Wm. Rowan
agt
Thomas Steel.

Agreed between the Parties that the 46 Acres or thereabouts in dispute between the Parties and lying between Thomas Steels 47 A's 62 Pches. Survey and his dwelling Plantation shall be returned for Thomas Steel And that he shall pay Wm. Rowan who claims it under Patrick Hughey's Application, such sum of money as in the Opinion of Patrick Scott and Richard Cord and in case they cannot agree such Sum of Money as in the Opinion of such Umpire as they the said Arbitrators shall chuse, the same Land is worth.

John Gray
agt
Henry Woods.

Postponed till the last Monday in November next. Gray is to give Woods at least six Weeks Notice.

At a Meeting at the Receiver Generals Office on Monday the 28th day of Sept'r, 1772.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Grimes
agt
Robert Lusk. — On Caveat.

The parties appear & Robert Lusk allows that he had agreed to have half the Land to be surveyed under his Warrant of the Year 1744, therefore it is ordered that Thomas Lightfoot the Deputy Surveyor of the District do Resurvey the whole Land intended to be affected by that Warrant of Robert Lusk and make an equal Division between them having regard to quantity & quality and return a Draught of the whole so divided to the Surveyor Generals Office with all convenient speed.

Patrick McGahan
agt
Andrew Lynn. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Andrew Lynn hath an Application & Survey for the Land in dispute and that McGahan hath no well founded Claim by Improvement, And therefore the Board are of Opinion that the Caveat be dismissed and that Lynns Survey be accepted & confirmed he making good the proprietary Demands.

At a Meeting at the Land Office on Monday the 26th October, 1772.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Daniel Elliott
agt
William Forster Assignee
of Isaiah Althouse.

Agreed & Melone Assignee of Elliot conveyed to Wm. Forster.

George Walbert
agt
Daniel Broadhead Assignee
of George Graber. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that the Land in dispute was surveyed and divided between the Parties and that Walbert was satisfyed with the part assigned by the Surveyor to him. And that he afterwards paid for the surveying his part. Therefore the Board are of Opinion the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

Thomas McCormick dec'd
& William Walker
agt
David Hoge.

Agreed between William Walker & David Hoge that this dispute between them be referred to the Determination of John Montgomery, Robert Miller and Ephraim Blaine, Esq'rs or any two of them. And also agreed that their Determination shall be confirmed by the Board of Property, And that the Land in dispute shall be patented to the party to whom the Arbitrators shall adjudge the same without any further Opposition from the other party either before this Board or at Law. The Award to be made within six Months from this time.

Edward Quim
agt
Jacob Burger al's Berkley
al's Bergler al's Bercle.

Referred to Bartram Galbreath to certify the old Draught and the Resurvey and also to lay down upon the Draught the Survey made for Quim on his Warrant of 1770.

Andrew Stephens
agt
Joseph & Tho's Allen.

Upon hearing it appears that Andrew Stephens has the first Application And that Joseph Allen hath a Plantation in possession under purchase from his Brother Thomas Allen surveyed under an Application on which Application there are considerable Improvements & which said Plantation was purchased from one Gillespie to whose Improvement Stephens in his Application refers and is bounded by Wherefore in Consideration of the said Improvements allowed by the Application of Stephens the Board are of Opinion that the Survey of Allen be confirmed upon making good the proprietary Demands in which are to be included Interest & Quit Rent from the 1st of March, 1763, the time of Settlement.

For Pages 1 & 2 see pages 3, 85, 86, & 87.

James McDowell, of Conecocheague, represents that his Son James McDowell, j'r, deceased had an Application No. 695 on Georges Creek where the Road to Cheat crosses said Creek near one Mile below John Grimith on each side of said Creek. And that his Application being wrong copied was taken to be on the East side instead of each side the said Creek. And the Board upon inspecting the original Application are satisfyed of the Mistake and do order that the surveyor of the District survey & return the said Application as it ought to be on each side the said Creek.

Lawrence Stomback
agt
Martin Smith.

The Warrant & Survey of Smith being long prior to Stombachs his Caveat is dismissed.

Lawrence Stombach
agt
John Brackenridge.

Upon hearing it appears by sundry Depositions and the Certificate of the Surveyor that the Returns which have been made for each party were agreeable to the old consentable Lines and at the time of survey were satisfactory to both parties.

It is therefore the Opinion of the Board that the Surveys as returned be confirmed and that the Caveat be dismissed. The Land surveyed for both partys include Improvements of about seventeen Years standing and Interest & Quit Rent is to be paid accordingly.

Upon application of Elias Davison Assignee of Hugh McClellan for a patent on a Tract of Land surveyed on an Application No. 348 in the new purchase Which survey is noted as disputed by Beard Guthrie & Moore but no Caveat is entered by any of them. The Board enquired of the Surveyor Robert McCrea into the Objection made by the said Beard Guthry & Moore & finding them frivolous and without just foundation are of Opinion that the Patent be made out to Elias Davison.

Elias Davison having returned the Report of Messrs. John Alison and Robert McCrea made in virtue of the Order of the Board of the 29th of May, 1769, the same is Ordered to be entered upon the Minutes and follows in these Words. "Whereas we the Subscribers being appointed by the Board of Property to value two small Tracts of Land late the Property of John Davison, deceased, viz't. One of Thirty three and another of twenty nine Acres Which Tracts of Land we esteem & value to be worth forty Shillings ℔ Acre. Subject to the Honble the Proprietors purchase Money, &c'a. Given under out hands this 14th Day of August, 1769."

JOHN ALLISON,
ROBERT McCREA.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 30th of Nov'r, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Charles Pollock
agt
John Gillespie.

John Gillespie not appearing the Matter referred to Doctor Plunket, Robert Moodie, Esq'r and Mr. Wm. Patterson or any two of them who are requested by the Board to euquire into the matter and report to the Board their Opinion in what manner the Dispute ought to be settled between the Parties.

John Pollock
agt
Robert Gillcreast.

On hearing it appears that Robert Gillcreast hath the prior Application referring to a Lime stone Spring. John Pollock affirms the Location was designed for another place where Cornelius Atkinson's prior Location lies, And that there is no Limestone Spring nor Limestone in the Survey of Gillcreast. And the matter is postponed till the last Monday in April by which time the said John Pollock is to satisfy the Board of the Truth of his Allegations. And the said Gillcreast is to produce Evidence of his Survey including or bounding upon some Limestone Spring.

John Scouller
agt
McKenly al's
McCandless & Ors.

Scouller not appearing the Matter is postponed till the last Monday in March when if Scouller does not appear the Caveat will be dismissed whereof Notice is to be given him.

Francis West
agt
Alexander Divin.

Upon inspecting a Draught of Divins first Survey made by Mr. Lyon agreeable to the Secretarys Order and hearing the Parties it is ordered that Divins Survey be returned according to that Draught and that Wests Land be laid out agreeable thereto.

(See 21st Dec'r 1772 entered pa. 8.)

At a Meeting of the Board at the Governors on Monday the 28th Day of December, 1772.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Johnson Smith
agt
Alexander Rody. } On Caveat.

Alexander Rody being duly served with Notice to attend does not appear And upon the Representation of Johnson Smith and the Certificate of John Holmes it appears that the parties heretofore

had submitted this Dispute to Thomas Wilson and the said John Holmes, Who ordered that the said Alexander Rody should pay the said Johnson Smith £25 current Money. And that the said Johnson Should release to the said Rody his Right to an Application of James Smith which he had purchased. And that though he the said Johnson Smith was willing to execute his part yet the said Rody altogether refused. Wherefore it is the Opinion of the Board that if the said Alexander Rody doth not pay the said Smith the said twenty five Pounds and his reasonable Expences of attending on this Dispute by the last Monday in April next that Col'o Armstrong the Surveyor of the District shall survey the said Application for the said Johnson Smith and return the same. And that the said Johnson Smith give the said Rody thirty Days Notice of this Judgment.

Samuel Crooks
agt
Jesse Lukens.

Referred by consent of parties to Samuel Hunter, Robert Moodie, Thomas Lehman & William Cook, Esq'rs or any three of them who are requested to decide this Dispute And if they should be divided in Opinion then they are choose a fifth person and the Judgment of such fifth person with any two of the first named is to determine the Question Make Report to the Board.

John Quigley & Edward Grimes his Assignee
agt
Joseph Shippen Esq'r.

Neither Quigley nor Grimes appearing but Grimes sending an Excuse it is ordered by the Board that unless Grimes shews Cause to the contrary by the last Monday in February the Caveat will then be dismissed of this he is to have thirty Days Notice.

James Dougherty
agt
Nicholas Shaeffer.

Nicholas Sheaffer not appearing it is ordered that the Dispute is postponed till the last Monday in April when it will be heard and determined whether Sheaffer appears or not. And of this he or any person or persons living under him on the Land or claiming from him are to have thirty Days Notice.

William Oliphant
agt
John Anderson.

Anderson not appearing but writing to the Board that he

was sick and could not attend and desiring the matter in dispute might be postponed till the last Monday in April it is accordingly postponed till that time.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office January 6, 1773.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Mr. Lukens.

John Hoge & Ors.
agt
John Ewing.

The Board having taken this Matter into Consideration it appeared that before the General Opening the Office for the new purchase the Governor at the Instance of Mr. Ewing had allowed him to take up fifteen hundred Acres of Land in the new purchase, And that William McClay the Surveyor of that District had furnished him with a location of 500 Acres of Land on the heads of Turkey Run including the Loggs of an old Cabbin. That this Location fell within a prior Grant to the Officers of the Pennsylvania Regiment Upon which Mr. MaClay surveyed for Mr. Ewing about a Mile or two lower down the Run 703 A's of Land in lieu of the first Location as an equivalent to it as the Land below was not so good as that above. That upon acquainting Mr. Ewing with this he declined for the present to give up his Pretensions to the place first intended by the Location or to accept of the Survey intending not to take it in lieu of the other place until the first place should be adjudged to the Officers. When the Office opened John Hoge, William Bale & James Shaddon put in Location for Lands on the place which Mr. Maclay surveyed as aforesaid for Mr. Ewing or upon part of it but never applyed till lately to have the Lands surveyed in those Applications. That Mr. Ewing a few Months past concluded to give up his Pretensions to the place of his first Location and take the Survey made in lieu of it not knowing of any Claims of the Persons above mentioned thereto and accordingly obtained the Secretarys Order to Mr. Maclay to return the survey After which Mr. Hoge entered a Caveat against Mr. Ewing, and the others put in their Claim And upon the whole matter considering that the Land was surveyed in lieu of the place first intended. And tho' at first was refused yet was accepted by Mr. Ewing and

allowed by the Secretary before any Objections made by Mr. Hoge & Others who do not appear to have observed the Rules of the Office as to getting their Lands surveyed or applying for such survey. The Board are of Opinion that the Caveat be dismissed and that Mr. Ewings survey af'd be accepted and that a Patent be granted upon his compliance with the Proprietary Demands upon the Lands.

At a Meeting of the Board at the Secretarys Office on Monday the 21st December, 1772.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Mr. Lukens.

Richard Willing
agt
John Allen.
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties and inspecting their papers it appears That Charles Willing deceased, Father of the said Richard in Right of Christopher Forward original purchaser of 500 A's of Land in Pennsylvania obtained a Warrant for the same bearing Date the 3d day of July, Anno Domini, 1750. And by his Last Will & Testament devised the same Right to the said Richard Willing. That the said Charles Willing in his lifetime had requested Doctor Richard Peters to get his Right laid out and surveyed for his said Son. That the said Doctor Peters some time in the month of December, 1768 soon afer the late Indian purchase at Fort Stanwix applyd at the Secretarys Office on behalf of the s'd Richard Willing for a new Warrant to survey the said 500 A's on the Ground or part of the Ground now in dispute and was there informed there was no occasion for a new Warrant, but that it would be sufficient to locate the said Land in the Surveyor Generals Office. And he accordingly did on the 24th day of the same month Lodge a Location for that Land in the Surveyor Generals Office to be surveyed for the said Richard Willing on the Warrant aforesaid a Copy of which Warrant & Location was issued by the Surveyor General & sent to William Maclay the Deputy Surveyor of the District where the Land lays. That on the 27th day of the same month of December the said John Allen obtained a Warrant in the name of the Proprietarys for 1250 A's of Land including the place as aforesaid located by the said Richard Peters for the said Richard Willing, a Copy of which

was also sent by the Surveyor General to be surveyed by the Deputy. That the Copy of the said Warrant & Locations of the said Richard Willing came to the hands of the Deputy before the Copy of the said proprietary Warrant. That the Deputy afterwards returned on the said Proprietary Warrant Thirteen hundred and twenty eight Acres and Allowance of six Acres ℔ Cent. which includes the Ground as af'd located by the said Richard Peters for the said Richard Willing. And upon considering the whole Matter the Board are all of Opinion that the Location aforesaid on the Warrant of the said Charles Willing was such an Appropriation of 500 A's and Allowance of the Land described in the Location that it was not liable to the said proprietary Warrant. And that the surveyor General shall divide the Land surveyed on the Proprietary Warrant by a line to be drawn North forty nine Degrees west from the River Sasquehanna to the back Line of the survey so as to leave 500 A's & Allowance in the Lower part of the Tract which lower part shall be returned for the said Richard Willing. And the upper part of the proprietary Warrant in order for Confirmation to the said Richard Willing & John Allen.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Friday the 12th February, Anno Domini 1773.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.

William Hudson agt Jonathan Moleston.

The Secretary laid before the Governor the Report of Ceasar Rodney, Esq'r & Messrs. Charles Hilliard & John Banning to whom by consent of parties and by Order of the Board of the 25th day of February, 1771, The Matters in dispute between them were deferred, And his Honour upon considering the same and also finding it agreeable to a Decision by the Honourable Thomas Penn, Esq'r at Newcastle, in the Year 1736 in a dispute between Henry Molston under whom Jonathan claims & John Robinson under whom William Hudson claims concerning the same Subjects of Dispute is pleased to confirm a Rport, And to order that the several & respective parcels of Marsh respectively allotted to the parties by the said Referees as Distinguished in a general Draught annexed to the Report and lodged in the Sec-

retarys Office and for which separate Draughts are returned into the Surveyor Generals Office by Samuel McCall Deputy Surveyor of Kent County be returned into the Secretarys Office upon Warrants of acceptance in Order for Confirmation upon the parties their paying to the proprietarys the Quit Rent due on the same.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday 23d February, 1773.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Gravenor Marsh agt James Marsh.

Referred by Consent of parties to the Determination of Messrs. Geo. Gray, Isaac Pearson and Israel Jacobs or any two of them.

These are to certify whom it may concern that in pursuance of the above Submission and at the Request of the said James & Gravenor Marsh We the subscribers have heard the Allegations & Evidences of the said parties relative to the above dispute and after mature Deleveration thereon do give it as our Judgment that the disputed Peace of Land in part described in Thomas Lightfoots Letter directed to the Board of Property & said to be surveyed the 19th of the 11th Mo. 1772 & to contain about One hundred & fifty Acres be equally divided between the said James & Gravenor Marsh in the manner represented by the dotted Line in the Draught contained in said Lightfoots Letter is to say each of them to have a like quantity thereof. And said Gravenor to have that part adjoining his other Land. And that the said Parties pay all the Expence already accrued and that shall hereafter accrue in the several Offices in obtaining Patents for said Land equally between them. Witness our Hands this 23d day of February, 1773.

GEORGE GRAY,
ISAAC PEARSON,
ISR'L JACOBS.

(See the Draughts & Letter referred to in the Rough Minutes.)

John Quigley & Edward Grimes his Assignee agt Joseph Shippen, j'r.

The Dispute being postponed till this Meeting, And Edward

Grimes & Mr. Shippen appearing the Board proceeded to hear them. And it appeared that Mr. Shippens Survey is properly located and that the Location under which Grimes claims is for a place some Miles distant from the disputed Ground the Caveat of Quigley & Grimes is therefore dismissed.

Robert Campbell
agt
Thomas Wilson &
Jefferys.

Postponed till the last Monday in April.

Richard McClure
agt
William Beard

Agreed between the parties that Beard shall give McClure £20 current Money for a transfer of his application Warrant & Survey.

David Rodgers Ex'r
of John Owen
agt
Sebastian Keely.

It appearing that the Land in dispute was many years ago surveyed to John Owen under a Warrant Sebastian Keely relinquish's his Claim.

At a Meeting at the Receiver Generals Office on Tuesday the 30th March, 1773.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.

John Strosnyder
Ex'r of Peter Deel
agt
Faelix Deel.

Upon hearing the parties the Case appeared to be that Peter Deel deceased in the Year 1755 took out a Warant for 50 A's of Land. That in the same Year John Scull made a Survey on the said Warrant and included in it all the Land in dispute it being usual at that time to survey more than the Quantity of the Warrant. But there never was a return of the Survey. That in the Year 1770 Faelix Deel obtained a Warrant under which he had surveyed the Land in dispute as af'd part of the Land first surveyed to his Father. And it is the Opinion of the Board That Thomas Chapman the Surveyor of the District do

return on the Warrant of Peter Deel deceased all the Land within the old lines run by John Scull as the same may be made appear to him. But if he finds that the Land surveyed for Faelix Deel is not within the old lines he is to certify the same to the Secretary.

Casper Meyer agt Jacob Hart al's Herd al's Hearth.

Upon hearing the parties to wit the said Hart & Widow of Meyer and it appearing to the Board that Casper Meyer lately deceased had lived on a small Improvement whereon his Widow now lives (and to whom he left it) for upwards of twenty years which Improvement is effected by Harts Warrant they are of Opinion that a Warrant for Sixty Acres be granted to the Widow including the Improvement on which she lives, And that Hart be paid back the Money he paid for his Warrant obtained about a Year ago if he will receive it.

This is endorsed on a Copy of a Minute of 1st May, 1770.

Agreeable to the within Appointment we the Subscribers did meet and after hearing the Allegations & proofs of the hearties are of Opinion that the Right of the Lands within mentioned is vested in James Love.

Witness our Hands this 20th Day of February, 1771.

ROBERT MILLER,
JOHN HOLMES,
JOHN AGNEW.

At a Meeting at the Receiver Generals Office on Tuesday the 27th of April, 1773.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.

William Crooks agt William Forster & Alex'r Grant.

William Forster and Alexander Grant having their several Locations surveyed upon Inspection of the Draughts & Locations & considering the same the Board are of Opinion that the place

located by Forster is returned for Grant and the place within the Description of Grants Location is surveyed for Forster, and that Grants Survey ought to be returned for Forster. And Whereas, William Crooks objects to Grants having Forsters Surveys confirmed to him in regard he Crooks hath a Location for the same place, And that Grant never intended his Location for that place. It is referred to William Maclay Esq'r to examine into the place of Crook's Location and also into the fact of Grant intending a different Place for his Location and report to the Board in Order that they may. determine between Grant & Crooks.

John Love agt John Dunlap. } On Caveat.

John Dunlap though duly served with Notice does not attend and it appearing clearly that John Love hath the prior Application & Survey the Board are of Opinion that his survey be accepted in the whole notwithstanding the interferring of Dunlaps Survey.

John Pollock agt Robert Gillcreast. }

Agreed betwen the parties that Gillcreast shall pay Polk seven pounds ten shillings for the Right of his Polk's Locations.

James Dougherty agt Nicholas Shaefer. }

James Dougherty having the first Location and the place of it not being ascertained the Dispute is left by consent of Parties to the Award of Timothy Green, Esq'r, of Lancaster County, who it is said located the place in dispute in Case he the said Green will go to interview the place in dispute and in case he be no ways interested in it.

Wednesday 28th April, 1773, at the Receiver Generals Office.

Before the Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Peter Harting agt Godfree Groe or Croe. }

Referred to Benjamin Weiser, Esq'r & George Eshlinger to settle the difference between the Parties & make Report to the Board.

Wm. Carpenter
agt
James Potter.

It appearing upon hearing that William Carpenter obtained a Warrant in 1755 for the place in dispute, But George Armstrong under whom James Potter purchased claiming the same place by an Improvement prior to Carpenters Warrant, Carpenter relinquished the place and laid his Warrant in another place, After which Armstrong took a Warrant in the Name of James Piper & had a Survey made on it. Therefore the Caveat of Carpenter is dismissed.

Alexander Brown
agt
George Taylor.

George Taylor claims under an Application of Isaac Levan which is prior to Alexander Browns for the place a part of which is surveyed to Brown and which Brown alledges is not agreeable to Levans Application. It is therefore ordered that George Taylor procure a survey and Draught under the said Application of Isaac Levan to be made according to the Intentions of the said Application by Richard Backhouse of Northampton and to be returned to the Board by the last Monday in June And also the Draughts of the s'd Mr. Taylors Surveys on Appl'ns in the Names of Jacob Wood & Jacob Smith as the same were surveyed by John Biddle, to be returned by the same time, that the Board may determine upon the matter in dispute And in Case the said Draughts be not returned by that time the survey of Alexander Brown to stand confirmed.

Robert Campbell
agt
Thomas Wilson.

Referred by Consent of Parties to Bartram Galbreath, James Gibson & William Grimes or any two of them. And if Bartram Galbreath will not attend and the other two cannot agree they are to chuse another indifferent person, And the Award of the three or any two of them is to bind the parties.

We the Subscribers being appointed by the Board of Property with consent of Parties to settle a dispute between Thomas McCormick deced. and William Walker of one part and David Hoge of the other for a Tract of Land adjoining the Parties af'd in East Pennsborough Township, Cumberland County, and having examined their Evidences, Warrants & Claims to the said Land do give it as our Opinion that it ought to be divided in the following manner to wit: One hundred & thirty five Acres to William

Walker & the Heirs of Thomas McCormick deced. they are to have the Land on the North West side the Great Road, and the Remainder of the above Quantity to be laid off the South West End adjoining Wm. Walkers Improvement and Matthew Loudons Land and Square with the Road aforesaid, to be divided between said Walker and the Heirs of McCormick according to the Quality only the Heirs of McCormick to have their Dividend laid off adjoining their other Lands and all the Remainder of the aforesaid Land in Dispute to be David Hoggs.

Witness our Hands this 26th day of April, 1773.

JOHN MONTGOMERY,
ROBERT MILLER,
EPHRAIM BLAINE.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office on Saturday the 5th June, 1773.

present

The Secretary.
The Receiver General.

Robert Armstrong agt John Meech.

Robert Armstrong claims under an Application prior to Meech's Warrant and a Survey founded on a purchase of an Improvement of Jacob Rotten. The location is to the northward of Bartram Galbreaths Land. And it is about a Mile northward of Land called Bartrams Galbreaths. Meeches subsequent Warrant was afterwards Surveyed upon part of Armstrongs Land, Judgment that Armstrongs Survey be returned and accepted and Meech's only so much as is clear of Armstrongs.

Josiah Galbreath agt John Philip Dehaas.

In this case it appears that Mr. Dehaas hath a prior Location but there being another Location put in at the same time in the same hand Writing and for the identical piece of Land, according to a former Determination of the Board they are of Opinion that Galbreaths survey ought to be preferred & accepted.

Thomas Johnston for Martha Johnston his Wife agt Paul Dewit.

Paul Dewit having had due Notice does not appear and Samuel

Wallis who asserts he gave Dewit the Location as given under his Hand that the Location was designed for a different place from which it was surveyed on Martha Johnstons Location is later than that of Samuel McWilliams which Wallis gave Dewitt but is certainly for the same place surveyed to Dewitt. Judgment that the Land shall be surveyed & returned for Martha Johnston in regard it appears Paul Dewitts Location was for another place.

Elias Stone in behalf of himself and Aaron Jenkins
agt
John William Province.

Elias Stone intermarried with Elizabeth Baldwin who had a Location & survey on a Tract of Land on the West side of Monongahela on a place called the Windfall Bottom, Rebecca Jenkins Wife of Aaron Jenkins had a Location & survey below & joining the other And John William Province claims both by purchase of Improvement. And the Parties agree before the Board that Elias Stone shall hold the Upermost Tract on the Windfall Bottom paying to John William Province ten Pounds current Money in a twelve Month. And that Province shall release his Right upon the payment of the Money. And Elias Stone undertakes that Aaron Jenkins and his Wife shall at the same time convey all their Right to John William Province in the Lower Tract.

Daniel Brown.
agt
Bartram Galbreath.

Daniel Brown complains that he hath not a sufficiency of Land included in his Warrant and that Bartram Galbreath who surveyed his Land took the next Vacancy to himself under an Application in the Name of Frederick Hummell. But it appearing to the Board that Browns Warrant is filled and also agreeable to an old surveyed line of the same Land they are of Opinion that the Caveat be dismissed and that Galbreath have a Patent upon producing a Conveyance from Frederick Humell & paying the Proprietary Demands.

At a Meeting of the Board at the Surveyor Generals Office on Monday the 28th June, 1773.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Jonathan Hagar Ex'r of
Martin Kershner decd. in
behalf of his Children
agt
Evan Jenkins who claims under
Agnes McCrea.

In this Case Mr. Hagar claims under the prior Location but Jenkins had the first survey. Kershner had several times applyed for Survey. Jenkins contends that a conditioned Line was settled between one Falkner who made the Improvement which Kershner bought and one Cotter whose Improvement he Jenkins bought, but hath sent down no proof of any such line. Jonathan Hager alledges that a Bottom on the East side of the Cove Creek the disputed place, is the principal Object of the Location obtained by Kershner, And that without it the plantation would be of little value, And that it lies remote from Jenkins who hath bottom sufficient on Licking Creek on which he lives. It is ordered by the Board that the Location of Kershner be surveyed in such manner as Hagar shall direct. And that the surveyor of the District do return upon the same Draught the Survey of Jenkins, particularly describing upon the Draught the disputed Bottom and Cove Creek and also describing upon the Draught the Licking Creek and the bottom upon it within Jenkins' Survey in Order that the Board may finally determine the Matter. And that the Surveyor make Return of this Work by the last Monday in September next. And that when this Work is done Jenkins have Notice of it.

James Douglass Assignee
of William Brown
agt
John Miller.

Upon hearing the parties and inspecting their papers it appears that James Douglass claims under a Warrant to William Brown prior to Millers Application. And as the Land surveyed for Miller is within the reach of Browns Warrant the Board are of Opinion that the Land shall be surveyed and returned under Browns Warrant in Order for Confirmation.

George Taylor Assignee
of Isaac Levan
agt
Alexander Browne.

Upon viewing the Draughts of the Lands surveyed upon both Applications the Board are of Opinion that the South 54 degrees West line of John Lukens's Land called the Bear Swamp continued to the extremity of the two Tracts shall be the dividing

line between the parties and that each party be at Liberty to make up the quantity of their Applications out of the vacant adjoining Lands.

Thomas Armor
agt
Peter Young Assignee
of David Lewis.

Thomas Armor claiming the Survey of Young under a Warrant to one Reishter which is located at least five miles from the place by Armors Acknowledgement besides its having been surveyed before upon the right place the Board order the Caveat to be dismissed.

James Sharp
agt
Joseph Culbertson.

Sharp not appearing and Culbertson shewing that his Survey is made according to Warrant the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed and the Land to be confirmed to Culbertson provided he take out a Patent in three Months from this time.

James Douglass Ad'r
of Hugh Maguire
agt
Andrew Culbertson.

Postponed till the Testimony of Benja'n Parvin can be had who it alledged will prove that Hugh Maguire who had a Location prior to Culbertsons Warrants had relinquished his Location on account of Arthur Grahams prior Warrant taking the best of the Land he intended to take up. It appears that Hugh Maguire had begun his Survey & then left off & did not take the matter up again though he lived sev'l y'rs afterw'ds.

Ulrich Rezer
agt
Hans George Cuckendorf.

In this Case Ulrich Rezer insisting that Henry Vanderslice hath run the lines of these Lands and can give a good Insight into the dispute. It is ordered by the Board that he shall survey & lay out Rezers Land according to his pretensions and Cuckendorfs according to John Sculls Field Works of Catharine Knawbens Survey & return them in on Draught with any Remarks upon the Dispute he thinks may be material by the last Monday in August.

George Zimmerman.
agt
Adam Berner.

Upon hearing it appeared that George Zimmermans Father

had an Improvement and house & barn on the disputed Land and was driven off by the Indians the first War and his Improvements were burned After which he bought the Improvement of his Father and let it a Year or two afterwards to a Neighbour and hath since paid Tax for it. And it appeared also that the Land had been surveyed to his Father by the Deputy Surveyor And that he imagined he had got out a Right for it. That hearing Barner had got a Warrant for the place in October last he came to Philadelphia to look into his Right and finding no Warrant he took a Warrant in November. Barner had a Survey on his Warrant And upon the Whole the Board are of Opinion that Zimmerman upon paying Barner the Expences of twice coming to Philadelphia may have a Return of the Land Surveyed on Barnes Warrant and a Confirmation thereon. And that Barner have a Return of his purchase Money upon releasing his Warrant.

At a Meeting of the Board on Monday the 26th July, 1773. The Governor out of Town.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.

The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

James Thompson in behalf of Wm. Thompson agt James Newbold. } On Caveat.

Upon persuing & considering the Cases of both parties in which the facts are stated and agreed, it appears that Thompson claims under a Warrant Survey & Patent to one Rich'd Hindman which entirely leaves out the disputed Land and interferes with elder surveys about the quantity of the disputed Ground which Thompson insists was intended to be included and was left out by some Mistake. It appears also that this Land was long deemed to belong to Hindmans Tract and a part of it has been cultivated as such for a good many Years. Yet in regard it is entirely out of the lines of the Patent and was discovered taken up & surveyed by the Father of Newbold and that he now possesses it (except the part above mentioned) and lives upon it the Board are of Opinion that ye present surveyor of the County of Sussex should immediately make Return of the Survey as made by the late surveyor. And that Newbold should have a Confirmation provided he pays the Quit Rents and applys for Patent within six Months after the Return of Survey.

John Short al's Shade
against
Samuel Seager.
} On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Samuel Seager hath a Warrant Application of Survey prior to Shorts Application and therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Thomas McFall
agt
Cooper & Bradley.

McFall having caveated & being duly cited and not appearing And it appearing to the Board this his Location will not apply to the places Surveyed by Cooper & Bradley the Caveat is dismissed.

Francis Allison
agt
James Miller.

James Miller though he had due Notice not appearing Judgment is given by the Board that Francis Alisons Survey be confirmed unless James Miller can shew Cause to the contrary by or on the last Monday in ———— next.

At a Meeting at Mr. Allens on Tuesday the 21st Sept'r, 1773.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Mr. Lukens.

The Memorial of John Ormsby taken into Consideration and referred to the Consideration of Capt. Sinclair to whom the Secretary is to write concerning the Circumstances of the Settlements on the prop'ry Manor at and about Fort Pitt.

Upon Application of the Commissioners for building the new Goal and Workhouse for a piece of Ground on Sixth Street and the Breadth of ninety or One hundred feet on Sixth Street and the Depth of half the Square. The Governor consents they shall have it according to Valuation to be made by the persons who are to value Mr. Tench Francis's Ground to them.

At a Meeting at the Secretarys Office on Monday the 25th October, 1773.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Mr. Lukens.

Philip Hinckle for himself & Conrad Lora agt George Miller. } On Caveat.

Upon hearing the parties it appeared that there was surveyed to Reigard Hoffman 135 A's 101 Ps. of Land in Winsor Township, Berks County by Warrants of the 24th October, 1773. That this Land was afterwards taken in Execution by the Sheriff of Berks County to satisfy a Debt of said Hoffman. That George Miller & the said Philip Hinckle & Conrad Lower or Lora agreed to purchase it, And that Miller should bid for it & take the Deed in his own Name which was acordingly done, And Hinckle & Lora paid their proportions of the purchase. And the Land was divided between by one Francis Yarnall By which there was allotted to Miller 42 A's 70 P. to Hinckle 52 A's 52 P. and to Lower or Lora 46 A's 159 P. by distinct boundarys & lines Notwithstanding which Miller refused to convey to them their parts and applyed to the Office for the whole Land under his Sheriffs Deed. And the Board determines that the said Hinckle & Lower or Lora and Miller shall have separate Confirmations for their respective parts upon payment of their several proportions of the proprietary Demands on the Land.

Adam Clark aga John McWilliams.

The parties agree to Refer this Dispute to the Award of William Plunket, Samuel Hunter and Thomas Lemon, Esq'rs, or any two of them, And if they do not make an Award by the last Monday in March next the parties are then to appear & be heard.

John Allison Esq'r agt John Ormsby or his Assignee Robert Dill.

In this Case Mr. Allison claims under a prior Location No. 1900 in the Name of Robert Wilkins on Brush Run including an Ash tree marked with the letter B which Land he alledges was surveyed for John Ormsby in the Names of Simon Black & John Whitner No. 2924 & 2925 while the Copy of his

Order above mentioned was by Mistake sent to Susquehanna. And the Board orders that Capt. Thompson shall enquire into Wilkins Location of John Sampson who furnished it and endeavor to find out the Tree referred to as also the trees on two other Tracts then located on Brush Run marked A & C and examine carefully at what times the several trees were marked. And return a Draught of the above two Tracts surveyed for Ormsby & Note upon the Draught the Situation of the tree or trees marked for Wilkins's Location. And the other particularly the Ash tree above mentioned in Order that the Board may finally determine between the Parties.

William Perry
agt
Joseph Cord.

Joseph Cord though he had due Notice does not appear. And William Perry alledges that the Land for which he hath a Warrant is included in an ancient Patent to John Vines under which the person for whom he acts claim. And it is ordered that Joseph Cord shall have no survey or return on his Warrant until he appear to the Board and come to a hearing with the said Wm. Perry.

Gravenor Marsh
agt
James Marsh.

Upon considering the Petition of Gravenor Marsh the Board are of Opinion that the Determination of this Matter made by Messrs. Gray, Pierson & Jacobs for dividing the Land between the Parties shall stand. And that if Gravenor does not think proper to acquiesce in the Determination, James Marsh may have a Confirmation on his W't & Survey for the whole Land And that Gravenor shall have six Weeks to consider this Matter after Notice given him by James Marsh.

David Hazzard
agt
William Thompson.

The Board are of Opinion that the vacant Land returned upon Thompsons Warrant of Resurvey which is in dispute shall be equally divided between the Parties in such manner as they judge convenient about which they promise to endeavor to agree.

William Rankin
agt
Adam Saam.
On Caveat.

Upon hearing it appears that Rankins Application is prior to Saams but is more vague, But that Saam had his survey made in

time and hath ever since proceeded to improve the Land. And it does not appear that Rankin took any Steps towards getting his Land surveyed till more than a Year after Saams Survey and did not caveat until upwards of two Years after the said Survey during all which time Saam proceeded to improve without Interruption or Prohibition. Upon the whole the Board are of Opinion that if Rankins Application were les vague than it is he is too late in opposing the Survey of Saam and the Improvement which he afterwards made And that Rankins Caveat ought to be dismissed.

Robert Colvin
agt
Widow Patterson.

The Land in dispute was surveyed for Robert Colvin on a Warrant of the 2d June, 1749, and the Widow Patterson hath no legal Claim to the same except a clearing of a few Acres therefore the Board are of Opinion that the Surveyor return Colvins Survey in order for Confirmation upon the usual terms.

Thomas Wilson
agt
Robert Campbell.

Robert Campbell claims under two Warrants the one to Richard Rankin the other to John Hunter obtained in the Year 1755, a Survey was made on them not including so much Land as is at present claimed by Campbell which survey was never returned. In the Year 1763 the surveyor made another Survey and included the whole quantity now claimed by Campbell and improved as well where the first Survey was made as on the new Survey. In the Year 1768 Thomas Hardy took out an Application for 300 A's on the place where Campbell had surveyed the second time which Wm. Maclay refused to survey on account of Campbells prior Survey. This Appl'n Wilson purchased for 10£ knowing of Campbells last survey & Improvm't. And as when Campbell made his second Survey People were not restrained from surveying larger Quantities than their Warrants specified the Board are of Opinion Campbells second Survey ought to be confirmed provided he pays the Prop'ry Demands & takes out a Patent in six Months.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber on Tuesday the 30th November, 1773.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.
The Auditor.

Michale Boar
agt
Philip Sneider & Wife
who was Relict of Ch'r
Witmire.
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Christopher Witmire purchased in an Application of John Morton in Order to cover his Improvement about ten Years ago but the Location is not particular for that Ground. The Application under which Boar claims is posterior to Mortons. The Surveyor in Regard Witmires Location would not strictly right applied or part of it about 50 A's to that of Boar which makes Boars survey only 115½ A's & Allowance which is separated by the pricked Line upon the Draught returned to the Surveyor Generals Office by Mr. Lyon the Dep'y of the District. And the Governor determines that the two surveys shall stand as the Surveyor has laid them down, in regard that the prior Location is wrong placed that there is Land sufficient survey to the Improvement to accommodate it and admits the survey on the Location only in Consideration of the Improvement.

John Douglass Ad'r
of Hugh Maguire
agt
Andrew Culbertson.

This Cause being postponed till the Information of Benjamin Parvin could procured and Culbertson now producing his Affirmation by which it appeared that Hugh Maguire had relinquished his Appl'n & did not proceed thereon in his life time. The Governor orders that James Douglass's Caveat be dismissed & Culbertsons Survey received.

George Swartz
agt
Paul Flick.

Upon hearing it appears that the place in dispute is part of a Survey made for Paul Flick under a warrant of the Year 1755 & Swartz claims under a Warrant much younger, therefore the Governor orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

George Albrecht
agt
John Forster.

John Forster having Notice of hearing & sending an Excuse by John Simpson the matter is postponed till the last Monday in April next.

William Pollard
agt
George Cluggage
Ja's Cluggage
& Wm. Young.

George Cluggage having due Notice of hearing and not attending but declining to attend as was proved to the Board they proceeded to hear William Pollards Case And it appears that William Pollard claims under the Applications of Richard Nangle & William Sills which are considerably prior to the Locations on which the Defendants have obtained Surveys (which are not yet returned) and for the same Ground. Therefore the Governor determines and orders that the Survey be returned and accepted for William Pollard he paying the Defendants the Expences of Survey.

At a Meeting at the Governors January the 3d, 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.

John Lukens & John Little
agt
Colo. Francis & Others
Officers of the Pennsylv'a Reg't.

In this Case the Land in dispute was surveyed for the Officers as within the new purchase, And the Surveyor is caveated on account of a survey supposed to be made by Colo. Armstrong in the Year 1755 and returned into the Surveyor Generals Office under a Warrant to Barnaby Barnes who sold to Richard Tea who assigned to the Caveators. The Officers alledge the place is out of the old purchase. And it appearing at least probable that it is so and John Little upon Notice declining to appear at the Board and insisting as the Governor is informed upon their Right whether the Place was within or without the old purchase and that they should dispute the matter at Law the Governor orders the Caveat to be dismissed and

leaves the Caveators to take their Remedy at Law if they think proper to pursue their Claim.

Doctor Allison & Mr. John Ewing
agt
The Officers.

Doctor Allison appeared before the Board agreed to relinquish the Disputes & take Relocation or Warrant for Land elsewhere in order to avoid giving the Board any further Trouble.

January 31st, 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Auditor.
The Secretary.
The Receiver.

Conrad Lentz
agt
John Lentz.

There being no foundation for the Caveat the same is dismissed and patent ordered to John Lentz or Lands.

Woodsides
agt
Jacob Pickle or Bickell
& Michael Philippi.

The Warrant of Woodsides being subsequent to those of Bickell & Philippi and the Improvement which he sets up being entirely inconsiderable and made after the prior Warrants The Surveys on those Warrants are ordered to be confirmed.

Monday 28th February, 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.

Ordered that the Surveyor General give Notice to the Securities of James Scull that if his papers be not delivered up on or before the last day of March next, the Bonds will positively be put in Suit.

Peter Bom
agt
Michael Heshler.

The Caveator not appearing ordered that unless he appears tomorrow the Caveat be dismissed & Patent issued to Heshler.

John Bowman & Ors
agt
William Hays.

Upon hearing it appears that Hayes claims a Right lately purchased under a Warrant obtained by one David Hayes in the Year 1737 on which no Money was paid to the proprietarys. That soon after the obtaining the Warrant David Hayes went to Virginia and never proceeded upon his Warrant. That Bowman & Others have had Patents & Possession some of them 28 Years without interruption or Claim Wherefore the Governor determines that the Claim under the old Warrant thus circumstanced be rejected.

George Cooper
agt
George Ohl or Old.

Old hath had a Survey on his Warrant & Cooper contends he hath a prior Warrant which affects the place Old denys that Coopers Warrant is for the place of his Survey. Ordered that the Secretary write to Mr. Maclay about this Affair to know the true Circumstances of it.

At a Meeting at the Statehouse on Tuesday the 29th day of March, 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Auditor Mr. Hockley.

Trump & Garwood
agt
James Sharron.

Upon comparing the Dates of the two Warrants of the parties Garwood & Trumps appears to be prior. And the Board are of Opinion that the trifling Improvement set up by Sharron made a few days before the Warrant of Trump & Garwood and the purchase Sharron made of an Improvement Right still more trifling are not to be regarded, especially as he made no mention of

these Improvements at the time of taking out his Warrant. Therefore it is ordered that the disputed place be returned upon the Warrant of Trump & Garwood.

Ordered that the Survey of Samuel Rowlings containing about forty Acres more than the general Rules of the Office will allow to be accepted he paying for the Overplus.

Thomas Johnston
agt
Samuel Wallis.

In this Case it appears that the Application of John Worthington under which Samuel Wallis claims is prior to Johnstons and for the same place and therefore must be preferred. But Johnson alledges that there is a good deal more Land contained within the lines of Wallis's Survey than the quantity returned and therefore prays that it may be examined & that his Application may be surveyed on the Overplus which is allowed him and the Deputy Surveyor of the District is ordered to examine the Lines & Corners of Wallis's Survey and if there be an Overplus in it to survey Johnsons Application thereon unless it be liable to some prior Location.

Jacob Kern &
Simon Myer
agt
Theobald Beck.

Caveats dismissed it appearing that Patents had issued before the Entry of the Caveats.

William Hewett
agt
William Glen.

In this Case it appears that William Glen claims under a Warrant to William Reed in the Year 1745 and William Hewett under a Warrant much. That a Survey of 90 A's hath been lately made & returned on Glenns Warrant as disputed by Hewett. And the Parties have agreed that Messrs. Job Ruston, Robert Smith and John Stewart or any two of them shall divide the 90 A's between the parties in the most convenient manner leaving to William Glen fifty five Acres of the 90. And that the Surveys shall be returned & confirmed accordingly.

Thomas Kelly
agt
Robert Blaine.

Robert Blaine claims under a Warrant & Survey and Thomas Kelly under an Appl'n to John Morrison in the Year 1767 which it is not certain was intended to affect the Land in dispute at least vacant Land clear of Blaines survey may be

found to fill the Location and does not appear that any Application was made to the Surveyor to survey this Location within six Months after the Location was filed. And because it doth not sufficiently appear that the Land surveyed to Blaine was originally intended to be taken by the Location, And Blaine hath paid in his Money & obtained a Survey the Governor orders the Caveat to be dismissed and Blaines Survey to be confirmed.

Scott and Armor agt Thomas Kelly.

Report of Arbitrators read & confirmed.

Ordered that Mr. Pleasants Case of Warrants which he supposes to be out of the purchase concerning which he produced the Affidavit of John Buchanan be referred to the Deputy of the District either Charles Lukens or Charles Stewart to report concerning it when they or either of them go into those parts to survey Mr. Pleasants other Warrants or upon any other Service. And that John Lee who sold Pleasants the Locations have Notice from the Surveyors when they go into those parts.

Eager agt Rowland.

Upon hearing it appeared that the parties had heretofore submitted their differences about these Lands to Alex'r McCandles & Thomas Steel who had awarded the Land now in dispute to Rowland. And it appears also that Eager had declined taking in the place till he found there was more vacancy than he apprehended which was discovered by Rowland who obtained a Warrant for it. And then Eager would have taken it in. And the Board are of Opinion and the Governor Orders that the Land be surveyed & returned on Rowlands Warrant.

Adam Clark Assignee of Gillespie agt John McWilliams.

Upon hearing the Parties and inspecting their Draughts and those of the adjoining Lands it appears that McWilliams Land does not interfere with Clarks and therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Lukens agt Coxe.

Doctor Coxe not appearing though he had Notice the Board took the matter again into Consideration it having been heretofore agitated at the Board by the parties and having consider-

ed what had heretofore been urged by Doctor Coxe and the Report of Mr. Wm. MaClay upon the Case, it is ordered that Doctor Coxe have the Liberty of laying the Application of Wm. Norcross No. 1437 on the place surveyed for Jesse Lukens upon the Appl'n of Robert Iredell, jr., No 1733 provided he make Title to that Appl'n of Norcross. And that the Survey made on the Appl'n of Charles Iredell No. 594 be confirmed to Jesse Lukens he making Title thereto.

Alex'r Brown
agt
George Taylor
Assignee of
Isaac Levan.

In this case it appears that Isaac Levans Appl'n is prior to Alexander Browns but it is not so clear that the Land in dispute was designed to be included in it as that of Alexander Browns although it be within the reach of the Expression. It is the Opinion of the Board and the Governor orders that the contested Land be divided between the parties by a continuation of the North 54 West Line of Mr. John Lukens's Land across the disputed Land, And that the Survey be returned accordingly and confirmed upon paying the proprietary Demands.

Mr. John Thompsons Claim under the original Right of John Hort purchaser of 1000 A's came before the Board and it appeared to them that John Hort had a Warrant in the Year 1685 for laying out the 1000 A's and the Surveyor General a few days after made an Order to his Deputy to survey the Land. Upon Thomas Holmes then Surveyor General his Map of the province the Land of Hort is described but there cannot be found any Survey or Return of Survey. John Hort left his Land by Will to his Wife Brightwell or Brightwed Hort, Who with other Executors of John Horts Will in the Year 1692 gave a Power of Attorney to Patrick Robinson inter alia to sell and dispose of this 1000 A's of Land in which it is recited that John Hort in his life time was seized of & in 1000 A's of Land to be the same more or less with several Edifices thereon and other appurtenances situate lying and being within the Colony or province of Pennsylvania in America. Mr. Thompson alledges that the Land described on Holmes's Map hath been granted to others upon other original Rights And that therefore Horts Right still remains unsatisfy'd. And that the People settled on the Lands will not let him have their papers to satisfy the proprietary Agents of this fact. But that some of them have shewn their Papers to one Mr. Wayne who informs him that the Lands they hold are upon other Rights than Horts. The Board are of Opinion that from the Warrant

Order of Survey the Map of Thomas Holmes and the recital in the power of Attorney there is the most violent presumption that the Land was surveyed to Hort. And that it does not sufficiently appear to them that it was afterwards granted to others upon other Rights. And that a Warrant ought not to be granted to him until this Matter of the Land being granted to Others is better cleared up. And they Order that the Records of Chester County ought to be searched to see if Patrick Robinson did not make Sale of this Land in pursuance of his Power.

At a Meeting at the Statehouse on Monday, 25th Ap'l, 1774.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Matthias Ruble agt James Burd, Esq'r. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared thatMatthias Ruble took a 70 A's Warrant for the disputed Ground and some other bearing Date the 2d day of July, 1770. James Burd took out his Warrant for the disputed place the 10th of August, 1770, on which he had a survey of 48 A's 66 P. but finding his Warrant jun'r to Rubles he purchased in the Warrant Right of one Christo Brenk which appearing to be for part of the Land intended to be taken by Rubles the Board are of Opinion that James Burd have Liberty of returning the Land on Bunkers Warrant, And that Ruble may have the Residue of the vacancy surveyed & returned on his Warrant.

John Vinings, Esq'r agt Joseph Marret. }

Joseph Marret being duly served with Notice & refusing to attend the Board as appears by the Deposition of Richard McNutt, the Board took the case into Consideration and are of Opinion that the Resurvey made on the Warrant of John Vining be confirmed upon complying with the proprietary Demands.

Frederick Hoobler agt Theobald Obitz. }

There being surveys made for each party but not returned the

matter is postponed at the instance of Theobald Obitz til the Returns can be procured and likewise the information of the Surveyor concerning the Dispute.

Board of Property Monday 25th Ap'l 1774.

James Maccall
agt
James & Wm. Campbell.

Upon considering the case the Board are of Opinion that all the Land surveyed for Campbell lying to the South Eastward of the S. 25 W. 160¼ line of the survey made for James Campbell be cutt off by a continuation of the N. 60 W. 135 Ps. Line to the extremity of the survey And left to be added to McCalls Improvement, And that the Surveyor General cutt off the same and return the Residue for James Campbell to which William who acts for James consents. To John Lukens, Esq'r.

JAMES TILGHMAN,
Sec'r.

At a special Meeting at the Governors on Friday the 6th of May, 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

The Board took into consideration the granting of out Lots to accommodate the Town of Sunbury in the County of Northumberland & some of the reserved Proprietary Lots. And it is ordered by the Governor that the Surveyor General who is going soon to Sunbury do lay out a Number of Out Lots in the most convenient manner to accommodate the People residing there and having Lots patented & built on according to the Regulations at laying out the Town or who have Houses there on patented Lots, The Number of Lots not to exceed the present Number of Persons as af'd entitled to have Lots, That the Lots do not exceed in quantity five Acres but less will do better as it will make more Lots & serve more People, And when laid out they are to be drawn for by the person af'd entitled as aforesaid to have Lots. And the Surveyor General is to Report his Opinion of the most

commodious Terms to grant the said Lots upon. It is also ordered that there be no Land laid out for the purpose beyond the Gut if a convenient quantity can be found on ye side next the Town. And it is also ordered that the Surveyor General may receive Applications for any of the reserved Town Lots except the Corner Lots at the best Rent which can be got for them.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday the 23d June, 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Auditor General Mr. Hockley.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

The Board resumed the Consideration of the Application of Friends for part of the Square between seventh and Eighth Streets and Spruce & Walnut Streets the South West part next the Hospital for a Burial Ground or any other religious Use, the quantity of 3 A's 21½ P. or thereabouts which the Governor agrees to let them have at the price of 500£ Currency and the Quit Rent of 5s. St'g.

Ordered that the Surveyor General make enquiry in whose possession the several Lots fenced within the Commons of the City are and by what Right Title or Pretence they hold them & report to the Board.

Upon the application of Mr. Physick for a Lot on third Street continued of the breadth of 100 feet on 3d. Street and the Depth of 256 feet on Callowhill Street to an intended 40 foot Street the Governor agrees to let him have it at 370£ & 10s. Sterling Quit Rent.

John Stevens agt. Theophilus Gardner in behalf of Eliz'th Gardner his Daughter

It appearing to the Board that John Stevens took out an Application in the Name of one Elizabeth Gardner and had the Land surveyed thereon and paid for the surveying and it was known to be for Stevens's Use and was afterwards so understood by Theophilus Gardner himself who never till long after the survey made laid any Claim to the same but refused to pay for the survey saying the Land belonged to Stevens. John

Stevens hath a Deed of Conveyance from one Elizabeth Gardner of Cumberland County. Upon the whole the Board are of Opinion that John Stevens should have a Patent upon complying with the proprietary Demands and the Governor Orders accordingly.

At a Meeting at the State house on Tuesday the 28th day of June 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Andrew Gibson agt. William Love

It appearing upon hearing that Wm. Love hath a Warrant for the Land in Dispute and that it is not included in Gibsons Patent as his Caveat alledges therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Margaret McCarty agt. James McCord

McCord has a Warrant for the Land in dispute. In this Case it appears that Timothy McCarty Husband of Margaret had cleared about 15 A. of Land over the line of his patent Land But no Warant has been obtained for the same But that Timothy in his life time intended to take out a Warrant but was killed by a Fall from his Waggon coming to Phil-ad'a. The Board are of Opionion that if there be a sufficiency of vacant Land accommodated with Water for McCord without including the said cleared Land that the same be left out for Margaret McCarty, but if there be not a sufficiency accommodated with Water, then the cleared Land to be included in the survey of McCords Land The Cleared Land to be left for McCarty provided she take out a Warrant for the same within six Months after the Survey made for McCord.

Daniel Morris jr. agt Constantine Canon & Wife

In this case it appeared that Constantine Cannon seized of several Tracts of Land in Right of his Wife which interfered with each other, applyed for and obtained a Warrant of Resurvey

to correct their surveys and to add vacancy to make up the deficiency. After the Warrant & before survey Daniel Morris j'r had cleared & fenced about Nine Acres There is a sufficiency of Vacancy contiguous to Cannons old surveys to make up the Deficiency besides those Nine Acres. Morris offers to pay him ten shillings an Acre for what he has cleared The Board think this offer very reasonable And are of Opinion that Cannon should accept it & give Morris his Bond in the penalty of £20 to let him keep possession of the Ground and that he (Cannon) & his Wife after obtaining a Patent on the Resurvey will convey to Morris upon his payment of the 10s. an Acre as af'd And the Survey not to be accepted till those terms complied with And if Cannon dont think proper to accept these terms his quantity of Land is to be made up by adding vacancy in some other part and Morris be allowed to take up the Land he has cleared & fenced.

Robert Lovers
agt.
Abraham Walton & Or's

It appears that Walton took out a Warrant dated November 25th, 1773 for the Land in question on which there was an Application in the Year 1765 in the Name of Thomas Wells That there was early Application to the Surveyor to survey it which however was not done It is the Opinion of the Board that the Application be prefer'd But if there be any Improvm't made on the Land which will be of any value to the first Applier, it is also the Opinion of the Board that Satisfaction be made for it by the first Applier or the person to whom he hath assigned to the Improver.

William Johnston
agt
Samuel Johnston

In this Case it appears that Johnston Father of the Parties obtained a Warrant in November, 1741 for 200 As. And it appears by the Depositions of Robert Hart & William Carlisle who were Chain carriers that a Survey was made on the said Warrant several Corners of which are still remaining to be found, But no Record or Notes of the Survey is to be found. That Samuel Johnston since the death of his Father took out a Warrant for 200 As. adjoining upon hisBrother James Johnson And upon a late Survey made by Samuel McCall in a manner which he thought would suit the Conveniency of Samuel Johnson and the Heirs of his Father it seems that a Part of the old Tract is included in Samuel Survey. In Order to make a Survey according to the Intention of the first Warrant The Board are of Opinion that the Surveyor should make the Survey thereof agreeable to the Corners of the old Survey as they

are to be shewn by the Chain carriers so far as the Corners can be found And that he then proceed in the most convenient manner and as near as may be to what was originally done on that Warrant to include the 200 As. mentioned in the Warrant And after the Survey so completed of the old Tract, he lay out & Survey the Warrant of Samuel Johnson upon what Land shall be left. If in the mean time the parties can agree amongst themselves the surveyor need not proceed as above.

Gotlieb Hoobler
agt.
Jonas Hartzell

Upon hearing it appeared that Jonas Hartzell claims under an Application of one ——— Keichly for the disputed place which is prior to Hooblers Warrant therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

The Board took into Consideration the Pretensions of Seth McCormick, Mary Rees and Richard Irwin to a Tract of Land on Chillisquaque Creek And it appearing to them that their several Applications were for the same place That Seth McCormicks is the earliest it is ordered by the Governor that he hath an exceptance of the survey and a Patent.

Simpson and Foster
agt
George Albrecht of
Heidelberg Township

Simpson and Foster claim under an Appl'n of one George Albecht a different person from George Albrecht of Heidelberg Township Brother of Frederick And there being heretofore some Doubt which of the two persons the Application belonged to the matter is now cleared up by the Oath of Frederick Albrecht Brother to George of Heidelberg And a Patent is Ordered to him the same George & the Caveat of Simpson & Foster dismissed.

John Simpson
agt.
William Foulke

This Dispute is referred by Consent of Parties to John Harris John Gucreast & Marcus Hulings or any two of them who are to report their Judgment to the Board.

James Gordon in behalf of
the Hrs. of Hezekiah Manlove
agt.
Joshua Underwood & Ors.

In this Case it appears that the Land which Underwood and Others claim under a Warrant to Thomas Staton dated in Sept'r. 1752 is effected by a prior Warrant to Hezekiah Manlove in 1745 which was also surveyed for him but the survey is lost as appears

by the information of Samuel McCall The Board are of Opinion that the Warrant of Manlove shall be surveyed & returned according to a Draught thereof laid before the Board by the said McCall with a State of the Case.

George Churchman
agt
James Walker

This Case is by consent of Parties referred to Ellis Pusey and John Fulton and if they can't agree they are to chuse a third person who joining with eitner of them their Determination is to decide. When the matter is finished it is to be reported to the Board.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Monday 25th July 1774.

present

The Governor.
Mr. Tilghman.

Nicholas Yost
agt.
Caspar Fatigar

Fatiger being cited & not appearing the Board take into Consideration the Case as represented by Yost and it appears that Yost claims under an Appl'n to Anthony Bob prior to Fatigars for the same Land therefore unless Casper Fatigar can make it appear by the last Monday in September that the two Locations are of different Places or shew some other good Cause to the contrary the Land will be confirmed to Yost.

August 4th 1774 The Governor informed the Council that he had received several Letters from Captain St Clair acquainting him that the Conduct of Captain Conolly who commands at Fort Pitt under Lord Dunmore was so arbitrary and so disagreeable to many of the Traders and others at Pittsburgh who settled under Pennsylvania & are Friends to the Intrest of it that unless a Town was laid out at or near Kittanning for them to retire to it was his Opinion the Indian Trade would be lost to Pennsylvania and be turned into some other Chanell and desired their Advice And the Council being clearly of Opinion that such a Measure was expedient and proper the Governor orders that Capt. St Clair and Mr. Joshua Elder or one of them do lay out a small Town to be called by the Name of on the Ohio at some convenient Place on

the proprietary Tract near Kittaning the nearer the Middle of the Tract on the River the better And that the same be made at present to consist of convenient Lots accommodated with proper Streets Lanes & Alleys and a convenient Spuare for public Buildings; the two Main Streets to be 80 feet wide and the others 50 ft. wide the Lanes & Alleys twenty feet wide The Lots to be sixty feet in front & 230 ft. deep if the Ground and Situation will admit of that Depth. Every other Lot in the Square and all the Corner Lots in the Town to be reserved for the prop'rys and not as yet granted. No Person to be allowed to take up more than one Lot except by the Governors special Lycence a Ground Rent of 7s. Stg. ℔ Ann. for Ever to be reserved on each Lot. Those who take up Lots are to patent them in 12 Months after the Date of their Ticket and to build in three Years from that time a house of twenty feet square at least Upon failure of complying with these terms the Lots to be forfeited to the Proprietarys Who shall be at Liberty without Re-entry to grant them to any other Applier upon such terms as they think proper Applications may be made to Capt. St. Clair and Mr. Elder or either of them who are to make Entries of such Applications & transmit the Entries to the Secretarys Office to be Recorded there And they are to give the Appliers Tickets according to the terms before mentioned. The Terms to be made public at the time of laying out the Town.

Doctor William Smith agt. Peter Swartz who intermarried the Widow of Michael Weyland

Whereas there was an Order of the 7th April, 1770, for laying out several Tracts of Land for Doctor Smith in the Name of Joseph Hutchins and Michael Weyland on their several Locations which not yet being done to the Satisfaction of the Parties It is now consented and agreed by the parties present That Robert Moodie Esq'r and Robert Fruit be added to Mr. Lukens & Mr. McClay mentioned in the former Order who are to lay out the disputed Locations agreeable to the said Order and also having regard to a due Division of the Water as well as the Meadow Ground.

Upon Application of Reuben Haines to keep a Ferry from the Main Point to Fort Augusta where Captain Hunter keeps a Ferry on the Fort Augusta side and likewise from the said Point across the West Branch. Ordered a Lycence for Seven Years 20s. Sterling ℔ Annum.

There being Disputes between the Heirs & representatives of Mr. John Digges late of Maryland deceased & several People of York County occasioned by the interfering of Surveys made under

this Province partly with a Grant obtained by the said John Digges in the Year 1735 for 6822 As. or thereabouts and partly with a Resurvey of the same made in the Year 1745 both under Maryland and the said Heirs and Representatives alledging that they are not suffered to run the Lines of their Survey and Resurvey but are opposed in the same by some of those interested in the matter The Governor orders that the Surveyor General if he can attend and if he cannot that Archibald McClean and William Matthews Deputy Surveyors do survey and make a Draught of both the original Tract and Resurvey of the said Diggs at the Expence of the said Heirs and Representatives who apply for the same and because several Surveys were made under Pennsylvania before the said Resurvey which are supposed to have been made conformable to a runing of the said original Survey by Thomas Armor a good many Years ago it is ordered that the Lines be run with such Allowance for Variation as may lay the survey as near as may be to Armors runing in Order as much as possible to avoid interfering between the said original Tract and the Surveys made under Pennsylvania Grants. And that the Resurvey be run with the same Allowance for Variation as the original Survey And that the several Pennsylvania Surveys which interfere with either the original or the Resurvey be noted upon the Draught. That publick Notice be given by Mr. McClean by Advertisement to be set up in the neighborhood of the time of making the said Surveys at least ten Days before the time And when the Draught of the s'd survey is returned a Day shall be set for taking the Matter into Consideration of which Notice is to be given to Patrick McSherry who sollicited the Petition of a Number of People intended in there Disputes The runing there Lines is not to be conclusive nor to affect the Rights of either side untill established by ye Board.

The Commissioners for building the Goal apply for an additional Lot of One hundred & three feet on Sixth Street the same Depth as their other Lot at a proportionable price to that paid for their other Lot which the Governor agrees to.

Upon taking into Consideration the State of the Lands about Carlisle the Governor Orders that Colonel Armstrong & William Lyon Esqr. do proceed to lay out into convenient plantations not exceeding 100 As. nor less that 25 As. all the proprietary Lands near Carlisle on the East side of Letorts Spring except a sufficiency for additional Out Lots next to the Town. That this Work be done without Delay in order that the Lands may be sold.

Ordered also that Colo. Armstrong do return a Draught of the Out Lots with the Courses and Distances of the Lots.

John Stevens John Morgan & John Montgomery agt. William Plunket

The Board took into Consideration their order of the 26th of March, 1770 And finding by the information of the Surveyor General and John Musser that the Lands laying back of Plunkets Survey are extreamly mean and besides that there is great Reason to believe they are taken by others And being satisfyed that the Survey is agreable to the Location the Caveats are ordered to be dismissed.

At a Meeting at the State house on Monday the 26th September 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General.
The Surveyor General.

[See page 64 for the Minutes of 29d Aug't. 1774.]

Moses Wallace agt. Mary Patterson Widow of Ja's Patterson Assignee of D. Crawford Assignee of S. Reed — On Caveat.

It appeared upon hearing that Moses Wallace took out a Warrant in the Year 1754 and Samuel Read took out a Warant in 1762 That he knew of Wallaces Warrant and when he was about to execute his Warrant in 1765 the Surveyor applied to Wallace and offered to lay his Warrant where there was Land enough to satisfy it which Wallace refused and then the Surveyor executed a Warrant of Read and the Land has been possessed ever since under that Survey And it is the Opinion of the Board & the Governor Orders that Reads survey be confirmed.

Hugh McCormick agt. John Coxe — On Caveat.

It appears that the Locations under which each party claims were intended for the same place And that John Coxes's is prior to McCormicks The Governor therefore Orders that the Caveat be dismissed.

Ordered that the Surveyor General lay out into Lots the Proprietary Land between Vine Street & Peggs Run.

October 15th 1774 at the Governors.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Matthias Gantzer &
George Leichner Esq'r
agt
Richard Peters Esq'r.

The Secretary laid before the Board the Report of Samuel Johnson, Esq'r, made in consequence of an Order of the Board of the 9th May, 1769 And the several papers therein referred to And Christian Lehman who apeared for Gentzer & Leichner upon inspecting the same agreed that there was no foundation for the Caveat But that the Right of Mr. Peters founded on ye Warr't of John Price of the 5th of May, 1750, prior to any Warr't of the Caveators ought to take place the Governor therefore Orders that Mr. Peters's survey of 96 As. made in pursuance of that Warrant be accepted and confirmed acording to the Draught returned with the Report which are to be lodged in the Surveyor Generals Office.

James Smith of York Town Esq'r having obtained a Warrant bearing date the 19th day of September 1765 for a Tract of Land within the Referres adjoining said Town in order to agree with the proprietarys which Tract is found upon Survey to contain —— As. The proprietary Agents taking into Consideration that the same Tract includes an Improvement purchased by the s'd James Smith made before the Reserves were made Agree that the said James Smith shall have the Land for the sum of £183 to which he consents.

Octor. 11th. The Secretary, Surveyor General & Receiver General having taken time to consider of the dispute between Cornelius Cox & Mary Scull are of Opinion that the Caveat ought to be dismissed inasmuch as her Warrant appears to be for the place where it is surveyed And because Cornelius Cox has made a survey on his Application which leaves out the place where her survey is made And obtained a Patent upon the same And furthermore because she did not take out her Warrant till after his survey was made.

At the Governors on Tuesday the first of November 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

William Oliphant
agt.
John Anderson

The Board took this matter into consideration and it appeared to them that the matter stands upon the Locations of the Parties. William Oliphants is the prior & is bounded by Andrew Stephens John Oar & a Mountain & David English in Rye Township Cumberland County And Andersons upon William Browns Land to include an Improvement made the summer before the Location made. It appears by a Letter from Matthew Henderson the Surveyor that he circumscribed a Tract sufficient for both Locations and then divided between them And that he was of Opinion Oliphants Survey could not be bound on all his Descriptions without including a much greater Quantity then his Location mentioned But Oliphant Alledges the Surveyor has given the best Land to Anderson in preference to his Application though prior And that he has not at all regarded the Descriptions of his Location And it is now ordered that William Lyons Esq'r do go to the place and examine the Surveys & lay out Oliphants as agreeable as may be to his Descriptions & quantity And that if the Land cannot bound on all the Descriptions in the Location consistent with the Quantity the survey be made agreeable to as many of the Descriptions as will consist with the Quantity.

Henry Hoffman
agt.
Marcus Hulings

Henry Hoffman appearing but not Marcus Hulings the Dispute is postponed to the last Monday in March of which Hoffman is to give Hulings Notice.

James Moore
agt.
Robert Montgomerie

Referred by Consent of Parties to Samuel Hunter & Robert Modie Esq'rs and Samuel Allen or any two of them to settle whether the disputed place is applicable to Moores Warrant which is prior to Montgomeries & report accordingly.

Henning agt Phouts

Postponed till the last Monday in May both parties present.

Ordered that Col'o Armstrong do lay out & survey the Location under which Abraham Trump claims in such manner as he shall direct And lay out also the Improvement of Samuel Rule with a convenient Plantation about the same and return them in one Draught to the Board with such Remarks upon the Nature of Rules Improvement and the time it has been made as may be thought material in Order that the Board may make such final Order in the premises as may be agreeable to Equity Justice and the Rules of the Land Office.

On the 28th day of July, 1739 a Warrant issued to Thomas Kirton at the Request of his Attorneys Isaac and Charles Norris on the original Right of Thomas Sanders for Five hundred Acres of Land The Attorneys or one of them conveyed 203 As. of this Warrant to John Phouts. And he made three surveys himself on this his Right one of about 50 As. adjoining the Town of Bedford in Bedford County Another of about 125 As. on Dunings Creek about four Miles from Bedford in the same County and the other of about 25 As. upon Sasquehanna at the Mouth of Berrys Creek in Cumberland County These Surveys being made by himself will not be accepted being made without Authority And he is willing to have two of them that on Dunnings Creek and that on Sasquehanna resurveyed & returned by the proper Officer and the other being on the proprietarys Land at Bedford he is willing to relinquish & take the Quantity elsewhere The Surveyors of the District are therefore desired to Resurvey the two first mentioned Tracts and return them for John Phouts on the Warrant af'd as assignee of Isaac Norris who was Assignee of Thomas Kirton if the quantities be as aforesaid Otherwise to survey only the proper quantities and return them And Mr. Maclay is desired to survey the said Phouts as Assignee as a. d in Phoutz Valley adjoining his other Land Fifty Acres, for that joining Bedford relinquished as af'd. And the Officers who survey the Lands as before directed are to take in Phouts's Surveys & transmit them to me.

3d Nov'r, 1774. J. T.
Secretary.

Wednesday 30th November 1774 at the Land Office.

present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

William Alexander agt. Melchoir Ram Ex'r. of John Etter

The land in dispute being released to Alexander by the Executor of Etter as by a Writing under Hand & Seal produced appears, the Caveat is dissolved, and a Patent ordered to Alexander.

Andrew Finley Assignee of William Nelson agt. John Ritchey Assignee of Alexander McCandless

It appearing by a Certificate of William Matthews Surveyor that the Lands claimed by Ritchy do not interfere with Finleys the Caveat is dismissed and a Patent ordered to Finley.

Brotherton & Graham agt. Frederick Stover

The parties agree to come to a hearing peremptorily the last Monday in May next.

Samuel Cochran Assignee of Elizabeth Reed agt. Christian Long Assignee of Abraham Hare

It appears that Abraham Hare took out four or five Warrants in 1755 for Lands on great Mahanoy or John Penns Creek & surveyed several of them One of 50 As. located about ten Miles above Gabriels Mill was not surveyed till lately The Survey is alledged by Cochran to be 16 Miles above Gabriels Mill and out of the Indian Purchase made in 1754. Elizabeth Reed took out an Application after the new purchase made for the disputed place & sold it to Cochran who had a survey made about three Years agoe Afterwards about two Years agoe Long had a survey made on the Warrant which goes through the Middle of Cochrans. Cochran has a Tenant on the Land on which is a loggd House and three or four Acres of Fall Grain Hare had made Affidavit to the Identity of the place of his Warrant Mr. Maclay in whose District the disputed place is, is requested to certify the Distance of the place from Gabriels Mill & whether within or without the old purchase.

Doctor Wm. Smith & George Yagle agt. Michael Shaeffer

George Yeagle claims under Application No. 586 21st of August

1765 upon which 317 As. 96 Ps. were surveyed Nov'r 17th 1765 returned in to the S. G's Office July 20 1766 and conveyed by John Scull who took out the Application, to Doctor Smith. March 23d 1769 Peter Yocum took out an Appl'n No. 3909 for 300 Acres of land said to adjoin Sasqupehanna & Thomas McKee and sold the same to Michael Shaeffer who had been settled on part of the Land in dispute. In October, 1772, Sheaffer got Mr. Bertram Galbreath to make a Survey on Yocums Appl'n and took in the valuable part of the Survey before made for John Scull. This survey does not join Sasquehanna but begins about a Mile from it and includes 394 As. Sheaffer sold his to Yagle who entered into Possession and afterwards finding that Dr. Smiths Survey was elder came & agreed to purchase his Right And Shaeffer being cited to a hearing on a Caveat on the 30th of May last came to Town with Yagle And agreed as Yagle had also purchased of him not to claim on Yocums Order and gave up all Right to the Land and gave an Order to the Secretary to withdraw the Caveat and so the Dispute ended. He and Yagle afterwards agreed to relinquish their Bargain and Yagle gave up the Bill of Sale Sheaffer had passed And now Sheaffer relinquish's his Right under Yocum and resorts to an Improvement Right he sets up prior to Sculls Application and survey and Alledges Scull surveyed the Land for him before and promised to get him a Right for it which he did not which induced to purchase Yocum's Appl'n to cover the Improvement Right which Application & survey he now relinquishes and stands upon his Improvement Right insisting also that Sculls Appl'n under which Smith & Yagle claim is not for the dispute Ground but a place 25 Miles distant. The Board upon considering the Circumstances of Doctor Smiths purchasing after a survey returned upon a prior Application and Sheaffers selling to Yagle, and settling the Matter by withdrawing the Dispute which had been commenced are of Opinion that Yagles Title ought to be confirmed and that the survey made as af'd on Yocums Application ought not to be accepted.

Jonathan Hagar Ex'r. of Martin Carsner agt. Evan Jenkins

Both parties claim under Appl'ns & Improvements Carsners Application is elder than that claimed by Evan Jenkins purchased by him from Agne McCrea, and Jenkins has the first survey The disputed Ground is only about 40 As. claimed by Hagar off Jenkins's Survey This piece added to Carsners part wil make their Quantities nearly equal But Jenkins produces some Affidavits which prove that the person

whose Improvements he bought held & claimed this place and that it is within the consentable Lines settled between the two Improvers under which the parties claim the Board upon the whole are of Opinion and determine that the Survey of Jenkins shall be confirmed and a Patent granted And that the Surveyor return for the Executors of Carsner In Trust for his Representatives the Remainder of the vacant Land.

Jacob Shugle al's Shagle
agt.
Andrew Finley

Andrew Finley claims under a Warrant to Sampson Archer dated the first Day of June 1762 a place called the Lick Swamp containing by Mr. Matthews Draught 83 As. 74 Ps. and a place called Turkey Swamp a part of it by an Application purchased from Lawrence Bower No. 2383 dated 14th January, 1767 Jacob Shagle claims the Lick Swamp under a Warrant dated the 9th June 1763 which is younger than Finleys for the same place Shagles Warrant expresses to be on the head of Codorus Spring adjoining Henry Bower John McCall & James McKissick He claims a Tract called the Broken Grounds under an Application for 300 As. No. 2044 adjoining his other Land James McKissick Thomas McKown John McCleary & Hugh Purdy. He claims Turkey Swamp by two Warrants 50 Acres each One adjoining his other Land James McKissick Robert McKissick & John McCall including his Improvements the other adjoining his other Land James McKissuck Henry Bower & John McCall both dated the 1st August 1766 Lawrence Bowers Application under which Finley claims Turkey Swamp or part of it expresses to be adjoining Jacob Shagle Thomas McCown Henry Bower, James McKissuck & John Montgomery. Finley likewise alledges that this Application was founded on a claim purchased by Bower of one Montgomery. Finleys Warrant for Lick Swamp is certainly elder than Shagles if it be for the same place The other Warrants and the Application of Shagle are elder than the Application of Lawrence Bower under which Finley claims Turkey Swamp and the whole Quantity expressed in his Warrants and Application amounting to 450 As. and Allowance must be satisfyd in the most convenient manner to both parties And as this is a matter which can best be determined upon a View of the Ground William Smith & Mich'l Swoope & Charles Lukens Esq'rs or some two of them if all cannot attend are desired to view the Lands and to report upon a Draught of these Lands made by Mr. William Matthews in what manner the Lands of the contending parties ought to be laid out this they are requested to do by the last Monday in May next.

John Adam Wertz
agt.
Daniel Kahn

Werts had an Improvement & Claim to a pretty large Quantity of Land which he requested Bartram Galbreath to run round for him and he would get Rights for the same which Bartram did as by a Draught amounting to 983 As. & Allowance He afterwards procured two Warrants for 300 As. each But before he obtained these Warrants Daniel Kahn got a warrant for 300 As. at this place. Upon view of the Draught the Board are of Opinion that the Surveyor lay off on the East side of the large Tract the Warrant of Daniel Kahn by a straight Line from the East Line of the Tract to the S. 75 W. 150 Ps. Line of the same & return for Wertz on his two Warrants the Remainder or Western part of the Tract And if there should be any small Improvement made by Wertz on the part which is allotted to Kahn the same is to be valued by two of the Neighbours to be chosen by them and Kahn is to pay the amount of the Award to Wertz to which Kahn agrees and upon this Consideration Wertz also agrees to the said Division And if either side will not choose one Referree the other may choose them both.

December 21st 1774. The Secretary laid before the Governor a Letter from Col'o Armstrong respecting the Order heretofore made for surveying the Proprietary Lands near Carlisle into Tracts of not less than 25 As. nor more than 100 in Order for the sale of them in which Col'o Armstrong expresses his Opinion that it will be more commodious to make the Tracts larger than 100 As. And the Governor taking the same into Consideration is pleased to Order that the Lands be laid out into such Tracts as may be most Convenient for Sale which is left to the Judgment of Col'o Armstrong & Mr. Wm. Lyon who are to lay them out.

At the Secretarys Office on Monday 26th D'r 1774.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Clark
agt.
Christopher Whitmore

It appearing to the Board that Whitmores Warrant of 50 A's

25 *

dated the 19th April 1773 is within a prior Location of Michael Koon dated the 16th October 1765 and survey thereon prior to Whitmores it is Ordered that Whitmores Survey be not accepted.

John Job
agt.
Christopher Whitmore

It appearing that John Job made a small Improvement Cleared & fenced about ten Acres That soon afterwards Christopher Whitmore took out a Warrant for 25 As. of Vacant Land And that there is sufficient vacant Land to answer the Warrant clear of Jobs Improvement It is the Opinion of the Board that Whitmores Warrant be executed clear of Jobs fenced Ground.

George Churchman
agt.
James Walker

This Matter having been referred to Ellis Pusey & John Fulton they make a Report which being laid before the Board & considered is confirmed.

Tuesday the 31st January 1775 at the Governors.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Elmsley applies for the 44 foot strip on the South of Growdons Lot from 2d. St. to 3d. Street and the Board will take into Consideration at what Ground Rent to let it.

English John Harrison applies for two Islands near the Mouth of Shamokin one 17 As. the other 10 And a piece of 8 As. ¼ at the Mouth of Shamokin Creek adjoining the Out Lots and one Acre in the side of the hill on the other Side the Creek The Governor allows him to purchase the same at the price of 45s. an Acre to be paid by Christmas next with Int. & Quit Rent from this time otherwise the Contract to be void to which he agrees.

Thomas Paschall
agt.
Robert Taggart

Upon hearing it appeared that both Warrants are for the same Ground or nearly And that Paschall is prior And the Governor Orders that his Warrant be first surveyed so as to join Gilcreasts Land according as expressed in the Warr't.

And that the surveyor who executes shall take good & bad Land as it comes and lay the survey as much as possible at Right Angles And if any land left which has no prior Warr't or Location on it that it be surveyed for Taggart.

At the Receiver Generals on Saturday the 4th February 1775.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.
The Receiver General.
The Surveyor General.

The Secretary laid before the Board a Letter from Samuel Johnston Esq'r concerning letters the Lots in York Town. The Board approve of Mr. Johnstons letting Four Lots No. 262, 263, 264 & 265 to David Jameson Esq'r, and the Governor consents that he shall let other Lots in that part of the Town at the usual Rent upon Conditions of Improvement as usual.

As to the Lots which had been taken up and not Improved the Governor consents to let the Owners have them upon the terms of their original Tickets paying the back Rents.

David Waggoner applys for 20 As. of Ground adjoining his Mill Land the Governor consents he may have it at 2s. 6d. st'g. ℔. Annum.

A Lot of 75 feet and 250 feet deep on Front Street adjoining Joseph Lyn and Samuel Shoemaker between Callowhill Street & Vine Street has been applied for by Samuel Shoemaker Charles Thompson, John Lukens Joseph Lyn, Bonsal & Clarkson Joseph Donaldson and Edward Shippen j'r. Ordered that the Secretary consult Joseph Fox and Peter Knight about the value of the Lot & report to the Board & when the price is fixed that it be offered to the said Appliers in their Order.

Ordered that a Warrant be issued for the prop'rys to lay out two lots of 20 f't front each on the East side of Front Street between John Brittain & Thomas Salcar.

Thomas Simpson of Pextang in Lancaster County applies for two small Islands opposite his Plantation for which he offers to pay 50s. an Acre which the Governor agrees to & a Patent is ordered to issue.

At the Governors Friday the 17th February 1775.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.
The Surveyor General.

The Board took into Consideration the Case of Jonathan Holmes which is as follows: Jonathan Holmes bought of one Cowan a Tract of Land near Carlisle. Cowan had purchased the Rights of John Hogshead & William Edminston—Hogshead had a Lycence from Blunston for 200 As. & Edminston had a Lycence from Blunston for 300 As. In the Year 1751 Mr. Holmes took out a Warrant for 400 As. upon which Colo Armstrong surveyed a Tract of 598 As. & 120 Ps. but before the Return made he discovered that 140 As. 40 Ps. of it were within the proprietary Survey made by Mr. Cookson before Holmes took out his Warrant and therefore mentioned the matter in his Return which only consisted of 458 As. ½. as under the Warrant and the rest under its particular Circumstances And the Governor thinks it reasonable that Mr. Holmes should have 500 As. the quantity of the two Lycences upon the common Terms And that he shall pay for the Residue of the Residue of the 140 As. 40 Ps. as other proprietary Lands of like quality & Situation are sold at the general sale which is to be of the Carlisle Lands lately surveyed into Plantations.

Robert Hare applies for four Lots of Ground on Margaretta Street to build a Malthouse and make other Improvements which the Governor allowed him to take up on the terms other Lots thereabouts have been let.

Edward Jones agt. Samuel Cochran

Samuel Cochran having obtained a Survey and Edward Jones having left this Country and its not known where he resides and not having left any Power to prosecute his Caveat the Governor orders it to be dismissed and a Patent granted to Cochran.

Ephraim Blaine offers 45£ an Acre without All'ce for Roads &c'a for about Seventy Acres adjoining that he lately purchased near Carlisle and the Governor agrees to let him have it at that price.

Land Office Feb'ry 27th 1775.

There being many Applications for Warrants on the heads of Crooked Creek two Lick Creek Cowan Shannock Laurell Run

Stump Creek Sugar Creek and other Waters thereabouts which it is not certain are within the late Indian purchase of Fort Stanwix The Governor orders that Thomas Smith and Joshua Elder the two Deputy Surveyors in that part of the Country do immediately before they enter upon the surveying of any more Warrants this Season run a traverse from the Canoe place on Sasquehanna to Kittaning & then carefully calculate & run a direct Line to the Canoe place & mark it so that they may know when Warrants are to be surveyed whether the Land be within or without the purchase. If it be more convenient to begin the Traverse from the Kittaning they may do it and run the line from Sasquehanna to Kittaning That they do not execute any Warrants or run any Surveys which are out of the purchase That they report to the Secretary when they have completed the Line And that in the mean time the Secretary do not issue any Warrants upon the Lands of the Waters aforesaid.

At the Governors on Tuesday the 7th March 1775.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary.
The Receiver General.
The Surveyor General.

Adam Erban applys for a Lot No. 3 on the West side of Front Street continued which was granted by Warrant the 14th April 1762 to David Richards who not having complied with terms of building nor paid any Rent the same is become forfeited. He agrees to pay £50 Sterling for the Arrearages and five pounds Sterling ℔. Annum Ground Rent which the Governor accepts.

Upon the Petition of John Miller Ordered a Patent.

Upon Application of the Corporation of the Lutheran Congregation last fall now renewed by Peter Miller for a Lot on the North side of Vine Street a little to the Eastward of Sixth Street for a Burying Ground they are allowed to have it on terms to be settled.

March 11th 1775 at the Governors.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.

Ordered that Hugh Roberts j'r have the two Lots he applied for on the West side of 3d. Street continued at 6s. Sterling ℔ foot front on the common terms of building.

Ordered that the 75 foot Bank & Water Lot mentioned in the minutes of the 4th February be offered to the Appliers Sam'l Shoemaker Charles Thompson John Lukens Joseph Lynn, Bousal & Clarkson Joseph Donaldson & Edward Shippen j'r in their Ordered at 15s. sterling ℔ foot of the Front.

[G. T. accepted See a paper pined to the Rough Minutes].

That an Advertizement be published of the Sale of the Carlisle Lands, That Col'o Armstrong treat with purchasers & receive & transmit proposals of purchase to the Secretary Terms of Payment One Third down the Residue in 18 Months upon Intrest & Quit Rent of ½d. St'g. ℔ Acre.

Benjamin Chew Esq'r offers £50 ℔ Cent. for the Proprietary Tract in Kishiquoquillis Valley which the Governor agrees to take.

At a Board held at Mr. Physicks on Thursday the 30th March 1775.

present

The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

Robert Smith agt. William Clark of Upper Paxton

Clark not appearing although regularly notifyed the Board take the matter into Consideration & find that William Clark claims under an Application for 300 As. No. 2691 to himself dated the 15th June 1767 Smith claims under an Application for 200 As. to Andrew Carr No. 371 dated the 5th August 1765 And that Clarks Application was to include an Improvement which it appears belonged to Robert Clark his Father They also find that Carrs Application is founded on a Claim adjoining Clark and that there were consentable Lines settled between Robert Clark & Carr. Surveys have been made both for Clark & Carr But as Smith alledges Clarks Survey contains some Lands which Robert Clark always allowed to Andrew Carr. And Carrs which was made without his privity leaves out part of his Claim and he produces some Depositions to that purpose and alledges further that there is Land sufficient for both Applications And it is the Opinion of the Board that Bartram Galbreath the Deputy of the District do enquire into the facts as to the conditional Lines between Clark & Carr and that he make both the surveys agreeable to those Lines and make both quantities if there be vacancy sufficient & return a Draught for the Approbation of the Board.

At the Governors on Wednesday 5th April 1775.

Christopher Ludwig in behalf of the Lutheran Congregation applys for a Lot on Sassafrax Street for a burial Ground next to the Corner Lot of 6th Street 66 feet front on the North side of Sassafrax Street & goes back to the Lots of Vine Street allowed to have the Ground at a price to be agreed on & a Quit Rent of 5s. Stg.

Elias Hummill of the Dry Lands agrees to pay £55 ℔ hundred to pay £30 at least the first of May & pay Intrest from this time and Quit Rent of ½ Stg. ℔ Acre from the 1st of March the Quantity is about 245 & is No. 31 in the general Draught.

Abraham Young in behalf of his Father John Young agrees for his Dry Land Tract No. 67 at £65 to pay 35£ by the 1st of May Int. from this time & Quit Rent from 1st March last.

Michael Lavol No. 39 90 half 1st May.

April 26th 1775. Upon Application of William Thompson j'r in behalf of his Father William Thompson the said William Thompson the Father is allowed to settle upon a proprietary Tract being the most Westerly Manor in Potters Township Northumberland County joining the Great Plains He is to take a Lease for 300 at the West End of the Tract for 7 Years and in that time to build a goodLogg'd Dwelling house and Barn twenty foot square and to pay the Proprietarys twelve shillings & six pence Sterling ℔ Ann. and also to pay the Taxes.

WM. THOMPSON.

Upon Application of William Thompson j'r he is allowed to settle upon 300 As. adjoining his Fathers Settlement on the most Westerly of the Proprietary Manors in Potters Township in Northumberland County and to take a Lease for seven Years on the same Terms of his Fathers.

WILLIAM THOMPSON.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Wednesday 26th day of April 1775.

present

The Governor.
The Sec'ry Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.

Jacob Bomberger agt. John Gray

John Gray claiming under an old Warrant & survey to one

Andrew Scott and a vacating Warrant of Resurvey to himself Jacob Bomberger prays time till the last Monday in September next to search for the field Works of Edward Smout who is said to have made Andrew Scotts survey and John Gray is to prove the Resurvey to be according to the old Lines of Survey.

The Secretary laid before the Board Mr. Samuel Johnstons Letter of the 7th Instant advising to lay out Lots for building on both sides of Georges Street in York Town from the present Lots to the Land now belonging to John Hays The Governor approves the same and Mr. Johnston is desired as soon as may be to have the Land laid out into convenient Lots, to have a plan returned & to report his Opinion of what may be thought a reasonable Ground Rent.

John Musser agt. Benjamin Jacobs

Upon inspection of the Report made by Joshua Elder in pursuance of an Order of the Board of the 29th August 1774 The Governor orders that John Musser shall give Notice to the Ex'r of Benjamin Jacobs (who is since deceased) to shew Cause the fifth Day of May next why the surveys made on Mussers Warr'ts should not be accepted & Patents issue.

At a Meeting of the Board in the Council Chamber on Monday the 29th August 1774.

present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

John Musser agt. Benjamin Jacobs

Upon hearing it appears that John Musser obtained Warrants in January 1774 the leading one describing to include a Spring which rises among some little Ridges and leads into a branch of Crooked Creek about one mile Southward from an encampment of Benjamin Jacobs and to extend towards said Camp in the County of Westmoreland. Benjamin Jacobs in April obtained Warrants the first begining at the upper Forks of a small Creek called Cowanshannock and is supposed to be about 5 or 6 Miles South Eastward from where John Golden now lives in the County of Northumberland. John Musser alledges that his Warrant will extend on the Land on which Jacobs Warrants are located And it appearing to

the Board to be very material to settle the beginning of the prior Warrants it is ordered that the Deputy of district do repair to the place where Musser alledges his first beginning is And that he in a very particular manner describe and return to the Board the same place and how it lyes and is Situated with respect to the Waters of Crooked Creek & Cowanshannock and also with respect to the Lands described in Jacobs Warrants that the Board may proceed to a decision of the Matter and that Benjamin Jacobs have Notice of the time he intends to be upon this Service And that he likewise report to the Board any representations which either of the Parties may think material in their Dispute And it is futher ordered that the Surveyor lay out the prior Warrants according to what he shall think the true location in order that the Board may Confirm them if properly laid.

James Cochran
agt.
George Miller

It appearing to the Board that James Cochran had less than his quantity surveyed which Survey was return'd And that afterwards Miller obtain'd a Warrant for the Land left out of the Survey. The Governor orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

John Morgan
agt.
William Clark

Doctor Morgan represents to the Board that by a Argument heretofore made at the Board with William Clark by the recommendation of the Board he was to have laid out to him on his prior Location in the Name of Sarah Mason two hundred Acres out of a survey of about four hundred Acres made by the Surveyor on that Application and another in the Name of Hannah Mason to which William Clark in part laid claim And that the Surveyor hath not allowed him that quantity upon pretence that there was not four hundred Acres in the Tract. And the Board are now of Opinion that it was the intent of that Stipulation that D'r Morgan shou'd at all events have two hundred Acres And the Governor orders that so much be cutt off from Clarks part surveyed to him as will make up to D'r Morgan the two hundred Acres And William Clark is allowed the preference of purchasing an Island opposite his Land, at such price as shall be agreed upon with the Agents.

[See Caveat Book No. 7 Page 295.]

Philip Opp
agt.
John Moyer

It appearing that the Land in dispute is within four miles of

Easton and that Opp hath an Application in the Year 1765 to agree with the Proprietaries which does not appear to have been ever Surveyed and which is supposed to effect Moyers Warrant of 1772 The Governor orders that the Application be surveyed according to its location And if any land be left that it be applied to Moyers Warrant.

Wm. Maccreary
agt.
Robert Work

It is agreed between the Parties is that Robert Work shall pay William McCreary within six Weeks the sum of Eighteen Pounds fifteen Shillings Currency for a relinquishment of his right to the Land in dispute and likewise to the piece of land between the disputed Land and the Creek Upon which William McCreary agree to give Robert Work possession of the said Land intended to be relinquish'd as soon as the Tenant now upon it can conveniently remove his present Crop and Effects And it is order'd that the said piece of Land on the Creek be added to Works survey and return'd in one entire Tract.

James Irwin
agt.
Nicholas Bettinger

It appears that Nicholas Bettinger claims under a Warrant of 1762 to Jacob Oswald for 100 Acres on little Anteetem adjoining George Cook Adam Small and David Haver, which Warrant has not yet been surveyed And in 1765 James Irwin took out an Application for 200 Acres adjoining George Cooks saw mill Henry Smith and the Proprietors line which has been survey'd. It is the Opinion of the Board that James Cunningham and Archibald McClean go to the disputed ground and in the first place lay out Oswalds Warrant according to its location to lay clear of Irwins survey if it can be done consistently with the location of the Warrant, And they are likewise to examine if Irwins Survey lays according to its Location And they are likewise to examine if Bettinger has taken any Applications for Lands which Oswalts Warrant will affect and if such Locations are upon any Land which the Warrant at the time of obtaining would affect they are to lay the Warrant on it notwithstanding the Application, Bettinger is to appoint a time when the Surveyors can attend and then to give Irwin Notice.

John Byers
agt.
Wm. Marshall

The parties being both sick and unable to attend the last Monday in October is appointed to hear this dispute.

At the Receivers Generals Office Monday 29th May 1775.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

James Galbraith agt. George Woods

The Parties appear'd and the Governor not being in Town the parties submitted the Matter to the Secretary and Receiver And it appearing that James Galbreath had a Warrant survey and Patent for the Land in dispute And that James Woods father of the said George in the year 1745 had a Survey made without Warrant and which was never returned nor any Improvement made upon the place And that George Woods took out a Warrant in the year 1770 for that and some other Land and not before Wherefore the Secretary and Receiver are of Opinion that Woods ought not to have the disputed Land returned And that it be cutt off his survey.

At a Meeting at the Governors on Tuesday 27th of June 1775.

Present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

James Huston agt. Charles Hall assignee of McCord

Upon hearing it appear'd that Charles Hall claims under a Location of Seth Matlack long prior to James Hustons Location and has a Survey Therefore his Survey is confirmed upon paying Proprietary demands.

Arthur Irwin agt. Conrad Bower & Son.

Refferr'd by the Parties to John Hainy Esquire Abraham Van Middleswork & Benjamin Williams or any two of them who are desired to determine this Matter and report to the Board by the last Monday in September.

Agustine
agt.
Wildgantz

Agreed and Agustine conveyed to Wildgantz by Deed dated this 27 June 1775.

The Reverend Mr. Batwell having applied for a Tract of two or three hundred Acres of Land (part of the proprietarys lands on the West side of Carlisle) on the South side of the Road leading from Carlisle to Col. Armstrong's Meadow and adjoining Col. Armstrongs late grant from the Proprietarys and a Claim set up by Mr. Ephraim Blaine in right of the Heirs of Hugh Parker The Governor orders that Col'o Armstrong lay ont two hundred and fifty Acres at the place aforesaid in a commodious manner for Mr. Batwell in order that he may agree with the Proprietary Agents for the price of the same. And as Mr. Batwell wants to proceed immediately to the Improvement of the Tract The Governor requests Col'o Armstrong expidite the Survey.

August 1st 1775.

John Musser
agt.
Benjamin Jacobs

Israel Jacobs Ex'r of Benjamin Jacobs having Notice of hearing and not making a Satisfactory excuse for not attending The Governor took into Cousideration the Report of Joshua Elder made in this dispute in Consequence of the Order of the Board of the 29th Aug't 1774 And upon mature deliberation orders that the Surveys of Musser be confirmed.

September 26th 1775. Before
The Secretary.
The Receiver General.

John Kerr
agt.
Robert Peoples

The Parties agreed at the Board to refer their dispute to William Plunket Robert Moodie & Samuel McClay Esq'rs and William Watson and John Brady or any two of them And they are desired by the Board to report their determination to whom the Land in dispute ought to belong by the last day of February next.

Jacob Bromberger
agt.
John Gray

The last Monday in this Month being appointed for the Parties to Support their pretensions made at a hearing on the last Monday in April last and John Gray not appearing a further day is given till the last Monday in November next of which Bromberger is to give Gray Notice And in the mean time Mr. Galbreath the Surveyor of the district is to return Brombergers Survey as he made it and also John Gray's Resurvey according to the old Lines surveyed by Andrew Scott In order that the Board may inspect the interfering of the Surveys and finally determine the Matter.

On Wednesday November 1st 1775 at the Receiver Generals Office.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

Phillip Miller
agt.
Conrad Long

Phillip Miller having Notice to Long to appear the last Monday in October and Long having waited several days and Miller not appearing to support his Caveat the same is dismissed.

John Boyer
agt.
John Old

John Old having a Survey on a prior Application Boyer alledges he had his Land Surveyed at another place before on the same Application & undertakes to prove the same on the last Monday in this Month to which time the dispute is postponed.

At the Governors the 21st day of December 1775.

Present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

Mr. Ephraim Blaine Attorney of William Trohack who is Heir and devisee of Hugh Parker deced. in behalf of the said William Trohack lays claim to a part of the Proprietary Lands Westward

of the Town of Carlisle and produces a Paper expressing to be a Copy of a Warrant for five hundred Acres of Land at the head of Letorts Springs between McCallister, Daniel Williams John Mitchell one McMullin Patrick Davis and John McClure at Conedoguinet in Pennsboro' Township bearing date the fifteenth day of December 1743 But this paper being no regular Office Copy and no Original Warrant being to be found nor any purchase Money pretended to be paid And it not appearing that any Survey was ever made at that place for the said Hugh Parker who lived a considerable time after the Date of this Paper the Board concluded that there either never was any original Warrant or that it was relinquish'd by the said Hugh Parker However Mr. Blaine offering on behalf of the said Trohack to pay at the Rate of £60 ℔ hundred Acres for the quantity of Five hundred Acres of the Land and to take the residue himself supposed to be about five hundred Acres more at the same price & under Quit Rent of one half penny Sterling ℔ Acre to commence the first of March next One half the purchase Money to be paid in twelve Months from this date without Intrest & the Residue in one year after with Intrest, the Governor accepts of those Terms And Col'o Armstrong is order'd to lay out the five hundred Acres And also the Residue of the Tract and to return Drafts of the same in order that Warrants may issue to accept them for Confirmation when the Terms are complied with.

The Governor agrees to let Mr. Blaine have the Lott No. 4 in the Lands Eastward of Carlisle at Ninety Pounds Curr'y ℔ hundred Acres and usual Quit Rent to pay as other purchasers of those Lotts have agreed to pay.

At the Surveyor Genehals on Wednesday the 7th of Feb'y 1776.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Robert Levers agt. Samuel Powell & Joseph Morris

Upon considering the Papers and Allegations of the Parties it appears that Mr. Powell & Mr. Morris claim by Warrants of 4th & 6th March & 11th of April 1775 to themselves & Margaret and Richard Willing for Lands on Broadheads Creek and Tobihanna

and Surveys made but not returned under them, And Robert Levers claims under Applications by Abel Gibons Nicholas Lesher and Frederick Vanderlip made in 1765 for Lands in those parts And also sets up a Warrant in the year 1750 for Lands Robinson had Assigned to the late Mr. Lardner and partly Surveyed to him on Broadheads Creek in a place called Purgatory It appeared also that Mr. Levers had applied to the Deputy Surveyor of the District to survey some Lands for him in those parts before Mr. Powell & Mr. Morris obtained their Warrants but that he cou'd not then do the business being otherwise engaged It appears also that the Application of Abel Gibons interferes with the Warrant of Mr. Powell but that their is vacant land sufficient for both And that the Application of Vanderlip & Lesher do not satisfactorily appear to interfere at all with the Claims of the Defendants And it was long after the time allowed for making Surveys on those Applications before Mr. Levers even applied to the Surveyor to execute them. And upon the whole Matter the Board are of Opinion that the Warrant of Peter Robinson must have a preferrence & be laid where the survey was began and that the Application of Abel Gibbons must be laid in a convenient manner as may be on part of Mr. Powell & Mr. Morris's Survey & the adjacent Vacant Lands so as to include the descriptive part of the Application with as little inconvenience to their Surveys as may be, But that the Applications of Vanderlip & Lesher ought not to effect the Claims of the Defendants.

March 5th 1776.

Daniel Williams applies to the Governor for the Use of a Lott of Ground on Spruce Street adjoining the Potters field & the friends new burying ground heretofore in the possession of Benjamin East. by the Proprietarys permission and since held by Robert Eastburn, Which the Givernor is pleased to allow during his (the Governors) own will and pleasure.

April 15th 1776.

Upon the Application of the German Lutheran Congregation of this City for two pieces of Ground the one for a burying place the other for Parsonage house & School house The Governor agrees to let them have for the said burying place a piece of Ground between 8th Street Sassafras Street & one of the Public Spuares to be laid out in Breadth on Sassafras

Street 252 feet and in length on 8th Street towards Vine Street the distance of 140 feet from Vine Street and bounding on the East for the same length on the said Square. For which they are to Pay £500 current Money in twelve Months without Intrest till that time is expired and an annual Quit Rent of 5 shillings Sterling for Ever. The Piece of Ground for a Parsonage house and School house is to be laid out on Vine Street and the Square to begin at the corner of the square on Vine Street & to extend in Breadth 80 feet on Vine Street towards 8th Street & in depth towards Sassafras Street 140 feet This they are to have on a Quit Rent of 5s. Sterling And the Secretary is ordered to make out a Warrant to the German Lutheran Congregation in & near the City of Philadelphia.

April 18th 1776 at the Receiver Generals Office.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

Archibald McCall Ev'r of Wm. Plumsted Esq'r deced. agt Joseph Williams

Joseph Williams father of the present Joseph Williams took out a Warrant the 20 day of October Anno Domini 1742 for 100 Acres of Land on Yellow breeches Creek in York County and had a Survey made which was never returned after this he died having first requested on his Death bed that his Wife might dispose of his Land for the support of his Children of which Request a Memorandum was taken in Writing and Subscribed by the said Williams and he soon after died The Widow intermarried with one James Robinson who lived but a short Time and then she married one Humphry Ellis who together with his Wife sold the land to Allen Rabinet for Thirty Pounds Rabinet sold it to George Croghan & Croghan to William Plumsted She brought up the Children of Williams There are no Deeds of these Sales nor is the Said Memorandum to be found, but these facts are disclosed in the Depositions of Tobias Hendricks and other Neighbours who were as then Privy to the Transactions or were told then by the Parties And Possession hath all along gone according to the Sales. As no Survey was of this Tract returned for Williams Mr. Plumsted had a Resurvey

thereof Made in 1754 by the Deputy Surveyor of York County Joseph Williams above named made Application for a Patent on his fathers Warrant in March 1775 And gave the Executors of Plumsted Notice of a hearing before the Board of Property on the last Monday in May last At which time Mr. McCall appeared but Joseph Williams did not nor has he ever since prosecuted the Matter nor is it known where he is and now Mr. McCall applys for a Confirmation of the Title in Trust for Mr. Plumsteds Estate. And upon consideration of the Matter the Board are of Opinion that as in those early times, the Rules of transfering Real Property were not regarded in case of unpatented Lands And these kind of Sales have ben very frequent and have been establish'd in the Courts of Law especially where, (as here) there has been a corresponding Change of Possession And many Valuable Estates depend on this kind of Title Mr. McCall shou'd have a Patent as requested formed on the Warrant to Williams the Subsequent transferr's and Sales as above proved and Mr. Plumsteds Resurvey which is ordered to be accepted.

At the Governors on Monday the 29th of April, 1776.

Present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver Mr. Physick.

Richard Smith, Rich'd Mannering & Thomas Studham agt. Thomas Proctor

Upon hearing the Parties it appears that Thomas Proctor claims under an old Warrant granted to John Durborrough in the year 1734 and a Survey made the 20th Feb'ry last upon a new copy of it issued in January last which Survey was made after the date of Richard Smiths located Warrant and before Mannering & Studhams but in as much as the Expressions of Durborroughs Warrant do not extend to the Lands located by these Warrants which at the time of obtaining that Warrant were in Maryland and have since fallen into the Lower Counties by the Provincial line and do not join upon Lands surveyed in that County at that time of the Warrant The Governor orders those Warrants to take place and also any other heretofore issued which will affect Proctors Survey He also orders that the said Surveys be laid in such manner as may be convenient to the parties and at the same time as

little injurious to Proctors Survey as may be which will be confirmed as to the Residue of it not affected by the said Warrants upon his complying with the Warrants from the time of Survey with the Proprietary Demands.

John & Henry Bull
agt
Isaiah Jones & Others

It appearing that Jones & others have the prior Warrants and that they are surveyed agreeable to their Locations according to the Certificate of Bertram Galbreath the Surveys are ordered to be accepted.

Upon the Representation of Thomas White Esquire Deputy Surveyor of a part of Kent and Sussex and others that several persons have obtained Warrants of Resurvey for Several Tracts of Land in order to reduce them into one entire Tract together with several contiguous quantities of Vacancy lying between the said Tracts And that the said vacancy will in some instances amount to more than three hundred Acres, And that it will be very inconvenient to such Persons if they are not allowed on their Warrants of Resurvey to include the whole of the Vacancy so interspers'd amongst their several Tracts of Land It is thought reasonable that they should be allowed so to do And the Governor accordingly allows them that Liberty But at the same time such Persons are not allowed to include all the Vacant land around or on the outside of their several Surveys in prejudice of others to whom the same may be equally convenient But in such cases the Surveyor is to divide the Vacant land between the Several Persons whose Warrants may affect it in such manner as may best suit the convenience of each of them And in cases of dispute or disagreement of the parties which they cannot settle themselves it is recommended that they chuse three indifferent persons of their Neighbours who are not concerned in the matter and had no dispute of the Kind, to settle the difference And according to their decision or any two of them the Surveyor is to make the Survey And the same Rule is to be observed in disputes upon common Warrants And in order to ease the people from the trouble of procuring new Warrants or receiving their Money back where land located by their Warrant is found to be Subject to prior Warrants or Surveys the Governor orders that in such Case the Survey may be made on any other Vacant land in the County Provided it be done within the time prescribed in the Warrant & in such case the Surveyor in his Return is to certify that the Land first located was found to be subject to some prior Warrant or contained within some prior survey.

The Subscriber Thomas Gilpin having applied in behalf of him-

self and others for a number of Warrants to the Amount of 12,000 Acres on the two Lick, Chest, Crooked & Clearfield Creeks, It is agreed that of these Lands two Tracts of One thousand Acres each shall be laid off for the Honorable Proprietaries, of equal quality in general and as commodiously situated as the generality of the Tracts to be surveyed for the Adverturers And Whereas the Lands are in the Warrants generally expressed to be adjoining or near one another It is agreed that the Tracts refering to each shall be joined together unless where they must be unavoidably separated by the interjacency of prior Rights of which the Surveyor is to be very careful.

JAMES TILGHMAN, sec'ry.
THO'S GILPIN.

April 30th, 1773.

May 13th 1776, at the Governors.

Present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

Agreed with George Newburn for 114 Acres part of Wests Tract in Bucks County lately surveyed upon an Escheat Warrant at 20s. Currency ℔ Acre and Quit Rent 1s. ster'g ℔ hundred Acres.

June 18th. 1776.

Upon Representation of several persons, minded to take up Lotts in the Town of Reading, that it would be advantageous to the Proprietaries that some Lotts should be laid out between the present Lotts between Kings Street and the River Schuylkill The Governor orders that the Surveyor General lay out or cause to be laid out in addition to the Town four Squares of the same dimensions of the other Squares in the Town between King Street & the River Schuylkill two on each side Penn Street that they be divided into Lotts of the same dimensions as the other Lotts in the Town to be number'd on from the last Number in the present plan.

June 25th 1776, at the Receiver Generals.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

Robert Nelson
agt
James Henderson

Upon inspecting the Papers and hearing the Allegations and proofs of the parties. The Board are of Opinion that there is no foundation for the Caveat And that the Survey of James Henderson ought to be confirmed Robert Nelson claims under William Huston who had a Warrant And that there must have been a survey is very clear from Robert Nelsons Deed, the Lines whereof leave out the place Surveyed for Henderson And as to Nelsons Application it appears to be located and surveyed on the opposite Nelsons Land from Hendersons Claim so that it is clear to the Board that neither the old Claim nor the Application of Nelson can affect the Survey of Henderson.

At the Governors on Monday the 29th of July 1776.

Present

The Governor.
The Secretary.
The Receiver General.
The Surveyor General.

Upon Application of Dr. Alison ordered that he have Seventy five Acres surveyed to him near Sunbury instead of 50 Acres before applied for And that it be laid out next the Widow Grants to include the bloody Spring and to run in such a manner as may be convenient to Widow Grant and another Tenement to lay between him and the Point of the Hill upon the River. or if he chuses it next the Point he may lay it out there so as to leave out the bloody Spring and a Tenement between the Point and Widow Grant. For the present the Governor permits Doctor Alison to repair the House at the Fort where Mr. McClay lived and to make use of it. Mr. McClay is ordered to make the Survey.

Upon Representation of Robert Taggart he hath leave to withdraw a Survey of 135 Acres & a quarter made for Robert Davidson which he claims and to return a Survey of Seventy two Acres & 101 perches made by John Henderson which is a Resurvey & correction of the first Survey.

Peter Ruffner
agt.
George Burkhard

This Matter being left to the Arbitration of William Scull, James Dumer & John Patton who have never made any Report It is now referr'd to Henry Vanderslice William Rezer & James Whitehead or any two of them.

Sedgwick James
agt.
Thomas Pointors
David Williams &
Pemberton Carlisle

Sedgwick James of Hartford County in Maryland devisee of Thomas Hill Sussex County making it appear that he is intitled to 205 Acres of land part of the Manor of Warminghurst, Surveyed for a certain Henry Bishop on the 17th day of February 1725 Which Tract is in the possession of the said Pointer Williams & Carlisle The Governor orders that he have a Warrant for the same Paying the Quit Rent of One penny Sterling ℔ Acre from the time of Survey and taking out Patent within two Years.

Wright, Elbert,
Jones & Benton
agt.
Thomas Proctor

Thomas Proctor representing in Writing to the Board that there are Several material Witnesses whose attendance at this time he cannot procure, And that he cannot atend himself without great loss and damage to himself in his private business, This Dispute is postponed till the last Monday in October next.

Tuesday the 20th August 1776 at the Governors.

Present

The Governor.
The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

The Governor taking into Consideration the Out Lotts of the Town of Sunbury heretofore laid out by the Surveyor General & those lately laid out by Mr. McClay Resolves to let them on Leases for fourteen years and refers the settling the Rents of the different Lotts to the Secretary Receiver General and Surveyor General.

August 23d, 1776.

The Secretary, Receiver General & Surveyor General have settled those Rents agreeable to the List Lodged in the Surveyor Gen'ls and Secretaries Offices. The Lotts below the Town are generally applied for And those above the Town lately laid out by Mr. McClay are to be drawn for by Lott by the Persons intitled to have them namely Freeholders of Lotts and Houses in the Town except the out Lott No. 6 which Mr. John Kible of Philadelphia is allowed to have.

Those who take Lotts are to kep the ground properly manur'd so as that it may be delivered up in good Condition & well fenced at the expiration of the Term, At which time if the Proprietarys incline to sell the Land the Owners are to have the Refusal of the purchase. No Dwelling House to be built on any of the Out Lots.

Monday 26th August 1776, at the Receiver Generals.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Hugh McMuldrah agt Daniel Murphy and Abraham Short

The parties appeared according to Notice of hearing and laid their Cases before the Board And it appearing necessary for determinating the disputes That the pretensions of the several parties should be laid down in one Plott or Draught so that their extent and interfering may appear It is ordered that the same be done by Mess'rs John Stapler and William Gilliland and that the Draught be Returned against the last Monday in October next when the Matter will be finally Determined.

At Mr. Physicks Office on Monday the 28th of October 1776.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

William Weirick agt. Joseph Green and William McMurray

The parties Wm. Weirick and Joseph Green appeared and laid

their Proofs and made their Allegations before the Board and it appeared that Joseph Greens Warrant and Survey are prior to Weiricks title and therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

Mary Williams Ex'r of David Williams agt. Daniel Polk

Upon hearing it appears that Daniel Polk has included the Land in dispute in a Resurvey of his Lands thereabouts And that it has likewise been Surveyed to David Williams under an old Warrant issued in the year 1749 and a new Copy lately taken out But it also appears that the Warrant was for a place several Miles distant from the place of the Survey And therefore was made without a proper Authority The Caveat is therefore dismissed And Daniel Polks Resurvey confirmed And it is ordered that so much of Williams's Survey be cut off as is included within Polks Resurvey And the rest confirmed as there is no Claim upon it.

Tuesday 29th October 1776.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Henry Collins agt. Robt. & John Means

Upon considering the Allegations & Proofs of the parties it appears that Robert and John Means have prior Warrants and Surveys And therefore the Board are of Opinion that Collins has no just cause of Objection And that the Surveys ought to be confirmed.

Samuel Mitchell agt. Rob't & John Mears

Upon hearing it appears that Robert Means's Warrant and Survey is prior to Mitchell's Warr't And that tho' John Mnaes's Warrant is subsequent to Mitchells it is founded on an Improvement prior to Mitchells Warrant And therefore the Board are of Opinion that the Caveat should be dismissed.

Wednesday the 30th October 1776.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

The Board taking into Consideration the Petition & Complaint of Woolsey Burton of Sussex County against the Surveyors Rhoads Shankland and Simon Kollock are of Opinion the Matter ought to be enquired into And the parties are Ordered to attend the Board on the last Monday in March next of which Burton is to give Shankland & Kollock Notice and to serve them with a Copy of the Petition.

Jacob Godshalk
agt.
William Plunket

Ordered that the Secretary write to Wm. Maclay Esquire to examine Edward Lee concerning the Contents of a Deposition made by him in this dispute.

Robert Ramsey
agt.
Robert McGaughey

Robert Ramsey alledges that he obtained a Warrant for 100 Acres of Land adjoining his other land in Rye Township Cumberland County That Robert McGaughey Caveats him on Account of an Improvement on the said Land which Ramsey alledges to be very trifling when this dispute commenced consisting only of part of a house raised a few logs high and a few Trees deadened And that there still remains adjoining to McGaughey above 500 Acres of Vacant land to accommodate his Improvement The Surveyor of the District is ordered to go to the place and make Enquiry whether the Case is as stated by Ramsey and if it be, to Certify the same to the Board If the case be not as stated, The Surveyor is to report the truth of the Case as he finds it, to the Board with any Draught of the Lands which may illustrate the dispute.

Robert Ramsey
agt.
John McCay

Robert Ramsey alledges that the Improvement in dispute he bought of one Samuel Wallace who purchased it of McCay a Warrant for it and had it surveyed & returned And it is the Judgment of the Board that if Ramsey produces the Assignments from McCay to Wallace & from Wallace to him that the Caveat shall be dismissed and the Survey of Ramsey accepted.

At a Board of Property held on Tuesday the 28 of November 1775 at the Receiver Generals Office, the Governor out of Town.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Receiver General Mr. Physick.

John Gray
agt.
Jacob Bomberger

Bomberger having a Warrant and Survey and having paid his money, and John Gray not satisfying the Board that the disputed land is within the lines of any old survey of Andrew Scott under which he sets up his claim, and it appearing that in the Survey of his other land the place in dispute is taken notice of as vacant land the Caveat is dismissed and Bombergers survey confirmed.

At a Meeting at the Receiver Generals Office, on Monday the 28th day of September 1772.

Present

The Secretary Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Surveyor Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

John Grimes
agt. } On Caveat.
Robert Lusk

The parties appear & Robert Lusk allows that he had agreed to have half the Land to be surveyed under his Warrant of the year 1744 therefore it is ordered that Thomas Lightfoot the Deputy surveyor of the District do resurvey the whole land intended to be affected by that Warrant of Robert Lusk, and make an equal division between them, having regard to quantity and quality, and return a draught of the whole so divided to the Surveyor Generals Office, with all convenient Speed.

Patrick McGahan
agt. } On Caveat.
Andrew Lynn

On hearing it appears that Andrew Lynn hath an Application & Survey for the land in dispute, and that McGachan hath no well founded claim by improvement, and therefore the Board are of opinion that the Caveat be dismissed, and that Lynn's Survey be accepted and Confirmed, he making good the Proprietary demands.

At a Meting at the Land Office on Monday the 26th October 1772.

The Sec'y Mr. Tilghman.
The Rec'r Gen'l Mr. Physick.
The Sur. Gen'l Mr. Lukens.

Daniel Elliott agt. Wm. Forster Assignee of Josiah Althouse

Agreed & Melone Assignee of Elliott conveyed to Wm. Forster.

George Walbert agt. Dan'l Brodhead Assignee of George Graber — On Caveat.

On hearing it appeared that the Land in dispute was surveyed and divided between the parties and that Walbert was satisfied with the part assigned by the Surveyor to him, and that he afterwards paid for the surveying his part Therefore the Board are of opinion the Caveat ought to be dismissed.

Tho's McCormick dec'd & Wm. Walker agt. David Hoge

Agreed between Wm. Walker and David Hoge that this dispute between them be referred to the determination of John Montgomlery, Robert Miller & Ephraim Blaine Esq'rs or any two of them, and also agreed that their determination shall be confirmed by the Board of Property, and that the land in dispute shall be patented to the party to whom the arbitrators shall adjudge the same, without any further opposition from the other party either before this Board or at Law The award to be made within Six Months from this time.

Edward Quim agt. Jacob Berzer al's Berkley al's Bertzer al's Bercle

Referred to Bartram Galbreath to certify the old Draught and the resurvey, and also to lay down upon the draught the survey made for Quim on his Warrant of 1770.

Andrew Stevens agt. Jos. & Tho's Allen

Upon hearing it appears that Andrew Stevens has the first application and that Joseph Allen hath a Plantation in possession under purchase from his Brother Thomas Allen, Surveyed under

an Application, on which application there are considerable improvements, and which said plantation was purchased from one Gillespie to whose Improvement Stevens in his Application refers, and is bounded by, Wherefore in consideration of the said improvements allowed by the application of Stevens, the Board are of opinion that the Survey of Allen be confirmed upon making good the Proprietary demands in which are to be included Intrest and Quit Rent from the 1st of March 1763, the time of Settlement.

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF PROPERTY.

1782—1788.

Whereas by an Act of the Legislature of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania past on the 5th day of April, 1782 entered.

"An Act to vest certain Powers in the President of this State "together with the other Officers therein named, and for other "Purposes therein mentioned." His Excellency the President, or Vice President and a Member of the Supreme Executive Council appointed by Council for that purpose, together with the Secretary of the Land Office, the Receiver General and the Surveyor General for the time being were impowered to be and constituted a Board of Property to hear & determine in all Cases of Controversy on Caveats in all Matters of difficulty or Irregularity, touching Escheats, Warrants on Escheats, Warrants to agree, Rights of Preemption, Promises in perfect Titles or otherwise which thentofore had or thereafter might arise in transacting the Business o the Land Office, As by the said Act Referred thereto had more fully will appear Pursuant to which Law the Board met on the 3d day of May, 1782, at which were present.

His Excellency William Moore, Esq., President.
Hon'ble James Cuningham, Esq'r, Member of Council appointed for this purpose.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry, L'd Office.
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l L'd Office.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surveyor Gen'l L'd Office.

The Case of Capt. Henderson claiming under a Warrant to Alexander Power dated 3d Feb'ry, 1775 (which is not complete, the Seal of the Land Office not being affixed thereto) being considered it is the Opinion of the Board that a Warrant be issued for the acceptance of a Copy of the Entry of s'd Warrant Money & Interest.

Mr. Weiss produces Warrants dated the 31st of May, 1776, to Thomas Palmer, Robert Lettis Hooper, jr., John Coxe, jr., William Graham, Gustavus Risperg, John Musser, Anthony Seyfert, Benjamin Davis, Robert Roberts, and Richard Graham, The Right to which Warrants is become vested in the Honble Robert Morris

and Sam'l Meredith, Esq'r. And Mr. Weiss representing that the Seal of the Land Office not being affixed to the s'd Warr'ts the same were not complete though the purchase Money had been paid. The Board upon considering this Matter do order that Warrants of acceptance of the Surveys made on s'd W'ts be issued for s'd Morris & Meredith.

Col'o Ch's Stewart having sent a Letter representing that Warrants dated the 20th Feb'ry, 1775 to James Blair, Blair McClenachan, William Blair, Wm. Gray, David Chambers, Wm. Chambers, Elijah W———, Joseph Wilson, Thomas Irwin, George Fullerton, William Awl, James Mease, Andrew Caldwell, Sam'l Caldwell, John Pringle, Patrick Moore, Mich'l Duff, Robert Harris, Geo. McClenathan & Ma——— Irwin, had not Seals affixed to them and desiring the said Deficiency may be remedied. It is Ordered that Warants for the acceptance of the surveys made on the s'd W'ts be issued to Mr. B. McClenachan who is become vested with the Rights of the several persons af'd to the s'd W'ts.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 4th June, 1782.

present

The Honorable James Potter, Esq'r, V. President.
Honble Joseph Gardner, Member of Council.

Mr. Kennedy
Mr. Johnston } Officers of L'd Office.
Mr. Lukens

(First part of this Caveat lost.)

And examining their Papers it appears that Wm. Bunting obtained a Warrant for the Land in question on the 6th May, 1743, and paid £15 part of the purchase Money. And he confesses he sold the Land to his Bro. John Bunting and received a part of the Consideration Money for it and that the s'd J. Bunting sold to one Wells under whom McIlhenny claims. And that Wm. Bunting delivered up the Rec'r Generals Rec't for 15£ which had been handed down to the several Possessors of the Land. And the Board considering the premises and the Length of unmolested Possessions are of Opinion that the Caveat ought to be dismissed and Pat. issue to s'd McIlhenny upon his paying the purchase Money & Interest.

Evan Owen agt.
Philip Johnston now deced. } On Caveat.

Postponed for further Consideration & the Sec'ry is Ordered to

write to James Johnston, Ad'r of P. Johnston, that if he has any further proof to offer that the same be done the first Monday in July.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber Wednesday 5th June, 1782.

present

His Excellency the President.
Honble Jos. Gardner.

Mr. Kennedy
Mr. Lukens } L'd Offr.
Mr. Johnston

The Memorial of Wm. Parr, Owen Biddle & Clement Biddle Esq'rs which is in these Words to wit:

To His Excellency the president & Honble the Commissioners of the Board of Property.

The Presentation of the Memorial of Wm. Parr, Owen Biddle and Clement Biddle,

Respectfully sheweth

"That your Memorialists made Application to the Land Office "on the 8th day of February, 1775 for sundry Tracts of Land in "the County Westmoreland, adjoining other Lands for which "your Memorialists had previously obtained Warrants and paid "the purchase Money. That the said Applications were received "by the late Secretary of the said Office and deposited amongst "his official papers and the time which they were received en- "dorsed by him thereon as doth appear by the original entries "now remaining in the present Land Office. That your Memo- "rialists do humbly conceive that they are equitably and legally "entitled to the said Lands agreeable to the usages & common "Law of this State and that they have done no Act by which they "ought to be deprived of this just & reasonable right Your Me- "morialists do therefore humbly pray that your honourable "Board would be pleased to direct the Officers of the Land Office "to issue their Warrants of survey and patents for the said Lands "to your Memorialists who are ready and desirous to pay the "purchase Money and Fees of Office for the same. And your Me- "morialists as in duty bound shall pray.

"Philad'a, June 1st, 1782.

WM. PARR,
OWEN BIDDLE,
CLEMENT BIDDLE.

Being read the further Consideration thereof Postponed.

The Application of Joseph Lees for a patent for Land in Blockley on Warrant granted him by the late proprietaries dated the 19th Day of June, 1769, being considered Ordered a Patent for the 48 A's ¾ surveyed on s'd Warrant.

---

present

His Excellency Wm. Moore.
The Honble Joseph Gardner.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

James Few
v.
James Starr &
John Hanum Esq'r
v.
James Starr.
} on Caveats.

In this Case it appears that Few & Hanum under a Warrant dated the 11th March, ——— Mr. Swain for 150 A's in East Bradford Township, Chester County ——— Jacob Taylor & Richard Woodward And that the Hrs. of James ——— now deced.) claim under a Warr't to Joseph Burgoyne dated ——— for 100 A's more or less joining George Jeffry & Jos Ray ——— dispute on the first Caveat the matter was referred by ——— Jonathan Coates, Amer Jefferies, Wm. Beale, Abiah ——— or any three of them, tho' Few had conveyed to Hanum ——— That the s'd Coates, Beale & Darlington gave it as ———Starr should hold the Tract of 115 A's According to the ——— by Benjamin Parvin D'y S r in the Year 1771. The ———considering this Matter see no Cause for Allegation ——— the Referrees afd. specially as it appears that Col'o ——— the Reference & did not oppose the same and that Few was prior———making the Survey & marked the Lines. And they Ordered the Survey made afd. by B. Parvin to be returned for Starrs Hrs. & that Han. Survey be made conformable thereto.

Evan Owen
v.
Philip Johnston
now deced.
} on Caveat.

Upon examining the Minutes taken by the ——— when s'd Owen & Jas. Johnston Ad'r of Philip ——— were heard and other papers & Testimony ——— Owen claims under an Appl'n ent'd the 3d ——— Espy for ——— of Land on the North ———.

Johnstons Warr't is subject to Espys Appl'n & order the surv'r Gen'l of the same Appl'n to be surveyed agreeable to the Locations or Descriptions thereof & returned.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber 4th August, 1782.

present

His Excellency the president.
The Honble Jos. Gardner, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Adr. of Philip Johnston representing to the Board that ——— knew of some material Matter in the Dispute with ——— which Testimony & the Allegations of the Adm'r were ——— upon considering the same order that the former ———.

Postponed to the first Monday in Sept'r ——— agreeable to the Desire the parties by Letter ——— of Col'o Robert Patton for a patent under a Location of Wm. McCullough Ordered that the Sec'ry make a Notice or location for Loops to shew cause why a patent should not issue to Patton.

The petition of George Leib & Christopher Baker Ex'rs ——— L. W. & Testant of Fred'k Leidig deced setting forth That on 19th of April, 1757, a Tract of Land situate in Lowhill Township Nn. County ——— surveyed by David Shultze for s'd Leidig Warr't to John Cumbert dated 20th Nov'r, 1751 That said Leidig died in Germany and that ——— to Leidig is lost praying that a * * * * * * * * on Cumberts Warr't who had sold his Right to him And that said Cumbert lived in Berks County many Years without laying any claim to s'd Pet'rs. In Trust for the Uses ment'd in s'd Leidigs Will.

The petition of Joseph Harrison claimed under Wm. Hamilton who claimed a Lot of Ground on Schuylkill 2d Street under Warrant & survey to Wm. Beach in Right of Rich'd Hunt being read & considered. It is Ord'd that a Warrant for Resurveying ——— issue in Order to rectify certain Errors in the first Survey as set forth in the s'd Pet'ns, Upon s'd Harrisons producing Title to the same from & under s'd Hunt.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 3 Sept. 1783.

present

His Excell'y William Moore, Esq'r President.
Honable Joseph Gardner, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Philip Gehr
agt.
Dewalt Wink.

Postponed for further Consideration.

Charles Carter & Others
v.
Kinsey & Ellicott. } On Caveat.

The Draft of Mr. Mathew Henderson the Deputy Surveyor of Cumberland County of the Lands interfering with Land claimed by Richard Graham under Warrant of James ——— being Considered by the Board Ordered that the Surveyor ——————— when Grahams Warrant, if laid, agreeable to its Location, would extend, by the first Monday in November next at which time the parties are to be heard of which time Graham is to give the parties thirty days Notice.

Charles Shenkle
agt.
John Patterson, Esq'r. } On Caveat.

John Patton not attending tho' Shenkle declared he served him with a Copy of the Caveat and Notice and that he Patton declared he did not desire to take his Shenkles Land Order'd that Shenkle have a Patent after the first Monday in October if said Patton shew no Cause to the Contrary by that time Shenkle giving Patton a Copy of the Order at least twenty days before that day.

At a Meeting at the Council Chambers October 7th, 1782.

present

His Excell'y William Moore, Esq'r, Presid't.
The Honable Joseph Gardner, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surveyor General.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary.

Charles Black
agt.
John Hetherington. } On Caveat.

It appearing that the chief dispute between the parties is con-

cerning the Payment of the purchase Money of the Land sold by Hetherington to Black and other Matters which they agree to leave to some of their Neighbours till which is done and settled and the Report made a Patent is not to be granted to either party Provided the same be done by the first Monday in December next.

Ordered that Charles Shenkle have a Patent as Ordered ℔ minutes of the first Monday in September last John Patton shewing sufficient cause to the Contrary.

It being represented by Christopher Baker as mentioned in Minutes of the first Monday in August that he had found a Deed from Cumbert to Leidig dated 11th January, 1755, and also Deed from Leidig to one John Fry, Dated 4th April, 1767, who is in possession of the Land and the Board therefore Orders that nothing further be done pursuant to that Minute.

At a Meeting Tenth November, 1782.

Present

His Honor James Potter, Esq., Vice Presid't.
The Honble James Irwin, Esq., Member of Council app'd for that purpose.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Andrew Holmes
v. } On Caveat.
Richard Benson.

The parties being heard it appears that Richard Benson has purchased the Right of a Warrant to one Henry Larke —— in the year 1751 as well as an Application and an Improvement ——— that he has but 259 Acres Surveyed And that Holmes's Survey cannot be extended further towards the Creek without including Bensons Land claimed under his old Warrant and Improvements therefore the Board order that the Caveat be dismissed.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 3d December, 1782.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable James Irwin, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Charles Black
v. } On Caveat.
John Hetherington.

This matter being postponed at a former Meeting and being then referred to their neighbours who have reported the sum due to Hetherington from Black to be £627 2 3. And upon hearing the parties and examining the Surveys the Board judge it necessary that a resurvey be made of the lands according to the original lines and bounds and if the same shall interfere with any of the adjoining the Deputy Surveyor who shall make the Resurvey is to be directed to delineate on his draught in what manner they do so interfere. The Resurvey to be made and returned by the first Monday in January to which time this dispute is postponed.

Benjamin Williams
v. } On Caveat.
George Overpeck.

In this case it appears that Williams has a Warrant dated 10th March, 1773, for 20 Acres of Land adjoining his other land. That Overpeck has a Warrant dated 30th March aforesaid for 25 Acres. That on the Warrant of Williams a survey of 9 Acres hath been made and on that of Overpeck a survey of sixteen Acres. The Board upon hearing the parties and upon examining the Warrants and Surveys aforesaid order that so much of Overpeck's survey be cut off by a S. 13 E. line from the River to the R——— line and added to Williams's survey as will make up the quantity of his Warrant and that the residue be returned to Overpeck on his Warrant.

The Memorial of Dewalt Sempsell applying for a Patent for 150 Acres in ——— Township, Northampton County, surveyed on Warrant to A. Slough being read and considered Ordered that a patent issue to said Sempsell.

The Application of William Stump (by his friend Sebastian Levan, Esq'r) for a patent for 150 Acres of Land situate on ——— Township, Berks County surveyed on Warrant to Ashamer being considered Ordered that a patent issue for the same.

At a Meeting at the Council room in the Statehouse 4th Feb'ry. 1783.

present

The Honorable James Ewing Vice President.
Honorable John McDowell.
The Secretary Mr. Kennedy.
Receiver General Mr. Johnston.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.

Henry Huffman
v. On Caveat.
George Hoffman.

It appearing that Henry Huffman hath sold his right to George Hoffman who sold to Daniel Fitzpatrick. The Caveat is dismissed and Patent ordered to said Fitzpatrick.

Dr. Ewing in right of James Breden
v. On Caveat.
Francis Irwin or Thomas Strawbridge.

In this case it appears that Dr. Ewing claims under an Applica- No. 726 of James Breden and Thomas Strawbridge under Francis Irwin No. 1991. Bredens location is for 300 Acres on Chillesquaque about 7 or 8 miles from the Mouth. Strawbridge alledges that his land is only about 3 or 4 miles. Dr. Ewing produces Nathaniel Gillespie who located the land to prove the identity thereof. But it appearing to the Board that his testimony does not sufficiently prove the same, This matter is postponed and Frederick Antis, Esq'r & Col. Samuel Hunter are desired to hear the parties or their Agents and examine Witnesses on Oath on the ground and transmit the deposition to this board on or before the first Monday of May next. The parties agree to meet either themselves or by their Agents on the ground with their Witnesses on the 10th April next and if either party does not attend the matter is to be proceeded on exparte.

John Scott
v. On Caveat.
William Culbertson.

William Culbertson had a survey of 313 Acres & a half made on the 7th May, 1753, by virtue of a Warrant of 3d August, 1750. John Scott claims under a Warrant in June 1776 to Richard Rankin and not making good the Allegations of his Caveat the same is dismissed and a Patent ordered to Culbertson on his paying the arrears of purchase Money & Interest.

Thomas Sharp having some time since applied for a patent for a piece of land which had been contended for by him and David Rankin before the late Board of Property on the 15th day of September, 1772, and the Surveyor General having wrote (as directed) to Thomas Woodward Who hath wrote to Jacob Cooper Attorney of the London Company concerning the same, by which it does not appear that the land applied for was within the London Companies line or had been suspected. The Board allow Mr. Sharp a Patent at his risque.

The Board considering the Survey of 1133 Acres for William

Bird with the Note or Memorandum thereon endorsed. And having an Instrument in writing under the hand of A. Hoffman relinquishing his Improvement in the said Survey to Mark Bird son of said William. Allow the same survey to be accepted in the Surveyor Generals Office notwithstanding the same contains much more land than the Warrants on which it was made were for as at that time it was nothing uncommon for surveys to exceed the quantities of Warr'ts.

Wm. Rishell
v.
Leonard Rishell &
John Barr.

In this case it seems necessary to have the Draughts of the several adjoining land survey'd & if the land or any part lies within a Manor there Therefore the Surveyor General is desired to write to George Palmer the Deputy Surveyor to make enquiry into the matter and lay down the Draughts of the adjoining lands and what other remarks he may judge proper.

At a Meeting at the Committee Chamber 3d March, 1783.

present

The Honorable James Ewing, Vice President.
Honorable Dorsey Penticost, Esq'r, Member of Council appointed.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Ann Mucklewaine
v.
William Fulton.
On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in April next in order that Ann Mucklewaine may have an opportunity of producing an ancient survey made by John Taylor which she alledges includes more land than is surveyed on her father Robert Samfords Warrant by Thomas Lightfoot. And it is the opinion of the board that if no ancient Survey of Taylor can be found against that time that the surveys on Sanford and Wittys by Thomas Lightfoot be accepted.

4th.

The same Present as above except Mr. Cuningham instead of Mr. Penticost.

The Board taking into consideration the Application of Martin Graff for a Patent for a Tract of land surveyed on John Berrods Application. And also a minute of the former board of property the 26th February, 1770, whereby it appears that Mishler (under whom France claimed) had relinquished and gave up his pretensions to the land which also is testified by Jacob Wolff before Jacob Horner, Esq., to be the case. The Board therefore allow a Patent to Graff.

John & Adam Cuningham sons of George Cuningham
v.
Wm. Duncan & James Caldwell.

On Caveat.

It appearing that John & Adam Cuningham were duly served with notice of hearing yesterday and they not appearing the board now proceed to hear William Duncan exparte And it appears that Duncan claims under a Warrant of 1st Aug't, 1766 and a survey of 229 Acres 87 P'hes which Warrant is prior to Cuninghams, And is also Caldwell's location. Therefore the Board allow Patents to be issued to said Duncan and Caldwell for their several Surveys unless the Cuninghams shew cause to the contrary on the first Monday in April next they said Cuninghams having a copy or this Minute delivered them at least fifteen days before that time.

At a Meeting at his Excellency the Presidents house 7th April, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
Honorable James Irwin, Esq.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Petition of James Huston for a Rehearing of a case on a Caveat entered by him against James Parr or any other person as claiming under an Application of Seth Matlack and which was determined by the former board of Property in favor of Charles Hall being considered. It is ordered that a rehearing be allowed on the first Monday in July next said Huston giving Hall at least thirty days notice of the rehearing. And also that he give him a copy of the Caveat & former Minute of the Board.

Ann Mucklewaine appearing and not being able to produce an ancient Survey made by John Taylor as she expected when before the board the first Monday in March last. The Judgment of that day is ordered to stand.

There being no proof produced to the board that William Duncan had served John and Adam Cuningham with a Copy of the Judgment of the board the first Monday in March last the same is postponed.

Adm'rs of Jacob Godshalk deced
v. } On Caveat.
William Plunket.

In this case it appears that Dr. Plunket claims under an Application No. 2783 of John Harris entered Ano 1769 for 300 Acres of Land on Penns Creek Northward of Edward Lees & joining land the said Harris purchaser of John Turner, Wm. Doran and Andrew Smith. And the Administrators of Jacob Godshalk claims under Stephen Wooleys Application No. 1146 ent'd 6th August, 1766 for 300 Acres of land at the Crab tree bottom and runing down the Creek to Charles Stewarts Claim. It is ordered that the Surveyor General direct the Deputy Surveyor to execute the order of Wooley agreeable to its location in order to assist the Deputy in determining the location the depositions of Edward Lees is to be sent to him And to direct him to do the same as soon as possible.

Upon the Application of James McDowell for a Patent for 51 Acres in Oxford Township Chester County in right of James Walker Ordered a Patent.

Order a Warrant of acceptance of 51 Acres in Rockhill Township, Bucks County, to Margaret Black and John Charles In Trust as prayed.

At a Meeting at the Council Chambers 9th April, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
Honorable James Irwin, Esq.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

William McCullough
v. } On Caveat.
Waggoner.
John Toops & Jacob

In this case it appears that McCullough has the prior Applica-

tion well located on the land and a survey by Jasper Scull 1st February, 1770, therefore a Patent is ordered to said McCullough or his Assignee Robert Patton on that Survey.

William Hay
v.
Abraham Hare.

William Hay being in Town and not appearing therefore ordered that his Caveat be dismissed unless Hay shew cause to the contrary by to-morrow he being served with a Copy of this minute this evening.

Mr. Hays appearing and he and Hare agreed before the Board to postpone the matter to the first Monday in June next.

[N. B. Mr. Hay released his Rt. to A. Hare 28 May, 1796, see Cav't Book N. 9 page.]

Richard Graham &
James Brotherton
v.
William Stover.

In this case it appears that Graham and Brotherton claim under a Warrant dated 29th Sept'r, 1750, to James Whitehead for 200 Acres of land located adjoining William Hall & Robert Eady. And William Stover claims under Warrants regular Surveys and Improvements. And it appearing to the board that the chief object of Whiteheads Warrant was taken by a prior Warrant of John Brotherton dated 25th April, 1749. Upon considering the matter the Board are of Opinion that Whiteheads Warrant ought not to be laid on the place in question and that Stover have patents on his several Surveys.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 6th May, 1783.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq., Vice President.
Honble Christopher Hayes, Member of Council app'd.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Dr. Ewing
v.
Thomas Strawbridge.
} On Caveat.

The Board taking into consideration the testimony transmitted by Frederick Antes & John Buyers, Esq'rs, pursuant to an order of the board the first Monday in February last and upon

further hearing of the parties are of Opinion that there is not sufficient proof that the land located by Breden is the same which is surveyed on Francis Irwins Application. But the Doctor having prayed that the Judgment of the board be postponed under a suggestion that he had more ample testimony to offer and the Board being desirous of investigating this matter fully are of Opinion that it shall continue under Advisement till the first Monday in September next at which time the final determination of this board will be given whether new evidence shall be exhibited or not.

George Adam Gartner
v. On Caveat.
Henry Keppele, jun'r.

In this case it apears that Gartner Claims by a Warrant dated 7th September, 1774, for 200 Acres including an Improvement and by Warrant for 100 Acres in March, 1775, And Keppele's Representations and Mr. Shubart claims under two Warrants dated 27th, 1774, one in the Name of Frederick Lutz and the other in that of said Shugart. The Board therefore order that the Deputy Surveyor return a general Draught of the whole land granted by the said Warrants to the board on the first Monday in September next and thereon to denote the Situation and nature of said Gartners Improvement.

Baltzer Walter
v. On Caveat.
Joseph Litle.

On hearing it appeared that Joseph Litle claims by a Resurvey of two tracts of land made by Warr'ts of 25th April, 1767, to Ephraim Litle part of one of which tracts is claimed by Walter on a Survey lately made. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Gabriel Morrison
v. On Caveat.
Alexander Lewis.

It appears upon hearing the parties and examining the Draugnt if the land surveyed by David Ferris's Application that the whole Vacancy contains 315 Acres in which is included about 36 Acres held or claimed by Joseph Crawford with an Improvement. That at the time of making the said Survey the persons interested in or conducting the same left a small piece of about 5 or 6 Acres for the said Morrison. And as the said survey contains more than the quantity of the location The Board are of Opinion that the said small piece of land be surveyed and taken out of the said Survey and returned to the board again the first Monday in July next.

[See Caveat book No. 5 page 236.]

At a special Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 16th May, 1783.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq., Vice President.
Honble Christopher Hays, Esq.
The Surveyor General.
The Secretary of the Land Office.
The Receiver General.

The Board resumed the consideration of the Memorial of Wm. Parr, Owen Biddle & Clement Biddle, Esq'rs, inserted in the Minutes of 5th of June last and upon the Applicat's therein referred to being produced they appear to be sixty Applications for 300 Acres of land each in the names of divers persons being on several sheets of paper sealed and sewed together the first in the name of Benjamin Davis and the last in the name of Owen Jones, junior, the said land being said therein to be situate on Mochulbuchtetum or Stump Creek, Sugar Creek, Crooked Creek, &c., in the County of Westmoreland. And it apears that they were received into the Land Office on the 8th February, 1775, as mentioned in the said Memorial being endorsed in the hand of the late Secretary of the Land Office, James Tilghman, Esq., as follows: "W. P. 8 Feb'y, 1775." And by a note under a general or larger description of the said lands also annexed to the above said list of Applications the same lands are said to be vacant within the purchase (made in the year 1768) of the Indians by the Honorable the proprietaries and in the county of Westmoreland. Upon considering the said Memorial the Board order that the Secretary of the Land Office do make Copies of the said Applications and transmit them to the Surveyor General who is to send Copies thereof to Joshua Elder the Deputy Surveyor of that part of the County and who is well acquainted with the purchase line he having assisted in runing the same with orders to survey the said lands if within the purchase. And the Surveyor General is to direct the Deputy Surveyor to be very careful in making the said Surveys not to extend or make them or any of them out of or beyond the purchase line so run. And when the Surveys are so made they are to be returned to the Surveyor General in order that Warrants of Acceptance and Patents may issue if no reasonable objection to the confirmation shall appear. On the said Memorialists Paying the purchase money at the rate of £5 Sterling ℔ Ct. with Interest on the same from 6 months after the 8th February, 1775.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 2d June, 1783.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq., Vice President.
Honble George Wall, Esq.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Arthur Buchanan
v.
Charles Magill.

Arthur Buchanan appearing and producing proof that he served Magill, son & Heir of Charles Magill, who is dead, with due notice and Magill not appearing the Board proceeded to hear Buchanan exparte and it appears that Buchanan has a Survey of 174 Acres made on an Application within which he says Magill had made some triffling Improvement before the making his survey but after the entry of his Application. Therefore the Board are of Opinion that Buchanan ought to have his Patent unless Magill shew cause to the contrary by the first Monday in July next.

Jacob Peck
v.
James Durham.

Peck being convinced that Dunnam has the prior Warrant and Survey withdraw his Caveat.

Benjamin Freeman
v.
James Claypoole or
Matthew Clarkson.

On Caveat.

Freeman alledging that he hath some material testimony which he could not obtain against this day this matter is postponed to the first Monday in July next.

Charles Black
v.
John Hetherington.

A Resurvey being made of the land sold by Hetherington to Black pursuant to an order of the board the first Monday in December last by Charles Dilworth the Deputy Surveyor and laid before the board it appears that the owners of some of the adjoining lands are not satisfied with the Resurvey so made and Hetherington being sick and not attending this matter is postponed to the first Monday in September next at which time all the objecting parties may be heard Mr. Black giving them notice of the same.

John Montgomery, Esq'r
v.
John Patton.

John Patton being duly cited & not appearing nor sending any excuse. The Board proceeded to examine the papers and hear Col. Montgomery exparte. And it apears that John Patton had a survey made on the 27th February, 1767, pursuant to a Warrant dated in October, 1765, for 200 Acres. That Col. Montgomery obtained a Warrant on the 13th April, 1774, bounding on the Survey of Patton. That afterwards Patton procured another Survey to be made so as to include the best part of the land granted by Montgomery's Warrant. And they are of opinion that Montgomery's Warrant ought to be executed so as to bound upon Pattons first survey.

At a Meeting at the Surveyors Generals the 7th July, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
The Honble James Irvine, Esq.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Receiver General Mr. Johnston.
The Secretary Mr. Kennedy.

James Huston
v.
Mrs. Hall, Ex'r
of Charles Hall
deced.

Rehearing of a case determined by the former board of Property on the 27th June, 1775.

Upon hearing the parties the board see no cause to alter the Judgment of the former board therefore the same is confirmed.

Benjamin Freeman
v.
Matthew Clarkson
Ass'ee of J. Claypoole.

Postponed to the first Monday in September next in which time the Surveyor General is desired to send to Charles Lukens Copies of James Sherwoods and James Claypooles' Applications and the Copy of the return made for James Claypoole and the field notes from which the survey of Claypoole is returned. And to write him said Charles Lukens for his reasons why the survey

made (as appears by the field notes) for Freeman was returned for Claypoole and any other matters that he may think necessary to illucidate the same.

The Memorial of Dr. John Morgan in behalf of himself and others being read the further consideration thereof postponed.

At a Meeting at the Surveyors Generals 5 August, 1783.

present

His Honour James Ewing, Esq., V. P.
The Honourable James Potter, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

A letter from Dr. Morgan (referring to a Memorial by him produced to the board and read 7 July last) being laid before this Board and read the further Consideration of that Matter is postponed.

Henry Hackman
v.
Peter & Baltzer Walter.

On Caveat against sealing a Patent to Peter & Baltzer Walter have sent a letter desiring this Matter might be postponed as they could not collect their Evidences they being much scattered the Board postponed this Matter to the first Monday in September next when the same will be heard & determined whether the said Walters attend or not. Hackman giving Walters or one of them a Copy of this Minute at least 15 days before that time.

Jacob Shigley
v.
Lawrence Bower or
James Pocock.

On Caveat.

It appears that this Matter hath been contested before the former Board of Property the last Monday in November, 1774, by the said Shigley & Andrew Finley under whom said Pocock claims therefore this case is postponed & Archibald McClean, Esq., is appointed in addition to those by the said Minute nominated to execute the order of the Board aforesaid. Whose Report is desired to be made by the first Monday in November next.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 1st September, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq.
The Honourable John McDowell, Esq.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.

Thomas Rutter
v. On Caveat.
John Cleaver.

Upon reading a letter from James Biddle, Esq'r, and hearing Mr. Biddles information to the Board It appeared that John Cleaver bought an Ejectment against one Henry Coble who had purchased from Thomas Rutter which was tried by a Jury before the Judges of the Supreme Court at Reading in September, 1781, when Cleaver obtained a Verdict and Judgment and got possession. That Coble afterwards brought an Ejectment against Cleaver for the same land. And that at the last August Court of Common Pleas for Berks County the Cause being ordered for Tryal and a special Jury at the Bar the P'lt discontinued his Action the Record of the Verdict and Judgment first mentioned produced to the Board. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Upon the Application of Ephraim Blaine, Esq., for fixing the price of two Tracts of land surveyed on Warrants to James Stackpole and William Trent in 1765 and 1766 in order agree which he claims Ordered that he be allowed the same at £5 sterling ℔ Ct. Int. from 6 Months after date or Warrant.

James Byers
v. On Caveat.
Alexander Ross.

A. Ross having gone to the British cannot be served with Notice therefore the Board heard E. Blaine who purchased of Byers. And it appears that Byers Application which is for the place in dispute is prior to Ross's therefore the survey made on Ross's Application is ordered to be returned on Byers Application.

Mich'l Shubert &
Henry Kepeles Represent's.
v.
George Gardner.

The Report and Drafts of the lands in dispute directed to be made by Minutes of 6th May last being returned and considered.

It appears that Shubert & Kepeles Warrants cannot be laid there without interfering with Gardners improvements which were made so early as the year 1761. Mr. Shubert therefore relinquishes his pretensions and desires that when the Office opens he may have his Warrants relocated on other lands and therefore the Board approving his request Gardners Surveys are ordered to be accepted.

Doctor Ewing
v.
Thomas Strawbridge.

The Board on considering the Testimony Offered & a hearing the parties on the 6th May last having deemed that the same was not sufficient to prove that the land located by Breden was the same Surveyed on Franc's Irwins application. But the Dr. having requested that the Judgment might be postponed under a suggestion that he had more ample Testimony to offer it was thought proper to continue the Matter under advisement till this Day. And the Dr. now appearing & producing no other Testimony the parties were again heard, the former Testimony revised and the Case reconsidered Whereupon it is the opinion of the Board and do Order that the Caveat be dismissed.

Henry Hackman
v.
Peter & Baltzer Walter. — On Caveat.

In this Case it appears that Hackman claims under a warrant to William Miller of 6 July, 1749 and Survey made the 22 November, 1749. Walters claim the same Land under Warrant to George Mink or Metz dated 1 ————, 1751. It appears by the Copy of the field Notes of Thomas Cookson that Mink's Warrant was surveyed on land adjoining this in dispute in the Year 1753, when George Shamboughs Warrant Assigned by him to George Mink was also Surveyed on said adjoining land. But that Walters having purchased Mink's Warrant Right and not knowing of the Survey made by virtue of Minks & Shamboughs Warrants as aforesaid procured a Survey to be made in the Year 1783 on the land formerly Surveyed on Wm. Millers Warrant aforesaid. Therefore the Survey of Hackman is Ordered to be accepted and a Patent to issue.

The Memorial of Dr. Morgan in behalf of himself and other Officers being considered the Board are of Opinion that tho' the request therein contained be just and reasonable yet the Board have no Authority at present to Issue such orders as are requested.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber Sep't 2d, 1782.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq., Vice President.
The Honble John McDowel, Esq.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.

Benjamin Freeman)
v. On Caveat.
Mathew Clarkson.)

In this Case it appears that this land was first Surveyed for John Freeman Brother of Benj. on Application No. ———of James Sherwood by Lewis Stephens Assistant Surveyor of Charles Lukens, Dy. Sr. That afterwards it being proved to Charles Lukens that the land Surveyed on Sherwoods app'n was subject to the Application of James Claypoole which included the Cedar Swamp he returned the survey for Claypoole. And it appearing that ye Location of Claypoole was intended for the place and is prior to Sherwoods the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed & Patent to issue to Clarkson on his paying the purchase Money & Intrest.

John Graybill)
v. On Caveat.
Robert Mateer.)

John Graybill not making good the Allegations of his Caveat the same is dismissed.

———

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber the 7 Oct'r, 1783.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq'r, President.
The Honourable George Wall, Esq'r, Mem'r of Council appointed.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

John Freeman)
v. On Caveat.
William Patterson.)

Dr. Plunket Assignee of Freeman & William Coren claiming under Patterson being heard the dispute is postponed to the first Monday in March next in which time the Surveyor General is desired to write particularly to Wm. McClay, Esq., for information in the matter.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 3d Nov'r, 1783.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq., Vice President.
The Honble Samuel Atlee.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Upon the Application of Thomas Wm. & Peter Beer Heirs of William Beer for a Patent for 136 Acres 156 Perches in Mountbethel Township surveyed on Application No. 2561 entered 30 April, 1767 by A. Labar Ordered a Patent.

Upon Application of Samuel Kinsey to have an Order to block a Spanish Oak on the North West corner of the Tract of West & Reynolds. The Secretary is desired to request the opposite parties to suffer the same to be done. ———————— & sent to ye Board for their inspect'n.

Philip Smith
v.
Berry & Franks.

Postponed for further consideration.

Christ'n Albricht
v.
Wyerly Moser.

On Caveat.

Christian Albricht not appearing though duly served with Notice Moser was heard exparte. And it appears that Christian Berger on the 23d September, 1747, obtained a Warrant for 30 Acres joining his other Land & Christ'n Albricht in Bern Township, Berks County. That the same Warrant was executed on two small pieces on the 18th of 9th Month, 1747. That the right of the same by virtue of divers Conveyances & Assurances in the Law is now vested in said Moser. That the said Albricht claims part of the said land by virtue of a Warrant & survey he obtained and had made upwards of 36 years ago and is returned into the Surveyor Generals Office in which the land in controversy is not included. The Board are therefore of Opinion that said Moser be allowed a Patent, that Albricht be confined to the lines of his survey aforesaid and his Caveat be dismissed.

Cecil
v.
J. Supple.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next.

At a Special Meeting at the Secretarys Office 26 Nov'r, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
The Honourable John McDowell, Esq'r.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.

Upon the Application of John Alwood for a Patent for 329 Acres of Land on Muncy Creek, Northumberland County, Surveyed on Application No. 76 of Henry Musser Ordered that a Patent be grant'd him.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 1st December, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
The Honourable Bernard Dougherty.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Jacob Stein
v. On Caveat.
Jacob Ness.

Jacob Ness not appearing the Board examined the drafts and surveys of the parties which do not appear to interfere for that Ness's survey calls for Steins land as a Boundary and Steins Survey is prior therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Upon the Application of James Steel and George Cochran for an Order for Surveying and re-surveying and dividing the several Tracts of land which the said George Cochran claims by virtue of a Warrant to William McKeane and which said Steel claims by a Warrant to himself of 9th December, 1773, and the land of William McCleary which he claims under said McKeans Warrant and for excluding the land within McCleary's Survey which Cochran recovered from him in an Action in Chester Court. The Surveyor General is desired to direct his Deputy Surveyor to make the Surveys and resurveys of the several lands afores'd of Cochran Steel and McCleary and that the same be ret'd to the Board by the first Monday in January next. And the Deputy Surveyor is to acquaint the owners of the adjoining lands when he makes the Survey.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 2d December, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
Honorable James Irwin, Esq.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.

Samuel Kinsey and Robert Smith in behalf of the settlers on West and Reynolds Tracts appeared and being heard this matt'r is postponed to the first Monday in March next again which time it is recommended to the parties to have a Spanish Oak tree the West corner of A. Burtons Tract blocked in presence of both parties. And that they or some persons duly authorized do attend at the time to which this matter is postponed.

At a Special Meeting at the Council Chamber 5 Decmb'r, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
The Honble Bernard Dougherty, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Board resumed the consideration of the Affair between Philip Gehr and Dewalt Wink postponed for further consideration the —— day of September, 1782. And it appearing that in a Cause tried in the Court of Common Pleas of Berks County between the said parties wherein the title to the land was the only question a Verdict was had for Gehr. Therefore a Patent is ordered to John & Jacob Levan who are entitled to the Fee subject to the Dower of Gehr's wife. And the Receiver General is directed to return the purchase Money and Interest paid by Wink to him when he shall demand it.

At a Special Meeting at the Land Office 23d Dec'r, 1783.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
The Honourable John Byers, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Upon the Application of Mich'l McNulty for a Patent for a Tract of 204 Acres of Land part of a Tract Surveyed on Warrant dated 24th May, 1753, to Lawrence OGaulegar the Conveyance from whom to one Moses Little of whom McNulty purchased being said to be lost. The Board considering the length of possession & a Certificate under the hand of Elias Davison, Simon Eaker & John Scott as also Certificates from the Deputy Surveyor that the said land was sold at publick Vendue by said OGaulegar to said Little do Order a Patent to said McNulty.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 2 Feb'y, 1784.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq., Vice President.
The Honble John Boyd, Esq'r.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.

Christian Lamback
v. On Caveat.
Mr. Coleman & Ors.

In this Case it appears that Mr. Coleman claims under a Warrant to George Ludwig dated 16 day January, 1758, & a Survey of 41½ Acres in Warwick Township, Lancaster County, made by William Scull, Dept'y Surv'r. That Lamback has a Survey of 97 Acres made by Williams Parsons in the Year 1749, and returned into the Office on a Warrant dated the 19th day of May, 1749, to Adam Overlin. And since had a resurvey made by Mr. William Scull on the 11th day of September, 1782, of 113 Acres which is considerably more than the quantity of the Warrant. The Board are of Opinion that the resurvey of Lamback and the Survey of Mr. Coleman be accepted & confirmed to them respectively as made by said Scull.

Upon considering a Certificate of Mr. Charles Lukens re-

specting the Survey made on John Worthingtons Application as contested by Thomas Johnston before the former Board of Property a Patent is Ordered on said Worthingtons Application.

At a Special Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 9th Feb'y, 1784.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble John Boyd, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Robert Lettis Hooper, jun'r. Esq'r, presented a Memorial praying that his Title to the lands for which 15 Warrants dated 28th April, 1775, in the names of Whitehead Jones, Timothy Matlack, James Wharton, Robert Roberts, Samuel Clampher, Benjamin Davis, William Gray, William Brown, Joseph Oglevy, Joseph Govet, John Mussor, John Vanderen, Edward Milner, Charles Baker and said Hooper may be proceeded in and completed the said Warrants being made out signed by Governor Penn and entered in the Books of the Land Office but not Sealed. The Board upon considering this Case Order the Receiver General to receive the purchase Money in part on the said Lands and direct the Secretary of the Land Office to make copies from his book of the Entry of the said Warrants under Seal of his Office to be deposited with the Surveyor General who is desired to direct the said lands to be Surveyed and on return of the Survey Patents of confirm'n if no prior Right or reasonable objections shall appear.

Robert Cronickle
v. } On Caveat.
James Silvers.

There being a Caveat entered by said Cronickle against James Silvers under whom Mr. Orth claims upwards of 21 years ago and nothing done since by the Caveators who it is said have given up all pretensions and removed out of the State. The Board therefore allow Mr. Orth a Patent.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 2d March, 1784.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
Honorable James Irwin, Esq.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Johnston.

The Board resumed the consideration of the Case on Caveat ent'd the 9th August, 1782, by Charles Carter, "against granting a Patent to Samuel Kinsey and John Ellicot until he said Charles & others concerned could be heard" and which case hath been postponed for consideration from time to time since the first Monday in Septemb'r in the Year 1782.

It appeared that William Penn, Esq'r, the first Proprietor of Pennsylvania did on 8 July, 1687 grant by patent to John Reynolds 984 Acres of land in Buckingham Township, Bucks County and on the same day did in like manner grant 980 Acres to Edward West in the same Township & joining the said Tract of John Reynolds. That by virtue of a General Warrant dated the 5th of the 11 Month 1702, founded on an Act past in the year 1700, entitled "An Act for the effectual Establishment and confirma- "tion of the Freeholders of this Province and their Heirs and As- "signs in their Lands & Tenements" a Resurvey was made of the two Tracts of Land aforesaid by John Cutler Deputy Surveyor whereby as appears by his Draught in the Surveyor Generals Office and by an account of his Resurveys in the Secretarys Office the quantity of the said Wests Tract was found to be 1327 Acres and that of John Reynold's to be 1208 Acres. That by several ancient surveys of the adjacent Lands bounding on and calling for those Tracts of West and Reynolds and by other evidence those Tracts contain the respective quantities before mentioned of 1327 and 1208 Acres. That for a great length of time back many persons settled and made improvements upon the said Lands and such improvement Rights were sold for valuable considerations and granted from one person to another until this time. That on 16th April, 1767, the said Samuel Kinsey and John Ellicot obtained Warrants for laying out 250 Acres to Samuel Kinsey and 100 Acres to John Ellicot (on part of the Land claimed by the Settlers afores'd) in order to agree with the Proprietors for the same. That upon a Representation that the Land contained in the said War't of Kinsey and Ellicot was not vacant but within the bounds of West and Reynolds Tracts the Surveyor was directed not to execute the said Warrants. And on the Application

of the several Settlers aforesaid the late Proprietary's conceiving the said Lands of West and Reynolds to be Escheated to them for want of known Kindred or Heirs to inherit did on or about 23d January, 1769, grant Warrants for laying out to the several possessors aforesaid by Bounds therein expressed the parts by them respectively claimed in order to allow them the pre-emption upon which Surveys have been made and returned into the Surveyor General Office. That on the 30th day of August, 1781, a Warrant was granted for re-surveying the said Tracts of Land, as Escheats, according to the original Lines and bounds and a resurvey hath been made and returned comprehending the lands aforesaid. The Board have maturely considered the Cases Memorials and Allegations of the contend'g parties aforesaid and the Evidence laid before them on each side are of opinion that the preference of Pre-emption should be given to the said Settlers provided they comply with such terms as may be judged reasonable.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 3d March, 1784.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq., President.
Honorable James Irwin, Esq.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.

James Potter, Esq'r
v. } On Caveat.
David Harris.

General Potter and William McClay, Esq'r, in behalf of David Harris appeared and it appears that Mr. Potter obtained a warrant on the 5th day of January, 1773 for 150 Acres, including his improvem't joining Thomas McKean, Esq'r and to include the hickory sappling ground in Baldeagle Township. That a survey was begun on that Warrant by Mr. McClay on or about the 15 June, 1774, but not finished. That Mr. Harris obtained a Warrant dated 27 July, 1773 for 300 Acres bounded S. W. by Wm. McKee, Thomas McKean's and a hickory ridge No. Wd. by the foot of a Mountain including a run that sinks at the mountain foot Eastward by Seth Matlacks Survey Westward by vacant in Northumberland County. That a Survey of 330 Acres hath been made to David Harris on that Warrant, but as there is some doubt as to the situation of General Potters improvements and of the Hickory Ridge or Sapplin ground the Surv'r General is

ordered to direct some indifferent Surveyor to go on the ground in the presence of the parties or some person for them and make a Survey of General Potters Wt. aforesaid agreeable to its Location and of the remaining vacancy and return a General draught of the whole to the Board, therein representing exactly the situation of Gen'l Potters improvement mentioned in his Warrant and the extent thereof, and of the Hickory ridge or Hickory Saplin grounds and the extent thereof the Run at the foot of Mountain, and other waters near to Seth Matlacks land and all the adjoining Tracts with such Remarks as may be necesary for the Illucidation of this Matter.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General the 6th April, 1784.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble John McDowell, Esq.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Johnston.

Upon the App'n of ye H'rs of Jas. Reed of New Lond'n Tow'p, Chest'r Co., deced. for a Pat't for 135¼ Acres in said To's Surv'd ℔ Wt. 29th Jan'y, 1733-4 to Fran's Moore. Ord'd that ye same be Granted.

Abraham Schneider
v. } On Caveat.
Francis Sheafer.

Jacob Schneider son of said Abraham appeared but not being able to prove the service of Notice for a hearing on this day therefore this dispute is continued to the first Monday in September next again which time the Surveyor General is desired to cause a disinterested Deputy Surveyor to execute the Application of John Clark and the Warrant of Schneider which he said Schneider claims under and to return the same to the Board. And the said Schneider is to give Sheafer Notice of the making his Survey and of the time to which this matter is postponed.

John Mate
v.
Philip Gardner.

John Mate appearing but not being able to prove the service of Notice for hearing on this day this dispute is postponed until the first Mond'y in September next and in the mean time the Surveyor General is directed to cause his Deputy

to execute Jno. Renshaws Warrant of 8th day of October, 1750, which Mate claims agreeable to the original Line and Bounds as formerly run. And the said Mate is to give Notice to Gardner when the survey is to be made in order that he may attend if he chooses. Also of the time to which matter is postponed.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 3d May, 1784.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq'r, President.
The Honble James Irwin, Esq'r.
The Receiver General.
The Surveyor General.
The Secretary.

Upon the Application of Daniel Williams for a Patent for a Tract of Land on Warrant to Jacob Creimer dated 17 day of August, 1773, which was not sealed. Ordered that when a survey shall be made and the Arrears of Purchase money & Interest paid a Warrant of acceptance and Patent issue to said Williams.

At a special Meeting at the Surv'r Gen'ls 15th May, 1784.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq'r, President.
The Honourable Samuel Atlee, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Honourable Robert Morris laid before the Board two surveys one of 11,526¾ Acres on the waters of Lechiwaxen Creek and on the head waters of Big Middle Creek in the County of Northampton, the other of 2770 Acres and 30 perches on the waters of Lechiwaxen Creek and on the South branch of Corkin's Creek in the said County both which Surveys were made in July, 1776, on two warrants dated 31 May, 1776, granted for surveying the several quantities of 10,000 Acres and 3,000 Acres for the proprietors use for which the said Mr. Morris requested patents.

It appeared that the proprietarys by their Commissioner of Property John Penn on the said 31st May, 1776, entered into an Agreement with Robert Lettis Hooper that he said Hooper hav-

ing discovered the land should have Patents of confirmation or such parts of said Lands in Tract of about 300 Acres each as the said John Penn should not choose to reserve upon paying the proprietary demands at £5 Sterling ℔ Ct, and 1d Quit Rent, &c.

That the said Robert Lettis Hooper by an instrument in writing dated 5th September, 1779, made under the said Agreement requested the said L'ds might be confirmed to John Mussor who hath sold his Right of the same to the said Mr. Morris.

That on the 10th November, 1779, 26 Guineas 10 half Johan's were paid by the said Mr. Mussor to said Mr. Penn in part for the said Lands.

The Board having considered the Case and the sev'l Laws relating to the granting of Lands are of opinion that the said Mr. Morris shall have Patents of Confirmation for the said several Tracts of 11,526 Acres & 2770 Acres and 30 perches upon his paying the Arearages of the purchase Money and Interest agreed on for the said Lands.

[See a Minute of 7th June next some Alterations.]

Ludwig Karcher appeared at the Board and represented that he and Adam Guyer had purchased the Right of three Warrants dated 13 January, 1773, granted to Caleb Perry, Peter Hughes and Lindsay Coates, Esq'r which W'ts were made out and signed by John Penn and entered in the books of the Land Office but that the said Karcher instead of having the Seals affixed and lodging them in the Surveyor Generals Office and obtaining Copies to the Deputy Surveyor sent the originals to the Deput'y Surv'r who made Surveys thereon and prayed that on the Arrears of Purchase Money and Interest being paid they might have Patents. The Board on considering this Case Ordered that Warrants for the acceptance of the Surveys made on the said Warrants issue and Patents of Confirmation to the said Karcher and Guyer.

At a Special Meeting of the Board the 2d June, 1784.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq'r, President.
The Honble John Boyd, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General.
The Secretary.
The Receiver General.

William Templer son of Richard Templer late of East Nantmel Township, Chester County, deceased, appeared and represented that he was intitled to 158 Acres of land in the said

Township survey'd on an Order dated 23d December, 1756, for surveying the quantity of 100 Acres "including his the said Richards improvement" for the proprietors Use in order to agree with him which land was settled and improved on by said Richard about 35 years ago and desiring that the terms may be fixed and agreed on. The Board considering that the improvement was made some years prior to the obtaining the said Order of Survey and while the common terms were £15: 10 ℔ Ct. allow the said William Templer a Patent for the same upon producing title to the Right of his Father and on his paying at the rate aforesaid of £15: 10 ℔ Ct. with Intrest from 1st March, 1749 the time of Settlement.

At a Meeting of the Board of Property at the Council Chamber the 7 June, 1784.

present

The Honble James Ewing, Esq'r, V. Pres'd of the Board.
The Honble Stephen Balliot, Esq'r.
David Kennedy, Sec'y.
Francis Johnston, R. Gen'l.
John Lukens, S. Gen'l.

Mr. Morris and Mr. Mussor appeared at the Board & represent'd that a Patent for the Tract of 11,526 Acres mentioned in the Minutes of 15 Ult'o only was desired at present and that as Mr. Mussor had not actually conveyed the same to the said Mr. Morris a desire that the Patent may issue to the said Mr. Musser on the terms of said former Minute which the Board approve of and order accordingly and Mr. Morris declares he has no pretensions to the other Tract of 2770 Acres.

Upon a revisal of a Petition of Joseph Harrison and a Minute of the Board thereon the ——— day of ——— 178—. The Board orders a Warrant of Resurvey to correct ye Errors of the first Survey as prayed.

John Betts and Jonn Wilson, Esq'r appeared at the Board authorized by the Settlers on Reynolds Tract to wit, Charles Carter, Thomas Carver, the said John Betts, Joseph Worthington, James Boner, Thomas Betts, jun'r, George Kelly, the said John Wilson, Hugh Smith and Henry Lair and offered at the rate of £72 ℔ Ct. specie for their respective surveys to be paid within 6 Months with Interest from this day, which Terms the Board agreed to accept and direct Patents of confirmation to issue on the said Claimants complying with ye Terms aforesaid.

William Simpson being present agreed to pay for his 154 Acres Survey on the Terms and time of payment above mentioned.

Upon the Application of Samuel Morrison who sold to Solomon Lightcap for a Patent for a Tract of Land surveyed by virtue of a Warrant to John Hays situate in Nockamixon Township, Bucks County, Ordered that a Patent be made to one of them.

At a Special Meeting of the Board of Property 25th June, 1784.

present

The Honorable James Ewing, Esq'r, V. P.
The Honble James Irwin, Esq'r.
John Lukens, S'r G'l.
David Kennedy, S'y.
Francis Johnston, R'r G'l.

The Secretary laid before the Board the form of a Warrant for granting Lands when the Office shall open on the 1st July which was approved and is as folows:

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania ss:

Whereas,——— of the County of ——— hath requested to take up ——— Acres of Land ——— in the County of ——— (provided the same Land is purchased of the Indians) for which he agrees to pay immediately into the Office of the Receiver General for the use of this State, at the Rate of Ten pounds ₱ Hundred Acres, in Gold, Silver, Paper Money of this State, or Certificates agreeable to an Act of Assembly passed the first day of April, 1784. Interest to commence from the ———. These are therefore to authorize and require you to Survey or cause to be Surveyed unto the said ——— at the place aforesaid according to the method of Townships appointed, the said quantity of Acres, if not already Surveyed or appropriated and to make return thereof into the Secretary's Office in order for confirmation for which this shall be your Warrant.

In witness whereof ——— President of the Supreme Executive Council hath hereto set his hand and caused the less Seal of the said Commonwealth to be affixed the ——— day of ——— in the Year 178—.

To John Lukens, Esq'r, S'r G'l.

The Receiver General laid before them an Advertisement enumerating the different species of Certificates intitled by the Law to be paid into his Office, also the form of a Surplus Certificate to be issued by him which were both approved.

The Memorial of John Beidler requesting a Patent for an Island in Schuylkill was read & the further consideration thereof postponed.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 7th July, 1784.

present

His Excellency John Dickinson, Esq'r, President.
The Honble John McDowell, Esq.
The Receiver General.
The Surveyor General.
The Secretary.

William West
v.
Doct'r Morgan.

The parties having been heard it appears that Doct'r Morgan Claims under an Application No. 1792 of Elijah Weed and a Survey made thereon and also an Improvement made on the Land, and Mr. West claims under an Application to Joseph Merrill No. 3233 entered the 17th day of May, 1769. The Board on considering this Case order the Caveat to be dismissed.

The Rev'd Geo'e Duffield
& Samuel Lyon
v.
David McCurdy.
On Caveat.

It appeared that David McCurdy hath a Warrant dated the 12th day of June, 1762 and a Survey made thereon so early as 1765 or earlier of 646 Acres including his Improvement and bearing Interest from the first day of March, 1765. That Mr. Lyon and Mr. Duffield claim under Applications entered in 1767 in the names of said Duffield and Lyon, which bound upon McCurdy. The Board therefore on considering this case order the Resurvey made by Matthew Henderson, Esq., to be accepted and a Patent granted on his paying the purchase Money and Interest due.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Genls. 7th Sept'r, 1784.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Hon'ble Bernard Dougherty Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Matthew Brown
v.
Christ'n. Burkholder
On Caveat.

Matthew Brown not appearing tho' duly served Burkholder was

heard exparte And it appears that Burkholder had a Survey of 126 Acres made on a Warrant dated 23 May 1734 to Robert Baker which includes the land in dispute And it appears from Depositions that said Brown resided on the premises by Licence from Burkholder. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed & Patent ordered to Burkholder unless Brown shew cause to the contrary by the first Monday in October next.

The Representation of Samuel Preston Moore and Jacob Shoemaker on behalf of the people called Quakers requesting a Patent for a Lot of Ground therein discribed being considered a Patent is ordered on the payment of the purchase Money ment'd in the Warrant together with the In'st thereof from 6 Mo's after date of the said Warrant.

At a Meting at the Surveyor Gen'ls Office 9th September, 1784.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable George Wall Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

John Mate
v. } On Caveat.
Philip Gartner

Postponed till this day from the first Monday in April last Mate not appearing and Gartner being heard Ordered that Mate's Caveat be dismissed and Patent issue to Gartner.

Ordered that upon payment of the purchase Money of Five pounds Sterling for the quantities mentioned in the Warrants enumerated in Doct'r Smiths Memorial Copies of the entries be sent to the Surveyor General in order that Surveys be made and on payment of the arreas and Interest Warrants of acceptance and Patents issue.

Upon the Application of John Stoneman for a Patent for 135 Acres in Conestogo Township Lancaster County resurveyed by Bartram Galbraith D. Sr. in the year 1763 for which no Warrant is to be found. Ordered that a Warrant of aceptance issue and Patent on payment of the purchase Money of £15. 10s. P. Ct. with Intrest from 1st March, 1747 the time Hans Haigie (under whom Stoneman claims) paid Mr. Cookson £7. 10. 6. in order to obtain Warrant for the Land.

John Armstrong for his Son James Armstrong
v.
Andrew Sholl
} On Caveat.

General Armstrong not being able to attend sent a letter acknowledging the service of Notice of hearing this day and Stating his or his Sons claim which appears to be on a Warrant to James Armstrong dated 3d February 1755 for 100 Acres on Penns Creek includes two Deer Licks in the County of Cumberland but no return of Survey made into the Surveyor Generals Office nor is there any proof that a Survey was made on the Land in question.

And said Sholl appeared and laid his claim before the Board which is that on the 30th day of October, 1765, he obtained a Warrant for 120 Acres including his Improvement on Middle Creek in Cumberland County and a Survey of Acres made by virtue thereof. The Board therefore on considering the continual Acts of Ownership and the valuable Improvements of Sholl together with his regular Right by Warrant and Survey and the uncertainty of Armstrongs Warrant being located on that place it calling for Penns Creek and the Land lying on Middle Creek and no Survey, Improvement or other Act of Ownership by Armstrong do order the Caveat to be dismissed and Patent to issue to Sholl.

On Caveat by letter from Dr. B. Rush in behalf of himself and William Brown, Esq'r, dated 28th July last & directed to the Secretary against the acceptance of any Surveys on Clearfield Creek in the County of Bedford for John Loudon and others.

Dr. Rush and Mr. Brown and Mr. Loudon appeared & were heard and it appears that the said Rush and Brown claim under Applications entered on the first day of July last in the names of James Alexander, John McConnel and others for Warrants to be laid on land Situate on both sides of Clearfield Creek at the head of the Narrows &ca. That the said Wallis and Loudon claim under Applications entered on the 25 June 1767 in the names of Hannah Yarnall, Mary Yarnall & others, locating the same at a place called Point Valley far distant from the Land now in question. That the said Wallis & Loudon in the month of June prior to the opening the Land Office on the first of July last undertook to transfer the Applications entered as aforesaid in 1767 from the places therein mentioned to Lands on Clearfield Creek, alledging that they were unsatisfied & procured Surveys to be made by a Deputy Surveyor in the said month of June accordingly which they acknowledged to interfere with the Applications of the other party, but insist that they were authorized so to do by the fifth clause of the Act intitled "an Act for opening the Land Office &c. That is allways part of the instructions from the Surveyor General to his Deputies, and among the rest to the Deputy who made the

Surveys aforesaid for the said Wallis & Loudon to "faithfully execute every Warrant to him directed to the best of his skill, knowledge and understanding according to the express words and order of such Warrant and no otherwise, without special leave for it had from the Surveyor General for so doing." That it has been the practise when a Warrant could not be satisfied by being laid upon the Land mentioned in it, for the proper Deputy to make Certificate thereof on the back of the Warr't into the Surveyor Generals Office. That no Law has directed such Surveys made by a Deputy Surveyor in other places than those mentioned in the Warrants That such a practise would be attended with much inconvenience and confusion.

That the proceedings of the said Wallis & Loudon would therefore be irregular, even if their pretensions were founded on Warr'ts and consideration money paid, but that they only rely on applications for each of which they have paid only the Office fees of 3s. 6d. to the Secretary and the like sum to the Surveyor General according to the common usage at that time. That the practise with respect to such Applications where they could not be satisfied, has been, for the Deputy Surveyor to make a Certificate thereof, and then the party has made a new Application or Location. Wherefore upon the whole it is ordered by the Board that the said Surveys made in June last be rejected And that Patents issue upon the aforesaid App'ns made on the first of July last.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General's 15th Sept'r, 1784.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Hon'ble James Irwin Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Board taking into consideration Applications made by several persons for confirmations of claims under the State of Virginia according to the agreement made by the Commissioners of that State and of this Commonwealth on the 31st day of August 1779 Resolved that the Surveyor General issue his orders forthwith to his Deputies in the Counties of Westmoreland, Washington and Fayette that upon Applications made to them by any persons claiming lands within their Districts respectively by virtue of Rights acquired under, founded on, or recognized by the Laws of Virginia they Survey the said Lands for such persons, first

giving publick Notice of the time when such Surveys shall be made. That they make Returns of such Surveys into the Surveyor General's Office annexing thereto exact Copies of the Grants, Entries or Vouchers upon which the Applicants requested the said Surveys to be made, noteing therein any interfering claims under this State, and making such observations as may be useful for the decision of disputes thereon. That for expediting the determination of such controversies they urge the parties to have depositions respecting the Tracts taken before some Magistrate in the neighbourhood and transmitted with the Returns and that the said Deputies attend at the taking of such Depositions that they certify whether the said Lands have been at any time and when surveyed upon claims under this Commonwealth or applied for by the said Applicants or others, That the Surveyor General also furnish each of his Deputies with a Copy of the Law intitled "An Act confirming the Agreem't between this State and the State of Virginia."

Note. The Receiver General being at the Indian Treaty there was no meeting of the Board till 7th Feb'ry, 1785.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 7th February, 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Hon'ble Jonathan Hoge Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Kennedy.

John McKee
v. } On Caveat.
Eleanor Mills.

It appears that Mrs. Mills claims under a Warrant dated the first of July last, and that Mr. McKee claims under a Maryland Patent called "Mounthope" for 456 Acres. The surveyor General is desired to direct his Deputy to Resurvey Mounthope and to make report to the Board whether the Land, or any, and what part of the Land claimed by Mrs. Mills Warrant falls within the same or not in Order that the Board when full may be possessed of the necessary information for the determination of this matter.

Ordered that the Surveyor General cause the Tracts of Land belonging to Philip Shelkis which he claims under Warrants to Philip Kuhnius and Jacob Hawser to be Resurveyed and divided in order that he may have a Patent.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 7th March, 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Hon'ble John Nevill Esq'r.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Receiver General Mr. Johnston.
The Secretary Mr. Kennedy.

Resolved that for preventing delays upon Applications to Deputy Surveyors by persons claiming Lands in the Counties of Westmoreland, Washington and Fayette by virtue of Rights acquired under, founded on, or recognized by the Laws of Virginia, the Surveyor General forthwith furnish his Deputies with necessary Transcripts of the papers communicated by the Government of Virginia to the Supreme Executive Council and by them put into his hands, That he enjoin them to pay immediate attention to all Applications appeared to be suported by rights obtained as aforesaid before the 23 June 1780. That in Returns of all Surveys made on such Applications they certify whether the particulars of description mentioned in the original Entries correspond with the Lands shewn by the Applicants. That where such Deputies cannot conveniently attend the taking of Depositions in disputed Cases they earnestly require both the parties to be present when they shall be taken in order to avoid the trouble and expence they must otherwise unavoidably incurr, And that to give facility and dispatch in settling the claims aforesaid it be recommended to the Surveyor General to lessen the Districts and appoint a greater number of Deputies And that in his choice of them he take all possible care to guard against the appointment of any person influenced by prejudices upon the subject of such claims.

The declaration of representation of Jacob Stroud Esq'r in writing concerning a Tract of 145 Acres Land in the County of Northampton which Mr. James and Drinker claim under Robert Levers and against which a certain Thomas Ward entered a Caveat, being read is Ordered to said James and Drinker.

The Memorial of the Honourable Robert Morris and Francis Hopkinson Esq'rs Attornies or Agents for the Assignees of Ralph Fretwell inclosing a printed state of their claims being read the further consideration thereof is postponed.

Upon the Application of John Hubley and Christian Wertz for confirmations of two Tracts of Land Surveyed on Warrants dated but which Warrants had not Seals affixed. Ordered Patents to them respectively on their paying the arrears of purchase money and Intrest.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 4th April 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Upon the Application of Christopher Andreas for a Patent for 206 Acres Land in upper Milford Township Northampton County Surveyed P. Warrant to William Deeter. Ordered that a Warrant of acceptance reciting the Title and on payment of arrears of Purchase and Intrest a Patent issue.

William Bonham
v. } On Caveat.
John Loudon

& Loudon
v.
Bonham

These cases postponed to the first Monday in July next in order that the parties may have an opportunity of taking Testimony. And William Maclay and William Montgomery Esq'rs are desired to examine the Witnesses and take their Depositions in the presence of both parties unless the said parties agree on two other Justices to take the same Depositions.

John Beidlers Memorial the consideration whereof was postponed the 25th June last, was again read and postponed.

Daniel Robb
v. } On Caveat.
Cornelius Coxe

Upon hearing the parties it appears that Coxe claims under an Application of Thomas Doyle No. 123 and a Survey made thereon of Acres. And that Robbs Application is posterior, wherefore the Board considering that Doyles Location is the earliest and well located on the ground order the Caveat to be dismissed.

The Memorial of Mathias Bush was read requesting a Patent for a Lot near Spruce Street the further consideration thereof postponed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals 5th April, 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Hon'ble John Boyd Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Board took into consideration the Draughts of McCleary Cochran and Steel returned by Charles Dilworth pursuant to orders of the Board the day of and hearing the parties Order them Patents and confirmation on their Surveys aforesaid.

Heirs of Aaron Depui claiming under Wm. Allen
v.
Thomas Wright claiming under Hugh Pugh
} On Caveat.

The Son of Aaron Depui and Thomas Wright appearing & being heard Ordered a Patent for the 253½ Acres to the Representatives of Hugh Pugh.

Upon the Application of Samuel Meredith Esq'r for a Patent for 2770 Acres Land mentioned in the Minutes of the Board of May and June last, the same is Ordered upon his paying the Office fees, it appearing by Testimony now produced to this Board that the purchase Money had been paid in full to the Propriet'rs.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls the 25th April 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable James Irvine Esq'r.
John Lukens Esq. Surveyor General.
Francis Johnston Esq'r Receiver General.
David Kennedy Esq'r Secretary Land Office.

The Board taking into consideration the mode of confirmation of Surveys made and returned into the Surveyor General's Office in pursuance of the orders of the Board on the 15th September and 7th March last, And of the instructions of the Surveyor General thereon. Resolved that when any Survey shall be made and returned into the Surveyor Generals Office as aforesaid and no objection or Caveat being made, and it appears that the same corresponds with the Documents transmitted to Council by the State

of Virginia, the Surveyor General shall thereupon Certify the same to the Secretary of the Land Office, Who upon payment of the purchase Money, if any due, for the same to the Receiver General, shall make out a Warrant of acceptance and Patent, as is usually done in cases of Surveys made on Applications.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 2d May, 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable James Irvine, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General.
The Receiver General.
The Secretary.

The Board took into consideration the mode of granting Warrants to those persons who were allowed the pre-emption of certain Lands between Lycoming and Pine Creek and elsewhere by an Act of Assembly passed the 21st day of December 1784. Resolved that in order to obtain such Warrants proof of actual Settlement before the Year 1780 be made by the Depositions of at least one competent Witness before some Justice of the Peace of the County or a Judge of the Supreme Court.

John Sweitzer
v.
William Coxe &
William Trent
} On Caveat.

This Cause being appointed for hearing on the first monday in November last, but Mr. Coxe and Sweitzer agreed to postpone the matter to this day and Mr. Coxe not appearing Sweitzer was heard exparte. It appears that Sweitzer claims under Applications entered the 15th October 1766 No. 1534 & 1535. One fof 200 Acres on Aughwick Creek including Clarks bottom &c'a and the other for 100 Acres including the Rich bottom and joining Clarks bottom &c'a and hath had Surveys duly made and returned thereon. That he also claims the same by purchase from George Croghan in the year 1754 hath made Improvem'ts and paid Taxes for & hath been in possession of the same many years. The Board are therefore of opinion that Sweitzer have a Patent or Patents on his paying the purchase money and Intrest due.

At a Special meeting of the Board at the Surveyor Generals the 11 May 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable Isaac Meason Esq'r.
The Surveyor General.
The Receiver General.
The Secretary Land Office.

Major William Armstrong attending and laid before the Board an Application in the name of Rowland Perry for 237 Acres of Land on the East Side of Allegheny River about eight miles above the Kittaning at a place called Mahoning Town. And represented that it was the same Land which Benjamin Jacobs obtained a Warrant for bearing date the 28th day of April 1774. He also produced a Conveyance from one John Anderson to said Jacobs dated 7th June 1774 for 250 Acres alledging it to be the above mentioned Tract therein describing it to be "on the East side of "the River Allegany at a place called Mahoning a little to the Southward of the late purchase line." Mr. Armstrong on behalf of the Heirs of Jacobs requested that as it was now found that the place aforesaid is not within the purchase made in 1768 a Warrant might issue under the Act of Assembly passed the 21st December last entitled "An Act to alter and amend an Act for opening the Land Office &c'a," In pursuance of the 9th and 10th Sections thereof. The Board on considering the Application and the Evidence produced are of opinion that there is not sufficient proof that the claim of the Representatives of Jacobs are founded on facts that intitled them pre-emption by virtue of the said Act. And it is ordered that the Secretary of the Land Office take great care that in cases of persons applying for Warrants on claims to pre-emption, satisfactory proof be made that such claims are founded on Actual Settlements made before the Year 1780 and meritorious behaviour during the late War according to the meaning of the said Act and where Representatives apply that they are legal Representatives.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber 6th June 1785.

Present

The Honorable James Irvine Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Daniel Heister Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Peter Heestant
v. } On Caveat.
Hugh White

Peter Heestant claims under a Warrant to Henry White dated 27th January 1748-9 and a Resurvey or Survey made Bartram Galbreath and Hugh White claims under an Application No. 3164 entered 18th March 1768 and a Survey of 55½ Acres made thereon in February last. William White in behalf of Hugh White and Frederick Muma in behalf of Peter Heestant appearing and agree to leave this matter to the dicision of Bartram Galbraith Col'o James Cuningham, Alexander Lowry and James Work, who or any three of them agreeing (Galbraith and Cunningham being present) are desired to Report their determination to this Board as soon as possible.

William Alexander
v. } On Caveat.
David McCurdy

Alexander having no Office Right or any actual Improvement the Caveat is dismissed.

Sarah Davis
v. } On Caveat.
David McCurdy

David McCurdy claims 257 Acres under Warrant of the 12th June 1762 which was granted for 100 Acres, and 198 as. 141 P'ches. Surveyed by Matthew Henderson on Warrant of the 5th of July last granted for 150 Acres. It appears upon examining the Draught and representation made by said Mr. Henderson of Sarah Davis lays within or so nearly contagious to the Surveys of McCurdy that she cannot be accommodated with a reasonable Tract with her Improvements as the said Surveys are now made. Therefore it is the opinion of the Board and they Order that the Deputy Surveyor be directed to make such alterations and regulations in the said Surveys so as to leave a reasonable quantity for Sarah Davis's Improvement as he, said Surveyor and Mess'rs Cap'n William Rippey, Daniel Duncan and Col'o Delap or any two of them shall judge most convenient for the said parties, in order that it may be granted to said Sarah, provided she pay for the same on or before the first of November next.

Samuel Johnston
v. } On Caveat.
William Johnston

The parties appear and were heard. It appears that William Johnston has an Application No. 3944 for 300 Acres in Mount Bethel Township North'n County and a Survey of 335 Acres made in the year 1772 by Henry Vanderslice on the same. Samuel

Johnston has a Warr't for 100 Acres joining Widow Silliman and John Moore including his Improvement in Mount bethel Township afores'd. Samuel Johnston alledges that the said Survey for William was not so large as it now apepars but was closed by a line marked from a post at the corner of the S. 65 E. Line to a Stone in the N 20 W line or thereabouts and that the remainder was left vacant for the accommodation of his brother John Who is now deceased. This Case is postponed to the first monday in September next in order that the Deposition of said Mr. Vanderslice may be heard.

Jacob Plank
v. } On Caveat.
Valentine Shoufler

On hearing the parties it appears that Plank claims under a Patent dated 10th October 1770 to Jacob Weaver, and Shoufler by Warrant dated 6 January 1743 and Survey made thereon to Francis Sybert. That the lines of Shoufler's Survey interferes in a small point with the Patent. The Board on considering this Case Order that the Surveyor General cause the said Shoufler's Survey to be corrected and altered so as not to interfere with the lines of the said Patent.

William Glen
v.
William Hewitt

At a meeting of the former Board of Property 29th March 1774 it appeared "that Glen claims under Warrant to William Reed in "the year 1745 and William Hewitt under a Warrant much "younger. That a Survey of 90 As. had been lately made and re-"turned on Glens Warrant as disputed by Hewit. That the par-"ties agreed that Job Ruston, Robert Smith and John Stewart or "any two of them should divide the 90 Acres between the parties "in the most convenient manner leaving to William Glen 55 "Acres of the 90 Acres. And that Surveys should be made and "confirmed accordingly." William Glen appears and informs the Board that Job Ruston is deceased and that nothing hath been done pursuant to the Minutes aforesaid, And laid before the Board a Draught of the Land in dispute by John Churchman. The Board on considering the Case and inspecting the Draught aforesaid are of opinion and Order that the Surveyor General direct his Deputy Surveyor of Chester County to run a line to begin at a Chestnut a corner of Samuel Maxwells Land and run South East fourteen perches and nine tenths or so far as will from thence by a North East line striking the East eighty eight perches line make up the 55 Acres including the 23 and 24 Acres marked B & C in the said Draught the which described Course is dotted off on

the Plott aforesaid and marked I K and that the same be returned to this Board for further determination.

Rowland Chambers
v.
Ephraim Hunter
} On Caveat.

The parties appeared and were heard. It appears that Ephraim Hunter has a Warant dated 23d August last for 100 Acres Land joining Frederick Sweicher, William McTeers, James Mateer, Ephraim Miller and Rowland Chambers in Allen Township Cumberland County. Rowland Chambers has a Warrant dated 29th January last for 250 Acres including an Improvement made in the year 1755 bounded by Richard Gilston, Thomas Stewart, James McTeer, William McTeer and Thomas Sharp in said Township. On considering this case Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor, Survey the whole vacancy described in the said Chambers and Hunter's Warrants and by consent of parties Mess'rs Samuel Wallace, James Gregory, Robert Patterson and John Hulings together with the Surveyor, are appointed to hear the parties, to view the premisses and report the most equitable mode of making a distribution of the vacancy to this Board as soon as possible.

At a Special meeting at the Council Chamber the 25th day of June, 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Samuel and William Culbertson Sons of William Culbertson deceased having applied for a Patent for 312½ Acres in Allen Township, Cumberland County Surveyed on Warr'ts dated 3d August 1750 to said William Culbertson but a Caveat being entered by Matthew and Samuel Rodgers, alledging a Right to an Improvement on the same Land the Secretary laid this matter before the Board, Culbertsons alledged that the said Rodgers held as a Tenant to him & his Father and in support of that Allegation produced an Inquisition taken before John Agnew and John Jordan Esq'rs two of the Justices of the said County and twelve freeholders Who found that the said William Culbertson being peaceably possessed of the part of the said Tract which said Rodgers claimed had leased the same to them for one Year and Damages were assessed to the Executors of Wm. Culbertson for

the detention of the Premises, Wherefore the Board on consideration of these circumstances order the Caveat to be dismissed and Patent to Culbertsons accord'g to the Will of their Father.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber the 6th July 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable Richard McAllister Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Nathan Levy
v.
John Swartzhaup } On Caveat.

Nathan Levy having entered a Caveat and cited Swartzhaup to appear the first monday in June last, but the said Levy not then nor now appearing the Caveat is dismissed.

.Upon the Application of Reuben Haines for a Resurvey of two Tracts of Land in Morrisons Cove formerly in Cumberland County now in the County of Bedford granted by two Patents dated 12th April 1770 one called "Hopkins Tavern" containing 309 Acres, the other called "Spring Grove" containing 99 Acres granted to Samuel Wallis Who conveyed the same to the said Haines in order to rectify the Errors of Survey the same containing a much greater quantity. The Board Order such Warrant to issue.

Samuel Pleasants
Assignee of Rich'd Aitken
v.
Richard Wallis } On Caveat.

Richard Wallis not appearing though duly served with Notice, the Board proceeded to hear Mr. Pleasants exparte, And it appears that Samuel Pleasants claims under Warrant to said Aitken dated 28th day of January 1774 and a Survey made thereon, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Elizabeth Allen
v.
William Rishill } On Caveat.

The parties appearing were heard, and it appears that William Allen Esq'r on the 30th October, 1765, had a Warrant for the acceptance of the Surveys made some time before of the three Tracts of Land in Whitehall and Sadsbury Townships Northampton County, one of 912 as. 61 Ps. One of 1244 Acres and the other of-

61 Acres. That the said William Allen released certain parts of the said Lands to the late Prop'rs by Deed dated 5 May 1774 and obtained a Patent on the same day for the 61 Acres Tract and for 600 Acres part of the other Lands above said. That William Rishill and George Shrort entered an Application for 200 Acres part of the Lands held by Mr. Allen in the Year 1768 and previous to his conveyance or Release to the Prop'rs, which Application has been unexecuted ever since. That the said William Allen gave up his expectancy of purchase or Pre-emption to his Son James Allen Esq'r Who by Deed dated 15 January 1776 bargained and sold 100 Acres thereof to George Rhoad alias Schrort thereby covenanting to convey the same free from all incumbrances and to pay the Prop'rs within three months after the opening the Office. And the said George Schrort by Deed indorsed assigned the same to Jacob Haman Who by Deed dated 7th December, 1778 conveyed the same to the said William Rishill Who assigned the same to Peter Kehler and in the Deed authorized the said James Allen to make the Title to the said Kehler.That the said James Allen bargained and sold 100 Acres joining to the above ment'd 100 As. unto Leonard Rishill and received a part of the consideration monies and agreed to make Title as in the other Case, and the said Leonard sold to the said William Rishill. That the said James Allen being since deceased his Widow the said Elizabeth Allen being desirous of fulfilling the engagements aforesaid of her husband obtained a Warrant for 375 Acres including the above two tracts of 100 Acres each. And upon said William Rishill's obtaining a Copy of the said Application from the Surveyor Generals Office in order for making a Survey. The Board on considering the Case are of opinion that at the time of entering the said Application the Land belonged to the said William Allen and therefore it could not be laid. And that as Mrs. Allen hath obtained the Warrant in order to make Title to the said William Rishill and Leonard Rishill or to those claiming under them pursuant to the agreement aforesaid. Ordered that her said Warrant be executed and on return of Survey a Patent issue and that no Survey be made on Rishill and Schrorts Application.

Peter Heestant
v.
Hugh White

This matter being heard the first monday in June and postponed and referred. And the said Hugh White having since Released his right to the said Heestant. Ordered that Patent issue to Heestant and that the Receiver General allow the money paid by Hugh White on the day of last in payment.

Peter Rufner
v.
Heirs of Geo. Burkhart

This matter postponed to the first Monday in August next.

At a meeting at the Committee Room the 2d August 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable Stephen Balliott Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Peter Rufner
v.
Andrew Burkhart son of Geo. Burkhart

Resolved that the Surveyor General be requested to direct the Deputy of the District forthwith to make Surveys of the respective Claims of Peter Rufner and the Heirs of George Burkhart under the Warrants to the said Peter Rufner and George Burkhart ascertaining in his return the quantity contained in each claim, the manner of their interfering and representing the number of Acres clear or in Woods, and the situation of the houses and waters and that, this be done by the first monday in September next.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals 5 August 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable Stephen Balliott Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

On taking into consideration a letter from Presley Nevill dated 12th July last to his Excellency with respect to the execution of Warrants directed to Mess'rs. Reddick & Hoge before the appointment of the said Mr. Nevill & Mr. Ritchie the Lands therein described now falling within the Districts of them appointed And with respect to the Allowance to be Surveyed on Virginia Rights

and also with respect to Surveying Lands on Location or Applications entered in the Land Office of Pennsylvania whereon no part of the purchase money hath been paid. Resolved that when the said Mr. Nevill shall have sent his bonds or Security to the Surveyor General for the due execution of his Office, that the said Mr. Reddick be directed to transmit to the said Nevill and Ritchie such copies of Warrants as is in his hands directed to him but fall within the Districts of them respectively assigned, in order that surveys may be made by them in the same maner as if the Warrants were directed to them. That as to Surveys to be made on Rights acquired from Virginia they be confined to the quantities under such Rights according to the Agreement between the two States, And that as to Applications for Surveys on Locations entered in the Land Office of Pennsylvania the Laws of Pennsylvania be observed.

At a meeting in the Council Chamber the 11th August 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r. President.
The Honorable Stephen Balliott Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Alexander Wilson applied for a Warrant to accept the Resurvey made by Henry Reem by direction of the Surveyor General of a Tract of Land formerly granted to Henry Wolfhill by Patent dated 6 June 1753 for 152 Acres, but by the Resurvey is found to contain 181 Acres, several of the lines being much longer than called in the Patent. Ordered that a Warrant for the acceptance of the said Resurvey and of the division of the several parts thereof, And that on their paying for the overplus at the same rate the other Land was paid for, Patents issue to the respective owners of the said Tracts.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber 6 September 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Jonathan Dickinson Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Benjamin Chew Esq'r in behalf of himself & ors. Owners of the Mine Tract v. James Mercer Esq'r. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing were heard but as it does not appear whether the Surveys of Mercer interferes with the Resurvey of the Mine Tract, The Surveyor General is desired to direct his Deputy to examine the said Survey and Resurvey and to Report as soon as possible to this Board whether they interfere or not, and if they do interfere in what manner.

Peter Ruffner v. George Burkhart }

On examining the Draught returned by Andrew Litle Deputy Surveyor and hearing the parties, by their Agreement it was concluded that all differences concerning their respective claims be ended and finally settled by division between them of the piece situate the two double lines represented in the Draught aforesaid and containing 48 Acres and 147 perches and that the said division be made on a straight line beginning in the line on the North of the said piece at the distance Eastward by thirty perches from the Westernmost of the said double lines and thence extending due South parallel to the same double line until it intersects the line to the Southward of the said piece, the Eastern part of the said division to be Patented to the said Ruffner and the Western part to the said Burkhart.

Patrick McGaughgan v. Joseph Scott }

McGaughan not appearing nor any for him though duly served with Notice Scott was heard exparte, And Patent ordered to Scott Upon Application of George Kutz for a Patent for Acres on a Warrant to George Kocher, Ordered a Patent.

William Reed v. John McCormick }

The parties appeared and were heard, and it appears that McCormick hath a Warrant dated 3 May last. That Reed claims the Improvement on which McCormick's Warrant is founded the said Reed not being able to make his Right good from or under Joseph Fleming the first Improver the Caveat is dismissed.

William Reed v. David Hannah }

Reed not being able to make good his Right the Caveat is dismissed.

The Board resumed the consideration of the case between Wm. and Samuel Johnston postponed from 6th June last. And it appears that at the time of making the Survey for William Johnston on his Application about 70 Acres were intended by the Surveyor and by the said William for the accommodation of his brother John and that by the field Notes of the Surveyor Henry Vanderslice a Survey was made of that quantity for John Johnston Who paid him 35s for making the Survey on the 18th day of September 1772 as appears by the said Vanderslice's Receipt. That the said John dying intestate and without issue Samuel Johnston his eldest brother of the whole blood obtained a Warrant oun the 13th day of August 1784 for the same Land That after the obtaining the Warrant aforesaid William Johnston procured a return of 335 Acres to be made on his Application. The Board therefore are of opinion that the Survey of William Johnston ought to be regulated conformably to the Survey made by Vanderslice And that the Warrant of Samuel Johnston be Surveyed on the Land allotted to John Johnston and agreeable to the Location of his said Samuel's Warrant.

At a meeting at the Committee Room the 8th Sept'r, 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable Jonathan Hoge Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Board taking into consideration the case of Nehemiah Stokely set forth in his Petition to the Supreme Executive Council and by Council referred to this Board, Allow Patent to issue to the said Stokely for the 318 Acres & Allowance Surveyed to him on his Warrant of the 23d of February last on his payment of the purchase Money and Intrest from the first of May 1770 the time of the first Improvement.

At a meeting at the Committee Room the 4th October, 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Honorable John Whitehill Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

William Barr applying for a Patent for 278 Acres Surveyed on Application No. 487 Ordered that the same be granted.

Daniel Devenny
v. } On Caveat.
John Bowman

Bowman not appearing though duly served with Notice, Devenny was heard exparte, And it appears that he hath a Warrant dated the first of November last including an Improvement made several years ago. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed and his Warrant is ordered to be executed.

George Illig
v. } On Caveat.
Bolander & Moore

Upon hearing the parties it appears that Millingers Warrant under which Moore claims is prior to Phillipine Illig's therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Alexander Leiper
v. } On Caveat.
John Moffet

Alexander Leiper not appearing though duly served with Notice Maffet was heard exparte and the Caveat dismissed.

Caveats being entered by divers persons granting Patents to Abraham Heer or any claiming under him for Lands on Penns Creek in Cumberland County some of whom being deceased and their Representatives not known to Christian Long the Son in Law of the said Heer by an Advertnsem't in the publick Newspapers dated 7th June last he the said Christian Long Notifyed George Gabriel, Joseph Boude, George Conrad, Elizabeth Read and Samuel Neave their Heirs and Representatives and all others who had or claimed any Right or Title to the Lands in the said Advertisement mentioned which he claimed under Warrants to said Ab'm Heer and one David Heer to be and appear at the Board this day he then intending to apply for Patents And the said George Conrad and the Representatives of Frederick Weiser appearing were heard and it appears that George Conrad claims under an Application of Michael Sheafer of a much later date

than Heers Warrants, And Weiser under a Sheriffs Sale of George Gabriel in or about the year 1772. But as Long produced a Conveyance from one Gabriel to Heer long before the Sheriffs Sale, And as the Warrants are much earlier than Conrad's Application Patents are ordered to Long on his paying the arrears of purchase Money and Intrest.

Jacob Konig als. King & Daniel King v. Isaac Zimmerman & Michael Miller } On Caveat.

The parties appeared and were heard, And it appears that Jacob Konig and Daniel Konig and Isaac Zimmerman have Applications entered the 15th day of July 1768 for Lands over the Blue Mountains in Berks County, and surveys made as it is said but not returned. And Miller claims under a Warrant dater the 11th day of April last for 200 Acres joining Elias Read and others The Surveyor General is directed to Order his Deputy to go to the place and examine the lines of the surveys made for the Kings and Zimmerman and make Return to this Board against the first monday in December next of the Lands claimed by the said Parties according to the Location of their Rights and to Note how they interfere and if in Berks or Northampton County.

John Clark v. William Greer } On Caveat.

Lewis Lewis appeared on behalf of John Clark & declared that the matter was settled between Clark and Greer, Clark having agreed to Sell and Convey to Greer and produce Clarks papers and desired Clarks Caveat may be dismissed which is accordingly Ordered to be done.

At a Special meeting at the Council Chamber 7th Oct'r, 1785.

Present

His Excellency John Dickinson Esq'r President.
The Hon'ble John Whitehill Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Thomas Proctor Esq'r. & Lewis Lewis & John Weidman Assignees of John McCormick

Appeared before the Board and laid in their several claims to a

Tract of Land against which said Proctor entered a Caveat on the 6th day of September last, And the Board taking into consideration are of opinion that the Right of the same is in Proctor, McCormick having come into possession as Tenant to Antes under whom Proctor claims.

At a Special Meeting at the Committee Room the 31st Oct'r, 1785.

Present

The Honorable Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Whitehill Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Andrew Raybold
v.
Baltzer Foust &
Mich'l Shultz
} On Caveat.

The Defendants not appearing though duly served with Notice the Board proceed to hear Raybold exparte, And it appears that in the Year 1767 Raybold entered an Application No. 3909 for 300 Acres of Land in Cadorus Township York County and had a Survey of 129 Acres made thereon by William Matthews Deputy Surveyor in the Year 1768. That some time last Summer Baltzer Foust obtained a Warrant for 100 Acres and transferred his Right to said Shultz Who claims part of Raybolds Land, The Board direct the Surveyor General to order his Deputy to Resurvey the said Land of Raybold according to the lines run by William Matthews and to Survey the Land of Shultz on the adjoining vacancy and Return a Draught of the whole to this Board as soon as possible making such remarks as shall occur to him in order to illucidate the matter.

At a special meeting at the Council Chamber 3 Nov'r, 1785.

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r, V. P.
The Hon'ble James McLene Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

John Simpson
v.
Timothy Shaw
} On Caveat.

The parties appearing were heard, And it appears that Timothy

Shaw hath a Warrant dated the 10th day of November, 1770, including his Improvement in Rye Township Cumberland County and a Survey of Acres made thereon. Simpsons sets up a claim to the Improvement which was originally made or begun by one Graham, Simpsons Father in law. Shaw produced a Conveyance from one Rosebury & Wife for the said Improvement with the Consideration of Sixty five pounds which was duly paid the said Widow of Graham then Wife of said Rosebury for the use of herself & Children. The Board are therefore of opinion that in consideration of the length of the quiet possession and that the value of the Improvement was paid, the Caveat be dismissed and Patent issue to Shaw.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber the 7th November, 1785.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r, V. P.
The Hon'ble James McLene Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

John Lockhart
v. } On Caveat.
George Adam Baker

This matter postponed to the first monday in December next in order that the Surveyor may have time to Return the Draughts of both parties.

Upon the Application of Christopher Winter for Patents for two Tracts of Land in Tulpehocken Township, Berks County, Surveyed on Warrants to Rudolph Weidman.

[Suspended].

The Memorial of John Henderson and others for a Patent, Resurvey and Division of a Lot on Arch and Ninth Streets being read and considered, Ordered that Warrant issue agreeable to the Memorial.

Henry Myers claiming
under Nich's Eberhart
v. } On Caveat.
John Bickle claiming
under Rudolph Houk

The parties appeared and were heard, And it appears that Henry Myers has the right to an old Warrant dated in the Year 1738 and a Survey made by Samuel Lightfoot for Michael Hoff which hath been lately Resurveyed by Thomas Clark agreeable to the lines run by the said Lightfoot. And that Bickle claims under an Ap-

plication of Houck No. 658 entered the 28th day of August, 1765, and a Survey made thereon, The Board therefore Order that the Resurvey of Clark be accepted and the Survey of Houk regulated according to the same on the disputed line.

Stephen Caldwell & Matthew Shields v. Francis Campbell & John Mitchell } On Caveat.

Postponed for further consideration.

Upon the Application of Jacob Tibbins for the completion of his Title to a Tract of Land in Hanover Township, Lancaster County, which he purchase of his Father John Tibbins for which no Warrant can now be found, only it appears by a Receipt of Lynford Lardner and the Records of the Receiver General that the said John Tibbins on the first day of April, 1742, paid Twenty seven pounds in part for the same. Ordered that the Surveyor General cause a Survey of the said Tract to be made according to the ancient lines thereof.

On the Memorial of Samuel Kaufman, Ordered that the Surveyor General cause the Tract of 110 Acres Surveyed on George Teets Warrant of the 19th day of November, 1746, which is not now to be found to be Resurveyed according to its former lines and to divide the same between its present Owners.

On the Application of Mrs. Elizabeth Lightfoot Widow of Benjamin Lightfoot for a confirmation of the Lands Surveyed for said Benjamin Lightfoot & Francis Parvin by virtue of an Order of Richard Peters, Esq', formerly Sec'ry Land Office in or about the Year 1751, which lands are situate beyond the Blue Hills on Schuylkill and contains about 2327 Acres. Ordered that the Surveyor General cause the same Lands to be Resurveyed according to the original lines and a division of the part of the said Elizabeth Lightfoot to be made and Returned to this Board in order for further Confirmation. On paying at the rate of £15..10..0 P Cent with Intrest from 1st March, 1751.

At a meeting at the Council Chambers the 29th November, 1785.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble James McLene Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

James McLees appeared before the Board & represented that

he had purchased the right of an Application of Wm. Ewing which was entered the 3d day of April, 1769, No. 2389. That shortly after he had a Survey made on the said Application of 226 As. 136 Ps. which Survey by some means was Returned on a Warrant of a posterior date to John Musser Who sold to Adam Zantzinger, Who on the 20th March, 1773, obtained a Patent for the same. That upon an Ejectment brought in the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Northumberland by the said Zantzinger against the said McLees, he the said McLees recovered the Tract of Land aforesaid, That the said Zantzinger thereupon applied to the late Prop'rs for and received Repayment of the purchase Money paid at the taking out the Patent and Warrant aforesaid which last mentioned facts appear from the Record of Court and the Receiver General's Books. And the said McLees now applying for a Patent of Confirmation for the said Tract of Land. The Board on considering the Case Order that the Surveyor General make Return of the Survey made as aforesaid on the said Application of William Ewing in order that a Patent may be granted to the said McLees on his paying the purchase and Intrest for the same.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber 6th December, 1785.

Present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin Esq'r, President.
The Hon'ble William Brown Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

George McGlaughlin & ors.
v.
John Patton & Thos. Cully
} On Caveat.

The parties appeared and were heard. And it appears that Patton had a Warrant dated in 1775 for 50 Acres Land on the River Susquehanna and adjoining his other land he purchased of Samuel and Robert Lucky on which he had a Survey made though not by the proper Deputy Surveyor which is not returned That McGlaughlin and others have no Warrant or any Office Right but have used it as a Fishery many years. That Thomas Cully hath a Warrant for 150 As. including his Improvement dated in ——— 1785, by which he claims a part of the Land included in the Location of Pattons Warrant as far as an agreeable line. The Board therefore order the Surveyor General to direct the proper Deputy Surveyor to Survey the vacancy and the same denoting on the

Draught where the consentable lines between Cullys Plantation and Pattons are in order that the same may be determined on the first monday in February next to which time this matter is Postponed.

Robert Campbell applied for a Patent for 53 Acres part of 500 Acres Surveyed on Warant to Alexander Ross dated ——— 1714, some of his Title Deeds not being in proper form, allowed the same there having been a long and uninterrupted possession.

John Clark
v.
Edw'd Morton &
Joseph Mahaffy
} On Caveat.

The parties appearing & being heard their Cases are postponed till a General Draught of the lands between Lycoming & Pine Creek can be obtained from the Deputy Surveyor.

James Hepburn
v.
Robert Holmes
}

Postponed as above.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber 3d January, 1786.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Woods Esq'r.
Mr. Kennedy.

John Carson
v.
Ann Price &
Jno. Hamilton
} On Caveat.

John Carson and John Hamilton appearing were heard. And it appears that both Parties have Pre-emption Warrants And that their is land sufficient for both And they agree that the Deputy Surveyor with the direction and assistance of Robert Fleming Esq'r and John Chattam shall execute the Warrants on the vacancy so as to accommodate both parties.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General's the 16th January, 1786.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Woods Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Joseph Haslet
v.
William Miller
} On Caveat.

In this Case it appears that both parties have Warrants, Millers is the prior one but Haslet claims by an Improvement of about Four Acres cleared but no house or residence the Surveyor General is desired to direct the Deputy Surveyor to make return of the vacancy (noting the Improvement) to this Board by the first monday in May next, and in the mean time is recommended to the parties to agree on a division of the same or otherwise compromise the matter.

James Graham In Trust
for the Heirs of Robert Simons
v.
John Turner
} On Caveat.

In this Case it appears that John Turner hath a Warrant for 100 Acres prior to Grahams Warrant which is for 400 Acres including an Improvement late of one Robert Simons dec'd. And that there is a reasonable quantity of Land for the accommodation of the Improvement. The Board therefore are of opinion that Turners Warrant be first executed so as the Survey contain no more than the quantity of his Warrant and that Grahams Warrant be executed on the remainder of the vacancy.

John Lockhart
v.
George Adam Baker
} On Caveat.

This Case being postponed at a former Board until George Palmer the Deputy Surv'r should report whether the Surveys of the contending parties interfered or not, and the said Mr. Palmer having informed the Surveyor General that they do not interfere, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals 7th February, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Richard McAlister, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Upon the application of Walter Hood for a Patent for ——— Acres part of a Tract Surveyed on Hugh Thompson's Warrant dated ——— day of ——— 174—. Ordered a Patent,———————

The Board resumed the consideration of the dispute postponed from the 1st Monday of December last to this day and George McGlaughlin, Moses Irwin, Esq'r and John Patton and Thomas Cully being heard and further proof offered. It is ordered tnat as there appears to be Land sufficient to satisfy the Warrants of Cully and Patton agreeable to their respective Locations without interfering with the Fishery said Irwin and McGlaughlin contend for a Warrant be granted to them In Trust for the use of the said Fishery for the Inhabitants thereabouts for two Acres at the mouth of the Run called Luckey's Run and including the Fishery place. And that the Surveys of Patton and Cully be regulated accordingly, and that the said Run be the boundary between Patton and Cully.

Thomas Wyne
v. } On Caveat.
Daniel Green.

The parties appeared and agreed that the Surveyor of the District together with the assistance of Thomas Gaddis and Robert Ritchie should execute the Warrants of the said parties in as convenient a manner and as agreeable to the Locations of their Warrants as possible.

At a Special Meeting at the Surveyor Generals Office the 7th February, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Board took into consideration the Case of James Campbell,

against whom Joseph Budd entered a Caveat on the ——— day of ——— 1782. It appears by a Certificate of the Hon'ble George Bryan that the said Campbell recovered the Land in question in the Supreme Court, And by the Deposition of Thomas Campbell that the said Budd hath contracted for the Land which the said James Campbell, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

The Case and Papers relating to David Jenkins Land in East Nottingham and Oxford Townships, Chester County in Right of Robert Stuart, Wiliam Evans and David Hogg being considered a Patent is ordered on his paying the purchase Money and Interest due.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General's Office 6 March, 1786.

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Jonathan Hoge, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Isaac Meason appeared before the Board and desired leave to withdraw his Caveat entered against A. Murray which is allowed.

McMasters & the Heirs of Rich'd Wallace.

Postponed to the first Monday in May next.

Arthur O'Neil v. Abraham Smith, Esq'r. } On Caveat.

This Case postponed till the first Monday in September next in order that Mr. Smith may have a Case determined between him and Dr. Allison in Rt. of William Miller.

Upon the Application of William Brown for a Patent for 127½ A's on William Armstrongs Warrant, Ordered that the same be granted.

Lewis Weiss v. Peter Weyland & others. } On Caveat.

It appearing that the land in dispute is included within the Bounds of a Tract of 32½ A's granted by a Patent dated 12 December, 1747, to George Klein. The Board direct that no further proceedings be on a Warrant for 3 Acres of 30 April, 1746, to George Klein and others.

——— Kerlin
v.
——— Whitman.

The Parties intend to agree if possible, in Case they do, will give Notice to the Board thereof previous to their next meeting if not request that the Board would proceed to a determination on the first Monday in the next Month.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 23d March, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Jonathan Hoge, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Mr. Isaac Franks applying for a Patent for 511 Acres Surveyed on Warrant to Lewis Hallan dated 1st July, 1784, which he hath purchased and representing that he owns three or four Tracts joining and surrounding the same. The Board on considering that the Surplus in the whole does not much exceed the common allowance and that if the same excess should be cutt off it would not probably be paid for in some time Allow a Patent for the same.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 2d April, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

William Whitman in behalf of Benj'n Hymes & ors.
v.
John Kerlin.

It appears that Kerlin has the prior Warrant for 15 Acres dated 28th January, 1786, joining on John Kerlin and George Douglass in Chester and Berks Counties. And that Hymes and others had a Warrant dated 30 January aforesaid joining on Kerlin and Douglass and others. That there is about 35 Acres in the Va-

cancy. The Board Orders that 15 Acres be Surveyed and Returned on Kerlins Warrant by a line to be Run from the Chestnut Corner North 19½ degrees East so far as that line from the end thereof to Kerlins Corner will contain 15 Acres and allowance and the rembainder of the vacancy on Haymes's Warrant.

Robert Tate
v.
John Weitzel as Adm'r of Casper Weitzel. } On Caveat.

This matter postponed to the first Monday in June next in order that the parties may have opportunity of examining Mr. William Maclay's Office. And they agree that Mr. Wm. Gray and Col'o Wilson shall hear the parties and report their opinion with the Testimony to this Board.

Benjamin Peden
v.
John Moffet. } On Caveat.

The parties appeared and Moffet declared that he being convinced that he had by his Survey run through a Survey Peden claims under, made for John Nelson on Warrant of the 11 May, 1748, to Robert Morrison desired his Survey might be regulated so as to leave out what interferes with Pedens which the Board Order to be done accordingly.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General's the 11th April, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens, S. G.
Mr. Johnston, R. G.
Mr. Kennedy, Sec'y La. Off.

The Board taking into consideration the claims of Mr. John Campbell to certain lands whthin the Cession made by the State of Virginia to this State and finding no Entry in the name of the said Campbell among the Documents recognized by the State of Virginia and transmitted to the Government. And as an Application hath been made by the House of Delegates of Virginia on the 29th of last December, to the Legislature of this Commonwealth touching "titles derived under the Laws of Virginia to "lands formerly supposed to be within the same but since found "to fall within the Cession" which Application is still under the consideration of the General Assembly, are therefore of Opinion that the said Claims cannot, at present, be confirmed to the said John Campbell.

At a Meeting of the Board of Property 3d May, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Stephen Balliot, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Board resumed the consideration of the Case between Joseph Reed and Robert Lusk postponed from 1 Monday in April. And it appears that Reed claims under a Warrant to Thomas Armor dated 3 July, 1772. And Lusk claims by Warrant dated 21st February last. As Reeds Warrant is prior and producing a Survey of 29 A's 70 P'ches made on the said Armor's Warrant but declaring that there is about 15 Acres within the same which is intended for a Fishery for the use of the Inhabitants of Chanceford Township and parts adjacent. It is ordered that he have a Patent for the same 15 Acres including the Fishery In Trust as above and the remainder of the 29 Acres to him in Fee. And that if there is any vacancy adjoining below, that Lusks Warrant be executed thereon.

Charles Black appeared and desired that Orders might be given to the Deputy Surveyor of Chester County for the fixing the bounds and corners of his land Resurveyed pursuant to former Orders of the Board, the Surveyor General is desired to direct Mr. Dilworth to make the Resurvey and fix the corners and return the same to the Board by the first Monday in August. And that the neighbours be notifyed that if they have any objections to the same they make them known at that time.

George Churchman and Samuel Reynolds having submitted the matters in dispute on Caveat to Ellis Pusey, George Passmore, Daniel Job, Roger Kirk and Job Haines, Who having reported in the words following to wit: TO ALL unto whom this present writing of Award may come Greeting WHEREAS in and by two several Obligations bearing date the 28 day of April, 1786, Samuel Reynolds of West Nottingham and Genorge Churchman of East Nottingham both in Coecil County, Maryland, do stand bounded to each other respectively in the sum of Penalty in the said Obligations mentioned well and truly to abide by and submit to the Award and determination of five Persons named in the Condifions of the said Obligations or of a Majority of them who were mutually Chosen as Arbitrators betwen them for settling a certain matter of difference which hath arisen and subsisted concerning a certain piece or parcel of land Situate on Raccoon Run

in Little Brittain Township and County of Lancaster, Pensylvania adjoining a Sixty nine Acres Tract belonging to said Samuel Reynolds and land of Thomas Coulston, Roger Kirk, &c'a. AND WHEREAS the Subscribers being the Persons so named and chosen as aforesaid to judge, arbitrate and settle the said matter between them having met and attended to the same and fully heard the Allegations of the parties, examined the Evidences and carefully viewed and considered the papers and Writings produced to us do agree in the opinion and Judgment as follows, that is to say, we do Award and Judge that all the vacant land North of Samuel Reynolds's plot of Draught of Sixty nine Acres and three quarters to be Settled or Run agreeable to the line No. 51 E on said Draught to extend to the line of Roger Kirk formerly Thomas Hughes supposed to contain Twenty six Acres be the same more or less be and remain the property of George Churchman agreeable to the intent of an Application bearing date the first day of November, 1776, No. 2046, the remaining part agreeable to the inclosed Draught, be & remain the property of Samuel Reynolds on account of Improvement on said land all which we submit to the Board of Property, As Witness our hands & Seals this 29th day of April, 1786.

ELLIS PUSEY, (Seal.)
GEORGE PASSMORE, Jun. (Seal.)
DANIEL JOB, (Seal.)
ROGER KIRK, (Seal.)
JOB HAINES. (Seal.)

[Note. See the Draught referred to above in Sr. Genl. Office.]

The Board having considered the said Report do confirm the same and order the Survey to be made agreeable thereto.

William Elliot
v. } On Caveat.
George Croghan.

Bernard Gratz, Exec'r of George Croghan and said Elliot appearing were heard. And it appeared that Elliot claims under Application entered 11 April, 1769, and an Improvement began by permission of a Commanding Officer to the Westward. And Gratz as Executor aforesaid Claims under a Special Application No. 24 of George Croghan for 600 Acres at the Bullock Pens and including two Improvements, And that Elliot being licensed to settle before said Croghans Application continued his possession and hath made very valuable Improvements and is now living with his Family thereon. The Board are of opinion and Order that the Application of Elliot be executed so as to include his Improvements and in a manner the least injurious to the adjoining a part of Croghans Application. And that the Survey be re-

turned to this Board on the first Monday in October next to which time this Case is postponed in order that Gratz may have an Opportunity of Offering further Testimony.

William Reily
v. } On Caveat.
John Lockhart.

William Reily (and Solomon McNair for Mr. Lockhart) and being heard, it appeared that Reily claims under a Warrant to Lawrence Fleming dated the 18 day of April, 1776, for 25 Acres joining Samuel West and Joseph Reider at Tatamy's Gap, And that Lockhart has a Warrant and Survey lately made. The Board therefore Order that the said Warrant of Fleming be executed agreeable to its Location. And if it appears that it is included in Lockhart's Survey that a Draught be returned to this Board delineating how much is so included.

Shurman Travers
v. } On Caveat.
Bryant Collins.

The parties appearing were heard, and it appears Travers claims under an Application of Charles Daily No. 826 for 300 Acres on the North West of a Tract called "Guinea." And that Bryant Collins has a Warrant dated 11 January last for 169 Acres. Collins Alledges that Dailys Application was intended for another place some distant where is land sufficient to satisfy the same. But as it does not appear what Tract is called Guinea or whether the land located at Colllins' Warrant was the object of Daily's Application, The Surveyor General is desired to direct his Deputy to go to the place and make inquiry with respect to what Tract is called Guinea and other circumstances relating to the dispute which may illucidate the same, And that the same be made on the first Monday in September next to which time this Case is postponed.

David Ferris
v.
Hieronimus Eckman.

On Caveat against the execution of a Judgment of the former Board of Property dated 28th July, 1766, on dispute between George McCullough and Hieronimus Eckman, Mordecai Churchman and John Eckman son of Hieronimus appearing were heard. And it appears that John Eckman claims the land order'd by the said Judgment to George McCullough by virtue of a Deed from said McCullough to said Hieronimus. And that Churchman claims under Ferris's Application for the use of a School which Application is posterior to the Order or Judgment aforesaid. It is therefore Ordered that the said land be confirmed on the War-

rants and Applications mentioned in said Order to John Eckman on his paying the purchase Money and Interest due.

And said McCullough laying a Claim against Jno. Eckman which not appearing well founded the same is dismissed.

(See the first Monday in August, 1787.)

Alexander Snodgrass
v.
James Bell. } On Caveat.

It appearing that James Bell has a Survey of 155 A's 86 P'ches made on his Warrant of 3 July, 1784. And that there is a Vacancy adjoining of upwards of 500 Acres sufficient for two plantations on which Snodgrass can lay his Warrants. The Board therefore are of opinion and Order that Bells Survey be accepted.

At a Meeting at the Council Chamber 6 June, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble William Brown, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Johnston.

John Davis
v.
And'w, Geo'e & Jas. Crokets. } On Caveat.

James Davis in behalf of John Davis and the Crockets appeared and were heard. And it appears that the land in dispute and the object of Davis's Warrant was surveyed prior to the Warrant on Applications in the names of Andrew, George and James Crockets entered in the Years 1767. And that Crockets have Warrants for their Improvements, therefore the Caveat is dismissed and Patents ordered to issue on their paying the purchase money and Interest, &c'a, thereon due.

Kunselman
v.
John Miller.

Kunselman appearing but not being able to prove Service of Notice on Miller this matter postponed to the first Monday in October.

Sarah Ashbridge
v. } On Caveat.
George Albright.

This matter postponed to the first Monday in November next.

Casper Richards appearing at the Board and complaining that he cannot have his land Surveyed agreeable to his Warrants, The Surveyor General is desired to direct his Deputy Mr. Edmiston to Survey his Warrant agreeable to its location and to return a Draught to this Board by the first Monday in November next, denoting thereon with whom it will interfere.

Charles Gause
v. } On Caveat.
Obed Garwood.

Charles Gause not appearing though duly served with Notice Obed Garwood appeared and produced an Award of men indifferently chosen which the Board confirm and order that the Caveat be dismissed and the survey made by A. McClean which is made conformable to that Award be accepted.

Charles Albert
v. } On Caveat.
Rahauser.

The parties appeared and agreed to leave this matter to Michael Smyser, Martin Weigle and Mr. Forsyth the Surveyor who are desired to report by the first Monday in November next.

James Flenigan
v. } On Caveat.
James Eagon.

James Eagon and James McClellan for Flenigan appeared and were heard. And it appears that Eagon hath the prior Warrant founded on a regular settlement and Survey of about 300 Acres made by David Reddick and that there is land sufficient for a Plantation left to satisfy Flenigan's Warrant therefore the Caveat is dismissed and a Patent ordered to Eagon

William Dewees
v. } On Caveat.
Lewis Lewis.

Lewis Lewis, appeared and related as follows that when Daniel Rees mentioned to him that he would chose to have William Dewees's Warrant No. 42 laid at where the line between York and Pennsylvania crossed the South branch of Tioga, he the said Lewis, promised that if Mr. Rees was not there he would see the same laid at the said place, but as he was unavoidably called away desired another gentleman to have the same done but that he by forgetfulness or otherwise had a warrant of a later No. to wit: No. 94 laid where No. 42 ought to have been. The Board there-

fore order that so much of the Survey of No. 94 as the Warrant No. 42 calls for be cut off and returned for Mr. Dewees at the place where it was originally intended.

Hugh Sterling Adm'r of W. Jackson v. Alexander Burns. } On Caveat.

This matter postponed to the first Monday in October next in order that the parties may try to settle the dispute.

Robert Peoples v. Robert Scott. } On Caveat.

Robert Peoples and William Scott son of Robert appearing were heard. And it appeared that Robert Peoples claims under a Warrant dated 19th April, 1746, to Henry Swancy and a Survey made thereon which hath been lately Resurveyed by Matthew Henderson D. S., And entered 12 December 1766. And that Robert Scott claims under a Survey made 16th May, 1753, on John McCombs Warrant dated 10 July, 1752, which was returned into the Surveyor Generals Office many Years agoe. The Board therefore Orders that the Survey or Resurvey of Swancy be accepted and after excluding so much of the Survey of McCombs as interferes with Swancys that the same be returned for Scott on McCombs Warrant, and that what shall then remain clear of those Surveys or Resurveys be returned on Peoples Application.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber 15th June, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Boyd, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Johnston.

Robert Peevles appeared before the Board and represented that he and a certain William Peevles in his life time were entitled to a Tract of Land Surveyed on an Application of Charles Rodden. That the said William Peevles had conceived a certain Application in the name of Thomas Church was intended for a part of their land and therefore had entered a Caveat. That since the entering the said Caveat it was discovered that Church's Application was located on the other land. And the said Robert Peevles desires leave to withdraw his Caveat and that he may be allowed

a Patent which request the Board order that he may be indulged in.

Robert Peevles
v. } On Caveat.
James & Sam'l Reynolds.

The parties appearing were heard and it appears that James Reynolds claims under a Warrant for 150 Acres in two pieces dated the 30th day of June, 1749, and surveys made thereon, and also under Applications in the names of James and Mary Reynolds No. 747 and No. 2539, and of John Andrew Miller No. 2546. That Surveys had been made on the Applications of James & Mary Reynolds. That Robert Peevles claims by Warrant dated 20 February, 1775. But as it does not appear whether the land located by Peevles' Warrant will interfere with those Surveys nor on what part Millers Application was located, The Surveyor General is desired to direct Mr. Henderson the Deputy Surveyor to examine the said Surveys and lay down a general Draught of the same denoting thereon where Peevles's Warrant and Millers Application ought to lay which he is to return to this Board by the first Monday in November next to which time this dispute is postponed.

At a meeting at the Presidents the 3 July, 1786.

present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin, Esq'r, President.
The Hon'ble Samuel Dean, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Ann Browster Widow
v. } On Caveat.
Samuel Weakly.

On her objection to execution a Patent made out to Samuel Weakly this matter postponed to the first Monday in April, 1787, in order that the parties may have time to procure testimony some of the Evidences living in Carolina.

On the Application of Edward Oatley for a Patent for a Tract of Land Surveyed on Michael Leplys Warrant dated 30th September, 1772, but which is said to be claimed by Charles Stewart or his representatives under an Application of Lazarus Stewart. Ordered that unless the said Stewart his Administrator or Representatives shew cause to the contrary to the Board the first Monday in October next Oatley shall have a Patent, he giving the said Administrator at least 30 days Notice.

Upon the Application of Stephen Stevenson for the confirmation of a Tract Surveyed by John Hoge on No. 367 but which is in Thomas Tucker's District, Ordered that the said Mr. Tucker make return of the Survey on the Warrant provided it does not interfere with lands claimed by Hamilton, Jackson and Carson or any others on Pre-emption Warrants and if there is an interference to make return to this Board of the several Draughts so interfering.

At a meeting at the Council Chambers 5th July, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Boyd, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

John Gregg
v. } On Caveat.
John Dunbar.

John Gregg though he cited John Dunbar not appearing John Dunbar was heard exparte. And it appears that both parties have Warrants for the same ground but that Dunbars Warrant is several months prior to Greggs. Therefore the Board Orders that Dunbar's Warrant be Surveyed and returned in Order for Patent to him unless Gregg shew cause to the contrary the first Monday in November next.

Henry Mohler
v. } On Caveat.
Jacob Graff or Grove.

John Mohler nor Jacob English who it is said are the Representatives of Henry Mohler duly cited, not appearing, Jacob Grove was heard. And it appears that he claims under a Warrant in the year 1745 for the land, and Survey thereon, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

The Memorial of Thomas Lilly Attorney in Fact of the Heirs & Representatives of John Diggs late of Maryland deceased Setting forth that the former Board of Property on the 14th day of August, 1774, had appointed (if the Surveyor General could not attend) Archibald McClean and Mr. Matthews Surveyors to make a Resurvey of a Tract of Land in the County of York commonly called "Diggs's Tract" and to do other matters therein specified. And that the said McClean and Matthews after having proceeded in some measure had not completed the same and that the said

McClean is deceased and the said Matthew gone beyond sea and therefore praying that Moses McClean, Esq'r and Mr. Wm. Kersey who had the papers of the said Archibald McClean and William Matthews might be appointed being read and considered. Resolved that the said Moses McClean and Wm. Kersey be directed by the Surveyor General to do and perform all and every the matters and things directed by the said Order of the former Board of Property to be done and performed by the said Archibald McClean and William Matthews.

Alexander Hunter
v.
Lewis Lewis or
Gen'l DeHaas.
} On Caveat.

Thomas Grant, Esq'r Assignee of Hunter and John Witmer who claims under Lewis Lewis and Mr. DeHaas son of the General appearing were heard. And it appears that Grant claims under an Application of John Scott No. 3218 entered 13th May, 1769, for 300 Acres of Land on the South side of Bald Eagle about 12 or 13 miles from the mouth including a small Run and adjoining the upper end of the Officer's Tract on which no Survey hath been made. That Witmer claims by Survey of 405 Acres made on an Application of James Jones No. 2209 entered 3 April, 1769, for 300 Acres of Land joining Zachariah Allen who joins Samuel Hopkins, Jun'r which is for 300 Acres lying on the Waters of Bald Eagle Creek to begin at a tree marked R. I. which stands on the bank of a Run leading into said Creek in Cumberland County. And Mr. Dehaas claims by a Warrant dated 7th day of March, 1744, to William Austin and a Survey thereon. As it does not appear whether the said Application if laid at the place intended will include land within the said Surveys or either of them, the Surveyor General is desired to direct the Deputy Surv'r of that District to Resurvey the said Tracts of Whitmer & Dehaas and return a Plot of the same to this Board by the first Monday in October next, denoting on the Draught where Scotts Application would be agreeable to its location.

At a Special meeting the 14th July, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Nevill, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kenneuy.

Philip Davis applying for a Patent for a Tract of Land on Lime-

sone Run in the County of Northumb'd, Surveyed on Peter Burns's Application against the acceptance of the Survey whereon John Irwin had entered a Caveat and producing a Copy of the Record of Court on an Action tried in the Court of Common Pleas between said Irwin and Davis wherein a verdict was given for Davis the Defd't which being considered the Caveat is dismissed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 7 day of August, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Woods, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Mr. John Little representing that he had on the 6th day of August, 1784, taken out five Warrants in the names of Morris Shipley, Nathan Sellers, Thomas West, Thomas West, Jun'r & William Shipley and hath Surveys made thereon some of which deficient in quantity but that one of 614 Acres on the Warrant to Morris Shipley exceeds the quantity usually Surveyed and therefore prays that the Surveys may be accepted which the Board allow in as much as the excess is not great in the whole.

Samuel Kern
v. } On Caveat.
Christopher Winter.

The parties appearing were heard And it appears that Kern claims under a Patent dated the 10th day of September, 1785. And that Winter claims under a Warrant to Rudolph als. Richard Whiteman dated the 12th day of September, 1750, for 50 Acres the Receiver Generals receipt for a part of the purchase money mentioned that the Tract was to be Surveyed in two pieces, one Tract Surveyed thereon is the one in dispute. And it appearing that on an Action of Trespass in the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Berks wherein the title to the said piece of Land came in question a Verdict was given for the Plaintiff said Winter, the Board therefore allow him a Patent.

Isaac Seely in behalf
of himself & ors.
v. } On Caveat.
Lewis Lewis.

General Hiester & Mr. DeHaas & the Hon'ble Thomas McKean (for Messrs. Bradford and Burd) appearing were heard, And it

appears that Hiester and Dehaas claim under Surveys made in June, 1784, on Applications entered the 3 day of April, 1769, by Nicholas Maurer and George Landislyer its alledged that the Surveys are made on lands many miles distant from the place originally applied for. Messrs. Seely, Bradford and Burd claim under Warr'ts dated 1 July, 1784, to George Bryan, William Lewis and Charles Risk particularly located in Nittany Valley, as it does not appear whether these Surveys or claims interfere or not, and if they do, in what manner. The Surveyor General is ordered to direct the Deputy Surveyor of the District to Survey the lands claimed by the parties and to make and return a Plan to the Board denoting thereon how they interfere and likewise to report how far the Land called for by the Applications is from that Surveyed and this Case is postponed to the first Monday in October next.

Robert Levers
v. } On Caveat.
Robert Towers.

Postponed for further consideration to the first Monday in November next.

At a Special meeting the 30th day of August, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Nevill, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Mr. William Lucky appeared before the Board & produced a Survey of 254 Acres of Land made by Messrs. Nevill & Ritchie on a Certificate of the Commissioners for adjusting claims unpatented lands the original of which was also laid before the Board. And it being represented that though the said Certificate was duly entered with Will'm Crawford the Surveyor within the time limited by the Laws of Virginia yet it was not to be found among the Entries by the neglect or omission of the said Surveyor. And General Nevill as well as Mr. Meason declaring that they were well acquainted with the hand writing of the said Crawford, and that they verily believed that the Certificate of its being entered with the Surveyor in due time was so signed by him. And that they believed a very few instances of the like kind will happen. The Board permit the Survey to be accepted, and order that the Surveyor General file the said original Certificate in his Office.

At a meeting in the Council Chamber September 4th, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble James McLene, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens, Surveyor General.
Mr. Johnston, Receiver General.
Mr. Kennedy, Secretary.

James Simpson
v.
Thomas Jameson. } On Caveat.

There being a Judgment of Court in favor of Jameson a Patent is ordered to his legal Represcentatives.

Eli Allen
v.
Magruder or
Marg't Hutton. } On Caveat.

The hearing of this Matter is by consent of parties postponed till the first Monday in October, 1787.

William Wilson
v.
William Douglass &
——— Bradshaw. } On Caveat.

Dismissed.

James Downey or
John Miller
v.
Daniel Royer in
right of Geo. Gantz. } On Caveat.

The hearing of this matter is postponed till the first Monday in December next of which Miller is to give said Royer thirty days Notice.

Robert Ross
v.
Christian Pitzer. } On Caveat.

The parties appeared and were heard. And it appears that Ross claims under a Warrant dated the first day of February, 1773, to George Wilson and a Survey of 99 Acres made thereof. And that Pitzer having sold off a part of his claim hath still left a sufficient quantity to satisfy his warrant which is of a posterior date to Wilson, therefore the Board orders that the Survey of Ross be accepted.

Bunting & McIlwaine
v.
James Sample. } On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in November next in order that Colo. Cunninghams Deposition may be obtained.

Abraham Smith
v.
Dr. Allison. } On Caveat.

Dr. Allison not appearing though duly served with Notice Mr. Smith was heard exparte. And it appears that Abr'm Smith claims under Application No. 1 And that on Application No. 2798 of William Miller. The Board therefore orders as Smith's Application is prior and proved to be for the place, his Survey be accepted and Allison's Survey regulated according to it.

John Reed, Esq'r &
James Edgar
v.
Edward Brown. } On Caveat.

This Caveat so far as relates to Reed is dismissed, and so far as relates to Parkes Heirs is postponed for further consideration.

Workman & Gordon
v.
William Caldwell. } On Caveat.

Gordon & Caldwell appeared and were heard, and it appears that Gordon has a Warrant for 150 Acres dated the 23d day of February last including his Improvement which is prior to Caldwells Survey on a Virginia Certificate which does not appear to be descriptive of any particular spot, therefore the Survey of 139 Acres made on said Wt. is ordered to be accepted.

William Brown & ors.
v.
Rob't Galbraith & ors. } On Caveat.

Mr. James Harris and Robert Galbraith appeared and were heard. And it appears that Brown and Co'y claim under Warrants dated the first day of July, 1784, to Samuel Leech, John Taylor, Ferril Tully and Christopher Martin. And that Galbreath claims under Warrants to Robert Gardner, John Galbreath, Robert Galbreath and Wm. Galbreath dated the 22d day of September, 1784, and Surveys made thereon which seem to interfere with the said Surveys of Brown and others. Galbreath insists that Harris and Brown's Surveys are not made agreeable to the Locations of the Warrants which are in the forks of Conemaugh and his lands are not in the forks and Mr. Harris insists that there lands are in the forks there being a large Branch to the East of the Branch in the Draught now before the Board.

but Mr. Galbreath denies that there is any such branch so large as to make it sustain the name of the South branch, therefore the Board order that the Deputy Surveyor of the District go upon the ground with one or more of each party and examine the several branches of Conemaugh near the land in question and to take Testimony relating to the same and report to this Board by the first Monday in January next to which time this dispute is postponed.

The memorial of Catharine Greenleaf praying the confirmation of a Tract of 200 Acres in Northampton County, being read and considered Ordered that she be allowed a Patent on the terms other lands were Patented under the Lottery rights on her depositing a part of the return signed by Nicholas Scull with the Surveyor General.

Arthur O'Neal
v. } On Caveat.
Abr'm Smith, Esq'r.

Mr. Smith claims under Application No. 1 and a Survey thereon of 353 Acres, and a Warrant dated the 28th day of January, 1774, on which a Survey of 101 A's 66 Pches. had been made. And O'Neal claims under a Warrant dated the 11th day of November, 1773, which being prior to Smiths Warrant. Its ordered that the Survey made for Smith be returned for O'Neal, and as Smith's Survey made on the Application is 53 Acres more that the quantity of the Application, that that quantity be cut off by a straight line parallel to the North eighty seven degrees East line and added to the 101 A's 66 Pches. and returned for O'Neal.

At a special meeting the 25th day of September, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble James McLene, Eeq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

The Case of Robert Bunting and James Sample being at the meeting of the first Monday in this month postponed to the first Monday in November, in order to obtain the Deposition of James Cunningham which being procured and James Wilson, Esq'r Council for Sample appearing and being heard, the Board took into consideration the Evidence that is now offered, as well as that laid before the Board the first Monday in this instant. And

it appears that at the request of said James Cunningham a certain Edward Bartholomew purchased at publick auction Lot No. 14 in Cunningham's District within the Depreciation Lands for the use of one Francis McIlvaine that the said James Sample some time afterwards insinuated to the said Mr. Bartholomew that he was acting for McIlvaine obtained a Release to produce to the Receiver General upon which the purchase money was paid by him to the Rec'r Gen'l and consequently a Return made from the Surveyor Gen'ls Office to the Secretarys Office, and that he now pretends to claim the land in his own right and the said Mr. Bunting having obtained an order from McIlvaine for the Patent to be made out to him, the Board orders a Patent to issue to Bunting upon his producing a Conveyance from McIlwaine to him and on his paying the Receiver General the purchase money and fees. And the Receiver General is directed to repay the money and fees to Sample when he shall apply for the same.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General's Office the 2 October, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Whitehill, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General Mr. Lukens.
The Receiver General Mr. Johnston.
The Secretary of the Land Office Mr. Kennedy.

Joseph Chambers
v. } On Caveat.
James Colvin.

Joseph Chambers & Jacob Feagley appeared and were heard. And it appears that Feagley claims under an Application to James Colvin No. 3776 well located on the land and an Improvement continued. And that Chambers claims under an Application of Robert Newell, jun. which is prior to Colvins but does not appear to be for the same place, the Board therefore Orders that the Caveat be dismissed and Patent granted to Feagley on his paying the purchase money and Interest due.

James Smith.
v. } On Caveat.
Alex'r McDonald.

It appears that Mr. Smith claims under a Warrant to Arthur Clark in the year 1750 and an ancient Survey thereon which includes a part of about 12 Acres of McDonalds Survey therefore

the Board Order that McDonalds Survey be altered so as to exclude what is in Mr. Smiths Survey and Smiths Survey be accepted.

James Bennet
v.
Andrew Crocket. } On Caveat.

It appears that Bennet claims under a Warrant to Martin Dummee in the year 1773 for 100 Acres in Middleton Township. And that Crocket hath a Warrant & Survey of 80 Acres including an improvem't made in the year 1767, in Allen Township. The Board order the Caveat to be dismissed.

Adam Richardson
v.
John Horner. } On Caveat.

The parties appeared and were heard and it appears that Horner has a Warrant and Survey of 53 Acres, and Adam Richardson has a Warrant and Survey of 64 Acres including a small improvement but though Horners Warrant is prior to Richardsons yet it is thought Richardson's survey does not include a sufficiency of Land to accommodate his Improvements, therefore the Board Orders that the line of North nineteen degrees West be continued straight through the Survey of Horner to the line of John Erwins land which will make about 14 Acres to be added to Richardson's survey.

Joseph Collins
v.
Thomas Guy.

On a dispute noted on the Draughts The parties appeared & were heard & it appears that they both have Warrants and that there hath been a Survey of the whole vacancy which consists of about 315 Acres which not being a quantity more than was customary to be held with Improvem'ts so long ago as Guys was, therefore the Board orders the whole to be returned on Guys Warrant.

William Elliot
v.
Barn'd Gratz Exec'r
of George Croghan.

The Board taking into consideration this Case postponed from the first Monday in May last to this day, and Mr. Gratz appearing & offering no further Testimony, Elliot's Survey is ordered to be accepted and Patent to issue on his paying the purchase money and Intrest due.

James Crocket
v.
James Davis. } On Caveat.

James Davis not appearing though served Crocket was heard exparte, and it appears that William Crocket father of said James was possessed of a Tract of Land in Allen Township, Cumberland County, and made valuable Improvement, that he paid money into the hands of Colo. Armstrong in order to obtain a Warrant which seems to have been omitted but a Survey was made. That Davis hath lately surveyed a part of the same on his Warrant. The Board on considering the Case allow a Warrant to issue to the Heirs of William on paying the purchase money as usual.

George New als. Nigh
v. } On Caveat.
Daniel Ludwig.

The parties appeared and produced an Agreement in the words following, viz: We the subscribers having agreed the above dispute do settle the same between us in the following manner, we are each of us to take the land in our respective Surveys and that Daniel Ludwig be at liberty to take out the Patent for his part and George New to take out the Patent for his part.

Witness Dan'l Clymer — DANIEL LUDWIG
Meredith Clymer — his
the Hon'ble the Board of Property — GEORGE G. N. NEW
of the State of Pensylv'a. — mark.

The same is confirmed.

William Waugh
v. } On Caveat.
Hart & Brown.

The parties appeared and were heard. And it appears that Brown and Hart have Warrants including ancient improvements, and that Moses McClean hath made Surveys for the several parties (as far as the Board can judge from the Testimony offered) in a regular manner and nearly agreeable to their consentable lines therefore the Board orders that the Surveys so made by Mr. McClean be returned for the parties respectively according to the red lines marked on the Draught now before the Board.

Edward Oatley claims
under Mich'l Lepley
v.
Charles Stuart dec'd.

On dispute noted on the Survey.

Charles Stuarts Adm'rs being duly cited & not appearing Oatley was heard exparte and Patent ordered to Oatley on said Lepleys Application.

John & Hugh Gilmore
v.
Christian Pitzer.
} On Caveat.

The parties appeared & agreed that the Deputy Surveyor together with And'w Robb and Robert Ross shall make their several Surveys in the most convenient manner and as near their consentable lines as may be.

Lund Washington
v.
Samuel Johnston, Esq'r.
} On Caveat.

It appearing that George McCormick was impowered by Lund Washington to appear for him and said McCormick being duly cited and not appearing Samuel Johnston was heard exparte, and upon reading several Depositions and considering the Case the Board orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Frederick Shafner
v.
Lawrence Demsey.
} On Caveat.

The parties appeared & were heard, And it appears that Demseys Survey made on his Warrant of the 27th day of June, 1785, interferes with Shafners Tract surveyed on Hipsher's Warrant dated 26th October, 1750, therefore the Board orders that what Demseys Warrant interferes with Shafners be excluded and the remainder returned on Demseys Warrant.

James Bell or
Samuel Bell
v.
Joseph Junkin.
} On Caveat.

The parties appearing and being heard, it appears that James Bell claims the land in dispute by virtue of an improvement which was sold by the Sheriff of Cumberland County and that he hath a Warrant, Survey & Patent which does not include the land in question. And that Junkins has a Warrant & Survey in the year 1762 of about 112 Acres. The Board are of opinion that as Bell by taking out his Patent hath precluded himself from claiming any vacant land by virtue of the improvement beyond the bounds of his Patent and therefore orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Michael Rape
v.
Wm. Freeman.
} On Caveat.

It appears that Michael Rape claims under a Warrant to John Brotzman in the year 1784 for land joining Henry Engle & others,

and that Freeman has a Warrant and Survey at the making of which Rape was present and carried the chain and made no objection, and that there is still vacant land joining Henry Engle on which he may lay his Warrant, therefore the Board orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Alexander Brown
v.
Alexander Ritchie. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing and being heard. It appears that Alexander Brown as Guardian for the children of one James Armstrong deceased claims under a Warrant to George Armstrong dated the 3 day of June, 1762, and a Survey said to be made by Thomas Armor but not returned. And that Ritchie claims under an Application No. 1148 of Lewis Lewis and a Survey thereon but as Mr. Ritchie hath expressed some doubts of the regularity of the said Surveys of Armstrong and it not appearing how far this said Surveys interfere, they the said parties have agreed that William Delap and Colo. Robert McPherson with Mr. Forsythe the Surveyor shall go upon the ground and examine the lines and corners and Resurvey the same and report to the Board by the first Monday in May next.

Wendel Hepshman &
Jacob Zantmeyer
v.
George Blaze Renner
& Henry Waller. } On Caveat.

The parties appeared & agreed that John Lutz and Joseph Hefly together with the Surveyor Mr. Ream shall go upon the ground and examine the claims, hear the parties and report to the Board the first Monday in March next.

P. Kunselman
v.
John Miller.

This case being postponed to this day from the first Monday in June as Miller did not then attend and Kunzelman not being now present and no person to represent the nature of his claim the Surveyor General is desired to write to Wiliam Gray the Deputy Surveyor to make enquiry into the nature of the dispute and report to the Board the first Monday in May next.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 7th November, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Smilie, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Mary Cain
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Bull.

The parties appeared and Thomas Bull agreed to convey all Mary Cains claim agreeable to her reputed lines which lies within her Survey on her paying the proportionable part of the purchase money which the quantity she shall have cost.

John Dunbar
v.
Robert Gregg.

The Board having at a meeting of the 5th day of July last Ord'ered that Dunbar having the prior Warrant the same to be executed unles Gregg should shew cause to the contrary by this Day. And they now appearing and being heard. but as Mr. Gregg has not his Draught the matter is postponed to the first Monday in April next.

Robert Peebles
v.
James Rannel.

A general Draught of the land in dispute made by Matthew Henderson, Esq'r pursuant to the order of the Board of the 15 day of June last being examined and the parties again heard. The Board Orders that about Fifty Acres dotted on the said Draught and South of the line and marked AB be cut off from James Rannels's Survey and added to Robert Peebles Warrant.

George Boyd
v. } On Caveat.
John Moody.

In this case it appears that John Boyd has a prior Warrant, and that Moody claims under a posterior Warrant and a Survey made thereon. It is ordered that the Surveyor of the District with the assistance of Robert Hamersley and William Dunn make a Survey on Boyds Warrant agreeable to its Location and return a general plott of both Surveys to the Board by the first Monday in April denoting thereon how they interfere if they do interfere.

Engleheart Yoyser
v.
Nicholas Bettinger. } On Caveat.

The said Bettinger and Colo. Cunningham for Yoyser appearing and being heard it appears that Bettinger hath two Applications and Surveys made thereon, and that Yoyser hath a Warrant and Survey which interferes with Bettingers but as Mr. Cunningham alledges that Bettingers Surveys are not made agreeable to the Locations and as it does not appear how much they interfere, the Surveyor General is desired to direct the Deputy of the District to go with Thomas Johnston, Esq'r and Edward Crawford on the ground and examine the Surveys and return a plott of the whole agreeable to the Locations with such other remarks as they may think proper. Return to be made by the first Monday in April next.

Michael Stout
v.
Daniel Aurand. } On Caveat.

It appears that the land in dispute which Aurand claims by a late Warrant and Survey is the same which was Surveyed on a Warrant in the year 1751 to Michael Stout the father, therefore the Survey of Aurand is rejected and the Patent ordered to Michael Stout the younger on his producing title and paying purchase money and Intrest, &c.

Joseph Cookson
v.
Sylvanus Moss. } On Caveat.

It appears that Moss has a Warrant and Survey and that Cookson claims by Improvement which seems to be of no great consequence therefore the Survey made on Moss's Warrant is ordered to be returned.

McLees
v.
Rickets. } On Caveat.

Postponed till the first Monday in May next.

Stephen Stephenson
John Carson
John Black
John Hamilton &
J & Wm. Jackson.

Stephen Stevenson having a Survey made on a Lottery Warrant which seems to interfere with John Carson and John Black, And as it is suggested that Carson had not such an Improvement as ought to have entitled him to a pre-emption Warrant this matter is postponed to the first Monday in February next the parties having Notice of this postponement at least thirty days.

Colo. Procter
Thomas Galagher
Joseph Barnet &
Will'm Morrison.

It appears that Proctor and Gallagher have a pre-emption Warrant at the mouth of Pine Creek which includes the three Tracts of land marked in Tuckers Draught No. 22, 23, & 24. Barnet claims No. 23 as surveyed on an unlocated Warrant as well as by purchase under an Improver, Col. Procter is allowed a Patent for lot No. 22 and Barnet one for No. 23 unless Gallagher shew cause to the contrary by the first Monday in March next Gallagher having thirty days Notice.

James Irwin
v.
John Chattam.

The said Irwin being heard and John Chattam's papers read. It is ordered that Chattam's Survey be accepted and Patent issue.

Thomas Mehaffy
v.
John Dunlap.

Ordered that 30 Acres be cut off from Dunlaps Survey by a line beginning at Bottle run where the line now crosses and extending a North Westerly course to the line of Bradleys Tract so as to include the quantity and added to Mehaffys.

John Hays for
William Irwin
v.
Joseph Chambers.

Ordered that Mr. Edmunson see if he can find more land on the back of these Tracts and then divide the whole equally between them.

William Paul
v.
Edmund Huff.

In this case it appears that both parties claim the same place but as this Cause is depending in Court it is postponed.

Henry Dougherty
v.
James Hughes.

It appears that in this case both parties have Warrants and that an Action is depending in Court, therefore this matter is postponed.

Morgan Sweeney
v.
John Toner.

This matter is postponed to the first Monday in May next.

Edwars Morton
v.
Joseph Mehaffy
&
Mehaffy
v.
George Woods.

These Cases being in Court postponed.

John Clark
v.
Mehaffy.

As its not known whether if George Woods shall recover the land in dispute he will dispute with Clark this matter is postponed.

Thomas Forster representing that his Warrant is not filed and that there is vacant land sufficient on the back end towards Samuel Campbells land. Ordered that the Surveyor make up the quantity of his Warrant on the vacancy.

Reihart, Thomas
& Duffy and
Isaac Seeley.

These matters being in Law postponed.

Colo. Proctor
v.
Sophia Armstrong.

Postponed till they try to settle the affair themselves.

Jeremiah Morrison appearing and expecting a dispute with the Widow Stephens but she not appearing nor any for her, the Board order him a Patent unles she shew cause to the contrary on the first Monday in May next.

James Hepburn
v.
Robert Holmes.

Postponed until the Deputy Surveyor can be consulted.

John McConnell
v.
Jane Walker In Trust. } On Caveat.

McConnel not appearing, Walker was heard exparte. And it appears that Walker hath a valuable Improvement and made so as to come up to the intention of the Law, granting pre-emption to certain Settlers and hath a Warrant and Survey, therefore she is allowed a Patent.

Sarah Ashbridge
v.
James McClure
& McClure
Lewis Lewis &
Wm. Dunn.
} On Caveat.

The claim of Sarah Ashbridge for her son John appearing not well founded is dismissed As the Improvem'ts which Lewis and Dunn and McClure seem to be equally entitled to the Pre-emption, and as both parties have Warrants. It is the opinion of the Board that the land be equally divided between them, but as there is a probability that some addition might be made at the back end, therefore this matter is postponed to the first Monday in April next at which time the Draught of division will be considered.

George Albright
v.
Sarah Ashbridge.

This case postponed to the first Monday in April next in order that the parties may try to settle the dispute, or at that time if not settled then to be further heard.

John Scott
v.
Jno. McIlwain
& Colo. Procter
Assignee of
McIlwain.

Postponed being in Law.

William Plunket
v.
John Scott.

Postponed Plunket sending a letter of excuse.

Joseph Barnet In Trust
for the Heirs of
Thos. Gibbons
v.
James Hepburn.

Postponed till Mr. Edmundson D. S. shall attend the Board.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals Office 4th December, 1786.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Henry Hill, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

William McMullen
v. } On Caveat.
Roger Hart.

The parties appearing and being heard. It appears that both parties have Warrants. That Harts is prior and that Hart has a Survey of 52 Acres on his Warrant which is for 50 Acres. And that McMullin hath a Survey of 276 Acres on his Warrant which is for 260 Acres. Therefore the Board orders that as each party hath more than his Warrant calls for both Surveys be accepted and Patents issue.

John Woods, Esq'r appeared before the Board and desired leave to withdraw a Caveat entered by him and the late John P. Dehaas against Peter McKachney. The said Woods stated that he & the Heirs of Dehaas claim under an Application entered by James Ralse (No. 682) entered the 3 day of April, 1769, and a Survey made thereon of 262 Acres or thereabouts in the October following. And that McKachney claim under a Warrant dated in 1785 including an Improvement made in April 1769. The Board therefore are of opinion from this State of the Case that the Survey of Woods and Dehaas be accepted unless McKaheny shew cause to the contrary the first Monday in June next. Mr. Woods to give McKaheny a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the said first Monday.

James Kykendale
v. } On Caveat.
John Wallace.

Postponed for consideration being in Law.

Mark Hulings
v.
Matthew Hart.

On Notice to shew cause why Patent should not issue to Hart.

The parties appearing and being heard. It appears that Hart has a Warrant including an Improvement made and on which he hath lived many years. And that Hulings claims under an Application of John Gilmore which though prior to Harts Warrant does not describe the land particularly. Therefore the Board allow Hart a Patent.

John & Baltzer Foust
v.
George Boyer.

The parties appeared and were heard. And it appears that both parties have Warr'ts including the same Improvement but Boyers is prior. And they came to an Agreem't and Foust's released to Boyer their right of Improvement for the consideration of Thirty pounds. Whereupon the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

Andrew Smith
v.
John Leib &
Fred'k Miller.
On Caveat.

Andrew Smith appearing and making it appear that he had duly served Miller with Notice, and producing a power of Attorney from Leib the papers were examined and it appears that the land in question was appraized to the said Smith as a part of his fathers Estate which he claimed under a Warrant to Christopher Oyster. And that Leib and Miller have both Warrants but Leibs is prior, and Smith agreeing that the land be returned on Leibs Warrant. It is ordered that Patent issue to Leib or said Smith on producing a Transfer from said Leib.

John Handwork
v.
Mich'l Messmer.
On Caveat.

Handwork being duly cited and not appearing Messmer was heard exparte. And it appears that Messmer hath a Warr't dated the 20th day of December, 1785, and a Survey of 259 Acres thereon, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Joseph Warford
v.
James McKincie.
On Caveat.

The said Warford nor any for him appearing the Caveat is dismissed.

Moses Reed Ass'ee
of William Allen
v.
James McKincie.

On an objection to granting Patent.

It appearing that McKincie hath a Survey of 112 Acres made on his Application and hath made considerable Improvements and lived thereon undisturbed many years, he is allowed a Patent.

Catharine Greenleaf being at a former meeting allowed a Patent for 200 Acres on the Terms lands were Patented on the Lottery Scheme, and now the Board allow her a Patent on Payment of Office Fees.

John Blackburn
v. } On Caveat.
Ephraim Blaine.

Ephraim Blaine & Josiah Crawford for Blackburn appearing were heard. And it appears that Blaine claims under an App'n No. 2859 of Thomas Heiser for 300 Acres of Land on Shirtess Creek including an Indian Camp on or near to the forks entered the 3 day of April, 1769. And that Blackburn claims under a Virginia Certificate. But as it does not appear by the Testimony now before the Board whether they interfere or not, therefore the Surveyor General is directed to order the Deputy Surveyor of the District to Resurvey the whole vacancy claimed by Blaine & Blackburn & to mark on the plott how they interfere also the Situation of Blackburns Improvements and the place where the Indian Camp referred to in Heisers Application lies and any other remarks which may illucidate the matter which is to be done and returned to the Board by the first Monday in June next to which time this Case is postponed.

At a Special Meeting at the Surveyor General's the 23d day of December, 1786.

present

The Honble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Henry Hill, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General of the Land Office.
The Receiver General of the Land Office.
And Secretary of the Land Office.

David Reddick, Esq'r, laid before the Board two Certificates of the Commissioners for settling and adjusting claims to unpatented lands made to Henry Alsbach each for Four hundred Acres on the Waters of Servis Creek. And represented that by mistake in the Entries transmitted from Virginia the same are located on the waters of Travers Creek, by reason whereof the Deputy Surveyor declines making the Surveys therefore Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor make the Surveys at the places called for by the original Certificates and make Returns in order that the claimants may have Patents and if any objection is made by any person to note the same on the Draught.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals Office 2 January, 1787.

present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble David Reddick, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General of the Land Office.
The Receiver General of the Land Office.
The Secretary of the Land Office.

Benjamin Chew, Esq'r for himself & others owners of the Gap Mine Tract v. James Mercer. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing were heard, And on inspecting the Draught and report of the Deputy Surveyor pursuant to the order of the Board on the first Monday in September, 1785, last it appears that the Survey of Mr. Mercer lies within the lines of a Tract commonly called the "Gap Mine" as Resurveyed by the Surveyor General in the year 1764 pursuant to a Warrant dated the 7th November, 1763, which Warrant as well as that on which the original Survey of the Tract made by Jacob Taylor being of a much earlier date than Mercers Warrant. It is ordered that the Survey of said Mercer shall not be accepted.

James Laird v. Robert Smith } On Caveat.

The parties appeared and agreed that their respective Surveys as made by William Kersey should stand and the Board orders them to be accepted and Patents to issue accordingly.

William Colvin v. Thomas Kilgore } On Caveat.

In this Case it appears that Kilgore hath a Warrant and Survey. That Colvin Claims only by virtue of a small clearing over the lines of the Tract on which he lives surveyed on Warrant to John Edmunston, The Board therefore orders his Caveat to be dismissed and Patent to issue to Kilgore.

Mark Bird Esq'r v. Matthias Keller } On Caveat.

Mr. Bird not appearing though duly served with Notice Keller was heard exparte. And it appears that he hath a Warr't and Survey which he says is clear of any right of Mr. Bird therefore the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed and Patent to issue to Keller unless Mr. Bird shews cause to the contrary the first monday in February next.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 23d day of January, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble David Reddick Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Richard Wallis
v.
James McMasters

On notice to Wallis his Executors or Adm'rs to shew cause why Patent should not be granted to McMasters on the first monday in March last. James McLene Esqr. having on the said first monday of March appeared for Mrs. Wallis the Widow & Adm'x of said Richard Wallis and represented that is this matter postponed to the first monday in May then next she would then attend and make her objections known. And the Board accordingly put off the same to that time. But the said Mrs. Wallis nor any other person at that time nor at any time since hath made any objections to McMasters having a Patent. And it appearing now to this Board by several Depositions that McMasters Survey is made agreeable lines agreeably made with his neighbours and therefore he is allowed a Patent.

Edmund Milne
v.
Baynton, Wharton & Morgan
On Caveat.

Mr. Samuel Wharton being duly cited to appear on the first monday in November last, but he not then appearing nor sending any excuse, the Board now take the matter up from the representation of Mr. Milne's from which it appears that a Survey was made on the 20th day of March, 1766, of 1175 Acres on a Warrant to Edward Elliot dated 20th September, 1762, for only 200 Acres situate of Yellow Creek adjoining one Dunbar & old John and between them and the gap of Morrisons Cove where one Richard Wells made an improvement which Survey was endorsed by the late Secretary James Tilghman Esqr. as follows viz't "the within "Survey containing much more than the quantity specified in the "Warrant may be accepted, subject to any real Improvements, "Warr'ts or Locations on the overplus before the making the Sur-"vey. By order of the Governor, James Tilghman Sec'ry of the "Land Office."

That Messrs. Wharton & Co., claim the said Survey. That Mr.

Milnes claims under two Warrants to Edward Ward dated 1st August, 1766, one for 250 Acres on the East side of Yellow & on the first big branch above the big Elk lick including John Hays improvement and about one mile and a quarter above the Lick. And the other for 250 Acres adjoining John Hays Improvement. Mr. Milnes alledging that though the said Survey was made prior to Wards Warrants yet that a part of the Surplus in Elliots Survey was subject to that Warrant by virtue of the improvement made by Hays, But as it is not clear to the Board what kind of improvement it was or in what part of Elliots Survey Ward's Warrant if executed lye, the Surveyor General is directed to order the Deputy of the District to Survey 250 Acres on Wards Warrant agreeable to its location and to note of Elliots Draught where the Survey on Wards Warrant lies, also the place where Elliots Warrant is located, and to make enquiry as to the kind of improvement made by Hays, whether any family ever lived on it and any other matters he may think necessary to illucidate the Case, all which are to be done and returned to the Board the first monday in June next to which time this Case is postponed.

At a meeting at the Presidents the 7th day of Feb'ry, 1787.

Present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin Esqr. Presid't.
The Hon'ble David Reddick Esq'r.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.
Mr. Lukens.

Mr. Matthew Miller Jun. Attorney of his father Mat'w Miller appearing before the Board and applied for a patent for a Tract of Land Situate in Middletown Township, Cumberland County, Surveyed on Warrant dated 24 December, 1766, in order to agree, he is allowed a Patent on paying at the rate of £15. 10 P. Cent. Int. from 1st March, 1752, being the time of the first Settlement to which terms the said Mr. Miller agrees.

John Donaldson desiring leave to withdraw a Caveat he entered against Francis Kirkpatrick which he says was entered on some mis-information he is allowed to withdraw the same.

The memorial of Patrick McSherry and others inhabitants of York County praying the order of the Board of the 5 July last relating to the Resurveying of Diggs Tract might be suspended and a time set for hearing the reasons against the further execution of the same order being read and considered. Resolved that

the directions given to Moses McClean and William Kersey be suspended until the first monday in June next when the parties concerned are to be heard. Thomas Lilly the Attorney of Mr. Diggs's Heirs is to be served with a Copy of this minute at least thirty days before the said first monday.

William Mackey & Garret Dorland v. John White } On Caveat.

The parties appearing and being heard. It appears that White has the prior Warrant and Survey of 19 As. 86 ps. and hath left out of his Survey about five Acres to accommodate the Burial Ground with timber which is the object of Mackey and Dorlands Warrant, therefore the Board orders that the Survey of White be accepted and the remaining five Acres be returned on Dorlands Warrant for the use of the Burial Ground.

Robert Peoples v. Robert Scott } On Caveat.

In this Case it appears that Scott hath a Warrant & Survey of 80 As. 36 ps. And that the Application of Peoples by which he now claims was not designed for that place therefore the Caveat is dismissed. And as an Action is now depending in the Court of Common Pleas between the said parties relating to the lands contested before the Board on the sixth day of June last, Scott is not to have his Patent until that Action is ended least the title of either party may be effected thereby.

Potts & Rutter v. Starrett & Leibagood } On Caveat.

This Case postponed to the first monday in April next in order that Potts and Rutter may have an opportunity of shewing by what authority the Survey was made at a place different from that described in Rachel Jones's Warrant with which Starretts' Survey interferes.

Philip Christ v. Robt. Peoples }

The parties coming before the Board without any Caveat or Citation were heard. And it appears that Christ claims a Tract of about 200 Acres surveyed on an Application of Gideon Miller surveyed many years ago but not returned, That Peoples claims under an Application of John Andrew Miller which is posterior to that of Gideon Miller on which he hath lately made a Survey running throngh the lines of Christ's Survey The Board orders that

Christs Survey be returned acording to the lines first made & Peoples's survey regulated agreeable thereto.

Cushman & McPeak
v.
Robert Moore

It appearing that the Surveys made for Moore does include land which may be necessary for the accommodation of Cushman & McPeaks improvements therefore this matter is postponed until they obtain their Warr'ts when Surveys of the whole are to be laid before the Board.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 12th February, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Beard Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens.
Mr. Johnston.
Mr. Kennedy.

Mark Bird
v.
Mathias Keller

This matter being postponed from the first monday in January last to the first monday in February Instant and Mr. Bird not appearing though duly cited, his Caveat is dismissed.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls the 16th Feb'ry, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Beard.

The Surveyor General
The Receiver General
The Secretary
of the Land Office.

And the Hon'ble John Smilie who was on the Board the first monday in November last.

Captain Edmiston appearing before the Board, and the general Draught of the Pre-emption lands within his District, and the proceed'gs of the Board on the first monday in November last being examined & revised, the said Mr. Edmiston gave his reasons & explanations for his conduct in making the Surveys represented

in the said Draught which were satisfactory. This however is not to influence or affect the rights of any of the contending parties.

John Romich applying for a Patent for a Tract of Land in Northampton County, surveyed on his Warrant of the sixth day of July last, and it appearing to be the same land which was confiscated as the Property of Joseph Romich and was sold to one Captain Pettecrew, Ordered that the consideration thereof be postponed to the first monday in May in order that said Mr. Pettecrew and said John Romich may have an hearing thirty days notice to be given the parties.

[See minutes of 22d Feb'y ent'd the next after August].

At a meting at the Surveyor General's the 5th March, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Andrew Billmeyer esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

John Adam Waggoner
Assignee of John Leib
v. } On Caveat.
Daniel Heister

In this case it appears that the land in contest is a vacancy adjoining a Survey made 29th September, 1749, for said Heister on a Warrant dated day of 1747 and a Tract surveyed for Nicholas Holler on which said Waggoner hath improved and dwells, and as Heister does not claim the same, he is allowed to take a Warrant bounding on the Surveys aforesaid.

James Boys and Benjamin Neely agree to have their dispute heard the first monday in September next.

William Haslet
v. } On Caveat.
Col'o Craig.

William Haslet being duly cited and not appearing his Caveat is dismissed.

William Cook
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Robinson

It appearing that both parties have Warrants & Surveys of different Tracts at a place called "Young womans town" and made ageeable to Law, the Board allow Robinson a Patent unless cause

shewn to the contrary the first monday in April to which time this matter is postponed at the request of Col. Cook by letter.

John Capp
v.
Brown & Wells
} On Caveat.

It appears on hearing that the Land intended by Capp's Warrant is included in Surveys made for Brown & Wells on their Warrants dated 25th March, 1775, which is prior to Capps, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Robert McKee
v.
Heirs of Adam Turner &
s'd Heirs ag. Wm. Jack Esq
} On Caveats.

It appears that Turner's claim under an Application of Jno. Campbell entered 30th May, 1769, and that McKee has an App'n entered 7th July, 1769, which he alledges was Surveyed by Gen'l Thompson on the Tract in dispute in the same year & produces a Draught which he says is taken from said Thompson's field works whereby Campbells Survey is put in a different place from that now claimed by Turner. It is agreed by the parties that Wm. Finley Esq'r & Nehemiah Stokely together with the Surveyor of the District shall examine & compare the ground with the field notes & other papers & hear Testimony & report to the Board by the first monday in June next.

Wendal Hipshman &
George Zentmeyer
v.
George Renner &
Henry Walter

This case being on the first monday in October last left by the parties to the examination & report of Henry Ream, John Lutz and Joseph Heffly and the said Ream & Lutz having made report which was read, and Joseph Heffly sending his reasons in writing why he did not sign the same report, the said parties now appear and chose Isaac Adams, Adam Nees Esq'r and Abraham Grove who are desired to go on the ground, hear the parties and to make report the first monday in June next, & Mr. Ream is to make a Draught agreeable to their report.

James Gordon
v.
James Eager
} On Caveat.

It appears in this case that the land contested is 76 Acres surveyed on an Application entered by James Gordon & is the vacancy on which the same was directed to be laid at a meeting of the Board of Property the 30th day of May, 1768, which appropriation

being prior to Eagers Warrant in the year 1774. It is ordered that Gordon's Survey be accepted.

William Waddle
v. } On Caveat.
Eli Myers

Myers not appearing tho' the Citation was duly served as by the Dep'n of Wm. Waddle appeared, And it appeared that Waddle has a Warrant dated 30th August, 1785, for 300 Acres land including an improvem't on the waters of Thompson's run joining land of Barnard Dougherty Esq'r & Sampson's claim in Pitt Township Westmoreland County, the Surveyor is directed to execute the said Warrant & return the Survey by the first monday in September next to which time this case is postponed, Waddle is to give Myers a copy of this minute at least thirty days before the said first monday in September.

Thomas Gallagher appearing and shewing sufficient cause why Patents should not issue to Col. Proctor & Joseph Barnet agreeable to the order of the Board on the first monday in November last therefore this matter is postponed to the first monday in July next.

David Moreland in behalf of Rich'd Applegate
ag.
James Boys & Sam'l Strain } On Caveat.
ag.
said Boys

The parties appearing by their friend John Gilchrist Esq'r and two of the sons of said Boys agree that Samuel Work and Captain Springer together with the Surveyor of the District should hear the parties on the ground and examine their Testimony & make their Surveys & return a general Draught denoting thereon the consentable lines, Duns Hill, their improvements and any other matter to illucidate the same and report to the Board by the first monday in September next to which time these cases are postponed.

Hamilton
v. } On Caveat.
Brashiers

Hamilton sending representation that this case is in Law, it is postponed.

Isaac Franks
v. } On Caveat.
Massey

A certificate of John Cannon Esq'r that Franks's Warrant being prior to Massey's he had executed the same for him and those of Massey on other land which was satisfactory to Massey therefore Franks is allowed to withdraw his Caveat.

At a special meeting the 27th March, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r Vice President.
The Hon'ble Nathan Dennison Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Reuben Lewis appeared before the Board & presented an Application for 40 Acres of land in the Township of East Caln Chester County joining land of John Lewis dec'd Curtis Lewis dec'd Widow Rachael Romans and the West Bradford line, also a copy of an Application for a Warrant for 50 Acres on the 26th December, 1765, by Robert Porter joining John Lewis, Curtis Lewis, Widow Rummins, Widow Pim & the Heirs of John Taylor dec'd in the said Township of East Caln which he says is for the same land, But as some doubts have arisen whether the said land is vacant & whether it has not been sold as the Estate of Curtis Lewis by the State, the Surveyor General is directed to order the Deputy surveyor to Survey the said piece of ground & make return to this Board by the first monday in June next in order that it may be granted to him if no reasonable objection appears.

Alexander Dean son of William Dean dec'd whose Heirs have sold to Henry Styner a tract of land in Derry Township late in Dongal surveyed on a Warr't to Jacob Reiger appeared before the Board & produced a copy of a Caveat entered in the year 1754 against said Reiger by one William Carson, and the said Dean represented that he never knew of any dispute about his land tho' they have had it many years in possession till the said Caveat was found, and that lately he hath made all the enquiry he can for Wm. Carson, but cannot find any whom to cite to this Board, Wherefore the Board are of opinion that under these circumstances & the length of the time since the Caveat was entered, that it ought to be dismissed.

A Certificate of Benjamin Chew Esq'r being read & he representing that the dispute is settled between him and one Allison he is allowed to withdraw his Caveat.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals, the 2d day of April, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r Vice President.
The Hon'ble Evan Evans Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

The Trustees of the University
v.
John Lester

It appearing that Lester hath a Warrant and Survey which lays in the manor of Perkasie which Survey it is ordered shall not be accepted.

Dehaas Ass'ee of Tibbins
v.
John Bickle

Bickle being cited to appear and shew cause why Patent should not issue to Dehaas and sending a few lines declaring he had no objection if Tibbins's Survey did not interfere with his land, which upon inspecting their Draughts it appears it does not, therefore a Patent is allowed to issue to the Heirs of Dehaas.

Joseph McDonald
v.
Alex'r McClintock

On dispute entered on the Draught, the parties appeared & after being heard agreed to leave their dispute to Alexander Laughlin & Charles Leeper Esq'rs Who are to have authority to choose ten more who are to decide & report to the Board.

Stephen Stephenson
v.
Carson, Hamilton & ors.

It appearing to this Board from the Testimony offered on the first monday of November last as well as that now produced, that John Carson had not such an improvement as should have entitled him to a Pre-emption Warrant & as Stephenson produces a letter from Hamilton signifying that he has no claim on his Stevenson's Survey, therefore the same is ordered to be returned by Mr. Tucker agreeable to a former order of the Board without any regard to Hamilton & Carson's interfering therewith.

Joseph Wharry
v.
John White
On Caveat.

In this case it appears that Joseph Wharry claims under an Application No. 3263 of William Young entered 19 May, 1769, for 300 Acres on the West fork of Shirtees Creek about three miles up said fork on the West side of said branch adjoining Matthew Wilson up said branch & Thomas McDowell down said branch, and that John White has a Survey of 400 Acres made 23d May, 1785, on a Virginia Certificate dated in the year 1780 to include his actual Settlement made in the year 1772. The parties after being heard agree that James Alison & John Douglass Esq'rs together with Matthew Richie Esq'r the Surveyor go to the place who are to

hear the Testimony, examine the Locations & Situation and make report to this Board on the first monday in November next, to which time this matter is postponed.

Gilmore
v.
Pitzer & Harrison

It appearing that Harrison's Survey cannot be admitted without diminishing too much the quantity of Gilmore's two Surveys made on Warrants to John & James Gilmore, therefore its ordered that Harrison's be rejected, And that as no consentable lines can be made to appear between Pitzer and Gilmore, and as Pitzer has not more than a reasonable quantity it is directed that his Survey be altered agreeable to an annexed Plan.

Thomas McComon
v.
Catharine Coleman
or her Assignee
On Caveat.

It apears that Charles Cooper hath a Warrant & Survey in the name of Catharine Coleman, and that McComon hath a Warrant which is later than Coleman's & founds his claim on an improvement which he purchased of one McCowan but lies within a Survey of the late Col. Atlee's, therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

William Campbell
v.
James Stevenson
On Caveat.

James Stevenson not appearing though duly cited, Campbell was heard exparte, And it appears that Campbell hath a Warrant for 350 Acres land including an improvement adjoining land of Robert & Samuel Purviance, Robert Barr & James Stevenson which the Board Orders shall be executed and returned by the first monday in November next & the Surveyor is to make a return at the same time of Stinson's Surveys & note how they interfere if they do interfere with Campbells.

Cook &
Robinson

Cook not appearing the order of the first monday in March last is to stand.

Alexander Power applying for a Patent for a Tract of Land surveyed for him on his Warrant dated 17th May, 1785, No. 444 by John Hoge, though it appears now to be in Tucker's District, therefore ordered that the said Mr. Tucker make return of the said Survey in order that he may have his Patent.

Samuel Sager
v.
John Ruck
On Caveat.

The land in contest appears to be about 29 Acres which had been

surveyed by James Scull on Sager's Application many years ago & long before Ruck's Warrant, therefore Sager is allowed a Patent he paying the purchase money and Intrest thereon due.

The Hon'ble Robert Morris and Thomas Willing Esq'rs applying for a confirmation of 10,098½ Acres in Chester County in right of Major Robert Thompson & producing the title Deeds & a Survey thereof made by Reading Howell from a Map made by Anthony Wayne, Ordered that a Warrant be issued for the acceptance of the said Survey and on return into the Office of the Secretary of the Land Office a Patent of confirmation granted.

John Gregg
v.
John Dunbar

It is the opinion of the Board in this case as Dunbar's Warrant which is dated 30th November 1785 is prior to Gregg's which bears date the 6th February 1786 that the said Dunbar's Survey be accepted and Patent issue to him for such part of said Tract as remains unimproved leaving out the clear'd or improved land for Gregg, but that the operation hereof be postponed till the first monday in June next in order that Gregg may have an opportunity of furnishing the Board with the Deposition of Mr. William Lyons late Assistant Deputy Surveyor (which said Gregg alledges he can procure) setting forth that the said Deputy had included the whole of this disputed land in a former survey made by him for the Father of said Gregg on one Armor's Warrant which he purchased and which survey was distroyed by fire, And the Board directs the Deputy Surveyor in the mean time to make return of the original Survey of Gregg the father as formerly run.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 6th April, 1787.

Present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin Esq'r President.
The Hon'ble Evan Evans Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

James McClure
v.
Wm. Dunn &
Lewis Lewis

This case being postponed from the first monday in November last to the first monday of this Instant & Dunn not appearing but

sent a letter informing the matter was in law but McClure produced a Certificate from the Prothonotary that no Action was commenced, And the Board on having consulted Mr. Edmiston when in town as to making an addition to the survey of McClure in order that a division might be made find it inpracticable, and taking into consideration as well the Testimony heretofore laid before the Board as that now offered by McClure It is the opinion of the Board that a division cannot be made & that the preference is in favour of McClure & therefore order his Survey to be accepted.

At a meeting held at the Surveyor General's on thursday the 3d of May 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r Vice President.
The Hon'ble James McLene Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surv. Gen'l.
Mr. Kennedy Sec'ry Land Off.
Mr. Johnston Re'r Gen'l.

John Caruthers son of John Ass'ee of And'w Forbes
v.
John Nicholas

The Board taking into consideration the Draft of a Tract of Land in Westmoreland County surveyed by Benjamin Lodge in pursuance of an order of a former Board dated 29th October 1770 which Tract was claimed by said Nicholas by virtue of an order of survey dated 3d April 1769 No. 562 but since conveyed by him to William Shrater, and finding therefrom that it does not interfere with any claim of the above Caveator, therefore order said Caveat to be dismissed & a Patent to isssue to said Sharter.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals house the 7th May 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r Vice President.
The Hon'ble William Brown Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surv. Gen'l.
Mr. Kennedy Sec'ry Land Office.
Mr. Johnston Rec'r Gen'l.

Thomas Wilson applied to the Board for a Pat. for 30 Acres

Land on Licking Creek in Cumberland County which piece was ordered to be cut off the Survey of said Wilson in favor of Robert Campbell & William Buchannan by Judgment of the Board dated March 30th 1767 but since confirmed to said Wilson by a Judgment of the Court of Common Pleas of said County in a suit instituted by him against Thomas Armstrong tenant in possession a copy whereof he produced to the Board. It is therefore directed that said 30 Acres be cut off the old Survey and a Warr't of acceptance issue & a Patent of confirmation of the same to said Wilson agreeable to said Judgment of Court the purchase money agreeable to the terms of his Warrant of 6th February 1755.

Paul Bowersack
v. } On Caveat.
S. Hook

It appearing to the Board that the said parties have a larger quantity of land surveyed on their Warrants respectively than expressed in said Warrants and the said Surveys not interfering with each other, therefore ordered that said Survey be accepted & Patents of confirmation granted on payment of the arrears of purchase money and Intrest to the Receiver Generals' Office and that the Caveat be dismissed.

John Romich
v.
James Pettecrew

On notice from the Board of 16th February last for hearing the claims of the said Parties on the first monday in this month to which time the consideration thereof was postponed. And the parties now appearing and stating their respective claims It is the opinion of the Board that said Romich produced no prior title to the land in question, but that said Pettecrew hath a Patent from the Commonwealth for the same dated 5th June 1783 he having purchased the said land as the property of Joseph Romich (an attainted Traitor) at publick sale, from the agents for confiscated property for the county of Northam'n therefore ordered that the Survey made said John Romich's Warrant be rejected.

James Byrnes applied to the Board to have the terms of purchase fixed to a city lot in Market Street containing 66 feet adjoining David Sickle and Messrs. Hunters which he claims under a Warrant to agree granted by him by the late Proprietaries bearing dated the 30 March 1773. And said Byrnes offering the sum of Five hundred and fifty pounds specie therefor, the Board do agree to accept the same, on payment of which and the Office fees, they direct a Patent of confirmation.

It appearing by a note entered by Col. Woods D. Sr. of Bedford County on a Survey made for George Breathed pursuant to a Warrant dated the 3d of June 1774 in the following words, viz:—"A

certain Mr. Norton did intend to Caveat said Land, but none being duly retered nor directions given to have it done, the Board therefore direct said Breathed's Survey to be accepted & a Patent to issue on payment of the purchase money and fees of Office.

Martin Ram
v. } On Caveat.
John Cauffman

The parties appearing but not being furnished with their respective Testimony this dispute is therefore postponed till the first monday in September next, at which time it is expected that the parties will be prepared, and the Deputy Surveyor is directed to point out on Caufman's Survey where the old lines formerly run and also make a return of the vacancy called for by Ram's Warrant.

John Oldshoe
v. } On Caveat.
Henry Shaffer

In this case Oldshoe claims under a Warrant bearing date 25 May 1770 and a Survey made thereon of 98 Acres dated 13 March 1775 and Henry Shaffer claims under an Application No. 4874 dated 23d March 1768 in the name of Daniel Meredith who conveyed to Lewis Lewis who conveyed to said Shaffer, but in consideration ot certain improvements made on the land by said Oldshoe as well before as after the date of said Shaffer's Application and of his having paid the purchase money at the time of taking out his Warrant. The Board therefore direct the Deputy Surveyor Mr. Forsy to cut off 20 Acres from Shaffer's Survey adjoining Oldshoe's other land and return the same for him on his Warrant aforesaid agreeably to the representation thereof on the Draft.

Robert Campbell
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Wilson

Thomas Wilson having in this case produced an authenticated copy of a Judgment in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania against said Campbell upon a Trial for Tresspass and Ejectm't The Board therefore in consequence of said Judgm't direct the Caveat to be dismissed and a Patent of confirmation to issue to said Wilson.

Edward Ward
v. } On Caveat.
Eneas McAlister

It appearing to the Board that the only legal title to the land in question is vested in McAlister by virtue of his Warrant dated 27th June, 1786, and that by divers conveyances and other proofs he hath as good an equitable claim thereto as that set by Ward and considering that McAllister hath paid the sum of One hundred pounds to Ward for his equitable right which was only a Judg-

ment of Court obtained by him against a certain Conrad Winemiller, the original improver of said land, for the sum of Eighty-seven pounds 5/8 including costs (which Judgment without a Sale by the Sheriff could by no means alter the title), the Board therefore are clearly of opinion that the Caveat be dismissed and a Patent of confirmation issue to McAllister.

John Means
v.
Sam'l Benj'n Blyth } On Caveat.

John Means claims under a Warrant for 150 Acres bearing date the 11th May, 1785, and a return of Survey made thereon containing 181 Acres, Blyth sets up his claim under a Warrant for 400 Acres bearing date 2d December 1785 as also a Warrant for 50 Acres in the name of Daniel Sley dated 23 March, 1773, Survey'd at different times containing in the whole 384 Acres. The Board considering that Mr. Means has a greater quantity surveyed on his Warrant than therein expressed and that this Survey is strictly agreeable to the description of said Warrant and also conceiving Mr. Blyths Warrant as well laid and Surveyed as the improvement claimed by him under Peale and the Situation of his other land would admit, are therefore of opinion that the said Surveys be accepted and confirmed, but if Means should choose to have the vacancy next adjoining Mr. Dunlap's land returned also on his Warr't the Board have no objections thereto & the Deputy Surveyor is directed to return the same if required by said Means, for these reasons the Caveat is directed to be dismissed.

Benjamin Rush
& Isaac Franks
v.
Daniel Rees } On Caveat.

The Caveat in this Case is ordered to be dismissed in consequence of the following note received by the Board from Doct'r Rush, Viz't: "I do hereby in the name of the Company withdraw "the claim to certain lands on Catawissey Creek Caveated by Dr. "Plunkett, Isaac Franks & B. Rush."

Signed,

BENJ'N RUSH.

May 9th, 1787.

Alexander McClean Esq'r Deputy Surveyor of Fayette County having given information to the Surveyor General that a certain Varner claimed (by virtue of a Virginia Entry) John Patterson's Tract of 332 Acres Situate on Patterson's Run that empties into Monongahela river below Hugh Gilmore's which Tract said McClean surveyed to him on an Application No. 1322 dated the 3 April, 1769 but no Caveat being entered or ordered so to be by said Varner against the acceptance of said Pattersons' Survey,

therefore Ordered that it be accepted and a Patent of confirmation issued to said Patterson.

At the request of John Irwin of Fort Pitt one of the Executors of Mathew Grimes dec'd, the Surveyor General is directed to instruct his Deputy Mr. B. Lodge to execute an order in the name of Mathew Grimes dec'd and make return thereof so that it may be laid before the Board at the time his Caveat against a certain Jacob Helman shall be heard and determined.

At a meeting of the Board held at the Surveyor Gen'ls House the 10th May 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble William Brown, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry Land Office.
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l.

Moragan Sweeny
v. } On Caveat.
John Toner

In this case Toner appearing and producing sufficient proof that the improvement was vested in him. Ordered that the Survey made on Toner's Pre-emption Warr't included said improvement containing 287 as..88 ps. be accepted and a Patent of confirmation issue to him and the Caveat is dismissed.

Michael McLees
v. } On Caveat.
Jeremiah Rickets

McLees appearing and exhibiting proof of a Citation having been served upon Rickets, the Board proceeded to investigate the dispute between the parties, and find that McLees claimed under an improvement made by George Greene for the use of Nicholas Bray but furnished no Transfer or Conveyance from either Green or Bray to himself or father of said improvement right. And Rickets claims under a Warr't to himself dated November 12th, 1784, No. 102. The Board therefore determine that McLees improvement right is not supported and order the Caveat to be dismissed.

Alexander Hunter
v. } On Caveat.
Lewis Lewis or
Gen'l DeHass

In this case Mr. DeHaas (son of General) appeared, but as the other persons interested did not attend and as Mr. Joseph Wallis

the Surveyor of the District where the disputed land lies has not yet been able to execute the order of the Board of the 5th July, 1786, the Board therefore postpone the determination of this matter until said Order be executed, and the Deputy Surveyor is requested to obtain from the Surveyor General's Office and the persons respectively concerned such Draughts, papers & other documents as may tend to illucidate this dispute and make report of his proceedings to this Board on the first monday in November next at which time the parties are desired to attend.

The Hon'ble William Brown, Esq'r communicated to the Board that he was in possession of a number of papers relative to a dispute subsisting between General Potter & the Hon'ble Wm. Maclay, Esq'r, about certain lands Surveyed for said Potter by said Maclay as Deputy Surveyor in Northumberland County, which papers he has lodged with Mr. Alexander Boyd of this city for the inspection of the Board whenever this dispute shall be brought forward.

John Zane
v. } On Caveat.
Dan'l Rees

The parties in this case claimed respectively under Warrants bearing the same date and descriptive of the very same lands & being both desirous of settling their dispute, John Zane proposed to have the Warrant in his own name confirmed, agreeing to relinquish his right to the Survey made on Isaac Zane's Warrant and to remove it elsewhere clear of Rees's Surveys on the Catawissey waters, to which proposal said Rees hath consented and agreed, the Board therefore order the same to be confirmed hereby directing the Deputy Surveyor to regulate the several Survey in such a manner as to carry into effect this agreement of the parties and order of the Board, the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

At a meeting of the Board at the Surveyor General's House held the 22d May, 1787.

Present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin, Esq'r, Presid't.
The Hon'ble William Brown, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens, Surveyor Gen'l.
Mr. Kennedy, Sec'ry Land Office.
Mr. Johnston, Rec'r Gen'l.

Mr. David Hunter applied to the Board for a Patent for 140 As. of Land on a branch of Jacobs Creek in Huntington Township, Westm'd County, which said Hunter claims under an Application

No. 1161 dated 3 April, 1769, granted to Dugal Campbell & which had been surveyed by Richard Graham but thro' neglect or otherwise never returned by him to the Surveyor Generals Office, the s'd Hunter produced a Survey of the Tract made by B. Lodge which left out 40 Acres of his land, including part of his Orchard and other improvements, which 40 Acres is included in a Survey made for Wm. Boone by Peter Light assistant to John Henderson late Deputy Surveyor on said Boone's Warr't, bearing date 22d Feb'ry, 1786, which hath been lately Patented to said Boone. It appearing to the Board that the said 40 Acres was improperly included in Boone's Patent, being the right of said Hunter, hereby direct B. Lodge forthwith to make return thereof with the remainder of his Land in one Survey in order that the same may be confirmed by Patent to said Hunter.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals House the 4th June, 1787.

Present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin, Esq'r, President.
The Hon'ble James McLene, Esq'r.
Mr. Kennedy, Sec'ry Land Office.
Mr. Lukens, Surv. Gen'l.
Mr. Johnston, Rec'r Gen'l.

Joseph Smith
v. } On Caveat.
Henry Alshouse

The parties appearing & being heard and the respective Testimony & Allegations considered, the Board are of opinion that the said Smiths improvement is not included in the Survey made on said Alshouse's Warrants, the Caveat is therefore ordered to be dismissed and the Patent which is made out for Thomas Craig Assignee of said Alshouse to be completed.

John Moore
v. } On Caveat.
Alex'r Moore

This matter is postponed till the first monday in November next in order that the parties may have time to accommodate their dispute, or prepare themselves respectively with the necessary documents touching the same for a further hearing.

Jacob Stroud
v. } On Caveat.
Jno. Vancampen

In this case Mr. Stroud claims under an Application No. 3288 in

the name of Michael Sly dated 5 May, 1768, & Jno. Vancampen under an App'n No. 3544 dated 30 August, in the same year, in his own name. It appears proper to the Board that as the App'n in the name of Sly is couched in general terms & not descriptive of any particular spot to postpone this matter till the first monday in Sept'r next at which time Mr. Stroud will have an opportunity of exhibiting to the Board proof of the Identity of the spot intended to be located & in taking such proof Mr. Vancampen is to be notifyed to give his attendance.

In conformity to the orders of the Board of Property dated the 3d day of May, 1786, Messrs. Dillworth & Lightfoot Deputy Surveyors made returns of two Surveys one containing 428 as. 58 ps. the other 149 as. 129 ps. in West Fallowfield Township Chester County for Charles Black who claims under John & Henry Hetherington & it appearing that these Surveys were made in such a manner as to fix the several corners & lines between said Black & his neighbours. Ordered that the same be accepted and Patents of confirmation issue to said Black under a certain Article of Agreement which was exhibited by him & approved by the Board.

James McCormick
v.
Mary Andrews, Jas. McGlochlin, Jas. Little & Alex'r May } On Caveat.

In this Case the parties not being prepared in as much as no returns of Survey of either of their Tracts have been made, Ordered that the consideration thereof be postponed till the first monday in May next, in the mean time the Deputy Surveyor is directed to make the returns as soon as possible.

Ralph Bowie & Hamilton
v.
Wm. Rhea in right of Wm. Anderson } On Caveat.

The parties appearing & having been heard, Ordered that this matter be postponed till the first monday in September next by which time Mr. Mathew Henderson the Deputy Surveyor in the presence of the parties (who are to be notified) to Block such trees standing either in the lines or at the corners of said Tract as will tend to prove the time when Wm. Anderson's Survey was originally made, particularly to Block the trees which stand on Sharps run on or near the dotted line or course delineated in the Draught made by Mr. Henderson dated 10th November 1786 (a copy whereof was furnished to the Board) which course or dotted line is represented in s'd Draught to be obscure & make report of his proceedings to the Board. And Mess'rs. Bowie & Hamilton or either of

them are requested to furnish the Board at the same time with their chain of title.

Jacob Grojean
v.
Thomas Rees
On Caveat.

The Board having heard the parties & considered their respective Testimony & allegation are of opinion that the Applications in the names of Martin Heffelfinger No. 201, Philip Pfeffer No. 653 & Jacob Gemberling No. 954 severally dated 3d April, 1769, (under which Jacob Grojean the Caveator in this case claims) are not by any means descriptive of the lands surveyed for & claimed by Thomas Rees under Applications granted to Francis Foster, Jun., No. 63 & to John Sherrack No. 704, the Caveat is therefore ordered to be dismissed and Patents of confirmation issue to said Rees.

Stephen Stephenson
v.
Henry Fulton Ass'ee
of Black

A letter from Stephen Stephenson requesting a Caveat to be entered being received by the Secretary, but as a Patent has already been granted to said Stephenson on his Survey which does not interfere with Fulton's Survey in right of Black, Fulton is therefore allowed a Patent of confirmation for the same.

Robert McKee
v.
Heirs of Adam Turner
On Caveat.

The parties appearing & producing to the Board three Surveys made by Benjamin Lodge, dated 17th April, 1787, on which was inserted a report pursuant to a former order of the Board dat. 7th Mar., 1787, stating the facts & circumstances relating to the several claims of the above parties signed by William Finley, Esq'r, Nehemiah Stokley and the said Benjamin Lodge. Ordered that the said Surveys so far as they relate to the lands disputed by the Heirs of Adam Turner under John Campbell & Roger McBride with the said Robert McKee's be accepted & confirmed to the said Heirs & McKee respectively as soon as the said B. Lodge shall make separate returns of said Surveys to the Surveyor Generals Office.

The Heirs of Adam Turner
v.
William Jack, Esq'r.
On Caveat.

Mr. Jack not appearing this matter is postponed till the first monday in November next of which at least thirty days notice is to be given.

William Rule
v. } On Caveat.
Mich'l Zeigler

In this case Wm. Rule claims under an improvem't & a Warr't dated 13th July, 1785, & Zeigler under a Warr't dated 29th March, 1785. It appearing to the Board that Zeiglers Survey does encroach a little upon Wm. Rule's land, but said Zeiglers Warrant being earlier than Rules. Ordered the Surveys made by Col. McClean Deputy Surveyor on their Warrants respectively after cutting off about five Acres from said Zeigler's Survey agreeable to a red line marked in the Draught and adding the same to Rule's shall be returned & accepted.

Martin Herman
v. } On Caveat.
Jeremiah Rees

It appearing to the Board that Jeremiah Rees's indisposition has prevented his attendance. Ordered that this matter be postponed till the first monday in September next at which time the Deputy Surveyor Mr. Samuel Lyon is directed to furnish the Board with a return of Survey on a Warrant granted to John Douglass dated 16th May, 1768, which Survey is directed to be made conformably to the lines of a Survey run by Mr. Lyon containing 298½ Acres and which appears to be the conditional lines agreed by the respective neighbours.

Thomas Jones
v. } On Caveat.
Andrew Lynn

This matter is postponed till the first monday in June next giving thirty days notice.

Wendel Hipshman
& Geo'e Zentmeyer
v. } On Caveat.
George Renner &
Henry Walter

The parties being heard & the report made by Adam Nees, Esq'r & Abrm. Grove as well as a separate report made by Isaac Adams being duly considered the Board are of opinion that the Surveys made by Henry Ream on the 7th day of August, 1786 for the Heirs of Henry Walter, Jacob Zentmeyer & Wendel Hipshman respectively be accepted & confirmed.

Moses Thompson
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Finley

In this case the parties have mutually agreed & settled their dispute as follows, Viz't: Mr. Joseph Finley agrees to pay the sum of Thirty pounds lawful money of Pennsylvania to Mr. Moses

Thompson Who on his part agrees to release & doth hereby release all right, title and Intrest in & to the land in question to said Finley & his Heirs, and the said Thompson further agrees & promises to have recorded in the proper County a certain Deed Poll bearing date the 25th January, 1775, from George Smith to him which includes the land in question as well as said Thompsons' other land and also agrees & directs the Secretary of the Land Office to deliver to said Finley one other Deed Poll bearing date the 15th January, 1774, from Jason Moreland to John Amberson the said Deed being now in possession of the Secretary aforesaid under these circumstances the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

Rairdon v. Davis } On Caveat.

This matter in consequence of the parties being at present unprepared is postponed till the first monday in July next.

George McCullough v. Richard Cord } On Caveat.

The surveys of the land in dispute not being returned & the parties being otherwise unprepared. Ordered that this matter be postponed till the first monday in December next, at which time it is expected that the Dep'y Surveyor will make return of the same, noting such vacant lands, the mouth of Muddy Creek & such other circumstances as may relate to this dispute.

John Woods & Jno. P. Dehaas v. Peter McKacheny } On Caveat.

McKacheny not attending & it appearing by a Certificate from Geo'e Wallace, Esq'r, that he the said McKacheny had released all his claim to the lands in dispute to John Woods, Esq'r, therefore Ordered that the resolve of the Board of the 4th of December last take affect & this Caveat be now dismissed.

Gibson v. Bousman } On Caveat.

The Caveator in this case being prevented by his present situation from giving his attendance. It is therefore Ordered to postponed this dispute till the first monday in October next.

John McKee v. Arch'd McFadden or Thomas Shields Ass'ee of said McFadden } On Caveat.

In this case John McKee claims under an Application No. 2303,

dated 3d April, 1769, granted to Hugh Hunter And Thomas Shields under Archibald McFadden's Application No. 3408, dated in June, 1769, And it appearing from divers testimony very probable to the Board that William Thompson late Deputy Surveyor had surveyed but not returned the land in question on Hunter's Application which is the eldest Office right. Ordered that the present Deputy Surveyor of Westm'd County, Benjamin Lodge, be directed to Survey the said land agreeably to the old lines (if to be found) and return the same by the first monday in August next to the Surveyor General's Office, and the said McKee is directed to transmit therewith a receipt from the said William Thompson for the fees of Surveying the Tract of Land under the Application of Hugh Hunter aforesaid which receipt said McKee alledges is now in his possession.

John Blackburn
v.
Eph'm Blaine
} On Caveat.

This case is postponed till the order of the Board of the 4th December last be executed by Messrs. Nevill & Richie, which is directed to be done in the presence of the parties, if they shall think proper to attend after due notice given, and a report of their proceedings is to be made & returned by the first monday in December next, the said Blackburn to have a Copy of this minute & at least thirty days notice.

Mathew Kilgore of York County having stated to the Board that William Mathews formerly a Deputy Surveyor in said County refused to survey & make return a sufficient quantity of Land on his two Warrants for 200 Acres each one of which was granted in his own name dated 9th June, 1769, the other in the names of John Mitchel & Charles O'Hara, dated the 10th September, 1750, the right whereof is vested in said Kilgore. Ordered that William Kersy the present Deputy Surveyor be directed by the Surveyor General to Survey & make return for said Killgore the proper quantity on said Warrants as nearly conformable to the old lines as possible, the Surveyor General will also direct the said Deputy to Survey the vacant land adjoining, if any, on said Killgore's new Warrant dated 11th March, 1786, in such a manner as not to interfere with any other persons legal right in order that the Board may be enabled to judge whether the old survey made by said Wm. Matthews can with propriety be opened or not, and the Deputy Surveyor will in executing this business take particular care to note upon the Surveys any interference that may appear with any persons whose lands lie contiguous thereto.

Nathaniel Houston
v.
John Clendinnen or
Archibald Elliot
} On Caveat.

In this case it appearing that Elliot who claims under Clendinen's Warrant dated 11th May, 1770, has sold his right to part of said Tract to a certain John Keller who suggested to the Board that he had also made an improvement on said part previous to the date of Houston's Warrant which is dated the 4th December, 1786. Ordered that the consideration hereof be postponed till the first monday in July next, at which time said Keller is directed to furnish the Board with proof of the nature of said improvement and the precise time when the same was made.

Evan Jenkins
v.
Stillwell Truax
Jas. Bonine or Benj'n Pitman in right of said Bonine
} On Caveat.

In this case Evan Jenkins claims under a Warrant dated the 18th Feb'ry, 1786, Stillwell Traux under an Application in the name of Martin Kerhner No. 1435 dated 7th October, 1766, upon which a survey is made for said Traux of 287 Acres And Benjamin Pitman claims in right of James Bonine's improvement and of a Warrant granted to himself bearing date the day of 1786. It appears to the Board that the said Survey of 287 Acres made for Traux does not interfere with Jenkins' Warrant and the Application being much earlier than said Warrant. Ordered that said Survey be accepted & a Patent of confirmation issue thereon to said Truax, the Caveat as to him is therefore dismissed. But as no Surveys have been made on Jenkins's or Pitman's Warrants, the Board therefore think that they are not possessed of sufficient documents to satisfy themselves in forming a judgment respecting their dispute and order the consideration thereof to be postponed till the first monday in November next at which time the Deputy Surveyor will furnish this Board with returns of Survey of their respective Tracts making such observations thereon as he may think useful or necessary.

James & Felix Hughes
v.
Abraham Scott
} On Caveat.

The parties having agreed to refer all matters in variance between them to Levi Harrod, Henry Vanmetre, Esq'r and George Nowland who are to report their proceedings to the Board against the first day of September next for their consideration. Ordered that this matter be postponed till the said Referees shall furnish the Board with their report.

The Board took into consideration their proceedings of the 7th February last on the memorial of Patrick McSherry & others inhabitants of York County, praying the order of the Board of the 5

July last relating to the resurveying of Diggs tract might be suspended and a time set for hearing their reason against the further execution of the same order, which being read & consider'd it was at that time resolved that the directions given to Moses McClean & William Kersy Deputy Surv'rs be suspended until the first monday in June next when the parties concerned were to be heard. And now Mr. Diggs one of the Heirs of John Diggs, dec'd, personally appearing, as also his Council, James Wilson, Esq'r, and also the said Patrick McSherry & divers other inhabitants of York County as well as their Council, William Bradford, Esq'r, who were respectively heard, and it appearing to this Board for sundry reasons that a further suspension is still necessary, therefore Resolved that the said Order of the 5th of July last be and still continue to be suspended.

At a special meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor General's house the 18th June, 1787.

Present

His Excellency Benj'n Franklin, Esq'r, Presid't.
The Hon'ble James McLene, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens, Surveyor Gen'l.
Mr. Kennedy, Sec'ry Land Office.
Mr. Johnston, Rec'r Gen'l.

George Tudor
v. } On Caveat.
Stephen Duncan

In this case a certain James Kenny obtained a Warrant for 300 Acres including his improvement dated 25th May, 1786, in the name of Robert Kenny, having applied first in the name of James Kenny, but afterwards altered the same to Robert, for which Tract Mr. Tudor Broker paid in part into the Receiver General's Office the sum of Thirty four pounds 12 and 31 the several Office fees, and for which he hath received no consideration from said Kenny, and Mr. Stephen Duncan obtained a Warrant for the like quantity of land dated the 7th August, 1786 being the very same Tract described in said Kenny's Warrant and paid into the Receiver General's Office for the same the sum of Fifty three pounds 6-8 besides the several fees of Office. It appearing to the Board the title to this land and improvements are vested in said S. Duncan by virtue of a Sherriffs sale made under a Warrant of Levari facias dated at Carlisle the 22d of April, 1786, and other concurring testimony. And that said Kenny hath no legal claim thereto by virtue of his Warrant aforesaid, and it appearing but

just and reasonable that the said Tudor should receive the money which he hath paid. Ordered that the sum of Thirty four pounds 12 paid by said Tudor on the Warrant aforesaid of Robert Kenny be allowed & the Receiver General is directed to allow the same to operate as credit in favor of said S. Duncan on his Settlement of 377 As. 141 ps. surveyed and returned on two Applications in the name of Andrew Scott dated respectively by the first day of August, 1766 and Numbered 545 and 546 now the property of said Duncan. And the said Duncan agrees to pay the said Tudor the sum which he advanced on said Kenny's Warrant, said Tudor transferring all his right to the same to said Duncan the Caveat is hereby dismissed.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals house the first monday in July, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Hon'ble John Smilie, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens, Surv. Gen'l.
Mr. Kennedy, Sec'y Ld. Off.
Mr. Johnston, Rec'r Gen'l.

William Beard
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Procter

In this case Mr. Tucker the Dep'y Surveyor appeared before the Board and expressed his desire that they would direct him in what manner he should Survey & lay out the land in question so as to accommodate the parties, but as the parties were both absent & as there is no probability of an amicable settlement between, therefore ordered that this matter be postponed till the first monday in August next, at which time it is expected Col. Procter will have returned from Georgia, where he at present is upon business.

Mr. Tucker also applied to the Board for their opinion respecting the Pre-emption Warrant of John Carson which cannot now be laid on the ground called for in said Warr't by reason of earlier Pre-emption Warrants being executed on the same land. Ordered that the same Pre-emption Warr't be permitted to operate as an unlocated Warrant in the new purchase and Mr. Tucker is directed to execute the same accordingly.

Joseph Patton
v.
Benjamin Harris

Dispute noted on the Draft.

In this case the interference noted on the Draft is so trifling & Patton not appearing, Ordered that said Draft or Survey be accepted & a Patent of confirmation issue to said Benj'n Harris.

Thomas Applegate
v.
Stephen Hall } On Caveat.

It appearing to the Board in this case that Applegate has sold his right to the land in question & improvem't to said Hall who also hath a Warrant for the same bearing an earlier date than Thomas Applegate's Warrant, and the said Applegate not attending the Board tho' notice was left for that purpose at the house of David Applegate Agent for said Thomas. Therefore Ordered that the said Caveat be dismissed & a Patent of confirmation issue to said Hall.

Nathaniel Houston
v.
John Clendinnen
or Arch'd Elliot } On Caveat.

Sundry Indictments having been found against said Houston & others in the County of Dauphin touching a certain Tresspass & forcible Entry made by them on the land in question in the determination whereof the title to said land may eventually be involved, therefore Ordered that this Case be postponed until said Indictments be tried & determined.

John McComb
v.
John Richie } On Caveat.

Mr. Richie appearing but being unable to prove to the satisfaction of the Board that due notice was served on Mr. McComb, this matter is therefore postponed till the first monday in November next.

Case of Frederick Sweitzer.

In this case it appears to the Board by the Depositions of Mathew & James Dill that a Bill of Sale was duly executed about forty years ago for a Tract of Land in Allen Township, Cumberland County, by John Gronow (who had taken out a Warr't for the same) to Thomas Sharp, which Conveyance or Bill of Sale is not at this day to be found, and that the said land hath been in the uninterrupted and peaceable possession of said Sharp or his Assignees ever since. It also appears by the several mesne Conveyances from said Sharp down to Sweitzer that said Sweitzer became duly seized in fee of s'd land, therefore Ordered that notwithstanding the loss of said Gronow's Bill of Sale a Patent of confirmation issue to said Sweitzer under said Gronows Warrant.

Captain William Craig laid before the Board a Survey of a tract of Land in Northampton County containing 512¾ Acres made upon

a Warrant granted to Andrew Gangware for 400 Acres bearing date 28th April, 1787. In this case notwithstanding the quantity of Land is much greater than is usually returned upon such a Warrant, yet as vacant land surround this tract in every quarter but one & in that adjoins lands of R. L. Hooper but by no means interferes with him, the Board are of opinion it may with propriety be accepted and therefore direct the Surveyor General to accept the same.

Thomas Procter
v.
Thomas Gallagher

On Caveat & postponem't from first monday in March.

In this case the parties have agreed to leave all matters in variance between them touching the land in question to the final determination of John Buyers and William Maclay, Esq'rs, who, if they cannot agree, are to choose a third person & make report of their proceedings to this Board on the first monday in December next to which time the dispute Barnet against Gallagher & Procter is postponed.

John McClenachan's case.

In this case it appearing that a Caveat had been entered near twenty years ago by Daniel Hendricks against John & James McClenachan, but the Hon'ble James McLene, Esq'r, assuring the Board that the land claimed by said John McClenachan & which he now means to Patent is at the distance of five miles from the land which was really Caveated by said Hendricks, Therefore Ordered that said Caveat as far as it relates to said John McClenachan be dismissed & a Patent of confirmation issue on his paying the arrears of purchase money and Intrest with the several Office fees.

Case of Yost Shoemaker.

In the Case of Yost Shoemaker, Ordered that a Warrant to Resurvey issue agreeably to the prayer of his Petition.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals House the 7 July, 1787.

Present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin, Esq'r, Presid't.
The Hon'ble John Smilie, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens, Surv. Gen'l.
Mr. Kennedy, Sec'ry Land Office.
Mr. Johnston, Rec'r Gen'l.

The Board taking into consideration the Act of General Assem-

bly, intitled "An Act for ascertaining and confirming to certain persons called Connecticut claimants; the lands by them claimed within the County of Luzerne and for other purposes therein ment'd, Resolved that the Commissioners in said Act mentioned be and they are hereby requested to do & perform the several duties set forth in the 9th Section of said Act, and for the information of this Board report accordingly.

William Brown, Esq'r
v. } On Caveat.
William Henry

In this case a Certificate was produced under the hand & Seal of the Prothon'y of the Court of Common Pleas for Cumberland County representing that in the action instituted by the Lessee of William Brown, Esq'r, against said Henry for the land in question, Judgment was obtained for the Plaintiff, therefore Ordered that the Caveat be dismissed and a Patent of confirmation issue to said Brown.

The Depositions, Bills of Sale & other Documents respecting a Tract of Land in Mahanoy Township, Northumberland County, originally granted by Warrant bearing date the 9th day of Nov., 1774, for 50 Acres to Lewis Stout now claimed by Jacob Haverling were taken into consideration by the Board and a Patent ordered to said Haverling.

Amos Loney, Tra's Holland & John Lee Webster
v. } On Caveat.
John Reardon, Sen., Ass'ee of Zachariah Davis

In this case Messrs. Loney, Holland & Webster claim the land in question under an improvement made by John Reardon, Sen., which was sold and conveyed to James Crawford, who by Deed bearing date the 10th of March, 1784, conveyed to Joseph Gilfillen, who obtained a Warrant for the same dated 18 February, 1785, and on the 15th day of April, 1786, conveyed his right therein to the said Messrs. Loney, Holland & Webster. John Reardon claims under his own improvements & a Warrant granted to Zachariah Davis dated 15th February, 1785, alledging that Daniel Reardon, son of said John, sold the land to James Crawford aforesaid without a power of Attorney authorizing him so to do. The Board being satisfied that the said John Reardin, Sen., did authorize his son to dispose of his right to the land in question for Three thousand pounds Continental money as appears by divers Depositions exhibited to them, & it being also proved in a satisfactory manner that the said sum of money was actually paid by Crawford & received by said John Reardon, Sen., therefore Or-

dered that a Survey be made on Gilfillen's Warrant for said Loney, Holland & ~~Brewster~~ & returned to the Surveyor General's Office for confirmation.

At a meeting of the Board of Property at the Sec'rys Office the 17th July, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Smilie, Esq'r.

Mr. Lukens, Surv. Gen'l
Mr. Kennedy, Sec'ry } of the Land Office.
Mr. Johnston, Rec'r Gen'l

The Board taking into consideration the claim of Col. Charles Symms of a Survey of 300 As. 154 ps. made under a Military Warr't from the State of Virginia, bearing date the 8 day of May, 1780, granted to him as assignee of Major William Douglass and altho' desirous of doing the strictest justice in the Premisses, yet it is conceived most eligible to postpone the determination of this matter until the Supreme Executice Council shall be enabled to furnish this Board with authenticated copies of the several Laws of Virginia relating to the Land Office and granting of lands, the documents already received from said State not being sufficient.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals House the 6th day of August, 1787.

Present

The Hon'ble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Hon'ble John Whitehill, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens, Surv. Gen'l.
Mr. Kennedy, Sec'ry Ld. Office.
Mr. Johnston, Rec'r Gen'l.

George Kerson's Case.

The said Kerson applying to the Board for a Patent for a Tract of Land containing 268 Acres surveyed on Mary Mills's Warrant, bearing date the 18 day of April, 1749, which in the return is said to be vacated in favor of Rob't Levers (but no such vacating Warrant is to be found on the Records of the Land Office) and the said Kerson being vested by sundry Conveyances from the Heirs of said Mary Mills afterwards Mary Evans with their claim or title to said land, therefore Ordered altho' no Deed Poll or other Con-

veyance appears from said Mary Mills yet under the above circumstances the Board do order a Patent of confirmation to issue to said Kerson.

Jane Evans
v.
James Logan or
Alex. Patterson Ass'ee
in of Nich's Weiser. } On Caveat.

In this case Mr. Patterson claims under a Warrant for 100 Acres granted to Nicholas Weiser, dec'd, dated the 3d day of June, 1748 on which a Survey was made & returned and an improvement made by said Weiser who was killed by the Indians leaving a Wife & Children. And Jane Evans under an Improvement made by her husband Edward Evans long posterior to that of Weiser's but as the said Alexander Patterson did not produce the necessary conveyances from the several Heirs of said Nicholas Weiser (who 'tis said left one son & three daughters) whereby the said land became vested in James Logan who conveyed to said Patterson, therefore Ordered that no Patent of confirmation issue to said Patterson until he furnishes the same: The Caveat in the mean time is dismissed.

Hugh Morthland
v.
John Miller. } On Caveat.

In this case it appears that John Miller claims under a Warrant bearing date the 14 Feb'ry, 1787, and a survey made thereon of 219 As. 77 ps. but as the whole of said Tract is included in a survey of 322 A's, 58 ps. made on a Warrant to Michael Miller, dec'd (father of s'd John) bearing date 12th day of September, 1745, which said Survey or Tract of 322 A's, 58 ps. is ordered and directed in the last Will & named, Viz: John Mentorf, Henry Miller (son of Michael) and the said Hugh Morthland, in consequence whereof it appearing that the said Executors sold the whole of said Tract to the said Henry and that the said Henry sold 100 A's thereof to his brother John Miller aforesaid, therefore Ordered that the survey made on the said John Miller's Warr't shall not be accepted but that the Survey of 322 A's, 58 ps. made on Mich'l Miller's Warrant be accepted & a Patent of confirmation issue to the Executors afores'd in Trust for the Heirs or to Henry Miller on his producing a proper conveyance from s'd Ex'ors at the same time the Board do agree to order a Pat. to Jno. for his 100 A's & imp'ts as soon as he can produce a conveyance from his brother Henry which he expects he will execute as soon as he conveniently can.

John Wampler
v.
Conrad Shearman, Esq'r. } On Caveat.

No Surveys having yet been made and returned into the Surveyors Office of the land in question whereby the Board might be enabled to judge between the parties, therefore Ordered that this matter be postponed till the first Monday in December next.

William Lowry.
v.
Markland & Dickinson. } On Caveat.

In this case it is the opinion of the Board that Messrs. Redick & Hoge Dep'y Surv'rs of Washington Co'y be directed by the Surveyor General to make an exact Survey of all the lands described in Jonathan Markland's, William Markland's, John Dickinson's & William Wallace's Warrants respectively delineating particularly by dotted lines where Wm. Lowrys Warr't would be agreeably to the description thereof in such a manner as to be least injurious to the improvements of the aforementioned Warrants and make return of the same to this Board by the first Monday in January next until which time this matter is postponed.

Godlieb Zeigle &
Fred'k Youce
v.
Peter Dinckle. } On Caveat.

In this case it appearing that a Patent was granted to the said Godlieb Zeigle & Frederick Youce by the late Proprietaries for the land in question bearing date 24 August, 1773. It is therefore the opinion of this Board that Peter Dinckles claim thus circumstanced is not cognizable before them.

George Rimel
v.
Conrad Friedley
or Jacob Zinn Ass'ee
of Friedley. } On Caveat.

In this case Thomas Lightfoot and Henry Ream Deputy Surveyor are directed to resurvey according to the old lines the Tracts claimed by Rimel and Zinn respectively and to delineate on the Draught so made any interference that either may have with the other pointing out accurately the spot where Zinn's Imp't is made and return the same to the Surveyor General's Office by the first Monday in December next to which time this dispute is postponed.

George Miller
v.
John Zane. } On Caveat.

In this case Henry Vanderslice Deputy Surveyor in Berks

County is requested to execute the Warrant in the names of George Miller & George Huntzinger & also the Warrant in Ludwig Herrings name in such a manner as to include the lands in said Warrants respectively agreeable to their locations and make return of the same by the first Monday in September next to which time this dispute is postponed.

James Martin, Esq'r
v. } On Caveat.
Edward Conner.

In this case Edward Conner claims under an Improvement made by John McKinney a Warrant bearing date the 6th July, 1774, granted to him & a Survey made thereon & returned to the Surveyor General's Office, which Tract became vested in said Conner by virtue of a Conveyance from said John McKinney dated 18th September, 1786. James Martin, Esq'r, claims under an Improvement right made partly by John McKinney and partly by Robert McKinney which said Robert by virtue of a conveyance dated 30th July, 1783, conveyed to said Martin having first became vested therewith by a bill of Sale from Jno. McKinney dated 7th March, 1775, in the following words, Viz: "All that the Manor of "land and all those pieces of parcels of Land on the North side of Rays Hill on Brush Creek Abraham Covalts land adjoining it, &c., but produced no office right for the same Mr. Martin alledging that the said Warrant was not laid on the identical spot called for & intended by the location of said Warrant and also suggesting that he is not prepared for trial at present. Ordered that this matter be postponed till the first Monday in November next at which time a Patent is to issue to said Conner unless said Martin shall furnish this Board with the Testimony aforesaid, at the taking of which said Conner is to have due notice to attend if he shall think proper.

Varvell
v. } On Caveat.
Killam.

In this case it appearing by a letter from David Bradford, Esq'r, Att'y at Law that an Ejectment had been brought by Killam for the land in question and a Judgment given against him in the Supreme Court in the County of Washington. Ordered a Patent of confirmation issue to said Varvell on his producing an authenticated copy of the Judgment aforesaid and on his paying the arrears and fees of Office, &c., in the mean time the Caveat to remain against Killam.

Ferris
v. }
Eackman.

Ordered that the Secretary of the Land Office do write a letter

to John Eackman or Executors of Heironimus Eackman requesting him or them to furnish this Board by the first Monday in October next with a Deed to said Eckman from George McCullough who is interested in this dispute and who has prayed for a Rehearing of the same but which the Board have thought proper not to grant until the said Deed is examined.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 22d Feb'y, 1787.

present

The Honble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble John Beard, Esq'r.
The Receiver General
The Surveyor General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary.

The state of the case by Hugh Brackenridge, Esq'r, in behalf David Duncan, Devereux Smith and William Christie relating to lands they claim in and near Pittsburg being read and considered and the said Brackenridge and Mr. French Francis on behalf of the late Proprietaries heard, the Board are of opinion that as those lands lie within the Manor of Pittsburg laid out and surveyed for said Propriet'rs and accepted & reserved to them by the law vesting their Estates in the Commonwealth, this case is not cognizable or determinable by this Board.

Mr. Joseph Simons claiming a Tract of land Surveyed on Warrant to Charles Williams against the acceptance of which Survey a Caveat was entered by John Hambright and desiring a Patent. Upon examination of the Draughts and reading a Certificate of William McClay, Esq'r, It appears their Surveys do not interfere the Board Order the Caveat to be dismissed.

At a special meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor General's House the 17th day of August, 1787.

present

The Honble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble John Whitehill, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surveyor General.
Mr. Kennedy Secretary Land Office.
Mr. Johnston Rec'r Gen'l.

The Honble William McClay, Esq'r, appearing before the Board

desired leave to withdraw a Caveat which he had entered against David Fletcher (alias Felker) the 10th September, 1782, Alledging that his suspicions were not well grounded which induced him to enter said Caveat, the Board therefore direct the same to be dismissed and a Patent of confirmation to issue to said McClay on payment of arrears, &c'a.

The Honble James McLene, Esq'r, appearing before the Board requested that he might withdraw a Caveat entered by him the 8th December, 1773, against Charles Campbell, Alledging that said Campbell hath no dispute with him and that the ground on which said McLene entered the Caveat is removed, therefore Ordered that the said Caveat be dismissed.

At a special meeting of the Board held at the Surveyor General's House the 24th August, 1787.

present

The Honble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble John Whitehill, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surveyor General.
Mr. Kennedy Secretary Land Office.
Mr. Johnston Rec'r Gen'l.

Conrad Jacoby
v.
Philip Woolslages
or Isaac Burson Ass'ee.
} On Caveat.

In this case the parties appeared and being heard the Board are of opinion that the Caveat be dismissed and a Patent for the whole Tract issue to Isaac Burson in right of Philip Woolslages the said Burson agreeing to convey to William Irvine 27½ Acres thereof being part of the same land which said Conrad Jacoby bargained & sold to said Irvine by virtue of an Article of Agreement bearing date the 28 November, 1778.

At a special meeting of the Board held at the Surveyor Generals House the 29th August, 1787.

Present

The Honble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble John Whitehill, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surveyor General.
Mr. Kennedy Secretary Land Office.
Mr. Johnston Rec'r Gen'l.

Samuel Mackay son of Col. Eneas Mackay, dec'd, appearing

before the Board and requesting that a Caveat entered by his father against Andrew Hoy the 26th of July, 1770, respecting a Tract of Land called "Dirty Camp" in Westmoreland County might be dismissed. Alledging that there is no interferences of claims which appearing to the Board to be the case as to the Survey made on Samuel Thompson's Application No. 1293 dated 3d April, 1769, now the property of the Heirs of said Eneas Mackay. Ordered that the said Caveat be dismissed as far as it relates to Thompson's survey aforesaid, but that it continue as to Eneas Mackay's own Application No. 83 dated 3d April, 1769, until a Resurvey shall be made by Benjamin Lodge D. S. delineating thereon whether there is any interference & where it may be and return the same to this Board by the first Monday in April, 1788, notifying any person or persons who may be interested in Hoy's claim (if to be found) of the making of said Resurvey and of the time when the Return thereof shall be made in order that they may be heard if they think proper.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor General's House the 3 day of September, 1787.

Present

The Honble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble William Maclay, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surveyor General.
Mr. Kennedy Secretary Land Office.
Mr. Johnston Rec'r Gen'l.

John Kerr
v. } On Caveat.
Empson Brownfield.

In this case John Kerr claims under a Settlement made in the year 1769 and a Warrant dated 12th April, 1773. And Brownfield under an Application in the name of Robert Perry No. 3167 dated 24th April, 1769, and it appearing to the Board that said Application was by no means descriptive of the land in question, Ordered that the Survey made on Kerr's Warr't be accepted and a Patent issue on payment of the purchase money and fees of Office.

Thomas Bull &
John Jones
v. } On Caveat.
David Jenkins &
John Iddings.

David Jenkins appearing but it being sugested to the Board by

letter from John Jones and Thomas Bull that said Jones was sick and could not attend and requesting a postponement hereof until the first Monday in October next and Bull & Iddings not appearing this matter is therefore accordingly postponed till the said first Monday in October.

Martin Herman
v.
Jeremiah Rees.

On Caveat postponed from first Monday in June last.

This matter is to remain under advisement until the Board shall be furnished with the Deposition of William Lyons or any other creditable witness clearly proving that the survey made by him the 15th May, 1772, on Morton's Application (now claimed by Rees) No. 2748 bearing date the 11th February, 1767, was actually done with the consent of said Martin Herman who was then present and in possession of the land in question which Depositions are directed to be furnished this Board by the first Monday in October next and in failure of establishing this fact a Patent to issue to said Martin Herman agreeably to the Resurvey lately made by Samuel Lyon, Dep'y Surv. pursuant to the orders of the Board of June last.

Samuel Evalt
v.
William Butler. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties by themselves and Council & examining their papers respectively the Board are of opinion that the land in question is the property of William Butler, the Caveat is therefore Ordered to be dismissed & a Patent of confirmation to issue to said Butler on payment of the purchase money and fees of office.

Martin Ram
v.
John Cauffman. } On Caveat.

In this case the Board directs that Bartram Galbraith Dep'y Surv. make return of Ram & Cauffman's tracts of land respectively giving to each a proportion of the vacancy agreeably to the black line No. 74 E 129 ps. as delineated in the Draught now before the Board made by said Galbraith the 25th October, 1785.

Samuel Marquis
v.
James Cook. } On Caveat.

Ordered that Messrs. Nevill & Richie Dy. Surv'r of Washington Co'y. Survey for each of the parties their respective Tracts and make return thereof to this Board delineating thereon any interference that may be between them and also that they would

transmit a state of any facts or circumstances relating to this dispute that may have come to their knowledge to the Board by the first Monday in ——— next.

Benjamin Cable
v. } On Caveat.
Henry Shaffer.

The Caveator in this case having cited Henry Shaffer to appear before the Board and not appearing himself Ordered that the said Caveat be dismissed.

Thomas McCreary
v. } On Caveat.
Patrick McSherry.

The parties being heard and their respective papers examined, it appears to the Board that McCreary hath no right to the land in question, but inasmuch as Patrick McSherry's chain of title is at present incomplete some of his conveyances being left at home no Patent of confirmation can be issued to him until the same be produced.

Andrew Young
v. } On Caveat.
Patrick McSherry.

In this case Andrew Young being unprepared as to several points of Testimony & McSherry having neglected to bring from home some of his conveyances have therefore consented to postpone the further consideration of this matter till the first Monday in October next, to which time the same is ordered to be postponed. Ordered in this case that McSherry have notice to attend at the taking of Youngs Depositions.

Jacob Parr
Adm'r of H'y Sherer, dec'd
v. } On Caveat.
Reynard Marter.

In this Case Reynard Marter claims under a late Warrant to include an Improvement made by his father Dewalt, but it appearing to the Board that George Miller Who married said Dewalt Marter's widow obtained a Warr't many years before dated 22d June, 1759, and had a Survey made thereon by Thomas Armor and satisfactory proof having been exhibited to the Board that said Reynard and the other Heirs of Dewalt Marter received a compensation for their respective Shares of their fathers Estate Ordered that the land in question be returned agreeably to the lines of the former Survey on said Millers Warrant in order for confirmation to the Heirs of Henry Shearer & that the Survey made by Reynard Marters Warrant be rejected.

James Ramsey
v. } On Caveat.
Charlotte Carlisle.

It appearing that Charlotte Carlisle was duly served with a Citation to attend this Board on the first Monday in September Instant but not giving her attendance pursuant to said Citation, James Ramsey's Warrant being prior to that of Charlotte Carlisle. Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor be directed to execute said Ramsey's Warrant & make return thereof to this Board by the first Monday in March next. And it being suggested that James Hamilton, Esq'r & David Carlisle are interested in this dispute it is further ordered that they be served with a copy of this minute.

Peter Dicks
v. } On Caveat.
Jacob Shearer.

In this case Mr. John Steinmetz who claims under Peter Dicks being notifyed to attend the Board and shew cause why the Caveat should not be dismissed and said Stinmetz not appearing Ordered that the same be dismissed and a Patent of confirmation issue to said Jacob Shearer.

Col. Phillips of Fayette County having applied to the Board on behalf of Elias Stone who intermarried with Elizabeth Baldwin for a Patent for a Tract of land in Washington County pursuant to an Order of a former Board dated 5th June, 1773. Ordered that the same be granted accordingly.

Strain v. Boyce
& Moreland on behalf
of Applegate } On Caveat.
v.
Boyce.

On Caveats postponed from the first Monday in March last to this day.

In this case the Board are of opinion that the conditional line agreed on between Thomas Dunn and Richard Applegate as deleneated on the general Draught transmitted to this Board by Alexander McClean, Esq'r, shall be the division line between said Applegate and Richard Boyce who claims under said Dunn until the said conditional line shall intersect the Western boundary of Harpers old survey and that the Survey of the said Richard Boyce shall be confirmed as far as it interferes with Samuel Strains Survey as deleneated on the general Draught aforesaid and that Strains Survey be regulated accordingly.

Ennion Williams
v.
Samuel Royer.
} On Caveat.

In this case tho' Royer's Warr't or Office right is of a later date than that of Williams's yet being descriptive of the land intended to be located by said Warr't & he also claiming under an old imp't made by George A. Stump which tho' much decayed yet it appears to the Board was never relinquished inasmuch as the Taxes due thereon were regularly paid & Williams's Wt. not being descriptive of the land in dispute. Ordered that the Survey made on Royer's Wt. be accepted & a Pat't of conf'n issue according the Cav't is dismissed.

Robert McClean &
Samuel Kinkead
v.
John W. Province or
Joseph Y. Province.
} On Caveat.

In this case it appearing to the Board that the title to the land in question is clearly vested in Joseph Y. Province by an old Warrant and Survey made & returned thereon as well as by a valuable Improvement, and Robert McClean one of the Caveators in this case having sent a paper to the Board withdrawing his Caveat. Ordered that said Caveat be dismissed & a Patent of confirmation issue to said Province.

At a special meeting of the Board the 10th September, 1787.

Present
as before.

On reading the memorial of Mr. David Meade, Resolved that the papers relating to his claims to lands at Wyoming be referred to the Commissioners now sitting at Wilkesbarre in the County of Luzerne that they may take Order thereon and report to this Board pursuant to a former general requisition of said Board dated the 7 July last.

At a special meeting of the Board the 12th September, 1787.

Present as before.

Dorsey Penticost, Esq'r, having entered a Caveat the 14th day of August last against accepting Surveys or granting Patents of confirmation to sundry Inhabitants of Fayette and Westmore-

land Counties pursuant to notice given him yesterday appeared before the Board. The Honble Messrs. Smilie & Finley also appearing on behalf of the said Inhabitants and requesting that the same might be dismissed were severally heard, and the Board are of opinion that the same be dismissed accordingly and for the reasons following, Viz: 1st. because Patents have already issued to a considerable number of persons against whom the said Caveat is entered. On considering the great inconveniences that a delay would be to the people who are by law to Pat. their lands on or before the 10th April next.

2d. It did not appear to the Board that any Entry was made or legal claim set up to the lands in question before the Commissioners appointed by the State of Virginia "to settle and adjust claims to unpatented lands" nor has any such claims been transmitted to this Government by the said State.

3d. The State of Virginia notwithstanding the supposed grant of the lands in question to Dorsey Penticost, Blair Russel & Co. hath granted a large quantity of the very same lands to sundry persons now settled thereon as doth appear by Certificates from the Virginia Commissioners given at Cox's fort.

4th. This right or claim is alledged to have originated in grants, surveys & settlements made in the year 1752, which was prior to the purchase made of the Indians and consequently contrary to the Customs & Acts of the then Government of Pennsylvania.

At a special meeting of the Board the 17th September, 1787.

Present as before.

General Potter appeared before the Board and alledge that his two Warrants in the name of Mary Potter for 150 A's and James Potter for 200 A's respectively dated 5th January and 28th July, 1773 were returned by the Deputy Surveyor at different places and for different lands than those actually described and called for in the said Warrants. Ordered that the present Deputy Surveyor of the proper District be directed to execute the said Warrants and make return thereon to the Surveyor General's Office as soon as he conveniently can on the lands actually described in said Warrants and the said Deputy is directed in case there should be any interference with any other persons lands to notify the same on the back of the Draughts.

General Potter having also applied to the Board for a Warrant of Resurvey on 95 7-10 Acres for which he hath a Patent a part whereof he alledges hath been taken by a prior right and being desirous of ascertaining the quantity thus taken and also of in-

cluding a piece of vacancy which he supposes joins the same Ordered that the said Warrant issue accordingly.

At a special meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals house 20th September, 1787.

Present as before.

The case stated by Stephen Chambers, Esq'r, respecting the practice of Deputy Surveyors was taken into consideration by the Board. Ordered that the same be referred to the Surveyor General who is to receive from Mr. Chambers any information on this subject that he may possess, and that he immediately give notice to any of his Deputies who may be guilty of the practice alluded to, that the same is deemed by the Board highly censurable.

Michael Gratz applying for two Patents under Applications granted to Samuel and John Elliot respectively No. 3643 & 3644 both bearing date the 12th July, 1769 against which it was apprehended a Caveat had been entered by a certain Jacob Bousman, but no such Caveat appearing to the Board to be entered descriptive of the land in question. Ordered that the said Patents do issue to the said Gratz on payment of arrears of purchase money and fees of office.

Twenty-fifth September, 1787. Present as before except Jno. Beard, Esq'r, instead of Wm. Maclay, Esq'r.

The petition of George Churchman & Roger Kirk in behalf of themselves and other owners of lands commonly called the Nottingham lot lands within this State in the County of Chester was read praying that the Board would make an order that upon the Application of any of the said tenants or owners and their shewing title under the original Guarantees Warrants of Resurvey should issue in order that grants or confirm'n be issued therefor under the great Seal of this Commonwealth. Ordered that Warrants of Resurvey do issue accordingly and Patents of confirmation be made out to such of the said Owner as shall apply for the same on paym't of the several Office fees but free from the paym't of the purchase money or Quit rent.

The Deposition of the rev'd Dr. William Smith being read proving that an Application No. 14 dated the first day of August, 1766, for 300 Acres of land lying under the Allegheny mountain between land of Phineas Bond and Phineas Smith in the name of

John Kemble was the property of Phineas Bond, dec'd the name of said Kemble being made use of only in Trust for said Phineas Bond, and that said Kemble died in the West Indies unmarried and without heirs not having left behind him any conveyance or Deed Poll that could be found transferring said Application to Phineas Bond aforesaid. Ordered that said Deposition shall supply the deficiency of a Conveyance and a Patent of confirmation issue for said land to the Heirs of said Phineas Bond when applied for, on payment of purchase money, &c.

[See two minutes of the Board of the 28th September, 1787, entered directly after the month of October, 1787.]

William Waddle
v.
Eli Myers.
} On Caveat.

This case is postponed till the Board shall be furnished with more satisfactory proof respecting the nature of the Improvement made on the land in question and claimed by Waddle.

The Reverend Doctor William Smith & Mich'l Hillegas, Esq'r having applied to the Board for a Resurvey of all or any of the Tracts of land on the waters of Cowanshanock Surveyed for them by Joshua Elder, D. S. on five Warrants issued in the names of Moses Bartram, William Smith, Adolph Gilman, Philip Clumberg & Jonathan Shoemaker alledging that some or all of said Warrants have been laid & executed on lands not described in the said Warrants. Order'd that George Woods, Junior, D. S. do resurvey said lands agreeably to the several Locations of the Warrants aforesaid in the presence of the said Joshua Elder who is to receive notice for that purpose and make return thereof to this Board, by the first Monday in May next. It is further ordered that the Deputy Surveyor should note on said Draught, or return, any interference that may appear between these and any other Surveys.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals House the 1st day of October, 1787.

Present

The Honble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble David Redick, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surveyor General.
Mr. Kennedy Secretary.
Mr. Johnston Rec'r General.

Adam Lynn & Henry & And'w Ashbough v. Anthony Sell. } On Caveat.

Patrick McSherry for Anthony Sell & Francis Helmich who purchased 121 Acres part of Ashbough's Survey, appearing were heard. And on perusing Helmich's Deed and the Survey of his land which is made agreeable to the same Deed & the Survey of Sell The Board find the Surveys do not interfere and therefore dismiss the Caveat, so far as relates to the claims of the parties aforesaid.

Andrew Young v. Patrick McSherry. } On Caveat.

In this case it appears that Andrew Young claims under a small Improvement worth about £5 as Heir at law to Gabriel Young made by said Gabriel, who agreed with one Ezekiel Black for his Maintenance & Burial to vest his right to the same in said Black which was acordingly performed. And Blacks right by divers Transfers became vested in McSherry who hath purchased the right thereto & further made considerable improvements & hath a Warrant & Survey for the same land. And it appearing to the Board that Patrick McSherry is entitled to two valuable Improvements contained in his two Surveys one of which was made by William Matthews & the other by Archibald McClean on his Warrant of the 12th day of May, 1763. Therefore Order that a Resurvey be made including the whole of his said two tracts of land and improvements agreeable to the old lines run by said William Matthews and Archibald McClean & that return thereof be made into the Surveyor General's Office on the Warrant aforesaid in order for confirmation by Patent. Therefore the Board Order the Caveat to be dismissed.

David McCurdy v. Robert McCurdy. } On Caveat.

In this case Robert McCurdy claims under a Warrant granted to Christopher Swisher dated 11th March, 1785, & Survey made thereon of 157 Acres & one eighth but it appearing to the Board that this land is part of the old Plantation which formerly belonged to John McCurdy deceased Father of said Robert the right whereof being in the several Children of said John McCurdy & not in Robert alone; Ordered that a Patent issue to said Robert in trust for the several Children of said John McCurdy deceased. He paying interest on the said Tract from the time the original improvement was made by the said John McCurdy dec'd which

improvement & land contiguous thereto the Deputy Surveyor is directed to include in a Resurvey to be by him made of the whole and returned for said Robert In trust as above mentioned And the said Deputy Surveyor is directed to furnish this Board with a Certificate from two Justices setting forth the time when the said Improvement was begun.

William Neal
v.
John Brown. } On Caveat.

This case is postponed by the mutual consent of said William Neal & James Pearson who acts for said John Brown in order that they may have an opportunity of choosing some of their Neighbours, who, in conjunction with the Deputy Surveyor are to go on the ground & if possible regulate the Surveys of the land in such a manner as to accommodate both parties & make report of their proceedings to this Board.

James Daily
v.
John Wright. } On Caveat.

It appearing to the Board that the land in dispute is claimed by Henry Enoch under a Warrant granted to John Wright for 200 Acres on both sides of Ten mile Creek, and inasmuch as it appears to the Board that 403½ Acres are returned in the Survey made in pursuance of said Warrant & it being suggested that the Improvement of Daily is included therein, it is Order'd that the Deputy Surveyor do examine the premises and make a Resurvey agreeably to the location of the said Warrant & that he be desired to make such report by the first Monday in June next as will tend to illucidate the subject as much as may be in his power.

Benjamin Stephens
v.
David Hunter &
William Patterson. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing & being heard & Benjamin Stephens not making good his allegations. Order'd that the Caveat be dismissed.

Nixon & Shoemaker
v.
James Gilmore. } On Caveat.

In this case the parties appearing & being heard it appears that Gilmore has the prior improvement & a Warrant & Survey

of 238 Acres which includes part of two Surveys made on Warrants to John Nixon & Peter Whiteside, the Board Orders that the disputed land be cut off from Nixon & Whiteside's Surveys and be included in Gilmore's Survey & returned for him according to the line S. 62 E. 279 5-10 as represented in a Draught made by Alexander McClean now before the Board.

Martin Herman
v.
Jeremiah Rees Ass'ee
of Edward Morton.
} On Caveat.

This case being postponed from the first Monday in September last to this day in order that Rees might produce testimony of Herman's acquiescence in the Survey made by William Lyon for Edward Morton which interfered with or included part of a Survey containing 298½ Acres made for John Douglass who Conveyed his right thereto to said Herman and such testimony being produced and considered. The Board are of opinion that the same is unsatisfactory, therefore Order that the Resurvey made by Samuel Lyon in pursuance of a former Order of the Board agreeable to the old lines of the Survey aforesaid made by William Lyon be accepted and a Patent of confirmation issue in conformity thereto to the said Martin Herman & that Morton's Survey be regulated accordingly.

James Howes' Case.

In this case William Elliot obtained a Survey of a Tract of land containing 150 Acres 61 perches on Warrant dated 6th day of April, 1786, on which Draught or Survey the Deputy Surveyor Matthew Henderson noted that "Part next Ramsays' Gap including the "Spring disputed by Jas. Howe on Warrant dated 18th May, 1786" in consequence whereof this day was appointed for a hearing of the parties, but Elliot not appearing and no Survey having yet been made on Jas. Howe's Warrant by which the Board could be informed whether there is any interference between said Howe's Improvement & Elliot's Survey aforesaid. Ordered that this matter is postponed till the first Monday in May next at which time Mr. Matt'w Henderson Deputy Surveyor is directed to furnish the Board with a Draught of Howe's claim agreeably to the Location of his Warrant including said Improvement and to note thereon the interference if any.

George Fry's Case.

In this case Ordered that George Fry advertise in the public papers for two months from this date Michael Troy & all other persons whatever, to come in and make good any claims they may have, to a certain tract of land claimed by said Fry, Situate

in Buffaloe Township, Northumberland County, Surveyed on Application No. ——— granted to Thomas Jordan dated 3rd April, 1769.

Eli Allen
v.
Mary Hutton. } On Caveat.

In this case the parties appearing and having agreed to leave all matters in variance between them touching the land in question to Colonels Edward Cook, Isaac Meason & Benjamin Davis whose award is to be obligatory if delivered to the parties by the 15th day of November next, the Board therefore postpone this matter till the first Monday in January next.

Samuel Miller
v.
James Kelly &
Ab'm Burkholder. } On Caveat.

In this case it appears that the land in contest is 14 Acres Surveyed on Warrant of 5th June, 1786, to James Kelly which Miller claims by Warr't to Thomas Armor junior dated 28th day of November, 1771 for 30 Acres joining McKinly & McNulty which pursuing the Location thereof cannot be extended to the land in question, therefore the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed & the Survey of Kelly established.

William Boyd's Title Deed for a Tract of land in ——— Township in the County of Dauphin which was surveyed on a Warrant to John McEvers being read & approved, he the said Boyd is allowed a Patent on payment of the Arears of purchase money & Interest which said McEvers was to have paid.

John Jones &
Thomas Bull
v.
David Jenkins.

This case being postponed on the request of Bull & Jones from the first Monday in September to this time & they not appearing the Board proceeded to examine the Surveys of Jenkins which appear to be made on Warrants prior to that of Bull & Jones. Therefore Order Jenkins Surveys to be accepted and Bulls & Jones's Caveat dismissed.

At a special meeting of the Board the 30th day of October, 1787.

Present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable David Redick, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary.

It appearing as well from the Oath of Abraham Raiguel as a letter from Bartram Galbreath that the Aplication No. 444 of William Rail to have been in the name of Ab'm Raiguel. Ordered that a Warrant of acceptance issue to the said Abraham Raiguel on his paying purchase money & Intrest, &c.

It appearing by a paper now produced & Witnessed by Wm. Clingan Esquire, that Richard Rankin had withdrawn his Caveat, but a Note being in the Caveat Book that Rankin's Wife desired to be heard. And now William Mitchell, Esq'r, informing that said Rankin & his Wife are gone out of the State, whereby she cannot be notifyed to attend. Therefore the Board allow a Patent to Thomas Clemson's Heirs notwithstanding the said Memorandum.

At a special meeting of the Board of Property at the Secretary's Office the 2d November, 1787.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable David Redick, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

William Gibson applied for a Patent for 30 Acres land part of 500 Acres surveyed on Warrant to Alexander Ross dated ——— 1714 some of his Title Deeds not being in proper form, allowed the same, there having been a long & uninterrupted possession.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals House the 5th November, 1787.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable William Maclay, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surveyor General.
Mr. Kennedy Secretary.
Mr. Johnston Receiver General.

James Martin Esq'r.
v. } On Caveat.
Edward Conner.

This case being postponed from August last to this day in order that Mr. Martin might have an opportunity of making good the allegations he then suggested to the Board, but having failed therein, & not producing any other material testimony the Caveat is Ordered to be dismissed & a Patent of confirmation to issue to said Conner.

Peter Martz
v. } On Caveat.
Abram Martz.

Abraham Martz not appearing though duly served with Notice, And it appears that the land in dispute is included in a Survey of 150 Acres made on a warrant to David Martz dated 12th October, 1738. The Board are of Opinion & Order that the said Survey be confirmed to the persons making Title under said David Martz.

William Campbell
v. } On Caveat.
James Stevenson.

On Caveat postponed from the first Monday in April last to this Day. The parties appearing & being heard. The Board are of Opinion & hereby direct Messrs. Nevill & Ritchie Deputy Surveyors to run a line parallel to the line marked S. 32 E. 314 perches in said Campbell's Draught for a division line between said Campbell & Stevenson in such a manner as to include 260 A's & allowance, &c., at the same time excluding that part of Campbell's former survey which interfered with Samuel Purviance's Patented land & also excluding Stevenson Improvement & make return thereof to the Surveyor General's Office for said Campbell as soon as conveniently may be. And the residue of the land to be returned for said Stevenson on his two Virginia Entries respectively dated 9th February, 1780.

Malachia Powell
v. } On Caveat.
Alexander McMun.

The parties being heard it appears that Alexander McMun has a Warrant dated 24th March, 1786, & a Survey of 133 Acres made thereon. And that Malachia Powell has a Warrant dated 8th March aforesaid for 200 Acres including an Improvement on the West branch of Thompson's run adjoining land of James Powell, William Donaldson & John Rodarmel on the South in Pitt Township, Westmoreland County. But as it does not appear by the location of Powell's Warrant whether it is for the place on which McMun's Survey is made. It is ordered by the Board that the Deputy Surveyor go to the place & examine the Ground & collect such Testimony as he can report to the Board. ——— & make return of Survey on Powell's Warrant if he finds it suits the place to this Board by the first Monday in April next.

Matthew Miller, Jun.
v. } On Caveat.
Samuel Williamson.

In this case it appears that Williamson claims 405 Acres on a Warrant dated 13th February, 1750, to William Trent which was for only 200 Acres & on a part of which he had settled his Son Samuel, now deceased & a Warrant dated 30th January, 1787, is granted to Samuel & Matthew the Sons of the said deceased. But as it does not appear whether the Survey of the said 405 A's was actually made so as to include the whole of the land as it was not returned to the Surveyor General's Office till this dispute commenced, this case is postponed to the first Monday in January next in order that further proff may be produced of the said Survey being made in the manner now represented.

James Lauchlan
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph McGuffog.

It apears that the land in dispute is about 149 Acres which McGuffog claims by Warrant of 7th May, 1785. And Lauchlan by Application No. 2067 & a Warrant of 20th May aforesaid But it being in Testimony that the land is within the lines agreeably made Laughlin & McGuffog's Plantations and that the Improvement mentioned in McGuffog's Warrant is the property of & made by Laughlin, the land in dispute is Ordered to be returned on Laughlin's Warr't & Order aforesaid.

It appearing upon reading a letter from Hugn Sharon that he desires a Caveat entered by him against Janet Sharon may be dismissed, the same is accordingly dismissed.

Joshua Chamberlain applying for a Patent or Patents for five

several Tracts of land Surveyed on Warrant to Jonas Chamberlain dated 22d March, 1750, which was for two parcels, but on considering that it will be for the Advantage of the State, And as he represents that there is no dispute or claim, but on a tract of 214 Acres which is said to be Patented to one Redke, he is allowed a Patent or Patents for four of the said Tracts.

John McDonald
v.
James & Joseph Chambers. } On Caveat.

McDonald not appearing the Board inspected the Draughts, whereupon it does not appear that they interfere therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Philip Wootring applying for a Patent for 164 Acres & ¾ in Williams Township, Northampton County, Surveyed on Wt. said to be dated 22d April, 1746, to John Moore, who paid Two pounds 11s on that day in part of the Purchase money & hath since paid other monies on account of the same. But no Warrant is now to be found therefore Ordered that a Warr't for the acceptance of the Survey of said 164 A's & ¾ issue & a Patent on his payment of Arears of Purchase money & Interest.

Zachariah Connell
v.
Ezekiel Hopkins. } On Caveat.

This case being postponed several times at the request of Connell & particularly by letter laid before the Board on the first Monday in September last, requesting it might be postponed to this time & Connell not appearing the papers of Hopkins were read and considered & the Caveat Ordered to be dismissed.

Robert Miller
v.
William Brown. } On Caveat.

William Finley, Esq'r appearing before the Board & representing that he was prevented from obtaining a Patent for said Brown by a Caveat being entered by said Miller 3d July, 1772. And that he said Miller was not to be found to cite to this Board & producing some Depositions & a Certificate from the Deputy Surveyor proving that Miller had lived only as Tenant on an adjoining place of John Campbell & further that he Miller had no Office right, the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

Charles Gibson
v.
John Reynolds claims
under Thomas Campbell. } On Caveat.

William Finley, Esq'r, appears for Reynolds & James Barr, Esquire for Gibson, were heard. And it appearing that a Survey hath been made for Reynolds of 383 Acres on Application No. 509

And on Thomas Campbell's Application No. 2104 Survey of only 181 Acres, The Board are of Opinion that as each Application is for 300 Acres & that of Reynolds is the earliest that that quantity be returned & that the remaining 83 Acres be cut off from Reynold's Survey & added to Gibson's Survey in such a manner as John Moore, Esq'r shall judge most equitable, returns whereof agreable to said Alteration are to be made to this Board by the first Monday in March next.

Eleazer Powell
v.
Margaret Williamson.

Postponed to the first Monday in March next in order that the Dep'y Surveyor may make report how a Survey said to be made by Joseph Erwin for Captain Thompson interferes with Williamson's Survey. And also that he report whether or not Powell's Location is for that place.

Miller
v.
Plumer & Harrison. } On Caveat.

In this case Miller claims by an Application for a Warrant in the year 1766 which was not issued. And that Harrison & Plumer have each a Warrant & Survey including part of the land intended to be included in Miller's Warrant. It is Ordered that Harrison's Survey be regulated agreeable to the red line drawn across the run on a Draught now before the Board, & to be transmitted to the Deputy Surveyor. And that as Plumer's Warrant is located to adjoin his other land, he have his quantity of 100 Acres to join his other land agreeably to the red lines marked ABCD which will leave a part for Miller to be run by a line parallel to the red line marked on the Draught aforesaid E F. And that the Deputy Surveyor make a Draught of the remainder & return it to this Board in order to be confirmed to the Representatives of William Miller on such Terms & manner as shall hereafter be directed.

Isaac Lidman applying for a Patent for 400 Acres Surveyed on Warrant to William Barnet for 100 Acres only, dated 2d January, 1787, & representing that his lands which lye around the same are Patented & that it is not likely any other person would pay for the overplus the Survey is allowed to be accepted & Patent to issue.

Benjamin Weiser applying for a Patent for 260½ Acres Surveyed on his Application No. 1035 said to be Surveyed to Fred'k Weiser in right of the said Benjamin. And he declaring that he had never transferred his right to the said Frederick. The Board allow him a Patent.

Thomas Wilson
v. } On Caveat entered.
Robert Campbell.

This matter having been formerly heard & determined by the Board of Property the 25th day of October, 1773 this Caveat is dismissed.

Thomas Wilson
v. } On Caveat.
Robert Campbell.

It appearing that Wilson claims under an Application in his name & the name of Thomas Jeffries on which he hath a Survey of 189 Acres, which does not include the land in dispute and as he has acquiesced so far as to take out his Patent on the said 189 Acres therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

John McClellan
v. } On Caveat.
Robert Campbell.

The parties appearing being heard & McClellan not making good the Allegations of his Caveat, the same is dismissed.

More
v.
More

Continued until the Parties produce a Title under the person to whom the Warrant was granted & settle either at Law or otherwise who is entitled to have the Patent.

Joseph Wharry
v. } On Caveat.
John White.

This case being referred at a meeting of the first Monday in April last to James Allison, John Douglass & Matthew Ritchie, Esquires, & the dispute continued to this time. And the report of the referrees being now made & the Parties again heard & as well the Testimony formerly, as further Evidence now offered being considered. It is the Opinion of the Board that the place intended to be located by Young's Application is on the East side of Shirtees joining or near Matthew Wilson. But as it is said that some other rights have taken that part joining Wilson occasioned in the delay in making the Survey on Young's Appl'n, Wharry cannot have his full Quantity. It is therefore directed that there be surveyed on Young's Application for said Wharry 200 Acres only which is to be cut off from White's Survey joining John Canon, Nathan Brown, the said White & Shirtees Creek in such a manner as to include as little of White's Improvements as may be, and as nearly as possible conformable to the red line

marked on the Draught, having had a sufficiency for Settlements & Office rights in the names of himself & Sons.

George Huckleberry
v.
John Lackey. } On Caveat.

The Testimony, papers & Surveys of the parties being laid before the Board, by Theophilus Philips & John Gilchrist, Esquires, which being considered, the Surveys of the Parties as made by Alexander McClean are ordered to be established.

November 23d, 1787. Present as before only the Honorable David Redick Esquire instead of William Maclay.

Elizabeth Myers
v.
Frederick Myers. } On Caveat.

It appearing that the Dispute between the parties is settled by a Certificate of Ephraim Walter, Esquire, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Joseph Ross
v.
Fred'k Myers.

Joseph Ross being duly cited to the Board on the first Monday in October, and he not attending then, nor at any time since, the Board examined the Surveys & Warrants of the parties & the Testimony of Myers and therefore Orders the Caveat to be dismissed.

Special Meeting at the Surveyor General's 28th November, 1787.

Present as next before.

A Brief of Title to the lands held under a Warrant to Cornelius Empson & others made by George Churchman, for several of the Claimants of lands, which on running the line between Maryland & Pennsylvania, fell into this State, being laid before the Board in consequence of an Order made on 25th September last, which being satisfactory. It is Ordered that a Warrant for Resurveying the part within this State and for dividing & laying off the respective parties which the Appliers Claim.

Jacob Bleany's title Deeds & Transfers for 73 Acres Situate in Washington Co'y, Surveyed on Wararnt to Robert Jack dated ——— being examined he is allowed a Patent.

At a meeting of the Board of Property held at the Surveyor Generals House 28th September, 1787.

Present

The Honble Charles Biddle, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable John Baird, Esq'r.
Mr. Lukens Surveyor General.
Mr. Kennedy Secretary Land Office.
Mr. Johnston Rec'r Gen'l.

Sir: Robert Robb Entres a Caveat against the acceptance of two Surveys Made in said township, in favor of Mr. Samuel Wallace and others, one in the Name of Joshua Michael and the other in the Name of John Farmer, aledging that Neither of them is laid on the Place for which they ware designed, and interfairs with an order of Mine (laid close to the Spot) in the Name of My Wife Susanna.

To James Tilghman, Esq'r.
in
Philadelphia.

Joseph Barnet
v.
Benjamin Wallace. } On Caveat.

In this case it appears that Barnet claims under a Warrant to William Nutt dated 10th January, 1737 & a Survey made by Edward Smout in the February following & since resurveyed by Bartram Galbreath, And that Mr. Wallace claims under a Warrant to Josiah White, dated 22d December, 1744 & a Survey thereon, which includes a small part of Nutt's or Barnet's Survey the Board are of Opinion that as Nutt's Warrant & Survey is prior that the resurvey made by Bartram Galbreath for Barnet be accepted & Wallaces regulated accordingly.

Patrick McCormick
v.
Francis Holland. }

This case is postponed till the first Monday in November next, in order that the said Holland may have an opportunity of producing Testimony.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 3d December, 1787.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable John Canan, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

The memorial of William Wilson, Esquire, being read & considered setting forth, that the Survey on which a Patent for 327¼ Acres dated 26th January, 1785 was very erroneous & leaves out a considerable part of his Improvements. Ordered that a Warrant of Resurvey issue to correct the Errors & on Return a new Patent.

William Hays
v. } On Caveat.
Cook & Calhoon.

In this case it appears that Hays claims under a Warrant dated 14th December, 1773, to Edward Biddle for 200 Acres located on the East 394 perches line of Biddle's other land, which being prior to Cook & Calhoons, it is Ordered that the Survey or Survey all the Tillable land that can be got on that line and return a Draught of the same to this Board on the first Monday in May next denoting how it will interfere with Cook & Calhoon's Survey.

William Sherer
v. } On Caveat.
Francis Cuningham.

Francis Cuningham ——— heard & Sherers papers ——— Testimony, being read & considered, which not supporting ——— making good the Allegations of his Caveat, the same is dismissed.

Conrad Sherman
v.
John Wampler.

On Caveat postponed from the first Monday in August last to this day.

In this case John Wampler claims under a Warrant to Peter Gakler bearing date 10th September, 1750 & two Surveys consisting of about 343 Acres made by Thos. Armor, on two days next succeeding each other in 1754 also under a Warrant dated the 28th March, 1763, to his Father Christian Wampler, which though it appears that it was intended for the other land yet that the location thereof suits the land in question & lies within the Survey made by Armor And that Sherman claims by Warrant dated 20th May, 1785, for 100 Acres intended for part of Wampler's Survey. The Board are of Opinion & direct that the Resurvey of

Wampler made by Moses McClean agreeable to the lines run by Armor shall be established & returned on Gakler's Warrant aforesaid & also on Christiam Wampler's Warrant in Order that he may have the benefit of the money paid thereon into the Receiver General's Office, but as there appears to be a small vacancy of about 14 Acres joining Wampler's Survey, by Armor & between that & Leonard Sable's land, that the same be returned on Sherman's Warrant if he will chuse & Patent it before the first Monday in March next, otherwise that the same be added to Wampler's Survey & Patented to him.

Benjamin Zerby
v. } On Caveat.
George Adam Zerby.

George Adam Zerby not appearing & Benjamin not being able to prove, that he had served him with Notice, this case is postponed till the first Monday in February next, of which Benjamin is to give George Adam thirty days notice.

George McCullough & John Eckman appearing before the Board, were reheard on a claim laid in by McCullough 11th April, 1786, and thereupon see no cause to alter the former Determination.

John Charles
v. } On Caveat.
Daniel St Clair.

John Charles appearing but not being able to prove that he had served St Clair or any person who might claim under him with notice & now representing that said St Clair sold his right to one Wise and he to Sebastian Prong, therefore this matter is postponed till the first Monday in February next, St Clair, Wise or Prong having a Copy of this minute, given thirty Days before that time. And the Deputy Surveyor is directed to return a Draught of their claims with their interferences by that time.

Jacob Rawhawser &
Valentine Flohr
v.
Charles Albert.

This matter being postponed from the first Monday in June, 1786, to this time & left to Matthias Smyser & others to report, who declining to act, the Parties being heard, the Case is postponed till the first Monday in February next, in order that John Forsyth, John Hay and Daniel Messerly who (the two last named) are chosen by the said parties & examine the location of Albert's Warrant & report if the land surveyed on the same suits it, or if any other land vacant at the time of issuing said Warrant, would suit ——— better & also to represent any other matters tending to elucidate the Dispute.

Duncan McGeehan
v. } On Caveat.
Persifor Frazier, Esq'r.

This matter postponed till the first Monday in April at the request of parties.

George McCullough
v. } On Caveat.
Richard Cord.

The parties being heard it appeared that Cord has a Warrant dated 28th March, 1770, for 20 Acres, including the mouth of Muddy Creek in Fawn Tp., York County, and a Survey made crossing Muddy Creek. And that McCullough Claims by Warant in the year 1772, for 12 Acres at the mouth of Muddy Creek in both sides Fawn & Chanceford Townships. The Board are therefore of Opinion that Cord's Survey being made on the prior Warrant that it be bounded by the South side of the Creek in Fawn Township, And that the Tract marked No. 2 in Kerseys Draught shall be returned on McCullough's Warrant bounded on Muddy Creek in Chanceford Township, as he cannot be allowed to go over the Creek on account of Cord's prior Warrant.

John Varvell
v. } On Caveat.
Phineas Killum.

The hearing on this case having been appointed for the first Monday in April last, but the parties did not then appear & now Edward Fox, Esq'r, on the part of Killum and David Bradford, Esquire,(on the part of Varnell were heard, whereupon it appear that Killum has an Application the 5th April, 1769, No. 2849 for 300 Acres of land on the North fork of the Ten mile Creek, on the West side of Monongahela including his Improvement and also that he hath a Survey lately made thereon, which includes an Improvm't made or claimed by Varnell begun in the year 1772 & which he hath a Warrant for, dated 13th November, 1786. It is alledged on the part of Varnell, that Killum at the Court of Nisi Prius in the County of Washington, for want of Title became non suit. And also that the land mentioned in the Application is not the same Surveyed & now claimed by Killum, the Board therefore Order that Varnell have his Patent at the sitting of the Board on the first Monday in March next, unless Killum at that time shall shew sufficient cause to the contrary.

John Kennedy
v. } On Caveat.
Benjamin Wright.

Benjamin Wright appeared but finding no returns either of his or Kennedy's Survey, this matter is postponed till the first Mon-

day in May next in Order that the Deputy Surveyor may return their Draughts and denoting how they interfere if they do interfere.

Mr. Edmund Milne appearing & laying the Draughts and report of George Woods, Esquire, pursuant to the Order of the 27th January, 1—— last before this Board, whereby it appears that 251 Acres therein marked is the place of Ward's Warrant which includes Hay's Improvement, which Improvement being deemed sufficient agreeable to endorsement on Elliot's Survey by James Tilghman late Secretary Land Office, that the said 251 Acres Tract shall be returned for Mr. Milne.

Thomas Biggart same case as William Lucky. Ordered the same as in August, 1786, mutatis mutandi. David Bradford, Esquire & General Nevill know Crawford's Hand Writing.

[See the above supplied by a minute entered on the Sixth Page after this Page.]

George Rimmel
v. } On Caveat.
Jacob Zin

The parties agree before the Board as follows, Viz: Zin agrees to pay Rimmel — 14 for a piece of about 3 Acres of land, in dispute within Rimmel's Survey & which has Zin's Improvements on it, and the Surveyor is directed to regulate their Surveys accordingly & Zin is to pay Ream the Deputy Surveyor his Fees, the said Rimmel having paid all the other Surveying fees. And the Receiver General is directed to allow Rimmel the money he paid on his new Warrant on the settlement of his Account.

Peter Miller, Attorney for George Fry, who purchased the right of Thomas Jordan (to a tract of land in Buffaloe Township) who entered a Caveat against Michael Troy having advertized for two months past the said Troy or any others claiming the said tract to make their Objections to the said Fry's obtaining a Patent for the said Tract, but said Troy nor any other now appearing, the said Fry is allowed a Patent & the Caveat is dismissed.

John Pawling
v. } On Caveat.
William Allison

Col'o John Allison appearing for William Allison & Doctor Johnston for Pawling were heard. And it appears that William Allison hath an Application in the name of Robert Allison & Survey made thereon, joining the land Pawling claims under one Josh'a Harris who claimed by virtue of two Warrants one to himself and the other to Thomas Davis on which last mentioned a Survey had been made about the year 1751, but no return being made & the field Notes burnt in Col'o Armstrongs' House a Survey or re-

survey was made on Davis's Warrant & a Survey of 31 Acres on Harris's Warrant by James Cuningham Assistant to said Armstrong & at the same time he made a Survey for William Allison of 56 Acres on his Application. It is the Opinion of the Board that the said Surveys from what now appears are properly made & shall be returned accordingly if Doctor Johnston or Pawling shall not make it appear by the first monday in April next that the original Survey made on Davis's Warrant did include either the whole or part of the land Surveyed on Allison's Application which the Doctor says he expects can be done.

John Redick
v. } On Caveat.
Elisha Teeters

This case is postponed till the first monday in March next in order that Teeters may produce further Testimony.

Ridgly & Goodwin
v. } On Caveat.
Alexander Robinson

This matter is postponed till the first monday in May next, at the request of Colonel Edward Cook, he having attended several days, but Mr. Finley who was to appear for Robinson could not have leisure to attend for a hearing.

Isaac Meason, Esquire, appeared before the Board & stated that a Tract of 388 Acres of land on a branch of Jacobs Creek in Westmoreland Co'y, had been Surveyed on Robert Worthington, Junior's Application No. 2981 & which now is the property of his Heirs for whom he said Meason is Executor. That on a Trial in Ejectment, wherein the Lessee of Joseph & Elizabeth Worthington was Plaintiff & Ralph Cherry Defendant a Verdict was found for the Plaintiff as to 150 Acres including 35 given by a former Jury & Judgment entered in the Court of Common Pleas for the County aforesaid. That notwithstanding which the said Cherry by imposing on the Dep'y Surveyor got the said 150 Acres of land included in a Survey for him & hath since obtained a Patent for the same, And the said Mr. Meason having requested a Patent might be granted to him or the Heirs of the said Worthington to include the said parcel of land adjudged them as aforesaid. But the Board willing to give Cherry an Opportunity of being heard postpone the further consideration of this case to the first monday in June next. And Mr. Cherry is to have a Copy of this minute given him at least thirty Days before that time.

James Seward
v. } On Caveat.
Dr. James Craik

Seward being in Town and going away without a hearing the Board examined the Testimony & right of Doctor Craik and there-

upon orders the Caveat to be dismissed & Patent to issue to said Craik on payment of the Purchase money & fees of Office.

George Thompson, Esquire stating to the Board that a Caveat entered by Jacob Witsell against granting a Patent for a Tract of land at Bradock's Fields to him said George, was so entred by mistake instead of Robert Thompson with whom Weitsel had a Dispute but is now settled. And upon inspecting the Caveat of Weitsel & the Application of George Thompson it appears to be the Case, therefore the said George Thompson is allowed his Patent.

William Baggs applied for a Patent for 333 Acres in Huntington Township, Westmoreland County, Surveyed on two Warrants to John Beard & John Perry dated respectively 3d & 26th May, 1773. 170 Acres of which Survey appears by a Note of the Deputy Surveyor to lie within a Survey made for Alexander Ross. But as by a Deposition of William Howey now produced this land was improved & settled before the making the said Ross's Survey. The said Baggs is allowed a Patent.

Jacob Morgan
v. } On Caveat.
David Jenkins

On hearing the parties it appears that Jenkins claims the piece of about 89 Acres Surveyed by Mr. Lightfoot on Warrant to William Jenkins dated 9th January, 1773, which is not located at that place, And that Morgan has a Warrant a few days late describing the Ground, And as Jenkins has another survey made on the same Warrant. It is the Opinion of the Board that Morgan's Warr't shall be Surveyed & returned at the place where Jenkins's 89 Acres Survey was made.

Henry Drinker applied for an Order to Resurvey two Tracts of land Situate on the waters of Shavers Creek, late in the County of Cumberland since Bedford now in Huntingdon County Surveyed on Applications No. 172 & 173 in the names of Thomas Smith & Thomas Jones respectively & returned into the Surveyor General's Office & which he alledges interferes with the other land held & Surveyed by prior rights. The Board therefore order the said lands to be Resurveyed by the proper Deputy Surveyor so as to exclude any interferences with prior rights.

John Harper applying for a Patent for 490 Acres in Air Township, Bedford County, Surveyed P. Warrant to him dated
& producing a Certificate that the said Survey includes part of the Scrub ridge that will be of no service to any other, & that there is no other rights which will interfere with it, he is allowed a Patent.

Andrew Young
v.
Patrick McSherry

On caveat against granting a Patent to McSherry on an Order of the Board of October last.

Young claiming under a Warrant to Lawrence Shiney dated 17th June, 1763, for 100 Acres joining Daniel Slagle & others in Mount Pleasant Township, York County. It is the Opinion of the Board before the determination of this case that the Deputy Surveyor make a plot of the land called for by the said Warrant of Shiney joining Daniel Slagle and Note on said Plot if it will interfere with McSherry's Survey or include any of his Improvements or the Improvements of any other which return is to be made to this Board the first monday in February next.

David Bradford, Esquire, laid before the Board a Patent obtained from the Land Office of Virginia since the ratification of the Compact between this State & that of Virginia which Patent was founded on rights & Surveys made previous to the like nature. Patents might issue from the Land Office of this State, on the Appliers producing an authenticated Copy of the Survey from the Virginia Land Office, without applying to the Deputy Surveyors of this State. The Board however willing and desirous of facilitating this business & complying with the Terms of the Compact, yet are still of Opinion that the most proper mode is that of applying to the Deputy Surveyors of Pennsylvania & in the manner pointed out by former Resolves of this Board.

General St. Clair and William Turnbull appearing and being heard, it does not appear that St. Clair's claim interferes with Turnbull's Survey made on Warrant to Amberson, the one being in the old & the other in the new Purchase, he therefore withdrew his Caveat & Turnbull is allowed a Patent.

Alexander McCleary applied for a Patent for 70 Acres in Earl Township, Lancaster County, part of a Tract Surveyed on Warr't dated 16th February, 1737, to George Sander and producing a Deed from William McCleary to said Alexander reciting that George Sander by Deed dated 17th March, 1742, sold to Ulrich Soleberger, Who by Deed dated 3d January, 1752, Conveyed to William Moor, Who by Deed dated 1st May, 1760, Conveyed to John Douglas, Who Conveyed to said William McCleary And the said Alexander representing that he hath not the said recited Deeds to produce, because the said William McCleary hath them in his possession, as he holds part of the said original Tract. And further that William McCleary it is probable produced the title Deeds on his obtaining his Patent. The Board therefore under the circumstances allows said Alex'r a Patent for said 70 Acres.

Thomas Biggart appeared before the Board & produced a Survey of Acres of land made by Nevill & Ritchie on a Certificate of the Commissioners for adjusting claims to unpatented lands, the original of which was also laid before the Board & it being represented that though the said Certificate was duly entered with William Crawford the Surveyor within the time limited by the Laws of Virginia, yet it was not to be found among the Entries by the neglect or omission of the said Surveyor. And General Nevill as well as David Bradford, Esquire, declaring that they were well acquainted with the Hand Writing of said Crawford and that they verily believe that the Certificate of its being entered with the Surveyor in due time was so signed by him. And that they believe a very few instances of the like kind will happen. The Board permit the Survey to be accepted, & Order that the Surveyor General file the said original Certificate in his Office.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's Office 7th January, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Honorable Frederick Watts, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

The Board took into consideration the report of Joseph Wallis pursuant to the Order of the Board of the first monday in May last in the case of Dehaas & Whitmore against Grant & a letter from James Hendricks and are of opinion that Scotts Application under which Grant claims was located opposite the first Survey of the Officers & therefore cannot effect the survey of Dehaas and Whitmor & thereupon Orders their Surveys to be established.

Mr. Holmes applying for Patents on Surveys made on Preemption Warrants to Fowler, Wells and Bonine and making it appear that the Purchase money had been paid previous to the issuing said Warrants to the State of Virginia. Allowed Patents to issue upon payment of the Office fees.

Samuel Graham
v. } On Caveat.
John McClelland

On hearing the parties it appears that John McClellan is vested with the right to the land in question under an Application in the name of Anthony James Morris. That McClellan had verbally agreed to sell the said land to Graham for 60 & received about 20 in part thereof but neglected to pay the remainder &

now McClellan refuses to Convey. It is therefore the Opinion of the Board that Graham's Caveat be dismissed.

George Thompson, Esquire, laid before the Board a Letter from David Duncan to the Surveyor General representing that he was possessed of a right to a Tract of land by virtue of an Appl'n in the name of Thomas Christie some part whereof is in & some part out of the Proprietaries Manor near Pittsburgh, And that the Deputy Surveyor declined executing the same & therefore prayed an Order might be made for the doing thereof. It is therefore the Opinion of this Board that the Surveyor General direct the Deputy Surveyor to make & Return the Survey agreeable to the words of the Application & note on the Draught what part lies within & what without the manor, and also to certify whether the part out of the manor interferes with the rights or claims of any other Persons.

Francis Wilson
v.
Ann Powell &
Hugh McDonald
} On Caveats.

On hearing Francis Wilson & George Thompson, Esquire, in behalf of Powell & McDonald, it appears that Wilson hath a Warrant for 400 Acres dated 18th May, 1785, incl'g an improvement, on which he hath had only 236 Acres surveyed, That McDonald hath a Warrant dated 6th November, 1784, for 150 Acres & a Survey thereon of 193 Acres. And that Powell has a Survey of 440 Acres made by Benjamin Lodge said to be by virtue of a Virginia entry which does not appear in the list of Entries and it is also suggested that she hath a Warrant from the Pennsylvania Land Office, but is not now to be found. Therefore it is the Opinion of this Board that the Dep'y Surveyor, John Moore, Esquire, with two reputable persons to be chosen by the parties (if they will choose) otherwise any two that the Surveyors shall think fit shall go to the land & make their Surveys in the most convenient manner for the Parties, allowing Powell no more than 400 Acres & McDonald 150 Acres & the remainder to Wilson's And that the return thereof be made to this Board by the first monday in April next in order that Patents may be granted if Powell makes appear any Office right for the same.

Benjamin Burd
v.
George Dansdale
} On Caveat.

Benjamin Burd not appearing but sending an excuse, Dansdale was heard, & it appears that he hath a Warrant & Survey therefore the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed, unless Burd shews cause to the contrary by the first monday in March next. A copy of this minute to be given Burd thirty days before that time.

Ulrich Seiberling
v.
Christopher & Jacob Seiberling } On Caveat.

Ulrich claiming under an Application in the name of Paul Balliot dated 10th June, 1766, which appears by the location not to be for the land in question & that Jacob & Christopher have a Warrant dated in the year 1774 & a survey made thereon, therefore the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

The Board at the instance of Thomas Shields resumed the consideration of the case between him & John McKee postponed from the first monday in June last to August in Order that McKee might produce a receipt from General Thompson which not being produced at that time nor at any time since the Caveat is dismissed & Mr. Shields allowed a Patent.

Peter Weddle
v.
Andrew Robinson }

This matter postponed till the first monday in April next, that the parties may try to settle the Dispute.

John Miller
v.
Lewis Pearse }

The parties after being heard agreed that 150 Acres shall be taken off from Pearse's Survey agreeable to the representation of the lines on Pearse's Draught marked with red ink & if any vacancy is found to be out of the Survey that the same be added in equal portions to their respective Surveys.

Michael Messemer & Conrad Rety
v.
George Dreisbach } On Caveat.

Michael Messemer appearing but not being able to prove that he had served Dreisbach with notice a State of the case made by George Palmer was read setting forth "That Messemer & Rety "had a Warrant dated 27th January, 1786 for 200 Acres in Penn "Tp., Northampton County, on which he said Palmer had made a "survey, That soon after Dreisbach informed him he had pur-"chased the right of an Application No. 3056 of Alexander Colly. "That on Palmer convincing him that a Survey had been returned "for Mr. Ohl on that Applicat'n in the name of Peter Martz No. "3554 & desired it might be returned on that alledging it had "been Surveyed by one of James Scull's Deputies." The Board

directs the Survey to be returned on Messemer & Rety's Warrant unless Dreisbach shews cause to the contrary by the first monday in March next. Messemer to give Dreisbach a Copy of this minute thirty Days before that time.

Matthew Miller
v.
Samuel Williamson

This case being postponed from the first monday in November to this day, said Miller & John Williamson for Samuel appeared & agreed to leave this dispute to Sam'l Postlewaith, John Caruthers, John Walker, Jonathan Hoge & Ephraim Blaine if to be had or if not to another in his place to be chose by Miller who are desired to hear & settle this dispute & report to this Board which report is to be made by the first monday in April next.

At a special meeting the 25th January, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r.
The Honorable Frederick Watts, Esq'r.

The Surveyor General
The Receiver General
The Secretary
of the Land Office.

The Copy of proceedings at a Court of Nisi prius at Northunberland the 12th November last, in a case between Thomas Grant & Richard Robinson being laid before the Board which being read & considered Robinson is allowed a Patent.

John Shee applying for a Patent for Acres Surveyed on a Warrant dated 1775, which Warrant is not now to be found, but is entered in the Books of the Land Office & on a Certificate of the Honorable John Canon, Esquire, that there is no claim by any other Person, the said Shee is allowed a Patent.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's Office 4th February, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Honorable Evan Evans, Esq'r.

The Surveyor General
The Receiver General
The Secretary
of the Land Office.

Andrew Young
v.
Patrick McSherry

Postponed to the 20th day of March next in Order that the Deputy Surveyor may make a return of the land in dispute, pursuant to the Order of the Board of the first monday in January last.

Adam Miller
v.
Detrick Hartman
On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Miller had a Survey of 127 Acres made on Application No. 2195 well located on the place, by one of James Scull's Deputies, which survey was not returned but hath lately been Resurveyed by George Palmer & returned agreeable to the former lines. And that Hartman hath a late Warrant & Survey. Therefore the Board are of the Opinion that at the time of issuing Hartman's Warrant the same land was appropriated by Miller's Application & Survey & order a Patent to him and said Miller accordingly.

John Machan
v.
Alex'r Machan

On hearing the Parties it appears that both claim under an Improvement made by John Machon & William Machon al's Freeman, who are equally entitled as Improvers & have both Warr'ts. It is therefore the Opinion of the Board that James Harris the Deputy Surveyor, with the Assistance of William Brown, Esq'r & James Huston make two Surveys of the land & return the same on the Warrants of the Parties so as to accommodate both Parties in the most convenient manner & return the same to this Board by the first monday in May next.

John Hopes
v.
John Hannum
On Caveat.

It appearing that the land in dispute is about 8 Acres Surveyed on a Warrant to said Hannum dated 1786, and was included in a Survey formerly made on a Warrant to Joseph Hunt but was afterwards, by another Survey left out by one Hoffman a former Owner who sold to Hopes & who obtained a Patent excluding the said 8 Acres, therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that the same was vacant at the time of granting Hannum's Warrant and Order the Caveat to be dismissed & a Patent to issue to Mr. Hannum.

Benjamin Zerby
v.
George Adam Zerby

Continued from the first monday in January last.

The parties appearing & being heard it appears that Benjamin claims under an Order in the year 1754 & Survey to John Zerby thereon of 658 Acres And that George A. Zerby has Conveyances from John Zerby for land which is supposed to be for part of the said large Survey & also hath a late Warrant & Patent, The parties agree that the Deputy Surveyor Thomas Clark together with Michael Furrer and Michael Bretz shall go to the place & hear the Parties and examine their Surveys & finally settle the dispute if they can & if not to report to this Board by the first monday in March next.

Josiah Kerr
v.
the Heirs of Jona'n Johnston } On Caveat.

On hearing the Parties it is the Opinion of the Board & Order, that the 108 Acres marked on Johnston's Draught as disputed by Kerr shall be returned for Kerr on his Warrant of 10th April, 1786, & Johnston's Survey regulated accordingly.

John Nicholson, Esq'r
v.
George Eby

Appearing & laying before the Board a Draught of the land in dispute by Mr. Henderson which examined it is the Opinion of the Board that the Deputy Surv. return on Cunningham's & Nicholson's Warrants as they are now represented by a dotted line with the alterations following, to wit: Beginning at the Pine near the Creek & to run so as to strike the middle of the Creek, by a Straight in the nighest place & thence up the middle of the Creek on the several courses till it strikes the No. 56 degrees & an half E. 134 perches line That the remainder on the opposite side be returned for Eby on his & Christian Eby's Warrants.

Robert Young
v.
Henry Sheaffer

Sheaffer appearing but not being able to prove, notice having been served on Young. Ordered that Young's Caveat be dismissed, unless he shew cause to the Contrary the first monday in May next, he having a copy of this minute given him at least thirty days before that time.

Mr. Samuel Potts stated to the Board that he & Thomas Rutter had purchased the right of a Warrant to Rachel Jones, dated in the year 1770, which being to include an Improvement made many years before the Intrest was by the Terms of the Warrant to commence at the time of Improvement, but it since appearing that the said land & Improvement were included in an old Patent to Wil-

liam Branson, the said Potts & Rutter by order of the Surveyor General had a Survey made on a piece of vacant land having no Improvement thereon, but as their has been a considerable sum of money paid more than the land would come to by paying Intrest from the date of Warrant, they are willing to let it remain which the Board thinking reasonable allow them a Patent on paying the Office fees.

Mr. Potts also stated that he had a Survey of 172 Acres made on a Warrant to William Branson dated November, 1736, which was for 1500 Acres, on which Warrant said Branson had Patented about 1100 Acres. And as Mr. Potts is willing to pay 15..10 ℔ cent Intrest from six months after date of Warrant, the Board allows him a Patent inasmuch as it is to the advantage of the State so to do.

Robert Brotherton
v. } On Caveat.
James Blaine

On hearing Mr. William Brown who appeared for Brotherton & examining the Surveys and Orders of the Parties, It appears that Blaine has the first Application & was intended for a part of the land on which Brotherton about that time had made a small Improvement, but in order to accommodate Brotherton he had his Survey of 177 As. made so as to leave 141 Acres for Brotherton, a Survey whereof is returned. The Board are of Opinion that the Surveys of the Parties shall be established.

Samuel Richards
&
William Hays Ass'ee
of John Patton

Appearing before the Board stated their claims as follows, Viz't: Richards hath a Survey of 117 Acres made the 21st day of October, 1766, on a Warrant dated 16th August, 1766, granted to John Reed in right of Francis Smith's original Purchase, And Hays claims by a Warrant dated 9th August, 1765, to John Patton, the location of which so far as may effect the land in dispute is 500 Acres on Allegheny Creek adjoining lands of Conrad Bidler, Richard Lewis, Sebastian Murray, William Boon, land late of Geo'e Boon, land late of Griffith Owen, land Surveyed to Jacob Koch, land Surveyed to Valentine Hone, Christian Geiger & other lands, including said Creek & a rockey mount called mount Lebanon, Richards insists that at the time of issuing the Warr't the quantity might have been had agreeable to its location without injuring his Survey. It is therefore the opinion of the Board that Henry Vanderslice Surveyor & Richard Lewis (who is chosen by Richards) & some other reputable person to be chosen by Hays shall go to the place &

examine the location of the Warrant & report to the Board by the first monday in April next, whether or not the land in dispute is included in the Location & whether the said quantity might not at granting the Warrant been had better suiting the Location than by extending to the said land claimed by Richards.

William Brown, Esquire, producing a Copy of a Record of Court, in a Cause tried between John Montgomery, Esquire, & John Perkins, said Brown's Tenant, whereby it appears, a Verdict was found for the Defendant said Perkins, therefore Mr. Brown is allowed a Patent.

Michael Bright }
v. }

The Honourable William Maclay, Esp'r, appeared & informed the Board, that he had duly cited Michael Bright to attend the first monday in December last and that at the time he gave the Notice, Bright declared that he would not attend, but that he had no Objection to the other party obtaining a Patent, which appears probable as he did not attend at the time appointed, therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

Christopher Ellick applying for a Patent in right of Gerhart Jessin his title being examined, it was approved & a Patent allowed.

John Low applying for a Patent for a Tract said to be Surveyed on a Warrant to Wm. Ermill dated 14th Septem'r, 1745, but which cannot be found nor any Entry in the Surveyor General's Office nor Secretary's Office, but it appears that the money was paid at that time into the Receiver General's Office, as usual at that time, for Warrants of that Quantity, therefore Order'd that a Warrant issue for the acceptance of the Survey & Patent on payment of arrears of Purchase money & Intrest.

On the Application of William Brown, Esquire, for Patents for Tracts of land near lands disputed by him and others against Robert Galbreath, but it appearing that the lands Surveyed on Warrants to do not interfere with the lands disputed by Galbreath, he is allowed Patents for them.

William Brown, Esquire, applying for a Patent for a Tract of land Surveyed on Warrant to John Cullins, the said Brown produced a Plott of 15 Surveys made between that & Paul Zantzinger's whereby it appears that the said Survey of Cullens cannot be intended to be effected by a Caveat entered by Stacey Potts (who had only 8 Warrants) against said Brown & others therefore the Board allows a Patent to issue to the Assignee of Cullens.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber 3d March, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Honorable Samuel Edie, Esq'r.
The Officers of the Land Office.

John Williams
v.
John McDonell Ass'ee
of Andrew Roan
} On Caveat.

Williams not appearing the Board proceeded to hear McDonell, and examining their App'ns find that Roan's is the earliest, therefore order the Caveat to be dismissed.

James Leeper
v.
John Caldwell
} On Caveat.

The parties agreed before the Board as follows, Viz't: That the Survey of each party are to stand as they are made, And Caldwell to pay Leeper for 10 Acres of land, the same as Mr. Peden has paid him on an Average.

William Davidson
v.
John Stokely
} On Caveat.

The parties being heard chose James Hamon & Henry Swindler who are together with the Dep'y Surveyor to go to the land & make the Surveys of Davidson & Stokely in such a manner as to leave Stokely as much towards filling his Warrant by extending Davidson's Survey towards Thomas Stokely's and Hamon's Surveys will admit of, of which the said Gentlemen are desired to report to this Board by the first monday in September next, to which time this Caveat is continued.

Benjamin Burd
v.
George Dansdale
}

This matter being postponed from the first monday in January last to this day in order that Burd might shew cause why Patent should not issue to Dansdale & they appears & being heard, it is the Opinion of the Board that Burd hath not shewn sufficient cause & therefore Order Patent to Dansdale.

Solomon White
v.
Will'm Nesbit & Others
} On Caveat.

Solomon White nor Sam'l Gettis who is supposed is somehow interested being duly served with notice & not appearing Nesbit was heard exparte, on which it appears he claims the land under a

Warrant & Survey to James Barton wherefore the Caveat is dismissed so far as relates to him said Nesbit.

Lecher or Treisbach
v.
Rety & Messimer

This case being postponed from the first monday in January last to this day it is agreed by the parties & the Deputy Surveyor is directed to return for Lecher on Peter March Application all the Tract formerly Surveyed except 100 Acres lying at the end most distant from Lecher's other land which is to be returned for Rety & Messimer on their Warrant of 1786, together with as much vacancy as will fill his Warrant if to be found.

Thomas Hays
v. On Caveat.
John Steel

John Steel not appearing tho' duly served, Hays was heard exparte, And it appears that Col'o John Armstrong in or about the Year 1762 Surveyed the land in dispute for Hays prior to any right of Mr. Steel & thereupon Hays built a House & made some other Improvements with intention to live there with his Family but was prevented at that time from further prosecuting the Improvement by the Indian War, That in the year 1766 he entered an Application and had 170½ Acres Surveyed & Returned thereon and hath since got into possession & hath built a Grist Mill & made very valuable Improvements. And that Mr. Steel obtained a Warrant in the year 1763 which does not seem clearly to locate the place; On the whole the Board are of Opinion & Order a Patent to Hays or his Assignee John Graham.

Andrew Maise
v. On Caveat.
Benjamin Chew, Esq'r

Andrew Maise having notifyed Mr. Chew to appear this day and said Maise neither appearing nor sending any excuse Mr. Chew produced a Patent dated 31st July, 1776, for 290 Acres called "Adriana" Surveyed on Warrant to said Chew & Alex'r Wilcox, Esquire, dated 5th October, 1774, which it is supposed is the Tract against which Maise entered the Caveat. Whereupon the Caveat is directed to be dismissed but Mr. Chew desires that if Maise shall by any means obtain a Survey running into the Survey of his Tract, he may not have a Patent till Mr. Chew is heard.

Samuel Wallis
v. On Caveat.
Samuel Hunter

Mr. Wallis appearing & the papers of Mr. Hunter being produced

& examined it appears that Hunter had a Warrant for only 150 Acres in the year 1762 & a Survey of 239 Acres or thereabouts made thereon. That Samuel Wallis claims under an Application of Benjamin Trapnall which seems pretty well located on part of the said Survey And Mr. Hunter hath taken a Warrant in the year 1786 for part of his first Survey & hath a Survey returned thereon. And as it appears that Mr. Wallis hath kept up a constant claim to part of Hunter's Survey, The Board are of opinion that the lower part of the Survey of Hunter be cut off by a straight line from the Chestnut Oak corner to the Creek & returned for Wallis on Trapnal's Application & Hunter's Survey regulated accordingly.

Thomas Kennedy
v. } On Caveat.
John Whiting

Mr. Kennedy representing to the Board that the dispute being referr'd to Arbitrators & settled he is allowed to withdraw his Caveat.

Ephraim Blain, Esq'r
v.
William Lockry, John & Wm. Proctor, William Todd & Geo'e Henry } On Caveat.

Mr. Alexander Blaine appearing for Ephraim Blaine and William Findley, Esquire, for the other Parties were heard. It is the Opinion of the Board, that the Deputy Surveyor make a Plott of the several Surveys of Lockry, Proctors, Todd & Henry and lay down or denote thereon by dotted lines where the Application of Blaine which is for 300 Acres on the road leading to Fort Pitt & joining William Proctor's Improvement up on the South and running down the opposite said Proctor's will lay and return the same to this Board by the first monday in September next, & also noting on the said Plott where the Improvement of the parties, if comprehended within the location of Blaine are Situate.

Henry Foree
v.
Henry Miller & Peter Baker } On Caveat.

Miller and Baker making it appear, that the dispute between them & the said Henry is settled, the Caveats are dismissed.

James Potter & Jonathan Dickinson Sergeant, Esq'rs
v.
Joseph J. Wallis, Major L. Kern, Sam'l Wallis & Co. } On Caveat.

Jonathan D. Sergeant & Samuel Wallis, Esquires, appearing were heard, And it appears that Potter & Sergeant claim under Warrants

dated 1st July, 1784, (No. 1) to James Bayard, Andrew Hoge, Senior and Junior, John Rhea & Edward Ryan, the leading Warrant located on the West side of the Allegheny Mountain in a Valley where the waters of Loyalsock and others waters running Easterly rise to include a Spring with a White Oak tree marked 3. And also under other Warrants of the same date to James Hunter, Samuel Hillegas, Matthew Clarkson, John Jennings & John & James Bayard, the leading location whereof is on the West side of the Allegheny mountain in a Valley where the heads of Loyalsock & Lycoming rise to include a Spring. And also under 11 other Warrants of the date aforesaid to William Hutchinson & Others the leading location whereof is in a Valley on the West side of the Allegheny Mountain, where the waters of Loyalsock & Lycoming rise & to include a place where some logs are laid as a foundation for a Cabin, but as no Surveys are returned on those Warrants the Board cannot discover whether the Surveys of Potter & others will interfere with the Surveys of Wallis & therefore postpone this dispute to the first monday in May next, in Order that the Deputy Surveyor of the District together with a Surveyor to be appointed by General Potter shall go to the Ground located by the said Warrants of Bayard & Others and make the Surveys in the presence of the original locator if he can be had & the said Surveyors are to mark on the Draught returned whether the Surveys will interfere with any of Wallis's Surveys & which of them, And are also to describe on the Plott to be returned, where the Allegheny Mountain & other descriptions referred to in the same Warrants are, And it will be expected, that at the taking of the Depositions of any Witness the other party be notifyed to attend.

Robert Tate, Ass'ee of Henry Laff
v.
John Weitzel, Adm'r of Casper Weitzel
} On Caveat.

This case being postponed from the first monday in April, 1786, & referred to William Gray and William Wilson to examine the field Works of William Maclay, Esquire, & to take his Testimony. The Board proceed to examine their report & other papers produced whereby it appears that a Survey had been made on Leef's Application in the year 1771 by Mr. Maclay & prior to Weitzel's Warrant and therefore Order that the Survey be returned for Leef agreeable to the lines run by Mr. Maclay & the remainder returned on Weitzel's Warrant if any remains out of Leef's Survey.

William Todd, Esq'r
v.
Arthur St Clair, Esq'r
} On Caveat.

On hearing William Findley, Esquire, on the part of Todd and

the said Gen'l St. Clair, It appears that Todd claims by a Commissioners Sale of the right of Simon Eaker to an Application entered 3rd April, 1769, No. 60 for 300 Acres joining the Chestnut ridge & the Shelving rocks or Pine or Spruce Rocks on the South West side of Loyalhanna. And that General St Clair hath had the land Surveyed by virtue of an Application including a Settlement made by virtue of a Permit from a Commanding Officer and also of one other Application in the name of John Grant No. 2969. And it is the Opinion of the Board that the land located in Eaker's Appl'n is comprehended within that part of St Clair's Survey held under his Application which includes the Settlement & which was by the Terms of opening the Office to have a preference and that though Eaker's Application is prior to Grant's yet it cannot affect that part of the Survey not being located on that ground, And therefore dismiss Todd's Caveat & allow Gen'l St Clair a Patent.

Killum
v.
Varvell

In this case on the first monday in December last a Patent was Ordered to Varvell unless cause shewn to the contrary this day, And Killum not appearing the said Judgment is to stand.

[See a rehearing of the above Case the first monday in Aug'st next.]

William Wilson
v. On Caveat.
Samuel Wallis

Mr. Wallis appearing but not being able to prove the service of Notice this matter is postponed to the first monday in May next Wilson having a Copy of this minute given him at least thirty days before that time.

Robert Robb
v. On Caveat.
Samuel Wallis

Robb not appearing though duly notifyed his Caveat is dismissed.

John McDowell, Esquire, laid in a State of a case of Vincent Colvin setting forth that the said Colvin had two Surveys made on Virginia Certificates one of 493 Acres & the other of 283 Acres And that the said Calvin had an Application No. 3764 for 300 Acres of land included within the said surveys. And desired that 300 Acres might be returned on his said Application he paying 5 Sterling ℔ Cent. & Intrest, which the Board judging right, Ordered accordingly that 300 Acres be returned on the said Application & the remainder on the Virginia Certificates aforesaid.

Thomas Grant applying for a leave to withdraw a Caveat entered by his Father Alexander Grant against Cornelius Coxe and

producing a Deed from the Commissioners to him of Coxes' right sold for Taxes he is allowed a Patent.

At a special meeting at the Council Chamber the 16th day of March, 1788.

Present

His Excellency Benjamin Franklin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Samuel Edie, Esq'r.
The Officers of the Land Office.

The Title Deeds of William McIntire & Alexander Scott being produced & though they appear to be deficient in some respects, yet on account of the length of uninterrupted possession they are allowed Patents.

At a special meeting at the Council Chamber the 20th March, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Samuel Edie, Esq'r.
The Officers of the Land Office.

Thomas Shields
v.
George Glentworth & Tench Francis, Esq'r, in Trust for the Heirs or legal representatives of Arent Sonman, deceased } On Caveat.

Miers Fisher, Esquire, on behalf of Mr. Shields, Timothy Matlack, Esquire, on behalf of Doctor Glentworth & Tench Francis attending were heard, on which it appears that Mr. Shields claims a Lot of about 66 feet on the North side of Market Street & on the West side of Tenth Street which he purchased of Charles Hurst who claimed in right of the Purchase of said Sonman's and the said Matlack insisted that as Shields claimed under the Daughters of Sonman's who he alledges died before any Law of the late Province passed for the distribution of Intestates, said Shields or any claiming under the Daughters of said Sonman could have no legal right. On the other hand Mr. Fisher insisted that such Law did really exist at the time of his said Sonman's death which is said to be about the 14th August, 1683. And although both the Gentlemen read parts of many ancient Records,

Books, Laws & Votes of the Assembly and ably advocated the causes of the parties respectively yet the Board are of Opinion that the case is not so clear as to induce a determination on either side more especially as the Warrant for laying out the said Purchase & Lots appurtenant thereto is directed by the Honorable Supreme Executive Council with the advice of two of the Judges of the Supreme Court and Attorney General to be issued to the said Glentworth & Francis in Trust for the Heirs and legal Representatives of said Arent Sonmans, whereby Mr. Shields or any other making him or themselves to be the legal representatives are not excluded, but as it has been suggested that it would be more agreeable if another Trustee was appointed & Doctor Glentworth & Mr. Francis being consulted and having no objection, the Board nominate Abram Shoemaker & direct that on the return of the lot of 132 by 306 feet on Market & Tenth Streets, the Patent shall issue to the said Glentworth, Francis & Shoemaker, in trust for the Heirs of Arent Sonmans, or those legally claiming under them; And Mr. Shields having no claim to any other part of the Purchase and Lots than the 66 feet lot aforesaid. The Caveat is dismissed.

At a meeting at the Council Chamber the 28th March, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Samuel Edie, Esq'r.
The Officers of the Land Office.

James Buchanan applying for a Patent on Warrant to his Father Richard, he is allowed one.

And John Boyd applying for a Patent for a Tract of land in right of Alexander against which a certain James Knor had entered a Caveat many years agoe & it being declared by him & several of his Neighbours that they never knew such a Man as James Knor the Caveat is dismissed & he is allowed a Patent.

At a special meeting at the Council Chamber 29th March, 1788.

Present as above.

James Kinkead
v. } On Caveat.
John Stone

There being no Draughts returned of the land in dispute, this matter is postponed to the first monday in September next in

Order that the Deputy Surveyor may return a Plott of the land claimed by both Parties and how they interfere.

Benjamin Wright
v.
John Kennedy

This case is postponed to the first monday in September next in Order that the parties may try to settle this dispute which the Board recommends them to do.

The memorial of the reverend Doctor William Smith praying a Warrant for Resurveying divers Tracts of land in the County of Bedford, the title of one moiety of which is vested in him in right of William Peters & the other as Attorney of Abel James who claimed under Daniel Clark being read & considered Ordered that such Wt. issue.

John White having entred a Caveat against Joseph Wharry the Board examined the Survey returned on the Order of the Board on a hearing of the parties the day of last & find the same Survey is agreeable to the Order therefore dismiss the Caveat.

Peter Harholt
v. On Caveat.
William Perry

William Perry having sent down his Papers the first monday in December last the time appointed for a hearing on this Caveat & not being able to prove the service of Notice the matter was postponed & now proof being produced that due notice was given & Harholt not having appeared, it is Ordered that his Caveat be dismissed unless he shews cause to the contrary the first Monday in September next. A Copy of this minute to be given him thirty days before that time.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 7th April, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Abraham Smith, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

The title Deeds & proof of Title of a Tract of land in Township, Northampton County, Surveyed on Warrant to John Triesbach, being produced & considered, he is allowed a Patent.

James Fulton
v. On Caveat.
James Logue

The Parties attending were heard, And it appears that James

Fulton has two Warrants, one dated 1776, for 30 Acres joining his other land and run the other dated 27th Feb'ry, 1787, on which he hath had Surveys made one of which is altogether comprehended in the Survey of Logue's land & the other includes a small piece of what Logue claims, and as Mr. Logue obtained a Warrant for 200 Acres long after Fulton's first Warrant & after Judgment of the Supreme Court, which quantity he can have and leave a sufficiency for Fulton's. It is Ordered that Fulton shall have 30 Acres & allowance returned on his Warrant joining his old Tract by a line to be run so as least to injure Logue's Improvement & cut off from Logue's Survey. And as to the dispute on the other Survey it is Ordered that the piece marked A. C. on a Draught now before the Board be put into Fulton's Survey & the piece marked D be returned for Logue.

Sebastian Truckenmiller applying for a Patent of 197 Acres of Land said to be Surveyed on one Warrant to himself dated 4th April, 1750, and another to William Deeter dated in 1739 which last mentioned Warrant cannot now be found nor any Entry in any of the Offices, however as it is supposed that there was an Improvement on the part where Deeter's Warrant was, he is allowed a Patent on paying 15..10 ℔ Cent & Intrest for one half from the 1st March, 1739, & for the other six months after the date of Truckenmiller's Warrant.

Archibald Elliot
v.
Nathaniel Houston } On Caveat.

The parties attending and being heard, It appears that this case was heard on the first mondays of June & July last and then postponed untill certain Indictments then depending in Dauphin County Court should be tried. And now the Board taking up as well the Testimony formerly as that now offered are of Opinion & Direct that the Survey of 129 Acres Surveyed & returned on Clendinens Warr't shall be confirmed to Elliott.

McCurdy
v.
Potts's Heirs

This case being pending in the Supreme Court the same is postponed.

William Miller
v.
Samuel Jefferies

On Notice to shew cause why Patent should not issue.

Mr. Miller attending & being heard it appears that Miller claims under an Improvement made in or about the year 1773, by one Morgan & Sold by him to said Miller and also that he hath a Warrant brought posterior to Jefferies's but as the Board have no

proper representation of the dispute, the Surveyor of the District is directed to return a Plott of the several claims of the Parties (denoting thereon the Improvements) on the first Monday in September next, to which time this Case is postponed.

William Nelson
v.
George McCullough } On Caveat.

Mr. McCullough attends but not being able to prove the service of notice in due time this case is postponed to the first monday in June at which time the Caveat will be dismissed unless Nelson appears & shews cause to the contrary.

William Hays
v.
Samuel Richards

A Case submitted to the Board and continued from the first monday in February last to this day. The parties again appearing and being heard, the Board are of Opinion that the land in dispute was appropriated by Patton's Warrant prior to making the Survey for Richards and therefore allowHays a Patent.

John Pawling
v.
William Allison

This case being postponed from the first monday in December last to this time in Order that Doctor Johnston who claims under Pawling might make it appear that the original Survey on Davis's Warrant comprehended some of the land Allison claims which he not being now to do the Surveys of the Parties are Ordered to stand as directed by the former minute of the Board with this difference, and that the line of Harris's 31 Acres Tract be made straight from the Hickory to the post which will take off from Allison's Survey about seven Acres and is to be added to Harris's.

Alexander Burns
v.
Hugh Sterling

Mr. Sterling appearing but Burns sending an Excuse & none of Burn's Testimony being before the Board the case is postponed to the first monday in September next at which time the Caveat will be dismissed unless Burns shews cause to the contrary thirty Days notice to be given.

John McAdoe
v.
William Jackson

This case postponed to the first monday in September next thirty days notice to be given.

William Lockry
v.
James Rutherford

Mr. Sterling appearing for Rutherford but not being able to prove the service of notice this Case is postponed to the first monday in September next, thirty days notice to be given.

Mr. William Miller producing a Conveyance from Lawrence Harrison for a Tract of land Surveyed on an Application in the name of his Son Battle who dyed under age and it appearing that the Property of the land was Lawrences & that he had only made use of his Son's name in Trust, said Miller is allowed a Patent.

The Petitions of Samuel Peden & Others Inhabitants of the County of York adjoining a Tract called "Carrolsburg" praying that a skillful "Surveyor might be appointed to assist the Dep'y "Surveyor in making the Surveys or Resurveys of their lands ad- "joining Carrol's Tract" being read and considered. Resolved that the Surveyor General shall appoint some such Person as he shall think fit to assist the said deputy in making the Surveys or Resurveys aforesaid, And he is desired to direct the said Surveyors if any part of the land of the persons aforesaid shall fall within the manor of Maske to delineate on the Draughts what part thereof is in & what without the same and also to mark on said Draught with great exactness the several lines of such part of Carrolsburg Tract aforesaid as shall fall within this State.

Hugh Glen
v.
John Craig
} On Caveat.

Glen not appearing though he had cited Craig, & Craig producing a Judgment of Court in his favor, The Caveat is Ordered to be dismissed.

Robert Rutherford applying for a Patent for 1018 Acres Surveyed on a Pre-emption Warrant from the State of Virginia, the purchase money for 1000 Acres whereof was paid to the said State of Virginia he is allowed a Patent on paying for the 18 Acres.

Henry Snively
v.
Robert Davison
} On Caveat.

The parties not appearing but sent their Papers which being read and examined it appears that Snively claims by Warrant in the name of his Father Jacob Snively for 50 Acres joining his (said Jacobs) other land and John Nigh, but as it is not clear whether the Location of the Warr't will take the place in Dispute the Deputy Surveyor Mr. Henderson with two reputable Neighbours are desired to return a Plott of the land in dispute with the Surveys adjoining and on collecting such testimony as can be

had to make report to this Board whether Snively's Warr't suits the Land in Dispute by the first monday in September next to which time this dispute is postponed.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's 30th April, 1788.

Present as next before.

Upon the Application of General St Clair for a Patent for a Tract of land Surveyed on Application of Shadrach Muchmore, Ordered that the said Survey be returned for said St Clair on the Application of William Moore No. the right to which he has purchased and which on a Trial in Ejectment in the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Westmoreland recovered against said Muchmore.

Henry Bloss allowed a Patent for 217¾ Acres on his Warr't dated 20th January, 1786, though the Warrant is only for 100 Acres as it is an improved Tract and does not appear to interfere with any others claimed.

Henry Rick applying for a Patent for a Tract of land said to be Surveyed on Warrant to John Hoffman though called Henry in the Secretarys' Office, but as the money was paid by John and as he is so named in the original Warrant in the Surveyor Generals Office, he is allowed a Patent.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 5th May, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable David Redick, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General, The Receiver General, The Secretary } of the Land Office.

Yost Crantz v. David Espy } On Caveat.

The Parties attending were heard, And it appears that Espy claims by an Application of Archibald McAlister No. 3186 in the year 1767 which he purchased of John Ormsby which was to include an Improvement of Paul Waugh, And that Crantz hath a Warr't dated 10th February, 1786, to include an Improvement which he says was made by a certain Andrew Steel in the Year

1761, The Board having no representation of the lands in dispute postpone this case to the first monday in May next, again which time the Deputy Surveyor in the presence of and with the Assistance of two reputable Neighbours to be chosen by the Parties indifferently, shall go to the land and make a Survey on Espy's location and the land left out for Crantz and to denote on a Plott to be returned the Survey as made by Richard Tea and where the Improvements of Steel & Waugh are and to take testimony as to the place and nature of the Improvement and transmit the same with the Plott.

Eleanor Mills
v.
John Mackey or Rob't Alexander his Assignee

This Case being connected with one between John Mackey & the said Eleanor on which an order was made 7th February, 1785, It is postponed to the first monday in August next against which the Deputy Surveyor is to execute that Order.

James McCormick
v.
Alexander May & others

This case postponed from the first monday in June last to this time, but as it does not appear how their Surveys interfere, therefore this case is further postponed to the first monday in November next in Order that the Surveyor may point out the interference.

Benjamin Brown
v.
George Fry
On Caveat.

Richard Gonzales, Assignee of Brown appearing, according to Citation of Fry, and Fry not attending, the said Gonzales declaring he was not prepared with his Testimony, this case is postponed to the first monday in November next, he giving Fry notice thirty days before that time.

David Flowers
v.
Robert Jones
On Caveat.

It appearing that Flowers hath no Warrant, this dispute is continued to the first monday in February next in order that he may have time to take out his Warrant, get his Survey made & if the Surveyor with two reputable Neighbours cannot make their Surveys so as to suit both Parties that they may then be further heard.

John Davis
v.
Gean Jones

This case continued to the first monday in February next.

John Servos
v. } On Caveat.
William Kerns

Kerns not appearing & Servos having no return of Survey, this case is postponed to the first monday in November next in which time Geo'e Palmer is directed to return the Survey in order that Servos may have his Patent if Kerns shews no cause to the contrary at that time, Servos is to give Kerns a Copy of this minute at least thirty days before the said first monday in October.

John Kneagy
v.
David Jenkins

This dispute continued at the request of the Parties to the first monday in July next.

Samuel Hunt
v. } On Caveat.
James Hood

This case postponed till the first monday in October next at the request of the Parties.

Weise
v. } On Caveat.
Samuel Richards

This case postponed to the first monday in August next at the request of the Parties.

Robert Young
v.
Henry Shaffer

This case being put off from the first Monday in February last to this day, in order that Young might shew cause why Patent should not issue to Shaffer, and he now being heard and the Parties papers examined. It is Ordered and the parties agree that the Surveyor together with Samuel Cunningham, Rob't Laughlin, John Reed and Benjamin Kuykendals or the Majority of them, shall make the Surveys of the Parties in the most agreeable manner & that the same be returned to this Board the first monday in October next.

Robert Peebles
v. } On Caveat.
John Beard, Esq'r

Mr. Beard having a Patent made out dated the 12th day of September last, and the said Peebles having entered a Caveat against the Execution of the same, & it being proved that Peebles was served with notice in due time & he not attending, his Caveat is dismissed, & the Patent ordered to be issued.

The Honorable David Redick, Esquire, laid before the Board

a Patent made out in the name of Edward White dated the 12th day of December last for a Tract of 378¾ Acres of Land Surveyed on a warrant dated 15th Feburary, 1785, to John Reed, Junior, whose land Mr. Redick declares it to be, and for whose Use he paid the remainder of the Purchase money & the Office's Fees thereon, and left the Patent to be issued after he left Town the last time for the said John Reed, Junior, but that to his Surprise it came in the name of Edward White, And it appears upon inspecting the Records of Patents that Edward White had a few Days before that time obtained a Patent for his land reciting a Deed from John Reed to him dated 19th July, 1787, and conveying his right to a Tract of Land on Millers run the N. W. branch of Shirtees Creek Surveyed by a Warrant of 31st March 1786 & as the said Warrant of John Reed, Junior, is dated 15th February, 1785, it appears to be a mistake arising from the Clerks having the Bill of Sale in his Hands & not adverting to the difference of date or Situation both Patents were made out in the name of Edward White. The Board therefore on inspecting the Patent & Conveyance aforesaid and considering the several circumstances of the case Orders a new Patent to issue to John Reed Junior, for the 378¾ Acres Surveyed on the said Warrant of 15th February, 1785 in Order to rectify the said Error.

The Board took into consideration the Plott of the land in dispute between Alexander Brown & Alexander Ritchie as made by Mr. Forsyth pursuant to the Order of the Board of 2d October, 1786. But as it appears that the Order was not executed by reason of Resistance made by Ritchie, The Board add Esq'r Gilliland & Mr. Hugh King to those formerly appointed, who, or any two with the Surveyor are desired to Execute that Order & make report to this Board the first monday in October next.

Daniel Hartung
v. } On Caveat.
Albrecht Fessler

This case being appointed for hearing on the first monday in December, 1786, and due proof being produced, that service of notice was made, and Fessler not attending then, nor at any time since, On the Petition of Mr. Hartung the Board now take up the matter, and it appears that he has the first Warrant for 130 Acres in Pine Grove Township, Berks County, on which 78 Acres was Surveyed by the Deputy Surveyor, which Hartung refuses to accept, not being the quantity of his Warrant, and as there is about 60 Acres joining, which seems to be intended for Fessler, the Surveyor is Ordered to return the whole on Hartung's Warrant, & that he have a Patent for the same unless Fessler shews cause to the contrary the first monday in October next.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 22nd day of May, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Honorable David Redick, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Andrew Young
v.
Patrick McSherry

On Caveat against granting a Patent to Patrick McSherry on an Order of the Board of the day of last, The parties appearing & being heard, It is the Opinion of the Board that Young, as claiming under Lawrence Shinneys Wt. does not support the Allegations of his Caveat & therefore the same is dismissed.

John Lockhart
v.
Samuel Keller &
Elias Evans } On Caveat.

John Lockhart and Mrs. Hamilton Wife of William Hamilton who claims under Elias Evans appears and being heard, the Caveat is dismissed so far as relates to the 70 Acres or thereabout Surveyed on Evans's Application.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals 2d June, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

William Nelson
v.
George McCullough

On Caveat postponed from the first monday in June last to this time, McCullough not being able then to prove service of notice and it was then Ordered that unless Nelson should shew cause to the contrary this day, the Caveat should be dismissed, which he not doing, the same is accordingly dismissed.

Charles Anderson
v. } On Caveat.
James Carmichael

On hearing the Parties it appears that Charles Anderson has the prior Warrant dated 11th September, 1784, for 400 Acres & it is therefore Ordered that he shall have 400 Acres & allowance & that the remainder shall be returned on Carmichael's posterior Warrant for 50 Acres & that in making the said Surveys the Deputy Surveyor return the Land in the most convenient manner for Carmichael next his Mill.

William Hays
v. } On Caveat.
John Cook

The Report and Draught of William Gray pursuant to Order of the Board of the first monday in November last being read and examined and the parties heard it appears that Hays hath purchased the right to a Warrant to Edward Biddle dated 14th December, 1773, joining the E. 394 perches line of his other land & that a Survey was made or represented to be made by William Scull on that Warrant. Ordered that a Survey be returned for Hays on Biddle's Warrant according to the courses & distances in Scull's Draught.

John Neely & Others
v. } On Caveat.
William Sharp

This case postponed to the first monday in December next, in Order that the Parties may have time to produce further Testimony & Title.

Melchoir Knorr having had a Notification to Christian Knorr that if he had any Objection to said Melchoir's obtain'g a Patent for Lands in Heidleberg Township, Berks County, under Warrants to Frederick Hermantrout to which said Melchoir is intitled to one Moiety as he says & the other he alledges belonged to the Heirs of his Brother Peter which Notification he says he gave to said Christian but has no proof, But as it appears probable from some Papers produced to the Board that the said Melchoir & Peter were equally entitled to or interested in the said Lands which Melchoir declares to be the case. It is Ordered that he shall have a Patent to him as to one moiety, and in Trust for the Heirs of Peter as to the other moiety, on the first monday in August next If Christ'n Knorr nor any other person shews not a reasonable objection. A Copy of this minute must be given Ch'n 30 days before that time.

On the representation of William Cook, Esquire, that the Surveyor had not Surveyed the quantity of his Pre-emption Warrant dated 18th Oct'r, 1785, Ordered that the Surveyor General write

to his Deputy to Survey & Return the full quantity, but to note on the Draught if it interferes with any other rights in Order that it may be contested.

Isaac Meason & Ralph Cherry appearing & being heard, it is the Opinion of the Board that Cherry hath shewn no cause why Patent should not issue to Meason In Trust for the Heirs of Worthington, agreeable to the Verdict of a Jury mentioned in a Minute of this Board the first monday in December last, and therefore allow a Patent accordingly.

Richard Lewis
v. } On Caveat.
William Hays

It appearing on hearing the Parties that the land in question is contained within a Survey of Hays on John Patton's Warrant in August, 1765, And Lewis hath a late Warrant long posterior to Patton's Warrant and Survey, therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

Ralph Cherry for
Jeremiah
v. } On Caveat.
William Robinson

It appearing that Cherry has the first Warrant & a Survey incl'g the land in dispute & that both Parties have more land Surveyed than the quantity of their Orders or Warrants, Cherry is allowed a Patent.

Aaron Torrence
v. } On Caveat.
David Redick, Esq'r

On hearing the parties it appears that Mr. Redick hath a Warrant in the year 1785 for 200 Acres including Imp'ts and a Survey made of 208 Acres thereon, And that Torrence claims under a Pre-emption Warrant to John Boggs not located in any particular place. Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that both the legal & equitable right is in Redick and therefore dismiss the Caveat.

Michael Castor
v. } On Caveat.
James Russell

The Parties appearing & being heard, it appears that Russell being Tenant to Castor, agreed to give up the place at the end of one Year. But discovering that the House stood out of the lines of late Eagleston's now Castor's Survey obtained a Warrant a few Days earlier than Castor's. The Board are therefore of Opinion in as much as the House belongs to Castor & as Russell was his Tenant, that the Land shall be returned on Castor's Warr't.

Benjamin Peden, Esq'r
v.
Matthew Kilgore

On Caveat against the confirmation of a Tract of land in Chanceford Township, York County, Surv'd by William Kersey, pursuant to an order of the Board of Property the first monday in June, 1787. On hearing the parties by their Counsel, It is the opinion of the Board that the Survey made by William Kersey by virtue of the former Order cannot be confirmed as that Survey departs from the Lines of the Survey made by William Matthews for Kilgore in the year 1769 & extends into the claim of McCall's Heirs according to a Survey made by Thomas Armor in the year 1763, therefore Order that Kilgore's Survey be returned or confirmed as made by William Matthews or as made by William Kersey only excluding what Kersey's Survey includes of or interferes with Armor's survey.

William Adams
v.
Isaac Robb and
Frederick Leader
} On Caveat.

On hearing the Parties it appears that the land in dispute is about 70 Acres contained within a Survey of 281 Acres made on Isaac Robb's Warrant dated 8th April, 1786, & for which Adams has a Warrant in October in the year aforesaid therefore as Robbs is the prior Warrant & Adams having made a Survey on an Application in the year 1768, incl'g his Improvements which leaves out the Land in question, the Caveat is dismissed & Patent Ordered to Robb or his Assigns.

William Dixon
v.
Solomon Adams
} On Caveat.

The Parties appearing, being heard, they agree to leave the case to David Espy, Samuel Davison and John Piper, Esquires, to settle the matter between the Parties if they can & if not to report to this Board by the first monday in September next, to which time the dispute is continued.

Persifor Frazier
v.
James Breden
} On Caveat.

General Frazier appearing & being heard & Breden not attending though he cited Frazier a Patent is Ordered to be granted to Frazier unless Cause shewn to the contrary by Breden the first monday in October next.

Andrew McCullough
v.
Benjamin Gilbert
} On Caveat.

Benjamin Gilbert appearing and McCullough having sent his Papers he was heard and their Papers & proofs examined respectively. And it appears that Gilbert claims under Surveys

made on two Warants one to Daniel Walton and the other to Joseph Knight in November in the Year 1784 for land in Westm'd Co'y, And that McCullough claims a part of the said lands under a Constables Sale for Militia Fines which from what now appears to the Board seems to have been illegal or irregular & therefore dismisses the Caveat.

William Dixon
v.
Solomon Adams

It appearing from a Paper now produced that Dixon hath released his right to Adams for a valuable consideration therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Thomas Jones
v.
Andrew Lynn — On Caveat.

On hearing the Parties and examining their Papers it is the Opinion of the Board and they Order that the Surveys of Jones & Lynn shall be returned according to a line marked with red Ink on a Draught of Mr. McClean now before the Board.

Jacob Stroud
v.
John Van Campen

On a dispute postponed from the fourth of June, 1787, to the first monday in September then next, in Order that Stroud might produce Testimony as to the Location of Michael Sly & he having produced none now, the Board take up the matter & considering a Certificate of the Dep'y Surveyor that he had Survey'd or Executed thah Application in a different place from that claimed by Van Campen therefore the Caveat is dismissed & Patent allowed to Van Campen.

On examining the Report of the Referrees in the Case of Eli Allen against Margaret Hutton, She is allowed a Patent.

The Title Deeds and Papers of Philip Rogers who claims under an Application in the name of William Grundy and the Certificate of Alexander McClean, Esquire, being considered, Rogers is allowed a Patent.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals Office 16th June, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General — of the Land Office.
The Secretary

John Wollarton applying for a Patent for 68 Acres of Land in East Bradford Township, Chester County, Surveyed on Eleanor Hollis's Warrant dated 12th April, 1763, in order to agree with the Proprietaries and it appearing that the said was settled & improved about thirty years agoe he is allowed a Patent on his paying for the same at the then common Terms of 15£..10 ℔ Cent with Intrest from 1st March, 1758.

Nicholas Shaffer applying for a Resurvey of two Tracts of Land Patented to him the 12th November, 1764, in Order to correct the Errors of Survey he is allowed the same.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's 19th June, 1788.

Present as above.

The Memorial of Philip Black was read Stating that in the year 1775 he settled on a Tract of Land in Washington County and hath dwelt thereon ever since and made valuable Improvements. That he had a Virginia Certificate dated in 1780 regularly entered with the Surveyor and had a Survey made the 5th May, 1786, by Nevill & Ritchie, which Survey was afterwards returned for one Francis Hindman on a late Warrant dated 5th May, 1786, and that no notice being taken on the Draught of any claim by Black, Hindman obtained a Patent and prayed a Patent might be granted to him also. The Board on examining the Surveys are of Opinion that the Facts as stated by Black are probable and inasmuch as Black's right seems to be founded on the first regular Improvement, the first Office right and the first Survey, it now appears that Black ought to have a Patent. But postpone the granting the same to the first monday in September next, in Order that Hindman may make his Objections if any he has, And as it is likely that Mr. Nevill may be able to explain some contradictions or irregularities which now appear, he desired to write to the Board at that time & Black is to give both Nevill & Hindman a Copy of this minute at least thirty days before the said first monday in September, if Hindman can be found.

At a special meeting at the Council Chamber 26th June, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.
The Honorable Abraham Smith, Esq'r.
The Officers of the Land Office.

John Patrick applying for a Patent for 297 Acres Surveyed on

Application of George Grundy No. 3466. The Board on consid'g the Deposition of Charles Burkham and the Certificate of Alexander McClean, Esquire, allowed him a Patent.

The Survey of 303 Acres made on Wiliam Forwod's Warrant for 50 Acres dated 6th June, 1786, and the Certificate of Benjamin Stites being considered by the Board, he is allowed a Patent.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 7th July, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable John Canon, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Joseph Vanbuskirk
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas McCarty.

On hearing the Parties it appears that McCarty has the prior Warrant and Survey & that Vanbuskirk hath no claim by Improvement of any consequence therefore the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

Samuel Strahan
v. } On Caveat.
William McGee.

In this case it appears that McGee has the first Warrant but that the land in dispute is part of a tract claimed by one Jas. Burns who sold to Strahan who built a House thereon, from what now appears, it is the Opinion of the Board that as the priority of Warrant is in favor of McGee, but on account of the Claim, Improvement and Purchase made by Strahan he ought to have some land & that therefore the 148 Acres be equally divided so as to leave the Improvement in Strahan's part unless the parties can agree upon a mode more agreeable before the first Monday in October next to which time this case is postponed.

Andrew Kennedy & Co.
v. } On Caveat.
Benjamin Walker.

On hearing the parties appears that Andrew Kennedy and Others claim under a pre-emption Warrant to said Walker dated 20th October, 1785, for 200 Acres including an Improvement in the

forks of Pine Creek on which Walker after having sold his right thereof he conducting the Survey only 105 Acres was returned though more land was on the same day Surveyed on the other side of the Creek & returned on a Common unlocated warrant for Walker. It is therefore ordered that the Dep'y Surveyor shall with the Assistance of Robert Fleming, Esq'r and James Hepburn make a Resurvey of the said Tracts & if they can find 200 Acres of good Land in the forks to take the same in & return it but if not to extend over the Creek. The return of which Resurvey is to be made to this Board the first Monday in September next.

The Report of the Referrees of Williamson & Miller pursuant to order of this Board on the first Monday in January last being read which is as follows "In pursuance of the above appointment, We the Subscribers having fully examined and duly considered the proofs and Allegations of both the said parties, do Award and determine the Tract of Land in dispute to belong to Samuel Williamson, Senior, the Parties having also compromised the dispute agreeable hereunto.

As Witness our Hands the 25th day of March, 1788.

JOHN CAROTHERS,
SAM'L POSTLETHWAIT,
JONATHAN HOGE,
CHARLES McCLURE,
JOHN WALKER.

And The same is confirmed.

The Heirs of Thomas Hunter
v.
Phineas Bond, Esq'r, who claims under Will'm Patterson. } On Caveat.

This case is postponed to the first Monday in August next, in Order that Hunter's Heirs may have an opportunity of producing Testimony which they ought to have done this time and on failure Mr. Bond will be allowed a Patent.

Jonathan Dillworth having laid before the Board his Title Deeds and other Papers by which he claims the City Lots formerly Surveyed to James Dilworth in right of his Purchase, the same were approved and thereupon ordered that the Surveyor General cause the said Lots to be Resurveyed & Returned in order for Patent.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 4th August, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Christopher Kucher, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Joseph Seyfert
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Shoemaker.

Mr. Peter Miller appearing for Shoemaker and Seyfert attending, they agree to leave this dispute to Daniel Ludwig, Esq'r, Thomas Lightfoot of Maiden Creek and Charles Shoemaker. Who are desired to make report the first Monday in October next, to which time this Case is postponed.

James Marques
v. } On Caveat.
——— Brown.

The parties attending were heard, whereon it appears that Brown has the first Warr't and to include an Improvement which was made by Marques and that Marques has a Warrant for 150 Acres to include the same Improvement. It is the Opinion of the Board and it is Ordered that as the Improvement is Marques that a Survey shall be made for him of 150 Acres including the Improvement, in the most convenient manner and the remainder of the vacancy shall be Surveyed and returned for Brown.

Eleanor Mills
v.
John Mackey or
Rob't Alexander his
Assignee.

Postponed from the first Monday in May last. The Draught of Charles Dilworth pursuant to the Order of the said first Monday in May being examined and the parties heard, it appears that there is no vacant land out of the lines of a Tract called "Mounthope" but that the land claimed is Surveyed on Warrant in the Year 1756, to John Lawson which Mrs. Mills alledges was improved by one Reed. But as she has no Transfer of his Improvement right her Caveat will be dismissed unless she shall produce such Transfer or Transfers to the Board the first Monday in September next.

George Thompson & Others
v. } On Caveat.
Alexander Young.

George Thompson not attending though duly served with

notice, William Young, Assignee of Alexander was heard exparte. And it appears that the Deputy Surveyor having both the Applications of Young and John Anderson (under whom Thompson claims) in his Hands at the same time made a Survey on Anderson's Application in the Year 1770, though Young's Application was prior. And that Young hath made valuable Improvements & is living on the land. Wherefore it is the Opinion of the Board that as the Case is thus circumstanced the Caveat ought to be dismissed and Young is allowed a Patent.

Abel James, Att'y of Robert C. Wilson v. Garret Gotshalk. } On Caveat.

In this case the land in contest is about 48 A's 145 Ps. in Salford or Franconia Township, now in the County of Montgomery, which Mr. James alledges to be included in a Patent to Thomas Wilson dated in the Year 1714, and which Gotshalk supposing to lay out of the lines and Bounds of the said Patent concluded to be vacant & therefore had Surveyed on a Warrant to Samuel Bell dated in the Year 1762, by Francis Hodson Surveyor. The parties consent and the Board desires that James Morris, Esq'r, Col'o Robert Lollar and Mr. Christopher Kreeble do go to the land examine the lines and hear such Testimony as shall be produced by the parties and report their Opinion to this Board by the first Monday in September next, whether or not the said disputed land is included within Wilson's Patent or if vacant.

Thomas Greer v. William Moore. } On Caveat.

The Parties being heard, it appears that Thomas Greer claims the land which was Surveyed on an Application in the year 1766 to Thomas Richardson by Warrant to John Brechtbill dated 9th February, 1763, for 200 Acres of Land Joining the land of John Miller, Robert Walker, Walter Denny and John Byers, in Middleton Township, Cumb'd Co'y, which Moore insists is located in such a manner as cannot by any means take the Land in dispute. Therefore the Board with consent of parties desires that John Holmes, John Creigh and Major William Alexander go to the land in dispute and report their Opinion whether or not the said Warrant was intended or granted for the same and this Case is postponed to the first Monday in November next when it is desired the said report may be made.

Joseph Kerr v. John Gibson. } On Caveat.

An Ejectment depending this case is postponed for further consideration.

Philip Baal
v.
The United Brethren. } On Caveat.

The United Brethren claiming the land under a War't in the Year 1753 to John Okely located joining or near Joseph Greff and never Surveyed till lately. And Baal having a Warr't for the place and a Survey. It is Ordered as the description of Okely's War't is vague, that Baal's Survey shall be accepted and Patent issued on payment of purchese money and fees of Office.

Melchior Knorr
v.
Christian Knorr.

Christian Knorr not appearing though duly notyñed, the Order of the first Monday in June last is Ordered to Stand.

Samuel Richards
v.
Philip Wise. } On Caveat.

The parties agreed as follows, viz: that Richards gives up his claim as well to the land in Wise's Survey, as the vacant land adjoining, in consideration of one Tun of Flour to be delivered said Richards by Wise in one Year from this day.

In the case of Phineas Bond Esquire and Thomas Hunter's Heirs. Resolved, that the order of the Board of the first Monday in July shall stand.

On the Application and request of Hugh Brackenridge, Esquire, for a rehearing in the case Killum against Varvell, retermined the first Monday of March last. The Board allows a rehearing which is to be on the first Monday in December next, Varvell having a Copy of this Minute given him at least thirty days before that time.

Henry Mumbour's Title Deeds, &c., being examined he is allowed a Patent.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 1st September, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Frederick Watts, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

On reading a few lines from William McMeen desiring a Caveat entred by him against one Sharron might be dismissed, the same is accordingly Ordered to be dismissed.

Hugh Newell
v. } On Caveat.
John Merryman.

John Merryman producing a few lines addressed to this Board from said Newell, informing that the dispute is settled, Therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

William Wallace's Survey of 263 Acres made on Warr't dated 27th February, 1786, for 50 Acres allowed to be accepted as Presley Nevill, Esquire certify's that it interferes with no other's claim.

On the application of Arthur Buchanan (on whose place the People forted) for Exemption of interest on considering his case as proved by James Burns, Esquire, before William Brown, Esquire, he is allowed the exemption as by Law others who fled are entitled to.

Christopher Coble
v. } On Caveat.
Frederick Hummell.

At the request of the parties, this case is left to William Scott, Esquire, Martin Shetter and John Herman who are desired to hear the parties, and examine their claims & report to the Board the first Monday in December next, to which time this case is Postponed.

Joseph Morrison
v. } On Caveat.
John Moore.

John Moore attending & making it appear that he hath had the Land in dispute Surveyed on an Application & improved many Years. Therefore it is Ordered that his Survey shall be returned and Patent issue unless Morrison do shew Cause to the contrary the first Monday in November next.

John Tyson
v. } On Caveat.
Ludwig Vandemark.

It appears on hearing that Tyson has the prior Warrant in the name of John Davis, dated in the Year 1784, And that Vandemark has a Warr't in the Year 1786, and a Survey made thereon, Therefore it is Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor make a Survey on Davis's Warrant agreeable to its Location and if any vacancy shall be left the same be Surveyed and returned for Vandemark.

Dan Griffith, Esq'r
v. } On Caveat.
Hazael Thomas.

On a Notice to shew Cause why Patent should not issue, After

hearing the parties agreed to postpone this case till they can agree upon the Men, and send down their Names to whom the Patent shall issue.

William Beaty
v. } On Caveat.
Patrick Campbell.

On hearing the parties it appears that Campbell made a Settlem't by virtue of a permit from a Commanding Officer to the Westward, so early as the Year 1762, and continued his possession made valuable Improvements and is now living on the Land in dispute and that he hath an Application for the same A. D. 1769, & Survey of 369 Acres made thereon, And that Beaty claims under an Application in the name of Henry Beaty, junior, which was located on the land and Settlement aforesaid of Campbell. Therefore the Board Orders that Beaty's Caveat be dismissed.

Abel James, Att'y
Wilson
v. } On Caveat.
Garret Gotshalk.

Continued from the first Monday in August.

From what appeared at the former hearing and on considering the report of James Morris and Robert Lollar, Esquires, the Board are of Opinion that the land in dispute is comprehended in the bounds of a Patent to Thomas Wilson, A. D. 1714. And therefore Order that the Survey made for Gotshalk shall not be accepted.

At the request of both Colo. Blain and William Finley, Esq'r, in behalf of Lochry and others, the Case is postponed to the first Monday in January next.

Mrs. Mills appearing and though she had it not in her power to produce Transfers of the Improvements as mentioned in and required by a Minute of the Board of the first Monday in August last. Yet she exhibited such papers & further Testimony as to induce the Board to allow a further hearing and therefore the second Wednesday in November next, is appointed for the rehearing, she giving Robert Alexander a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before that time.

William Beaty
v. } On Caveat.
James Carothers.

On hearing the parties, it appears that James Carothers claims under an Application in the name of Rebecca Carothers and a Survey made thereon, And that Beaty claims under an Application of Walter Beaty. And the parties agree and it is the request

of the Board that James Hamilton, Esquire & George Baird, Esq'r and Christopher Hays together with the Surv'r of the District, go to the ground examine the same with the Improvements made by Beaty, and report to the Board the first Monday in December next to which time this dispute is continued.

Patrick McCormick
v.
Francis Holland. } On Caveat.

On hearing Mr. Wright in behalf of McCormick it appears that McCormick had some Improvements prior to Holland's Warrant & also hath a Warrant for the Land. Therefore Ordered that McCormick shall have a patent unless cause shewn to the contrary the first Monday in March next.

Will'm Montg'y Sterret
v.
George Feighter. } On Caveat.

Garret Covode who purchased of Feighter appeared & though he was not cited, only hearing of the Appointm't accidentally and W. M. Sterret not appearing, the Board postponed this case to the first Monday in March next, Covode giving Sterret thirty days notice.

The Heirs of Josh'a Wright
v.
Patrick Faran. } On Caveat.

In this case it appears that both parties have Office Rights but that each claim by virtue of consentable lines and purchase of possession it is agreed by the parties that Matthew Ritchie Benjamin Collins, Henry Taylor, Esquire, Patrick Scott and Patrick McCullough go to the ground, hear the parties and examine their claims and the Board directs that the Surveys be made according to the direction of those Gentlemen.

Amos Ales and
David England
v.
James Bryson. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Mr. Bryson claims by virtue of an Application entered 3d April, 1769, in the Name of Joseph Yeates for 300 Acres on the Monongahela opposite the mouth of Redstone. That Ales being vested with the right to part of an Improvement of one Hooder sold to Henry Dixon who obtained a Patent for about 142 Acres claimed by Bryson. That England having purchased the other part of the Improvement right of Hooder & having valuable Improvements made since obtained a Warrant and hath a Survey of 169 Acres thereon. The Board are of Opinion that as to the 142 Acres Patented as

aforesaid it is not in this case cognizable before them and as the whole land claimed by Yeates's Application was at the time of Entry subject to Hooder's Improvement right & as it does not appear that steps have been taken by Yeates to have the land Surveyed. It is ordered that the said Survey lately made for Bryson shall be accepted.

Henry Snively
v.
Robert Davison. } On Caveat.

On Caveat continued from the first Monday in April last.

On Considering the Report of Matt'w Henderson, Esquire, James McClenachen & James Poe pursuant to the Order of the said first Monday in April last, it appears that Jacob Snively had a Warrant for 50 Acres Joining his other land & John Nigh which is prior to Davison's 50 Acres Warrant on which he had about 128 Acres Surveyed. It is Ordered that the 50 A's 99Ps. Tract Joining John Nigh's line and marked in the Draught made by the said Henderson and others No. 1 shall be returned on Snively's Warr't and the remainder to Davison to wit No. 2.

John Kennedy
v.
Charles Anderson.

On a few lines signed by John Kennedy setting forth that the Dispute was settled, the Caveat is dismissed.

Samuel Lewis
v.
Jacob Mechlin Ass'ee
of John Dorr.

On hearing it appears that Mechlin claims under a Warrant to John Dorr which is a few Days prior to Lewis's Warr't but that Lewis had purchased an Improvement had a Tenant living on the land & prosecuted his improvement considerably all before Dorr's Warrant & as there is only about 269 Acres in the vacancy it will not admit of a reasonable quantity of Land with the Improvement & leave any for Dorr's Warr't. Therefore it is ordered that the said 269 Acres shall be returned for Lewis on his Warrant.

Joseph Reed, Esq'r
v.
John Ankrum. } On Caveat.

The parties being heard it appears that they both have Warrants & that Reed claims under an improvement made by one Walker who it is said had made consentable lines with the Neighbours and it is suggsted that Mr. Reed's claim will comprehend parts of Ankrums, James Higgins's and Bernard Rinehart's lands and as none of the parties have Surveys returned this Dis-

pute cannot now be determined & Therefore it is postponed to the first Monday in March next, At which time the Deputy Surv'r Matthew Ritchie together with John Armstrong and John Flanagan, Esquire are desired to furnish the Board with a plott of Ankrum, Rinehart and Higgins their Lands and noting thereon how Reed's Claim will interfere with them respectively.

Moses Smith & Will'm Stuart v. William Espy. } On Caveat.

Stuart sent a few Lines withdrawing his Caveat. And on hearing Mr. Gilcreist for Smith, & Mr. Barr for Espy and examining their papers. It is the Opinion of the Board that Espy shall have a Patent.

Allowed a Patent to Reynold Ramsey who claims on Warr't to S. Gettys as it appears not to be with in the Manor of Maske & notwithstanding a Caveat entered by said Gettys against Agnew and others as Mr. Edy alledges it was an other Tract disputed on that Caveat.

John Deniston v. James Blaine's Heirs. } On Caveat.

Mr. Finley appearing for Blaine's Heirs & Mr. Barr for Deniston were heard And it appears that Blaine had a Warr't & Survey of 349 Acres in the Year 1773, out of which he left some trifling Improvement, but included such of his Improvements as he then chose, And that Deniston hath obtained a Warr't for a Tract Joining Blaine's Survey. Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that a Survey shall be made on Deniston's Warr't and returned.

On the Application by letter of Richard Manning & Others Arbitrators chosen to settle a dispute between John Carson and Captain Stephen Stephenson. Ordered that the Secretary of the Land Office deliver to said Stephenson certain Depositions which they wrote for taking said Stephenson's Receipt with promise to return them.

Alexander Burns v. Hugh Sterling Adm'r of W. Jackson. } On Caveat.

On hearing Alex'r Wright, Esq'r in behalf of Burns & John Wallace in behalf of Sterling and examining the papers of the Parties, it is the Opinoin of the Board that Patent issue to Burns.

William Lochry v. James Rutherford. } On Caveat.

Lochry not appearing though duly cited, Rutherford is allowed a Patent.

Robert Estep
v.
Geo. Wallace or his Ass'ee Jno. Wallace. } On Caveat.

John McDowell, Esq'r, for Estep, and the said Jno. Wallace appearing and being heard the dispute seems to be chiefly where the line between their plantations shall be run and therefore the parties choose John Douglass, Esq'r, Alex'r Gilfillan, James Allison, Esq'r and Henry Cotton together with the Deputy Surveyor of the District who are to hear the parties, examine their proofs & make the line where they the said Refeeres shall Judge right and the Returns of the Surveys are to be made by the first Monday in March next.

James Kuykendale
v.
John Wallace. } On Caveat.

John McDowell, Esq., for Kuykendale and the said Wallace appearing and being heard, agree that this dispute which was to be heard the first Monday in October, shall be left to the following persons to wit, Philip Ross, Charles Bradford, John Reed, (Peters Creek,) John Douglass, Esquire and the Deputy Surveyor.

John Campbell
v.
John Bell. } On Caveat.

John Campbell not appearing, Bell was heard exparte, and it appears that Bell hath both the prior Application and Improvem't and therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Daniel Swearingen
v.
James Darnall. } On Caveat.

Dan'l Swearingen having wrote that the dispute is settled between him & Darnell a Patent is allowed to Darnall.

William Maclay, Esquire, laid before the Board a Conveyance from one Gasser to Martin Trester & Bartholomew Zeback for a Tract of Land Surv'd on Warr't to said Gasser, and a receipt by whic said Trester & Zeback acknowledge to have received £10 the Consideration money for the said Land from Jacob Weiss who now lives thereon and desire a Patent which is allowed.

Peter Herhold
v.
William Perry.

This Case being in Law it is postponed but if the parties agree upon a time for a hearing and have the Action withdrawn the Board will take up the matter.

The Case on Memorial of the Inhabitants of Newton, Bucks Co'y, to the Supreme Executive Council being referred to this Board, the last Monday in October next, is appointed for hearing the parties who apply for a Patent under Warr't to Shadrack Wally dated 6th, 6th Mo. 1716, and those who object to the granting it, a Copy of this Minute being given them 20 Days before the said last Monday.

John Bell is allowed a Patent notwithstanding William Brown's Caveat (who claims under John Frankson's Appl'n) against Alexander Drumond as Frankson's Application appears to be the prior one.

Alex'r Wright, Esquire, having laid before the Board a Draught of 388 Acres of Land on Raccoon Waters in Washington Co'y Surveyed on a Virginia Entry to Tho's Armor, which he desires a Patent for. But as it appears that one John Moore hath obtained a Patent for part of the same, founded on a Warr't later than said Armor's Entry however defers granting a Patent untill the first Monday in March next, in Order that Moore may have an opportunity of making Objection if any he has to granting a Patent for the said 388 Acres. A Copy of this Minute to be given thirty Days before the said first Monday in March.

Jane Bee who is intermarried with John Fitzgerald
v.
James Bryson. } On Caveat.

This Case postponed to the first Monday in March next, Fitzgerald having a Copy of this Minute thirty Days before the said first Monday.

Joseph Shaw.
v. } On Caveat.
Robert Turner.

It appearing that Shaw hath laid a late Warr't on Land Surveyed many Years ago on an Application the right to which is vested in said Turner, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

John Hufman
v. } On Caveat.
Hugh Gilmore

The Board at the request of Mr. Philips, took into Consideration the Case of said Parties & on examining the Papers of Hufman left last December, to which time Gilmore was cited, and are of Opinion that Hufman shall have his Patent unless Gilmore shews Cause to the Contrary the first Monday in December next. A Copy of this minute to be given him thirty Days before said first Monday in December next.

John Kennedy
v. } On Caveat.
Benj'n Wright.

Messrs. Philips & Fleniken appearing and declaring that the said parties had not settled their Dispute as recommended by a Minute of 28th March last, and thereupon the said Philips and Fleniken were heard and the Papers of the parties examined. And on fully considering this Case it is the Opinion of the Board and it is Ordered that the Surveys shall be returned as made.

Samuel Maclay, Esquire, appeared before the Board & represented that a Tract of Land Situate in Buffaloe Township in the County of Northumberland, being the same which was Surveyed unto a certain Capt. John Brady as his dividend of a large Survey of Officer's land was sold by the Sheriff of Berks County (in which County the land then lay) to Doct'r William Plunket, Who by his Article of Agreement dated 21st Decem'r 1776, did for the Consideration of 500 and other Causes grant bargain and sell, all his Right, Title and Interest of, in and to the said Tract to the said Sam'l Maclay Who now applies for a Patent, but as he has no Proof of the Sheriff's Sale and as it is suggested that perhaps Dr. Plunket may have some Objection to granting a Patent it is deferred until the first Monday in December next, in Order that the said Mr. Maclay may have time to produce the proof aforesaid, and that Doctor Plunket may make his Objections, if any he has, to the granting the Patent afores'd. A Copy of the Minute is to be given at least thirty days before the said first Monday in December to said Plunket.

John Blackburn
v. } On Caveat.
Ephraim Blaine.

This Matter being heard the first Monday in December, 1786, & postponed and now Mr. Blaine producing an Instrum't in Writing from Blackburn setting forth that the dispute is settled. It is ordered that the Survey of Blaine be accepted including the part marked on the Draught as claimed by Francis Morrison.

Rebekah Vaughan
v. } On Caveat.
James Strawbridge.

The Board having resumed the Consideration of this Case postponed from the first Monday in March, 1787, are of Opinion that as the Land in dispute is within Mr. Maclay's District the Survey of Strawbridge made on Warrant No. 20 by [illegible]miston ought not be accepted but that Rebekah Vaughan shall have Return of Survey on her Warrant & a Patent.

Valentine Flohr
v. } On Caveat.
Charles Albert.

On Considering the Report of John Forsythe and Others to whom this Case was referred, the Board admit the same Report & allow Rawhauser and Flohr Patents.

John Irwin, Esq'r, laid before the Board a Patent to Adam Reyburn together with a Conveyance from said Reyburn to Anthony Rawlins dated before the said Patent, but which was not produced at the time of applying for the same and therefore requested a new Patent might issue to said Rawlins in order to rectify the Error and Omission aforesaid which the Board allows and Orders accordingly.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls the 6th October, 1788.

present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable George Woods, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

——— McMullan
v. } On Caveat.
William Nelson.

In this Case it appears by a few lines from Jas. McMullan who purchase the Caveators right that he did not claim any land out of his Survey and consequently that he had no claim to the land which had beeen disputed but included in Nelson's Survey, therefore the Surveys are Ordered to be accepted.

Samuel Hunt
v. } On Caveat.
James Hood.

On hearing the parties it appears that the Matter in contest is the privilege of a Water Course by a Conveyance dated 9th February, 1767 from Peter Hunt to said Samuel, Who Conveyed to one Seward and Way under whom Hood claims, the Board allow Hood a Patent Subject to the Privilege of the said Samuel to the Water Course aforesaid.

James Douglass
v.
David Davis & } On Caveat.
William Duffield.

On hearing Mr. Duffield exparte, Douglass not appearing though

duly cited, it appears that Davis and Duffield have an Application and Survey made many Years ago, and that Douglass hath never prosecuted his claim and that their is sufficient land for Douglass's Application out of the Survey of Davis and Duffield. Therefore the Caveat is Ordered to be dismissed.

Eleazor Powell
v.
Margaret Williamson. } On Caveat.

This Case having been heard the first Monday of March last and postponed in order that the parties might accommodate this Dispute which it is said is not yet done, therefore this case is postponed to the first Monday in March next, when the Parties will be again heard thirty Days Notice being given.

Charles & And'w Stewart
v.
Nathaniel & Joseph Simpson. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that the land in Contest is comprehended within the known or reputed bounds of a Tract of Land late in Lancaster County now Dauphin County granted by Patent to Jacob Littlemore whose rignt is now vested in George Fockler & which the s'd Fockler on a trial in Ejectment in the Supreme Court recovered against one Simpson And it appears that Stuart & Simpson suspecting that it might turn out to be Vacant land obtained Warrants for the same, Stuart's dated 25th May, 1787, and Simpson's 27th November in the same year. It is the Opinion of the Board that if the land is not within the lines of the s'd Patent, yet to depart from the reputed bounds would cause so much confusion in disturbing the quiet possession & Surveys of the adjoining Neighbours that it cannot be permitted & as there is no dispute between Fockler & Stuart whose Warr't is prior to Simpson's & as the improvement which Simpson claims is not of such a nature as to have preference of Stuart's prior Warrant. It is Ordered that the Surveys neither of Stuart or Simpson shall be accepted.

John Gemill
v.
John Wilson. } On Caveat.

On hearing the Parties it appears that Gemill claims under a Warr't to Alex'r Wallace dated 6th Dec'r, 1758 for 25 Acres & a survey said to be made but not returned, And Wilson claims under an Application and a Survey thereon of William Wallace Who sold to said Wilson. It is the Opinion of the Board & its Ordered as Gemill has purchased the right of Wallace's 25 Acres Warr't aforesaid which is prior to the Application under which Wilson

claims that 25 Acres shall be cut off from Wilson's Survey by a line parallel to the S. 23 degree W. 88 perches line of Gemill's other Survey and returned on the Warrant aforesaid.

John Cox
v. } On Caveat.
John Wallace.

Cox not appearing and Wallace being heard and his Survey examined it appears that there is about ten Acres disputed by Cox which it is the Opinion of the Board ought to be confirmed to Wallace, and therefore Cox's Caveat is dismissed.

Persifor Frazier, Esq'r
v. } On Caveat.
James Breden.

At a meeting of the Board the 2d day of June last. it was Ordered that General Frazier should have a Patent for the Land, he claims under Warrant to Samuel Kelso unless Breden should shew cause to the contrary this Day and Breden now appearing and making it appear that he had the land claimed by Frazier Surveyed on a Virginia Entry founded on a Settlement made in the Year 1776, as therein expressed which was prior to Kelso's Warrant. Therefore it is Ordered that Breden's Survey shall be accepted.

John Wallace
v. } On Caveat.
Samuel Meeks.

On hearing the parties it appears that Meeks hath a Virginia Entry & Penns'a Warrant prior to Wallace's founded on two Improvements. And therefore it is Ordered that Meeks's Surveys shall be accepted and the remainder of the vacancy or what is in Wallace's Survey clear of Meeks shall be returned for Wallace on his Warrant.

James Kerr
v. } On Caveat.
Robert Leeper.

On hearing the parties it appears, that the land in dispute is about 14 Acres marked in a Draught of Mr. Henderson now produced No. 2 and which is included in a Survey of Kerr's on a Warrant to Alex'r Ellis in the Year 1753, and further that the same 14 Acres is included in a prior Warrant and Survey of Robert Cumins under which Leeper claims. Therefore it is Ordered that Leeper's Survey shall be accepted & that the same 14 Acres be cut off or excluded from Kerr's Survey.

Joseph Seyfert
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Shoemaker.

This Case having been left at a former meeting to Dan'l Ludwig

Esquire and others and they having made a Report that the land ought to be confirmed to said Joseph Seyfert. Therefore the said Report is adopted.

——— Hutchison
v. } On Caveat.
——— Secrist.

On the Petition of Secrist for a Resurvey of a Tract of 106 Acres granted him by Pat't and hearing the Son of the Widow Hutchinson. It is Judged proper not to allow the Resurvey as prayed and that Mrs. Hutchinson be allowed a Patent for her Tract adjoining acording to the Survey thereof by Mr. Alexander McClean.

——— Ross
v. } On Caveat.
——— Huston.

On hearing the parties it appears that Huston claims a tract of Land Surveyed by Virtue of an Application in the Year 1769, to Robert Pollox & that Ross hath a tract of Land Surveyed of a sufficient quantity which includes his Improvements and that a Warrant was taken out and a Survey made for Benjamin Ross long after the making Pollox's Survey which includes part thereof. It is the Opinion of the Board that Pollox's Survey shall be accepted & that Ross's Survey be regulated according to it.

Baltzer Spengler
v. } On Caveat.
Levi Dungan.

Spengler having cited Dungan on the first Monday in September last and neither of them appearing this Case is continued.

Samuel Strahan
v. } On Caveat.
Will'm McGhee.

By order of the Board the first Monday in July last, it was Ordered that the tract of 148 Acres which they both claimed should be divided equally between the said Parties leaving in Strahan's part his Improvement & the Dispute was continued to this time. And now Mr. Thomas Shields for McGhee and Mr. Strahan being again heard & it being suggested that McGhee declines accepting any part without he can have the whole. It is Ordered that if he McGhee shall not accept of the part allowed him by the said recited Order on or before the first Monday in March next, then Strahan shall have a Patent for the whole 148 Acres.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls the 22d Oct'r, 1788.

present

The Honorable David Redick, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable George Woods, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surveyor General.
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Receiver General.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry Land Office.

Mr. James Hepburn laid before the Board certain Title Deeds from & under Joseph Barnet who disputed with said Hepburn the first Monday in November, 1786, Vesting the right of said Barnet in the said Hepburn or in him to whom Hepburn is about to Convey, therefore Barnet's Caveat is dismissed. And the Board taking into consideration two other Caveats one entered by one Links & the other by George Reinecker find that Links hath no pre-emption Warrant, and that Reinecker hath two Warrants & two Surveys each for the quantity all owed by the Law establishing the Pre-emption rights which do not interfere with Hepburn's & therefore the said Caveats last mentioned are dismissed and Hepburn allowed his Patent.

Mr. James Hepburn desired the Board to take into Consideration the Case between him and Robert Holmes agitated the first Monday in November, 1786, & then postponed, but it is thought proper not to take up this matter until the first Monday in May next, in order that Robert Holmes may be further heard if he choose to attend. Mr. Hepburn is to give him a Copy of this Minute at least thirty Days before the said first Monday in May.

Dr. Benjamin Rush laid before the Board a Copy of a Minute of a meeting on the 3d March last, relating to a Dispute on a Caveat entred by James Potter, Jona'n D. Sergeant against Joseph Wallis, Samuel Wallis & Major Keene and requested that on the first Monday in December next this Case might be taken up & determined as the Order by that Minute hath not been executed & the time therein appointed is elapsed. Which being considered it is thought proper to postpone the determination until the first Monday in January next, in Order that the parties may have time to do & have done the several Matters directed by the said former Order. And General Potter is to have a Copy of this Minute given him at least within twenty Days from the date hereof.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's 25th October, 1788.

Present as last before.

Benjamin Wilson applied for a Patent for 192½ Acres in Manallin Township, York County, said to be surveyed on Warrant of 5th September, 1746, which Warrant if it ever issued is not to be found nor is there any entry in the Books of the Sec'rys Office but it appears that a part of the Purchase money was paid at that time, therefore he is allowed a Patent paying at the Rate of £15 10 with Intrest from 6 mo's after the said 5th September.

At a special Meeting 27th October, 1788.

Present as before.

This day being at a meeting first September last, appointed for hearing the Parties disputing about the Newtown Common and John Cox, Esquire (Whose Attorney for the one Party) producing a Letter from James Hanna, Esquire, Attorney for the other Party setting forth that it is agreed that the Consideration of this Case might be postponed to a further Day on two Weeks notice being given when any further steps should be taken which being taken into Consideration the same is postponed to a further time, on the Consideration mentioned in the letter of said Mr. Hanna.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls the 3d November, 1788.

present

The Honorable David Redick, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Samuel Miles, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surveyor General.
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Receiver General.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry Land Office.

Frederick Beck
v.
Henry Kelker Ass'ee
of Casper Weaver.

The Parties appeared & agreed to refer this Case to two men of their choice & to one to be chosen by the Board and they chose John Thom and Christopher Uler & the Board appoint Thomas Clark, Who are desired to hear the Parties and examine the

Papers and Witnesses and transmit their Opinion to the Board the first Monday in December next.

——— May
v.
——— McCormick.

Postponed to May next, in order that the Deputy Surveyor may transmit the Draughts to shew the interference as directed by Order of the first Monday in May last.

Messrs. Penn's
v.
——— Horn. } On Caveat.

Mr. Butler Agent for the Penn's making it appear that he cannot have this Matter now determined, as he is not fully prepared. Therefore the same is postponed to the first Monday in December next.

John Tomlinson
v.
William McCoy. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Tomlinson claims under a W't to Henry Lane dated in the Year 1773, for 250 Acres on big Redstone & Joining James McCoy & Henry Beeson and a Survey made thereon which Warrant being prior to William McCoy's. It is ordered that the Survey on Lane's Warrant shall be accepted.

Thomas Grier
v.
William Moore. } On Caveat.

The Report of John Holmes & Others to whom this dispute was referred the first Monday in August last, being read it appears that they were of Opinion that the Warrant by which Greer claimed was not intended for the land in dispute & therefore the said report is adopted and Moore allowed a Patent.

Alexander McKeehan
v.
Christopher Hays. } On Caveat.

Hays not appearing, Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor make a Survey on McKeehan's Warrant and return a Draught to this Board by the first Monday in May next, denoting thereon the interference with Hays's Survey if any.

David Collins
v.
Philip Davis. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties & examining their Papers it appears that Collins claims 37 Acres 63 Perches Surv'd on Warrant to Alex'r & Andrew Forgey & that Davis claims under two Warrant one to James Davy for 50 Acres in the Year 1754, on which he

had a Survey of 159 Acres made, & the other to John Sweitzer which Davis for some reason purchased the right of, which was located on the same 159 Acres and with which he now claims the 37 Acres of Collins. It is the Opinion of the Board & it is Ordered that 50 Acres of the said Survey of 159 Acres shall be returned on James Davy's Warrant & the remainder thereof on Sweitzer's Warrant for Philip Davis & the 37 Acres for Collins on Forgey's Warrant.

John Simpson
v.
John Thomas & Will'm Foulke. } On Caveat.

On hearing Simpson & William Foulke, it appears that Foulke hath a Patent. Therefore it is Ordered that Simpson's Survey shall be returned bounding on the line of the Patent.

Richard Gonzales, Ass'ee of Benjamin Brown
v.
George Fry.

Mr. Benjamin Bioren appeared before the Board & produced a Letter of Attorney from Geo. Fry empowering him to act in this Case & also produced an Instrument in Writing in the Words following to wit:

Benjamin Brown
v.
George Fry. } On Caveat.

April 3d 1769, George Fry 300 A's in Bald Eagle Valley bounded by Bald Eagle Creek on the south & the north mountain on the West. Joining the Improvement of Benjam'n Brown on one side & Levy Hicks on the other side.

The Parties on the above Caveat appeared according to appointment & agreed to withdraw the above Caveat. He the said Brown's Assignee Richard Gonsalus relinquishes his right to any and every part of the said Fry's land according to the above location. And the said George Fry by his Attorney in fact relinquishes his right to the said Gonsalus's land whereon he now lives formerly the Improvement of Benjamin Brown. Witness their Hands November 4th 1788.

BENJ'N BIOREN,
Att'y in fact for George Fry.
RICHARD GONSALUS.

Which the Board approves.

Michael Swengel
v.
John Awmiller. } On Caveat.

Swengel not appearing Awmiller was heard exparte, on which

it appears he hath had 109 Acres Surveyed in the Year 1773, on an Application No. 3217, which is prior to Swengel's. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

John Simpson
v.
John Thomas &
John Litle.
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that the Warr't of Thomas Heston under which Litle & Thomas claim is prior to Simpson's Therefore Simpson's Caveat is dismissed & Patent allowed to Litle, or to him or them, in whom Heston's right is vested.

Dr. Robert Johnston laid before the Board a Copy of a Minute dated 29th October, 1770, deciding on a Caveat entered by James Irwin against Adam Dickey in favour of said Dickey. And the Draught or Plott of Surveys for Irwin of 408¼ Acres in Peters Township, Franklin Co'y on Warrants to S. Bothel & said Irwin and 237 Acres for said Johnston in right of said Dickey on his Application & the Judgment of the Board aforesaid and which said two Surveys are represented to be separated by a red line in the said Draught. And the said Doctor Johnston requested a Patent might be granted him for the said 237 Acres Which it appears right to do, if the Heirs or Executors of said Irwin shew not Cause to the Contrary the first Monday in April next, A Copy of this minute being given them at least thirty days before that time.

James Smith by Doctor McDowell applying for a Patent for —— Acres of land in ———— Township, Chester County, part of a tract Surveyed on Warr't dated ——— to ———. He is allowed the same.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's 13th November, 1788.

present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Samuel Miles, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surv'r Gen'l
Fra's Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry
} of the Land Office.

Eleanor Mills.
v.
John Mackey or Rob't Alexander his Assignee.
} On Caveat.

This day being appointed at a meeting of this Board the first Monday in September last, for rehearing the said Parties, they

appeared with their Counsel Jonathan D. Sergeant & Edward Tilghman, Esq'rs, who were severally heard, on which it appears that Robert Alexander claims a tract of 143 Acres Surveyed in the Year 1757, on a Warrant dated 12th March, 1750, to John Lawson, And that Mrs. Mills claims the same for herself & the Children of her late Husband John Mills, Jun'r, deceased, by virtue of an improvement originally made by one Read who lived on & held a Tract of land within a Maryland Patent called "Mounthope" now the property of said Alexander, which Improvement was not proved to the satisfaction of the Board to be legally transferred to the said Mills and which seems to have been abandoned nearly forty years since. On the contrary that the land now claimed by Mrs. Mills hath still passed to the Occupiers of the said adjoining Tract within Mounthope till at length the said Tract Surveyed as aforesaid for Lawson was purchased by said Alexander about 17 Years ago, John Mills living near not bidding nor hindering him in purchasing or in prosecuting his further Improvements which are now very considerable. It is therefore the Opinion of the Board & it is Ordered that the said Caveat shall be dismissed and Alexander allowed a Patent.

James Smith
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Morrison.

It appearing by the Copy of a Record of Court produced by the Honorable George Woods, Esquire, that about 18 Years ago, an Ejectment was tried between the said parties, relating to the land Caveated, And a Verdict was given in favour of Morrison. Wherefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Mr. Samuel Richards applying for a Patent for 119 Acres of Land Surveyed on a Warr't dated the 26th May, 1776, which was in order to agree, he offered to pay for the same on the then common terms of £5 Sterling Interest from 6 mo's after the date of Warr't which the Board agrees to accept, as on enquiry its found to be of a mean Quantity & a Patent is allowed on him on payment thereof and Office Fees.

John Moore
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Morrison.

By a Minute of a meeting of this Board the first Monday in September last, Moore was allowed a Patent unless Morrison should shew Cause to the contrary the first Monday in this instant. And now the Honorable George Woods producing several Draughts of lands including the lands in dispute between the said parties by which it appears that Moore ought not to have a Patent until a further hearing. Therefore this Matter is postponed

to the first Monday in May next, against which time the Surveyor of the District is directed to return the Surveys of the parties pointing out their interferences.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls 25th Novem'r, 1788.

present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Samuel Miles, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Samuel Maclay, Esq'r, appearing and Doct'r Plunket having sent a Deposition of John Kidd proving a Copy of a Minute of a meeting of this Board of the first Monday in September last, was not given him in a due time, therefore the Case which was to be heard the first Monday in December next. A Copy of this minute being given to Doctor Plunket thirty days before that time.

Elisha Teeters
v. } On Caveat.
John H. Redick.

The Board took into consideration the Testimony produced formerly in this Case whereby it appears, that one James Colvin (who sold to Teeters) was possessed of both a Penns'a Application & a Virginia Certificate, but he had his Survey made on the Application & a Patent issued thereon leaving out the land in contest, & that a Warr't was granted to John Hoge Redick. Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board as Colvin hath not included the land in Controversy in his Patent as the Virginia Certificate was for the same place his Application was located on & as there was no improvement of any consequence at the time of the granting Redick's Warr't except what belongs to him that the Survey shall be returned & accepted on Redick's Warr't & Patent issued to him.

Philip Black
v. } On Caveat.
Francis Hindman.

At a meeting on the 16th day of June last, on the Application of the said Black for a Patent on a Virginia Right, it was made appear that he ought to have a Patent, unless Francis Hindman who it was suggested had by surprise obtained a Patent for the same or a part thereof, should shew cause to the contrary by the first Monday in September last, which he not doing then, nor

at any time since, the Board considering the Certificate of Mr. Nevill now produced & the other Circumstances as set forth in the former Minute, do allow Black a Patent, no Cause being shewn to the contrary by Hindman.

At a Meeting at the Surv'r General's the 1st Decem'r, 1788.

present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Zebulon Potts, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General, The Receiver General, The Secretary } of the Land Office.

Frederick Hummell
v.
Christopher Coble.

This Case being referred at a meeting of this Board the first Monday in September last, to William Scott, Martin Shitter & John Herman to hear the parties and examine their Claims and report, &c., the said Shitter and Herman have reported favorably to Coble who claims under an Application of Jacob Smith for 250 Acres. Therefore it is Ordered that the said Quantity shall be returned for him agreeable to a Survey made by Charles Lukens and that the remainder of that Survey shall be returned for Hummell on Benor's Warrant on the side next to Daniel Hoff's which is supposed to be about 13 Acres so as to include Hummell's House, Improvements & as much as may be of his clear Land.

Robert Denny
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Junkin.

It appears on hearing Junkin & on examining his and the papers of Denny that the land in contest is a small piece near Stony ridge included in a Survey of 113 Acres made in the Year 1764, by Mr. William Lyons without dispute (as Certified by him) on a Warr't dated 1st July, 1762, long before any Office right was obtained by Denny. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed & Junkin allowed a Patent.

Baltzer Barge
v.
Will'm Thompson
Tho's Fleming &
Geo. Smith.

On hearing the parties it appears that Jacob Barge under whom

Baltzer claims, hath a Patent in the year 1784 for a Tract of land Surveyed on an Application in the year 1766 which Survey & Patent includes part of land claimed on Warrants to said Thompson, Smith and Fleming dated in or about the year 1762 or 1763. Therefore this Case is postponed to the first Monday in April next, in Order that the parties may have time to settle the Dispute.

Thomas Urie
v.
Charles Parks. } On Caveat.

Thomas Urie though duly cited not appearing John Paxon whose Father Claims under Parks, was heard exparte, on which it appears that Parks' Application is prior to Urie's. Therefore his Caveat is dismissed & Paxon is allowed a Patent on producing Title under Parks, if Urie shews not Cause to the contrary the first Monday in January next.

John Neely & Others
v.
William Sharp.

This Case having been postponed at a meeting the 2d day of June last, in order that the parties might produce Title & further Testimony at this time & they now appearing were heard. whereby it appears both parties claim under Grant of Samuel Blunston to one James Brackenridge for a tract of land at the Spice Bottom which it is alledged was sold to some of the Culbertson's but no Conveyance is produced, therefore this Case is postponed to the first Monday in April next, against which time the Deputy Surv'r Mr. Henderson is directed to make a Survey & Return a Draught to this Board reporting whether or not Brackenridge's Grant is for that place, in Order that it may be confirmed to such of the Contending parties as shall be Judged to have the best right.

Messrs. Penns
v.
——— Horn.

This Case postponed to the first Monday in March next. in Order that further Testimony may be produced.

Peter Cairnes al's Kerns
v.
James Campbell. } On Caveat.

On hearing Peter Cairnes & examining the papers transmitted by Campbell it appears that Cairnes hath a Warr't for 200 Acres dated the 28th June, 1785. and that Campbell claims by purchase under a Virginia Entry of one Rutherford for 400 Acres, who had circumscribed by lines without authority a large quantity & in

Order to cover the whole Campbell obtained a Warrant from Pennsylvania though of posterior date to Cairnes's and hath laid his Virginia Entry in such manner as to deprive Cairnes's of some land which seems to have been his object at the time of obtaining his Warrant. On Considering this Case the Board are of Opinion that as Campbell hath much more Land than he can support by right prior to Cairnes's he must give up to Cairnes some part, And that as Cairnes appears to have been treating for purchase of part of Campbell's Claim, he cannot be admitted the full compliment of his Warrant, but as he has ——— a very small Plantation if an Addition is not made to his Tract which it is said contains only 69 Acres. Therefore it is Ordered that a piece of about 37 Acres marked in a Draught made by Col'o Nevill for Campbell now before the Board with Green Ink & the land between it & the Creek according to the Green line now made on said Draught supposed to be in the whole about 76 Acres shall be added to Cairnes's 69 Acres Tract & Returned for him on his Warrant.

Benjamin Wells
v. } On Caveat.
Abraham Scott.

Scott not appearing though duly cited, Wells was heard exparte, and it appears that Scott hath the prior Warr't but as there hath been an Ejectment brought by the Lessee of Wells against John Boggs Tenant in possession in the year 1772 & continued from thence to the year 1774 when a verdict was given for the Plaintiff. It is the Opinion of the Board that a Survey shall be made on Wells's Warr't which is dated 5th May, 1785 & Patent issued by the first Monday in May next, unless Scott shews Cause to the contrary on that day.

[See September, 1789.]

Samuel Wells
v.
Amos Wood &
Thomas Rodgers } On Caveat.
v.
Samuel Wells.

In these Cases there being no survey of the land claimed by Wells on his Warr't which is dated the 10th April, 1786, the same is postponed to the first Monday in May next, against which time the Deputy Surveyor is directed to return a Plott of the Surveys of the said Parties to this Board, denoting how they will interfere with each other or with the Claim of any other persons.

The Board on considering the Certificates of the Honble George Wood & David Redick, Esq'rs, & James Marshall, Esq'r with respect to the quantity in the Surveys of Susannah Haines & Abra-

ham Haines and Francis McKiney and John Heaton more than their Warrants were granted for, deem them satisfactory & allows Patents.

Abraham Kirkpatrick
v.
Thomas Timons, Thos. Armor, Wm. Drenen & Ebenezer Cochran. } On Caveat.

Major Kirkpatrick appearing, but not having proof of service of Notice on the other parties, this Case is postponed to the first Monday in March next, he giving notice to the other parties of this postponement, & also giving a Copy of this Minute to Thomas Palmer (who Acts for John Lee Webster who it is suggested is concerned) in Order that said Webster may have Notice.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's Office 9th December, 1788.

present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Zebulon Potts, Esq'r.
Tne Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

The Deposition of the Honble George Woods, Esquire, deposing that a Tract of Land Surveyed & returned by him in the name of Hugh Hunter does not interfere with the Claim of Lewis Castleman on which a Caveat was entered, & that he said Woods Surveyed said Castleman's land by his direction which Survey does not interfere with the Survey now returned for Hunter nor any part thereof, which being Considered Hunter is allowed a Patent.

It appearing by a Conveyance from Laughlin McIntosh dated the 13th April last, that he had Conveyed his right of a Tract of Land, to Samuel Black against whom he had entered a Caveat the 25th March, preceding, Therefore the said Caveat is dismissed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls the 5th Jan'ry, 1789.

present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Richard Willing, Esq.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surv'r Gen'l
Fra's Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry

John Hall
v. } On Caveat.
John Carmichael.

On hearing the parties it appeared that both claim under Warrants including Improvements & bounding on each other. Carmichael claims all the land within the black lines of a Survey made by Alexander McClean in the Year 1771, now before the Board which he insists is agreeable to the consentable lines made between the original or former Possessors of the Tracts, but Hall alledges that a dotted line represented in the said Draught is more agreeable to the consentable line. However as the Testimony produced by the parties seem somewhat Contradictory this Case is referred to Ephraim Douglass, Esquire, the Honble John Smilie & Alexander McClean, Esquire, who are desired to hear the parties with their Witnesses on the Ground & to make their Surveys as agreeable to the consentable line as may be, & to return the same to this Board the first Monday in March next. If Mr. Smilie cannot attend, it is agreed that the Deputy Surveyor shall choose another to act in his place.

Henry Kreber applying for a Patent for 160⅛ Acres of Land in Manchester Township, York County, Surveyed on a Grant or Licence for Settlement made by the Honble Thomas Penn to Martin Borver & the Title Deeds & Transfers being examined which appears somewhat irregular or deficient, Yet on account of the length of quiet possession, & valuable Improvements, he is allowed a Patent.

Jonn. D. Sergeant & James Potter
v. }
Samuel Wallis & Lawr'e Keene.

Dr. Benjamin Rush & John Dunlap appearing on behalf of the said parties & Doct'r Rush producing the report of Mr. Joseph Wallis & a Deposition of William P. Brady & Mr. Dunlap producing a Letter from Gen'l Potter, the same were read, by which it appears that Mr. Wallis had tendered his services to execute the Orders of this Board of 3d March, & 22d Oct'r last, but that the said Mr. Potter declined to attend, or proceed in the Business & Dr. Rush representing that a further delay will be a great disadvantage to him & those concerned with. Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board that the Caveat ought to be dismissed, & it is dismissed accordingly.

Oliver Duff
v. } On Caveat.
William Elliot.

Elliot apearing was heard exparte. And it appears he claims

75 Acres within the lines of a Tract laid out & Surveyed for 1200 Acres by Eli Coulter Assistant to William Thompson, on Application of Jane Elliot, James McMath, William Kearney and Thomas Caldwell, but on a Calculation & Resurvey its found that there is a considerable deficiency in the Tract of Caldwell there being only the said 75 Acres for it. And that Duff supposing the said circumscribed lines to be the bounds of Elliot's claim therefore made his Improvements & obtained a Warrant for 100 Acres, that there is in the vacancy about 225 Acres. It is the Opinion of the Board that as Duff's Warrant is rather small to return the whole on it, especially as Elliot has so great a deficiency. Therefore Order that the said parties shall choose two reputable persons, who with the Deputy Surveyor shall lay out a tract for Duff of about 180 Acres in the most convenient manner to his Imp'ts & the remainder to Elliot, next to & adjoining the 75 Acres if it can be done without including Duff's Imp'ts & to return a Draught & Report to this Board by the first Monday in April next, And if the said parties will not choose two such persons, the Surveyor is directed to choose them.

Andrew Kennedy & Co.
v. } On Caveat.
Benjamin Walker.

At a meeting 7th July last, on hearing of said parties it was Ordered that the Deputy Surv'r should with the Assistance of Robert Fleming, Esquire & James Hepburn make a Resurvey of the Tract mentioned in said Minute & if they could find 200 Acres of good land in the forks [of Pine Cr'k] to take it in & return it, but if not to extend it over the Creek. And the said Fleming and Hepburn having reported their Opinion "That if "Walker had sold 200 Acres on the pre-emption War't the purchas-"ers ought to extend across the Creek to the next bottom oppo-"site & above & fill the Warr't there, as perhaps more than any "Judicious person would wish to run out had been already run out." And now a Draught of 210¼ A's & allowance on both sides of the Creek, made by Thos. Tucker the Deputy Surv'r being produced & the said report considered, the said Kennedy & Co. are allowed a Patent for the same.

At a special meeting the 23d January, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Zebulon Potts, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Peter Leene laid before the Board a Draught of a Survey of 44¼ Acres in lower Saucon Township, North'n County on Warr't dated 12th March, 1752 to Godfridt Breymour whose right seems to be vested in George Oest for whom a Patent is now requested, but as it appears that in the year 1763 one Christian Bachman had entred a Caveat against granting a Patent on said Bremour's Warrant, alledging that he had bought Bremour's Right; the granting a Patent to Oest is postponed to the first Monday in March next, in order that Bachman or Richard Backhouse, Esquire (who it is said has purchased the right of Bachman) may make any objection at that time if any they have. Oest is to give Bachman or Backhouse a Copy of this Minute at least twenty days before the said first Monday in March.

At a special meeting at the Surv'r Gen'ls the 29th January, 1789.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Zebulon Potts, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surv r Gen'l
Fra's Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry

Andrew Ritchie appeared and represented that if the Order of the Board of the 1st Decem'r last, in the case between Peter Carns and James Campbell (under whom Ritchie claims) shall be executed his House and a great part of his Improvements will be included in Carns Survey which was not at that time understood to be the case; Therefore the Deputy Surv'r is directed to represent the Situation of the House and improvem't of Ritchie in a Draught to be returned the first Monday in Novem'r next, at which time the parties may be again heard. Ritchie is to give Carns a Copy of this minute within thirty days from this date, in order that Carns may not be put to the trouble of applying for a Patent before the said first Monday in November.

At a meeting at the Surv'r Gen'ls the 2d February, 1789.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honble George Woods, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surv'r Gen'l
Fra's Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry

Robert Culbertson &
William Rippy
v.
William Brown
and Others.

On hearing Mr. Rippey and James Harris (who appeared for Brown) it appears that Brown claims on a Warrant to William Coles dated 1st July, 1784, and a Survey made thereon, And that Rippey and Culbertson have a Warr't dated 24th August, 1784 for 400 Acres inc'g an Improvement originally made by one William Holiday whose right became vested in them, and joining Adam Holiday's Survey and others; But as it does not appear how the Surveys interfere: This Case is postponed to the first Monday in September next, in order that the Deputy Surveyor may return a Draught join'g Adam Holliday and pointing out the interferences & the Situation and nature of the said Improvements.

Samuel Maclay, Esq'r
and
Dr. William Plunket.

At a meeting of the Board on the first Monday in September last, Mr. Maclay requested a Patent for a tract therein mentioned and suggesting that perhaps Dr. Plunket might have some Objection the first Monday in December last was appointed for hearing the same if any he had, and at the request of said Plunket on the 25th November last it was further continued to this day and now the said Mr. Maclay laying before the Board his Deeds, Transfers and proof of title and Dr. Plunket making no satisfactory Objection, a Patent is allowed to the said Mr. Maclay.

The said Mr. Maclay also produced an Instrument in Writing from Joseph and Margaret Parkes, Ex'rs of John Brady, late of Salisbury deceased, desiring a Caveat entred by him against Capt'n John Brady might be dismissed and the same is dismissed acordingly.

John Hufman
v.
Hugh Gilmore.

At a meeting of this Board 1st Septem'r last it was Order'd that

said Hufman should have a Patent unless Gilmore shall shew Cause to the Contrary the first Monday in December last, which he not doing, a Patent is now Ordered to him said Hufman.

David Huston applying for a Patent for 269 Acres part of a Tract Surveyed on Warr't to Robert Harris dated 30th July, 1746, and declaring that he is willing to allow one half of the purchase money paid at issuing said Warrants altho' he hath not one half of the land held under the same Warrant, the Receiver General is directed to settle the account in that manner.

And the said Huston also applying for a Patent for in right of Elizabeth Weer, and producing his Title Deeds, and the Board examining James Huston, Esq'r now present, a Patent is allowed to issue for the same.

Smith & Campbell
v. } On Caveat.
C. Welkner.

This case is postponed to the first Monday in November next, in Order that Smith may have an opportunity of producing further Testimony.

At a meeting at the Surv'r General's 23d February, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable George Woods, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

David McFee's Survey of 289 Acres on Warrant of 20th March, 1776, Containing more than the quantity of the Warrant and the usual allowance, being laid before the Board and examined and the Certificate of the former Deputy of the District where this land lies, being Considered the same is allowed to be accepted, and Patent to be issued.

The title Deeds of ——— claiming under John Nichol's Warrant dated ——— being considered a Patent is allowed.

George Hughes applying for a Patent for 193 Acres, on which it appears a Nonsuit hath been suffered in the Supreme Court, a Patent is allowed.

Levi Hollingsworth
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Cook.

On hearing James McLene, Esquire, for Cook, and the said Mr. Hollingsworth, it appears that a Survey of 386 Acres hath been

made on Warr't to Robert Miller, dated 23d July, 1773, whose right became vested in James Wilson under whom said Hollingsworth claims, And that Cook claims the same by virtue of a Commissioners Sale and Deed for taxes due from James Wilson. But it appearing by a Receipt of David Rittenhouse for the Taxes of said 386 Acres which had been transmitted to the said Commissioners previous to the Sale by Mr. Hollingsworth, that he had done all in his power to defray his Taxes due agreeable to Law. It is the Opinion of the Board that a Patent shall be issued for the same to Mr. Hollingsworth.

At a special meeting the 28th February, 1789.

Present as next before.

Mr. Smyser laid before the Board the papers of ———— who claims under one Joseph who claimed in right of a Warrant to one Huffman and on which right Joseph had entred a Caveat in the year 1762 against one Stem, and the said Mr. Smyser declaring there was no Dispute subsisting between the said ———— and ———— Hoover who had purchased Stem's right, the said Caveat is dismissed.

The Draught of Matthew Taylor's Survey of ——— Acres, being examined and the Certificate of the Dep'y Surveyor considered, a Patent is allowed notwithstanding it contains considerable more than the quantity of ye Warrant on which the Survey is made.

The Title Deeds of Paulus Pauli who claims under Jacob Holben or Holvig and other Testimony being considered. It is the Opinion of the Board that the said Holben had taken out a Warrant by the name of Jacob Colver by the misformation of the person who applied for the same. And therefore he is allowed a Patent for the Survey which includes the land granted by said Warrant to Colver.

At a meeting at the Surv'r Gen'ls the 3d March, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Richard Willing, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surv'r Gen'l
Fra's Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry

Alexander White Guardian of the 3 Daughters of Col'o John Hite deceased
v.
Isaac White & Jno. White.
On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that the Hites claim under an Application No. 125 in the name of John Cumpton entred 3d April, 1769 and a Survey made thereon of 297½ Acres in the Year 1772, on which a Resurvey hath since been made by Alexander McClean Deputy Surveyor. And that Isaac & John White being vested with the right of an Improvement made by their father William White deceased, on a tract adjoining said Hite's Tract obtained a Warrant dated 24th September, 1785, including the same Improvement on which a Survey hath also been made interfering with Cumpton's, And that the said Isaac and John White or one of them hath since cleared land and built, or laid the foundation for a barn within the Survey afores'd of Cumpton. The Board are of Opinion that the said Survey of the Hite's ought to be established, but inasmuch as some of the cleared land and Barn of Isaac or John White included therein and as Benjamin Whaley who appeared for Alex'r White hath no objection to allow them the same on Condition it shall finally settle the dispute. Therefore it is Ordered that a small piece of land including the Barn and cleared field whereon his House is erected supposed to contain between 10 and 20 Acres shall be cut off from Cumpton's Survey & added to the Survey of Isaac and John White which Alexander McClean, Esq'r, is hereby directed to do and return the same to this Board, in such a manner as to injure the claim of the said Heirs as little as possible.

George Kennedy
v.
John & Thos. Miller and George Marks.
On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that the Surveys are not returned, therefore this case is postponed to the first Monday in May next, at which time the Deputy Surveyor George Palmer, is directed to make return of the Surveys on the prior Warrants, with such remarks as he may think proper to elucidate the matter.

[illegible]chael Bretzius
v.
John Lingle.
On Caveat.

In this case it appears that both parties were entitled to Warrants to George Sholl dated 3d May, 1759, on which two Tracts were Surveyed one of 155 Acres which now belongs to Lingle and the other being vested in Bretzius, he dropped that right and ob-

tained a new Warr't & had a Survey of 444 Acres made including his old Survey on Sholl's Warr't and a Patent hath issued therefore the said Lingle is allowed a Patent for his 155 Acres and Bretzius's Caveat is dismissed.

John Chestnut
v. } On Caveat.
James Ferguson

The parties appearing agreed that this matter might be postponed to the first monday in May next.

Sean
v.
Bachman

On the 23d January last a Patent was ordered to Sean unless Bachman's Heirs or Adm'rs should make objection this day, which they not doing, the Caveat is dismissed & Oest allowed a Patent.

Christopher Truby, Esq'r
and
George Boyer

The parties having chosen Arbitrators to wit, William Todd, John Procter, Nehemiah Stokely, Philip Smith and Alexander McDowell whose report, which is now produced, giving to Truby 80 Acres laid out by a parallel line run to the line N. 73 E. 237 perches to the line said to be run by John Boyd is confirmed, and the Surveys Ordered to be regulated agreeable thereto.

Jane Bee (intermarried with Jno. Fitzgerald)
v. } On Caveat.
James Brison

Tris case is postponed to the first monday in June next, in order that Fitzgerald may produce further Testimony.

The Honorable the Penn's
v.
Abraham Horn

On Caveat postponed to this day from the first monday in December last.

On hearing it appears that the land in contest is about 80 Acres of Land in Williams Township near Easton in the County of Northampton, for which said Horn hath lately obtained a Warr't and had a Survey made, And that the same land had been Surveyed many years agoe, on a Warrant to Lawrence Merkle dated 1737, who sold this part of the Survey to one of whom the late Prop'rs purchased the same for the sum of £161

and hath had a constant possession thereof ever since. It is the Opinion of the Board that at the time of granting said Horn's Warrant the said land was appropriated, and therefore Order that Horn's Survey shall be rejected.

Michael Esh
v. } On Caveat.
Conrad Meyer

On hearing it appears that Esh hath a Warrant for 50 Acres dated 1772, on which Warr't only about 32 Acres were returned, supposing that one Silvius (of whom Esh purchased) had an Officer right for a piece of 23 Acres which had been Surveyed by one of the Scull's but it seems no Warr't can be found though constantly improved by Esh. It is Ordered that both pieces shall be returned on the said Esh's Warrant and Meyer's Survey rejected.

Eleazor Powell
v.
Margaret Williamson

On Caveat postponed from the first monday in October last to this day.

Mr. Williamson alledging that if he had further time he can produce more Testimony, therefore this case postponed to the first monday in September next.

Robert Bleakley
v. } On Caveat.
John Nevill

Bleakley not appearing, Thomas Moorehead Assignee of John Nevill was heard exparte, and thereon it appears that Moorehead claims under an Application of Samuel Martin entered 17th May, 1769, No. 3239, for 300 Acres on the East side of Monongahela, on Al'r Bolen's run joining Rich Hill on the South and Alexander Bolen's on the West. And that Bleakley hath a Warrant and Survey of 322 Acres, which appears, from the Testimony now offered by Moorehead to include land beyond the consentable lines between their places; Therefore it is Order'd that a Survey shall be made on Martin's Application for Moorehead as agreeable to the consentable line as may be, which it is supposed will take about 20 Acres from Bleakley's Survey, but not to take in Bleakley's Improvement, And that a Return be made to this Board the first monday in September next, at which time Bleakley may make objection if any he has, to the granting Patent to Morehead. A Copy of this minute is to be given Bleakley thirty days before the said first monday in September.

Joseph Reed
v. } On Caveat.
John Ankrum

This case postponed to the first monday in September next, in Order that the parties may settle the dispute.

John Irwin, Esquire, in the presence of Messrs. Brinigh and Painter (who they say are friends and Neighbours of Conrad Shitler) laid before the Board the State of a dispute between said Shitler and Irwin by which it appears that Shitler hath a Warrant dated in the year 1786, and a Survey made thereon which appears to interfere with two Tracts patented to D. Roberdeau and with one Surv'd in the year 1772, for said Irwin on Application dated the 25 day of July, 1769, which seems to be well located on the ground, On which Representation it is the Opinion of the Board that Shitler's Survey ought to be rejected if he shall make nothing appear to the contrary the first monday in September next, Mr. Irwin to give Shitler a Copy of this minute at least thirty days before the said first monday.

Col'o Stephen Bayard whose Wife Elizabeth is Heir at Law of Samuel Mackey only Son of Eneas Mackey laid before the Board a Draught of a Survey made by B. Lodge pursuant to an Order dated 29th August, 1787, and also proof that he had notifyed Jacob Bousman who it is said claims under And'w Hoy's Application No. 3206 which is represented to interfere in some measure with Mr. Kay's Survey on Application No. 83 which being prior to Hoy's and as Bousman hath made no objection a Patent is allowed to said Bayard & Wife.

On the first monday in September last Alex'r Wright, Esq'r, applied for a Patent for 388 Acres on Raccoon waters in Washington County on a Virginia entry to Thomas Armor, but as it appeared that John Moore had obtained a Patent for a part of the same on a later right therefore the granting a Patent to Armor was deferred untill this time in Order that Moore might make objection (if any he had) which he not doing though duly notified Armor is allowed a Patent.

David Flowers
v.
Robert Jones
and
John Davis
v.
Jean Jones

On the fifth May last, these Cases were continued to the first monday in February last, but they not then appearing & now David Redick and Theophilus Phillips, Esq'rs, appeared for the parties, and agreed to refer these matters to Zachariah Gapen and John Minor, who are to report to the Board the first monday in September next, to which time these cases are continued.

William Bay
v.
Jno. Stone

This case is referred by consent of Messrs. Allison and Wright (who appeared for the parties) to Jno. Canon, William Smith and the Deputy Surveyors Nevill Ritchie, or one of them [the said Surveyors] to hear the parties, examine their Testimony and to make the line between the parties as the said Referees shall judge right, and they are desired to make report to the Board the first monday in September next.

John Davis laying before the Board his title Deeds, &c'a to 70 Acres of Land in Coventry Township, Chester County, surveyed on Thomas Eldridge's Warrant which being considered he is allowed a Patent.

Holmes
v.
Foutz

A Judgment of Court being produced the caveat is dismissed.

James Kuykendale
v
John Wallace

The Report of the Referees in this Case to wit, Philip Ross & others chosen by the parties the first monday in September last which is in favor of Kuykendale being read and considered the same is confirmed.

Kirkpatrick
v.
Holland & Loney

Postponed till the first monday in Septem. next.

Samuel Wilson allowed a Patent for 174 Acres surveyed on Warrant dated the 21st June, 1738, to Matthew Wilson.

Bernard Dougherty
v.
John Piper

Postponed to the first monday in September next in order that the Board may be furnished with a Copy of Dougherty's Warrant on which his Survey was made and a Copy of the proceedings of the Court in an Ejectment between the parties.

Joseph Wilson allowed a Patent on his Warrant and Virginia Certificate.

Henry Work allowed a Patent on A. Foster's Warrant and John Kings Certificates and Sheriffs Deed.

John Sample's Caveat against White dismissed, and Joseph Miller allowed Patent.

Christopher Dim allowed Patents for Surveys on his two War-

rants of 19th November, 1784 and 31st December, 1784, which exceed the quantity of the Warrants.

Hare
v.
Orth

Postponed to September next.

Leeper
v.
Hurst

At the request of Hurst this Case is postponed to the first Monday in September next.

John White
v.
Daniel Swearingen — On Caveat.

Postponed to the first monday in September next at the request of White of which he is to have notice.

At a Special meeting of the Board the 20th day of March, 1789.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Hon'ble George Woods, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l — of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

John Nicholson, Esq'r
v.
Samuel Meredith, Esq'r
v.
said Nicholson, Griffith
Evans, Capt'n Duncan
or the heirs of Dr. Benney — On Caveat.

Agreeable to notice the parties appeared on the first monday in February last and were then heard after which they stated their claims and testimony respectively in writing which hath been kept under consideration until this time whereupon it appears that some part of the lands claimed by Mr. Nicholson and others on Warrants dated 22d February, 1785, to James Davison, Mary Martin, John McNair, James Wilson, John Coone, Isaac Wilson, Susannah Colliday, Marcy Martin, Samuel Nicholson, John Wilson, Catharine Coleman, John McKinney, Joseph Thornhill, James Valliant, Abraham McKinney, John Scott, John Sutton, Mary Colliday, William Colliday, Jun., Ann Manning, Richard Manning, Capt'n Jost Slover, Sarah Slover, John Nicholson, Charles Lear,

James Dunlap, Dr. Barnabas Binney, Joseph Sahler, John Dunlap, Henry Jackson, Leonard Woodrow, Susanna Woodrow, Robert King, Neil McCoy, Susanna Lear and Elizabeth Jackson lie within surveys of three Tracts of about 3000 Acres each made in the year 1774 by Charles Stewart Deputy Surveyor on Warrants dated the 20th day of August in the same year granted to Benjamin Chew, Edward Shippen and And'w Allen or to some of them It is therefore the opinion of the Board and it is Ordered that Mr. Meredith or those who legally claim under the said Warrants and Surveys of Chew, Shippen and Allen (which surveys were made by the proper Deputy Surveyor when no right or claim of the other party existed) shall have Patents, And that such of the Surveys of Messrs. Nicholson, Evans, Duncan and Binney as lie within the same or interfere therewith shall be excluded from and regulated according to the said Surveys of Chew, Shippen and Allen.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 6th April, 1789.

Present

The Hon'ble George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Richard Willing, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Gordon Neely & ors
v.
William Sharp

On Caveat postponed from the first of December last.

On hearing the parties and examing a Draft made by Matthew Henderson pursuant to order of the Board it appears that only 162 Acres of the claim of Neely and others is left unpatented by Sharp on which 162 As. some Improvements were made by some of the Culbertsons which the Neelys alledge was Robert Culbertson' property, but as neither of the parties can make any legal right appear under Brackenridges grant who both claim under the Board takes it up on an Improvement right which they suppose to have belonged to Robert Culbertson at the time of his death. Andrew Culbertson in the year 1743 sold the same to one McConnel who sold to James Sharp who having continued in quiet possession and having made valuable Improvements. It is the opinion of the Board that Mrs. Sharp shall have a Patent for the same the first monday in October next provided she pays the Heirs of Robert Culbertson as much as two men mutually chosen shall judge the value of the Improvement made thereon at the

time of the said Roberts Death. The Board means that the valuation should be made as to the Improvements made before the year 1743 the time of Andrew Culbertson's sale to McConnell.

William Schooley
v.
Robert Adams

This Case is postponed to the first monday in September next.

Dr. Johnston
v.
The Heirs of I. Irwin

On hearing Mr. Irwins objections against granting a Patent to Dr. Johnston directs by the minutes of 7th Nov'r last, It is thought proper to postpone this Case to the first monday in October next in order that Irwins may have an opportunity of producing testimony as to a Survey of James Smith.

Dr. Johnston
v.
Abel Mouser Ass'ee of Ludwig Miller who hath sold to Pat'k Mooney

Dr. Johnston appearing having no proof of service of Notice this Case is postponed to the first Monday in October next against when Mr. Henderson is desired to make a Survey and Resurvey of the lands in dispute.

Mr. Joseph Wallis laid before the Board a Survey of 31 Acres made for Samuel Harris on Warrant dated 5 April, 1786, which appearing to be an Island in the West branch of Susquehanna opposite land of said Harris about one mile above the mouth of Loyalsock Creek, the same Survey is ordered not to be returned at present as no general rule or order hath been made for granting such Islands.

Jacob Wieulle
v.
Lawrence Smith

On hearing the parties it appears that both parties have Warrants and Improvements and that there is 303 Acres of Land therefore it is ordered that the Surveyor make & return a Survey of equal quantity for each in the most convenient manner so as to accommodate both Improvements.

14th April, 1789, Present as before only Dr. Gregg instead of Richard Willing.

James Strawbridge
v.
William Hymes

On hearing the parties it appears that Strawbridge claims under Warrants to James Stuart No. 338 and No. 457 which was surveyed on land of a mean quality and contrary to his mind as Strawbridge alledges and that he afterward desired the Warrants might be laid on land which hath since been surveyed for Hymes but as no Testimony is now produced proving the making the Surveys of Strawbridge against his will this case is postponed to the first monday in June next.

Dan Griffiths, Esq'r
v.
Hazael Thomas

Ordered Patent to the persons to whom the Arbitrators allowed it to wit: David Thomas, Dan. Griffith, Esq'r, Hazeal Thomas, William Griffith, Levi Griffith, John McCracking, Jeremiah Jarman, Sam'l Thomas, David Thomas, Jun.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 4th May, 1789.

Present

The Hon'ble George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble Amos Gregg, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

John Brown
v.
Daniel Zerby

This Case is postponed to the first monday in July next in order that the Deputy Surveyor Thomas Clark, Daniel Ludwig and Jacob Miley may hear the Testimony of the parties and make a Resurvey of their Land point out the interferences if any and report to the Board again the first monday in July next.

Yost Crantz
v.
David Espy, Esq'r

On hearing it appears that David Espy claims under an Application No. 3186 of Archibald McAllister on which it is said that a survey of about 235 Acres was made in the year 1767 by Richard

Tea but no Return of that survey is made but Mr. Woods has made a Survey on the 2 June, 1785, leaving out Crantz's House but including some land he has cleared since the making the Survey by Tea, Therefore it's ordered that Mr. Espy shall be allowed a Patent on the first monday in October next on producing proof that such Survey was made in the year 1767 by Mr. Tea either by his field Notes or by blotting the line trees or other sufficient Testimony that the Resurvey made in the year 1785 is agreeable to the first Survey except in that part in which Mr. Woods has departed from the original lines in favor of Crantz which proof is to be produced the first monday in October next to which time this dispute is continued.

James McDowell
v.
The Heirs of Hugh Stevenson } On Caveat

It appearing from a Draught now before the Board made by the Deputy Surveyor that the Location of McDowell cannot with any propriety affect the Survey of the Heirs of Hugh Stevenson, Therefore a Patent is allowed them the first monday in October next if McDowell shew cause to the contrary at that time.

Asher Layton
v.
Andrew Mann

On hearing it appears that no Return of Survey is made of Layton's land therefore this Case is postponed to the first monday in November next when it directed that a Survey shall be made and Returned pointing out the interference with Mans, and the consentable lines if any.

John Moore
v.
Joseph Morrison

On reading a letter from George Woods, Esq'r who appeared as Agent for Morrison at the last meeting of the Board respecting this case wherein he declares he had been mistaken or misinformed and giving up any pretensions in behalf of Morrison a Patent is allowed to Moore.

John Woods, Esq'r
v.
William Boniface } On Caveat.

Mr. Boniface not appearing but sending a few lines desiring that Mr. Woods may be confined to the original lines run by General Thompson, and Mr. Woods declaring he desires no more, therefore it is ordered that the Deputy Surveyor of the District return to the Board on the first monday in October next a Resurvey of the

Tract claimed by Woods agreeable to the Original lines thereof made by the said General Thompson.

Richard Gonzales
v.
James Packer

It appearing by the Affirmation of Samuel Wallis, Esq'r, that Benjamin Brown under whom Gonzales claims, in the year 1769 set up a claim under that Application to a Tract in a different place from that now claimed by Gonzales, and that a part of the land now claimed is already Patented, therefore his Caveat is dismissed and a Patent allowed to Packer.

It being represented by Christopher Uhler that some Witnesses in the case between Beck and Kelker were not examined by him and the other Referrees appointed 3d November last, Therefore the determination of this Case is postponed to the first monday in September next in order that the Referrees may hear such further Testimony as shall be offered and again report to the Board.

Arthur Buchannan, Esq'r
v.
James Burns, Esq'r

Buchannan not appearing though duly cited Burns was heard exparte whereon it appears that Burns claims by a regular chain of Title under a Warrant dated in the year 1755 to Arthur Forster and a Survey and Resurvey made thereon by William McClay, Esq'r, and it appears by Certificates from William Lyons, Esq'r, Prothonotary of Cumberland and Edward Burd, Prothonotary of the Supreme Court, that no Action is depending in the said Courts between the parties as asserted in the Caveat therefore the Caveat is dismissed and Burns allowed a Patent.

Robert Holmes
v.
James Hepburn

On hearing James Hepburn Exparte, Holmes not appearing though duly notified as directed by Order of the Board the 22 day of October last and now the Board taking up and considering the papers left by the parties in November, 1786, are of opinion that James Hepburn has the preference in point of Improvement right as declared by the Act of Assembly called the Pre-emption law and therefore the Surveyor is directed to return the Survey of about 191 As. 67 Ps. for Hepburn on his Warrant in order that Patent may issue.

George Kennedy
v.
George Marks and
Jno. & Thos. Miller

The parties appearing and George Palmer representing that some part of the business directed by order of the Board on the day of last was not in his power to perform for want of his assistance field Notes being transmitted to him therefore these Cases are postponed to the first monday in next when Mr. Palmer is desired to furnish the Board with a proper representation of the Lands disputed by the said parties.

Alexander McKeehan
v.
Christopher Hays

Continued to the first monday in November next in order that the former direction of the Board may be complied with.

Samuel Davis
v.
William Duffield
& Philip Davis
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Duffield and Philip Davis have an Application & Survey and that Samuel Davis hath no Office right that his Improvement right is very trifling therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

John Cook
v.
William Latta
and others

Cook not appearing his Caveat is ordered to be dismissed unless he shall shew cause to the contrary the first monday in September next.

John Hall
v.
John Carmichael

The Report of Alexander McClean, Ephraim Douglas and Mathew Gilcreest, Esq'rs, being read & considered the surveys of the parties are established agreeable to the same Report.

[See Special meetings in May entered after June meetings.]

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 2 June, 1789.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Hon'ble John Beard, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General
The Secretary
} of the Land Office.

Henry Strunk
v.
Thomas Lightfoot

On hearing Peter Ruffner for Strunk and said Lightfoot it appears that Lightfoot claims the Land in dispute under a Warrant and Survey made in the year 1753 for John Morris long before any right or claim of Strunk existed and which land he said Lightfoot hath recovered by proceedings in the Supreme Court therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Margaret Duvall
v.
Andrew Woodrow &
William Pettyjohn
} On Caveat.

After hearing Martin Hardin (for Duvall) and the said Woodrow it was agreed by them before the Board that the 65 Acres of Land surveyed on Pettyjohn's Warrant in the year 1772 the right to which is vested in said Woodrow shall be surveyed and added to the vacancy left out of the same survey between it and Thomas Battin and returned for Mrs. Duvall on her Warrant and the remainder of the survey which is 364¾ Acres surveyed on Woodrow's Warrant shall be returned and Patented to said Woodrow which agreement the Board Judges right and reasonable and confirmed.

Henry Fishell
v.
John Dodds
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Dodds being possessed of an Improvem't right made consentable lines with his neighbours (the vacancy within which is contained about 316 Acres) and sold a part thereof to one Leonard Appley a Brother in Law of Fishell after which he said Fishell obtained a Warrant for 150 Acres which would include the Land sold by Dodds to Appley on which Warrant a survey of 47 Acres hath been made, And Fishell now claims part of the 269 Acres surveyed on Dodds Warrant, On considering this Case it is the opinion of the Board that the said Surveys shall be returned for parties as they are made.

James Strawbridge
v.
William Hymes
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that James Strawbridge had a number of Lottery Warrants to have surveyed for himself and others particularly two in the name of James Stuart that at the making of those surveys the Agent of Mr. Strawbridge and the Assistant Surveyor disputed considerably on the mode of laying those Warrants which Strawbridge being informed of and know-

ing the quality of the Land declined accepting those surveys and they were accordingly not lodged in the Surveyor General's Office, but by consent of Mr. Tucker one of the said two Warrants (provided the Surveyor General or the Board of Property would suffer the first surveys to be disannulled) was to be laid on a Tract of Land which Strawbridge Located with the Deputy Sr. Mr. Tucker and is the land now in dispute. That Mr. Hymes discovering the same Land had a survey made thereon and afterwards obtained a Warrant for Land in the New Purchase No. 902 dated the 10 March, 1789, and procured the Survey so made to be Returned on it. On considering the whole circumstances and testimony it is the opinion of the Board that as the Survey for Hymes was not made agreeable to Law the same is void And that as Strawbridge had located the same before the making that survey with the Deputy Surveyor, It is ordered that the surveys of Strawbridge on Stuarts Warrants be void and that the land located as aforesaid by Strawbridge shall be surveyed and Returned on Stewarts Warrant and Hymes's survey rejected.

Jane Bee who Intermarried with John Fitzgerald
v.
James Brison

On Caveat postponed from March last. On hearing said Fitzgerald and Michael Huffnagle for Brison it is alledged by Fitzgerald that there was surveyed two Tracts of Land for one William Beaty in the year 1770 containing in the whole about 600 Acres, one on an Application of William Allen, the other on Henry Beaty, Jun'rs, Application, That one of the Tracts is claimed by David Merchant, That the other is now claimed by Fitzgerald on a survey made on Beaty Application, but as there is no Return of Survey neither does there appear any Survey among the Field Notes of Gen'l Thompson, this case is postponed to the first monday in May next in order that the Deputy Surveyor Benjamin Lodge together with William Finley, Esq'r, and David Merchant (whom the parties choose) may hear them on the ground examine their Testimony to be produced and report their opinion whether or not the survey was made at the time and on the said Ground, and to point out how Brinson's survey interferes with the same survey of Beaty if they shall judge such an one was made.

John Tinkey
v.
John Adair
On Caveat.

It appearing by several Depositions that Adair could not be found to notify, the Board proceeded to hear David Redick, Esq'r, on behalf of Tinkey and on examining the survey of Tinkey it appears that there is only 281 Acres surveyed a Virginia Certi-

ficates (110 Acres of which is claimed by Adair) The Board are of opinion that Tinkey ought to have a Patent for the whole Survey if Adair shews not cause to the contrary by the first monday in September next.

On hearing the reasons of Joseph Wallis for making the Survey of Abraham Webster now belonging to Abraham Heydrick which exceeds the quantity of the Warrant and £10 ℔ Cent. the same is allowed.

The Secretary having laid before the Board the Certificates and Applications of James Moore, Dewald Snyder and others being for divers Tracts of Land in different Townships in York County. Ordered that the same be sent back in order that separate Certificates may be got and distince Warrants issue if the Appliers choose. And the Application of Newcomer being for two pieces adjoining his other land allowed to issue.

William Wilson
v.
Isaac Israel

This Case postponed to the first monday in September next, Israel sending as an excuse that his Council Mr. David Bradford was abroad and had his Papers.

William Douglass
v.
Henry Trout Assignee of William Thorn } On Caveat.

It appearing that the paraties had left this Case to Referrees who have Ordered Patent to Trout, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 23d June, 1789.

Present

The Hon'ble George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Hon'ble John Beard, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surveyor Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Off.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary.

The Title Deeds of Richard Dearmond (and a Certificate of Benj'n Wallace, William Brown and Richard Johnston certifying that Dearmond and others who claimed under one Mr. Neely who it is said purchased of Alexander Blaine) being examined and considered, a Patent is allowed to issue for 132 Acres Land in Hanover Township, Dauphin County, surveyed on Warrant dated the 5th December, 1737.

The Certificate of Henry Vanderslice respecting a Tract of Land Situate in Earl Township, Berks County, surveyed on Warrant to John Bishop dated the 24 May, 1787, and now transferred to Thomas Rutter being considered he is allowed a Patent notwithstanding the Survey contains more than the Warrant.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 19 May, 1789.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, Phesident.
The Hon'ble Richard Willing, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General, The Receiver General, The Secretary } of the Land Office.

William Lowrie
v.
Jon'a & Wm. Markland, Jno. Dickinson & Wm. Wallace

On examining the Draught or Representation of the Lands in dispute between the said parties made by David Redick, Esq'r, pursuant to order of the Board the 6 August, 1787 and inspecting their Warrants, it is ordered that as Jonathan Markland appears to have 35 Acres more than the quantity of his Warrant the same quantity be cut off from it, That as John Dickinson has only a 200 Acres Warrant prior to Lowrie's the piece of about 64 Acres within his Survey marked B be cut off from it, and that the said 35 Acres and 64 Acres together with the two vacancies making about 72 Acres shall be returned for Lowrie on his Warrant agreeable to the red lines in the said Draft marked Lowrie and with the Letters A and B with red Ink containing in the whole about 171 Acres.

William Davison
v.
John Stokely

The Report of James Hamon, Henry Swindler, Archibald Scott and Alexander McClean together with a Draught made by said McClean pursuant to order of Board of 3 March, 1788 being considered it is judged proper to postpone the determination of this Case to the first monday in October next as Mr. McClean declares that the said Draught is not perfect there being an unaccountable error which he cannot rectify without going on the ground again, which he says he will do as soon as possible.

Special meeting 26 May, 1789.

Present as before, only Dr. Gregg instead of Mr. Willing.

Philip Crever son of Philip Crever who was empowered by his Father and Baltzer Hamm to agree for two Tracts of Land in Dover and Manchester Townships, York County, surveyed on Warrants dated respective 24 February, 1767 and 11 April, 1767, appeared before the Board and treated for the same, whereupon the said Tracts were offered at the rate of £30 ℔ Cent of the Emissions of 1785 which he agreed to give.

Charles Diehl
v.
Andrew Finley } On Caveat.

A Certificate from William Kersey, Deputy Surveyor, relating to the same Caveat being read and considered it is ordered to be dismissed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's Office the 7th July, 1789.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Hon'ble Christopher Kucher, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Secretary } of the Land Office.
The Receiver General

Isaac Seely on behalf of himself and others
v.
Lewis Lewis } On Caveat.

The further consideration of this Case is postponed till Tuesday next at ten O'Clock in the forenoon of which Mr. DeHaas has undertaken to give notice to Gen'l Heister in case of his arrival in Town before that time.

Michael Confer
v.
Henry Yorwick
& Jacob Christ } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Yorwick & Christ have a Warrant dated 16 June, 1788, and a Survey of 241 Acres made thereon a part of which Confer claims by virtue of a Warrant to John Hutton dated in the year 1751 located in a place many miles distant from the Land in contest, and as he hath no proof of any Survey being made thereon his Caveat is ordered to be dismissed and Yorwick and Christ's survey accepted.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals 17th July, 1789.

Present as before except the Vice President instead of the President.

Seely, Burd and Bradford
v.
Dehaas & Heister

On examining the Draft and Report of Joseph Wallis, Esquire, Deputy Surveyor, pursuant to order of this Board the 7th August, 1786, and the Board now examining him on his Affirmation it appears that the Land applied for by Maurer and Lautensliger is about thirty five miles distant from the Land in contest, therefore it is ordered that the Surveys made on Warrants to Risk, Bryan & Lewis which Burd & Bradford claim shall be Returned and Patents issue to them.

George Ryan who claims Warrant to John Leashure dated 24th June, 1785, applying for Patent and hearing his reasons why the Survey exceeds the quantity of Warrant, the Survey is allowed to be accepted.

At a Special Meeting 29th July, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable Christopher Kucher, Esquire.
The Receiver General
The Surveyor General
The Secretary
of the Land Office.

The Papers and Title Deeds of 2 Tracts of Land one contain'g 31 Acres and the other 25 Acres parts of a Tract of 62 Acres in ——— Township, Northampton County, Surveyed on Warrant dated ——— granted to Michael Rishell belonging to ——— Moore and Henry Miller respectively, and for which they now apply for Patents being produced and examined, the same were approved and Patents allowed.

The Board resumed the Consideration of the Testimony and Title Deed of Josiah Crawford, relating to a Tract of Land in ——— Township late Cumberland now Franklin County, Surveyed on Warrant to John Gibson dated ——— and the same were approved and a Patent allowed.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General's the 3d August, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable Christopher Kucher, Esq'r.
The Officers of the Land Office.

Catherine Knorr
v. } On Caveat.
John Arbegast.

The parties appearing, agreed to leave their dispute to John Ludwig, Esquire, Philip Sphon and Gabriel Hiester, Esquire, who are desired to hear the parties with their Witnesses, and examine the Ground, and report to this Board the first Monday in September next.

John Leas
v. } On Caveat.
Jacob Bruch.

It appears on hearing the parties that the Land in contest is a small piece of about 15 Acres in Reading Township, York County, for which Bruch hath a Warrant & Survey. And that the Father of Leas had a Warrant in the year 1751 and a Survey of 107 Acres made thereon by Thomas Armor and that Leas in order to cover the piece in controversy took out a late Warrant for the said lot & Acres and would make use of the old Warrant to take the Land Surveyed for Bruch. The Board are therefore of Opinion that as both Warrants are for the same place, the said Leas ought to Patent on the first Warrant and as the Lines thereof cannot be extended so as to include the vacancy marked A in a Draught of John Forsyth now before the Board without going through the Lines of a Patented Tract the said Bruch's Survey ought to be accepted and a Patent granted.

Nicholas Leetch
v. } On Caveat.
Christian Traxel.

The parties appearing and being heard, it appears, that both claim under an Application of William Yates for 300 Acres of Land on Plumb Run in Bedford County That Robert McCrea made a Survey of a Tract of Land on the same Application, but how much it contained is not certain the Survey not being returned into the Surveyor Gen'ls Office. That the said Yates and Wife by Deed dated 21st May, 1774 Conveyed 200 Acres of Land by Metes and Bounds therein expressed to John Cravel whose right became vested in said Leetch. And by Deed dated 2d Decm'r, 1784, Conveyed 30 Acres of Land to the said Traxel which Tract or some part thereof said Leetch claims: Whereupon it is

the Opinion of the Board and it is Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor of the District shall Resurvey the Tract so Surveyed on the said Application for the said William Yates by the said Robert McCrea according to the original Lines and Bounds thereof and lay off the Tract of 200 Acres for Leetch according to the Lines and Bounds of his Deed and if any shall remain within the lines run by McCrea and out of those comprehended in Yates's Deed to Cravel to return a Draught thereof to this Board, all which is to be done by the first Monday in November next.

James McCullough
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Steel.

This Case is postponed to the first Monday in October next, in Order that proof may be produced what distance the Chestnut Oak corner of a Survey of a 49 Acres Tract or McCullough is from the Maryland Line.

The Petition of Williamina Bond which had been exhibited to the Supreme Executive Council and referred to this Board stating "that on a Warrant to William Patterson dated 13th July, 1762, "a Survey was made of 216⅞ Acres in the West end of Kishaco-"quilles Valley That when the County of Bedford was erected "the said Tract fell within the limits thereof and is now by "another division a considerable distance within the limits of "Huntingdon County, That by Patent dated 26th August, 1788 a "Confirmation was made of the said Tract (for want of informa-"tion of the several changes in the Counties) as Land still re-"maining in Cumberland County and praying that the Mistake "might be rectifyed" being read and considered It is Ordered that the Surveyor General shall direct the Deputy Surveyor of the District to examine the Lines of the Survey of the said Tract and certify to this Board what County the same lies in.

A Letter from George Boone to his Brother Jeremiah being produced and the said Jeremiah appearing in person both declaring that they have no right to the Land of Edmund Physick against which a Caveat was entered by William Boone the Executor of the last Will of George Boone their Father: therefore the said Caveat is dismissed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's Office 7th September, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Nathan Denison, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surveyor Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Secretary

Philip Feterow
v. } On Caveat.
John Elder.

On hearing the parties it appears that the land in dispute is contained in a survey made by Charles Lukens on Enoch Bennet's Application long before Feterow obtained his Warrant therefore Elder is allowed a Patent agreeable to the said Survey made by Mr. Lukens and Feterow's Caveat is dismissed.

Joseph Dickson
v. } On Caveat.
Pomry & Wilson.

On hearing the Parties and examining their Papers it appears than on an Action tried between James Kerr (under whom the said Dickson claims) and the said Pomry & Wilson a Verdict was given against Kerr; therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

Thomas Moorehead
v.
Robert Bleakly.

On hearing Robert Bleakly's Objections to a Survey of Moorehead made pursuant to the Order of this Board of 3d March last: It is Ordered that the Land in dispute shall be divided according to a Line marked on the Draught of said Survey with red Ink.

James Barr Esquire
v. } On Caveat.
William Duncan.

The parties appearing before the Board, declared that they had been Mistaken their Lands lying several Miles distant, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Christopher Pitzer
v. } On Caveat.
Nicholas Helmeck.

The parties appearing, and Pitzer not making good the Allegation of his Caveat, the same is dismissed.

Levi Stevens
v.
Levi Dungan
and others.
} On Caveat.

Stevens not appearing though he had cited Dungan, the Board proceeded to examine the claim of Dungan, whereon it appears that Dungan had purchased from Stevens his claim of Improvement to about 900 Acres for which Dungan obtained Warrants in his own name and the names of his Sons on which Surveys have been made and Returned, therefore the said Caveat is dismissed.

Baltzer Spengler
v.
William Forsyth
and others.
} On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Spengler hath a Warrant for 300 Acres of Land including an improvement joining Levi Dungan, Nath'l Hurst and others, but as no Survey is returned, this Case is postponed to the first Monday in March next, against which time the Deputy Surveyor of the District is directed with the Assistance of two reputable disinterested Neighbours to make a Survey on Spengler's Warrant as conformable to agreed Lines if any and the location of the Warrant as may be, and to return a Draught to this Board pointing out any interferences.

Robert Culbertson &
William Rippey
v.
William Brown.
} On Caveat.

Postponed from 2d day of February last.

On hearing the parties it appears that Brown claims under Warrants to Schuyler Bradford, William Hawkins, William Coles, Thomas Huston and Samuel Irwin dated 1st July, 1784 the Surveys on which Warrants contain about 168 Acres more than the quantity granted by the Warrants; therefore the Board Orders that the said quantity shall be laid off from Schuyler Bradford's Survey, joining and next Holliday's Line in such a manner as to leave a sufficient proportion of Meadow Ground for Brown and returned on Culbertson and Rippey's Warrant.

Honore Martin
v.
Jacob Lowry.
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Honore Martin claims under an Application "No. 3429 of John Wilson for 300 Acres "below the Narrows on the South side of Juniata joining Robert "McCormick and Doctor Ogden it being Huff's place." That the

said Wilson built a House and dwelt on the same until he sold it to one McClure whose right it is said is now vested in the said Martin; And that Lowry supposing the said Land was vacant obtained a Warrant dated 5th May, 1788, in the name of Armstrong Lowry, on which a Survey was made, but on Application of Martin or of some Person for him the Deputy Surveyor returned the Survey so made for Lowry on Wilson's Application, which Survey the Board on considering the Testimony think proper to establish and to allow Patent to Honore Martin on making Title to the said Land under John Wilson.

James Clark
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Parkison.

Clark not appearing the Board proceeded to hear Parkison, whereon it appears that Parkison having a right to a Virginia Entry Assigned the same or (as he alledges) only a part thereof, to a certain William Scott and other part thereof to one McGraw, That Scott hath obtained a Patent for 215 Acres as Assignee of Parkison and that there is a Survey of 65 Acres made for Parkison (which is the same part claimed) remaining in the Surveyor Generals Office: It is thought proper to postpone this Case to the first Monday in March next in order that Parkison may produce his Assignment to Scott, or a true attested Copy thereof to shew whether he had Assigned his whole Right or only a part, And the Deputy Surveyor is desired to return a Plott of the whole Land claimed by the said Virginia Entry And Parkison is to give Clark a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the said first Monday in March, that if he chooses to be then heard, he may have an Opportunity.

Mr. Abraham Usher by his Memorial set forth that he was invested with the right to a Tract of Land the property of Joseph Hunter (which he thought had been Surveyed on a Location of said Hunter) on the East side of Youghiogene River, adjoining lands of Mary Hunter, James Hunter and others now in Westmoreland County, On which he obtained a Patent dated 14th August, 1787; That upon comparing the Lines and bounds of the said Land with those in the Patent, he finds them materially different and rather to suit a tract which one Gordon purchased of Joseph Hunter and therefore prayed a Warrant for Resurveying the same agreeable to it's true Lines, Bounds and Situation. The Board on considering the said Memorial Orders that such Resurvey shall be issued and on a Return thereof a new Patent issue in Order to rectify the Errors of the said Patent.

Present as before except the President instead of the Vice President.

Allowed a Patent to James Moore for 317¾ Acres on Warrant to him dated 6th December, 1784 though the Survey contains more than the quantity of the Warrant as it appears by the Certificate of Alexander Wright, Esq'r, that an Improvement of one Patterson is included in the same.

Present, as at the first Meeting.

Conrad Fisher's Title Deeds and Proof of the Sales under James Pettegrew, being read and considered he is allowed a Patent.

The Certificate of John Canon, Esquire, pursuant to order of this Board of the 3d August last, on the Memorial of Williamina Bond being read and considered, It is recommended to his Excellency the President of the Supreme Executive Council, to cause such Endorsement to be made on the Patent to Williamina Bond mentioned in the said Order and Memorial, as will rectify the Error in the same Patent.

John Herr
v.
Adam Orth.

On hearing the parties, it appears that John Herr claims the Land under Warr't to Stephen Winger dated 5th April, 1759, on which a Survey had been made of about 218¼ Acres And Mr. Orth claims the same by Warrant lately granted him In Trust for the Heirs of one Fogel; But as the Owners of Cornwall Furnace took a part of the land included in the said Survey of Wenger And the said Mr. Orth for other part thereof, there now only remains for Herr 118 Acres the lines whereof are marked with red Ink in a Draught made by Bartram Galbraith now before the Board for which a Patent is Ordered him, the Warrant and Survey under which he claims being prior to those of Orth.

Brown & Harris
v.
Galbreath & Others.
} On Caveat.

Mr. Brown and Captain McConnell (who is concerned with Galbreath) appeared and declared that they had agreed to Settle their Dispute as follows, Viz't: That a Tract containing 305¼ Acres Surveyed on Warrant to said Rob't Galbreath, shall be returned for him or McConnel, That a Tract Surveyed on Warrant to

Robert Gardner shall be returned for Mr. Brown, and that a Tract of 329¾ Acres Surveyed on John Galbreath's Warrant (except the Triangular piece marked Z which is included in Samuel Leech's Survey) shall be returned for McConnell, which agreement the Board Confirmed, and direct the Returns of Survey to be made accordingly thereto.

Nathaniel Hurst
v.
James Leiper.

It appearing that this Case depends in some measure on a Dispute between Baltzer Spengler and William Forsyth, therefore it is postponed to the same time, Viz't the first Monday in March next.

John White
v.
Dan'l Swearingen } On Caveat.

Messrs. Smiley and Wright appearing for the parties agreed that this Case should be postponed to the first Monday in December next, And the same is postponed accordingly to that time.

James Kinkead
v.
John Stone. } On Caveat.

On hearing Messrs. Wright and Allison in behalf of the said parties, It is ordered that the Deputy Surveyor with James Edg— and James Allison, Esquire's shall make the Surveys of the parties as agreeable to the conditional Lines as may be, and Return a Draught to the Board again the first Monday in March next, to which time this Dispute is postponed.

John Wilson
v.
William Redick. } On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in November next.

David Reed
v.
Joseph Brown. } On Caveat.

As the Surveys of the parties are not Returned, this Case is postponed to the first Monday in March next.

William Waddle
v.
Brown & Lowrs. } On Caveat.

On hearing William Todd and Miers Fisher, Esquires in behalf of the said parties respectively and examining their papers and Testimony it appears that Surveys were made by Joshua Elder the Deputy Surv. of two Tracts of Land adjoining each other on Applications dated 3d April, 1769, one for said Lowrs

and the other for Shadrack Mutchmore on his Son Jonathan's Application. But a prior Application of William Brown appearing and Mutchmore neglecting to pay the Surveying Fees that Tract was returned for Brown who hath since obtained a Patent for the same. And Shadrack Mutchmore having sold his Right of Improvement to Waddle (which was very trifling if of any consequence at the time of making Lowrs Survey) who hath lately obtained a Warrant. The Board therefore establishes the said Survey and allows Lowrs or those who represent him a Patent.

Elliot
v.
Lowrs.

Elliot not appearing his Caveat is dismissed.

Cook
v.
Latta & Baize.

Latta and Baize being allowed Patents on the 4th day of May last if Cause not shewn to the contrary ——— the said ——— Order is [balance lost.]

James Carothers
v.
Fulton & Beaty.

It appearing by an Instrument in Writing, Signed by Carothers that the dispute between him and Fulton was settled, And by a Report of Referrees to whom this Case was referred the 1st day of September last it appears that Carothers ought to have the Land therefore they the said Fulton and Carothers are allowed Patents and Beaty's Caveat dismissed, as it appears by the said Report that his Application cannot affect their Surveys being Located on the opposite side of the Creek to them.

William Richardson
v.
Isaac Miller.
On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in April next in order that Richardson might have an Opportunity of producing further Testimony as to Churchwell's Improvement.

Gaston and Martin
v.
William McClure.
On Caveat.

It appearing by the copy of a Record of the Supreme Court for Westmoreland County, now produced, that on a trial or an Ejectment McClure v. Gaston, a Verdict was found for McClure, therefore the Caveat is dismissed and Patent Ordered.

Shooly
v.
Adams.

Mr. Smiley appearing for Adams and Mr. Shoemaker for Shooly and being heard, at the Request of Adams this Case is postponed to the first Monday in November next

Samuel Baird
v.
William Burk.

Postponed to the first Monday in December next.

Robert McPherson
v.
Robert D. Dawson
Ass'ee of James
Henderson.
On Caveat.

Mr. Josiah Hewes appeared for Dawson and John Gilcreest, Esquire, for McPherson at their Request this Case is postponed to the first Monday in December next.

Widow Eliz'th Powell
v.
Widow Marg't Williamson.

It appearing that a Survey had been made by Joseph Erwin, Assistant of Gen'l Thompson on Powell's Application of the Tract of Land now in dispute pretty early to wit in the year 1771 and before any Actual Settlement of any of the persons whom Williamson claims the Improvement Right under and long before her Warrant; therefore it is Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor shall make and return a Survey or Resurvey for Powell as agreeable to the old lines as may be, in order that she may have a Patent.

Phineas Killum
v.
John Varvell.

On Rehearing Ordered the 7th day of July, 1788. In this Case as Hugh Brackenridge, Esquire, hath introduced a Variety of new Testimony in favor of Kilum's claim, which Varvell had no opportunity of animadverting on, And as it is probable that a Suit at Law will take place to try the Title: The Board think proper to keep this Cause under Advisement until such a time as ——— adverse Testimony shall be offered, the Title tried at Law or until David Bradford, Esquire, who it is said is concerned for Varvell, and the said Mr. Brackenridge shall themselves appear, and be heard.

John Irwin, Esq'r
v.
Conrad Shitler.

On Caveat postponed from the first Monday in March last to this

time Shitler making nothing appear against granting a Patent to Irwin agreeable to the said Order of March last, therefore a Patent is allowed s'd Irwin.

Ephraim Blaine, Esq'r
v.
Proctor, Todd, Lochry
and Others.

It appearing from a Plott made by John Moore the Deputy Surveyor, of the Lands in dispute pursuant to Order of March, 1788 That Todd, Lochry, Proctor & Henry ought to have Patents, which will be granted if Blaine shews not cause to the Contrary the first Monday in November next. A Copy of this Minute to be given Blaine twenty days before that time.

James Miller having sent a Letter respecting the Case between him and Benjamin Wells, in which he complains that Wells hath taken no steps to carry into Effect the Order of the Board of the first Monday of December last, the same was read and considered Whereupon it is Ordered if the said Order is not prosecuted and his said Wells's Warr't Executed by the first Monday in December next that Miller shall have his Patent. A Copy of this Minute to be given Wells at least thirty days before that time.

——— Hantz's Petition praying for a Resurvey of a Tract granted by Patent dated 27th September, 1765, being read he is allowed a Warrant for Resurveying the said Tract on producing Releases from his Brothers and Sisters.

Peter Fisher and Peter Nagle's Survey for Land in Berks County, containing more Land than was granted by the Warrant being examined and the reasons given by Mr. Vanderslice being considered a Patent is allowed.

James Parker's Title Deeds and proof of Title being produced and considered, he is allowed a Patent.

Benjamin Wells
v.
Josiah Scott.

On the Application of Josiah Scott to suspend the Order of the first December last It is agreed to suspend the Operation of that Judgment until the parties can be further heard.

Robert Estep
v.
John Wallace.

It being represented by James Allison, Esquire, that the Determination and Report of the Referrees in this Case named in Order of the Board of the first Monday in September last was not made at the time directed, therefore it is thought proper to appoint the same persons together with Nevill & Ritchie to do the

several matters directed by said Order of the first Monday in September last.

John Irwin, Esquire, appeared and stated to the Board that a Survey was made on Application No. 1337 of James Beard of 248½ Acres, That the Surveyor finding he had not the quantity of the Application Surveyed another piece of about 52 Acres That the Surveyor John Henderson dying his Successor Benjamin Lodge made Return of the first Survey on which a Patent issued to James Beard dated the 30 day of October, 1787, Although the said Beard had before then Conveyed by Deed dated ——— to a certain James Guffee to whom the Patent ought to have issued, but was not produced. The Board inspecting the Draughts of the Land and finding that the part of the Survey where the Addition is made lies next to Samuel Sturges and that it is not likely to affect any other and he having sent a Certificate signifying his approbation of the Survey made or returned by Benjamin Lodge Surveyor: It is Ordered that a Warrant for the acceptance of the said amended or additional Survey be issued and a new Patent be granted to the said Guffee, in order to correct the said Errors.

William Armstrong
v.
Alexander Walker.

On hearing the said Mr. Armstrong and James Barr, Esquire, for Walker it appears that the Land was granted to the said Armstrong by Warrant of 5th March, 1785, and Surveyed in May then next following and sold by the Commissioners of ——— for the Taxes thereof for the years 1785 & 1786 ——— Conveyed to John Nichols, who Conveyed to the ——— Whereupon it is Ord'd that the Caveat shall be dismissed.

MINUTES BOARD OF PROPERTY.

1789-1795.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General's 5th October, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable Samuel Edie, Esq'r.
John Lukens, Esq'r, Surv'r Gen'l
Fra's Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Sec'ry

James McDowell
v.
the Heirs of Hugh Stevenson.

On Caveat postponed from the 4th day of May last.

Mr. McDowell appearing and viewing the Plotts of the Heirs of Stevenson, John Baldin and James Worthington, their lands, conceives his claim interferes with Baldin and Worthington's Surveys, which are Patented. But as the said McDowell thinks, that something unfair has been practiced, in changing some of the said Surveys he desires that the Heirs of Stevenson may not have a Patent, till he examine into the Matter in which the Board indulge him, and therefore postpone this Case to the first Monday in May next.

William McDowell
v. } On Caveat.
James McDowell.

It appearing by a Certificate that the said William McDowell and Mr. ——— that the dispute is [balance illegible.]

John Nichols
v. } On Caveat.
William Harvey.

Col'o Nevill appearing and declaring that he was authorized by both parties, and desiring that this dispute might be left to Philip Ross, Isaac Craig, William Lee, James Ewing and the Surveyor of the District, who are desired to make Report the first Monday in March next, to which time this Case is continued.

Thomas Smith
v. } On Caveat.
Coast Johnston.

Col'o Nevill appearing and declaring that he was authorized by

both parties and desiring that this Dispute might be left to James Martin, Daniel South, Daniel Herbert and William Lee, together with the Surveyor of the District, who are desired to make Report, the first Monday in March next, to which time this Dispute is continued.

Isaac Newkirk
v.
William Colvin.

Col'o Nevill appearing for Newkirk, & producing an Advertisement in the Pittsburg Gazette for Colvin to appear and [balance illegible for a few lines] for him appearing, the Board postpone this Case till the first Monday in April next, in which time the said Newkirk is to make all possible endeavors to find out the said Colvin, or those who claim under him, in Order to notify them by inserting a Copy of this Minute in the said Pittsburg Gazette several times, and otherwise.

Peter Ickes
v.
Frederick Stover. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing, declared that they had settled the Dispute, by Ickes having sold his Warrant right to Stover for £35, and therefore Stover is allowed a Patent.

Abraham Peters
v.
Samuel Simpson. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that the land claimed by Peters, is contained in a Survey of 344 Acres, made by Thomas Cookson, Deputy Surv'r or his Assistant, on Warrant to one Middleton, dated in the year 1743, and since Resurveyed by Henry Ream, agreeable to the original lines: Whereupon it is Ordered that Simpson shall have a Patent on Payment of purchase Money & due for the same.

John Robinson
v.
John Woods. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing chose Christopher Hays, Nehemiah Stokely and the Surveyor of the district, who are desired to meet on the land Surveyed for Robinson on Thomas McMullen's Application, and examine Witnesses and the lines of the Survey, and to block the trees if necessary, and to make report to this Board the first Monday in March next.

Martick Forge Company
v.
Robert Ramsey and
Richard Keagy. } On Caveat.

Mr. Hillegas having some of the papers of the Company, nec-

essary for this trial, and he being abroad it's thought proper to postpone this Cause to the first Monday in March next, and in the meantime the said Company is not to destroy the timber, to which purpose the Vice President is to write to Mr. Coleman.

John Beard
v.
Samuel Beard. } On Caveat.

Samuel Beard not appearing, John Beard was heard exparte, And it appears that Samuel Beard the Father of said contending parties, entered an Application for 300 Acres on the 3d April, 1769. No. 2710, —— devised the same by Will to the said John. And that Samuel the Son being of the same Christian Name with his Father: The Board are of Opinion from the Record, and Testimony offered, that John ought to have a Patent.

James McDonnell
v.
Benj'n Kuykendale. } On Caveat.

This Case is referred to John McKee, Wm. Finley, John McDowell, Mr. Lodge & the Surveyor of the district, who are desired to hear the parties, point out their claims and Improvements, and Report to this Board the first Monday in April next.

John Campbell
v
Adam Gilfillen
and said Campbell
v.
John McKee. } On Caveats.

It appears that on hearing the Agent of Col'o Campbell, that the land in controversy is within three Surveys claimed by Campbell, made on Application entred by John Boggs, John Street and David Fleming, but as it does not appear that McKee and Gilfillen had been notifyed to attend for hearing at this time These Cases are postponed to the first Monday in February next, they the said Gilfillen and McKee and each of them having a Copy of this Minute given at least thirty days before the said first Monday in February.

Doctor Johnston
v.
James Erwin. } On Caveat.

A dispute continued from the first Monday in April last to this time. A Survey made by James Smith Assistant to Thomas Cookson was produced said to be made by virtue of a Warrant in the Year 1743 to James Erwin which it is alledged contains the land in dispute or a part thereof, but as no Deposition of said James Smith was made proving the said Survey, this Case is

postponed to the first Monday in April next, in order that Erwin may procure such Deposition and when that is obtained Mr. Erwin is to give the same Survey and Deposition to Matthew Henderson, Esquire, who is to delineate on a Resurvey or Plott made by him of the lands in dispute how the said Survey made by James Smith lays; and to point out also how a Survey of 176 Acres made for Samuel Bethel which it is said, is comprehended within the Survey on Erwin's Warrant lays.

John Chestnut
v. } On Caveat.
James Ferguson.

Continued from the first Monday in March last.

The parties being heard it appears that John Erwin had an Application for 200 Acres No. 1726 in October, 1766: That the Sheriff sold the same Tract of Land to John Buyers Who procured a Survey thereof to be made by Matthew Henderson containing 331 A's 32 Ps. and allowance of six P. Cent for Roads, &c.; That the said John Buyers by Deed dated 10th March, 1775, Conveyed the said Tract of 331 A's 32 Ps. of Land by Metes and bounds therein mentioned and corresponding with the said Survey made by Mr. Henderson unto the said John Chestnut. That the said James Ferguson obtained a Warrant on the 3d April, 1786, and had a Survey of 86 Acres made thereon That Chestnut not finding the Application of Erwin in the Office also obtained a Warrant dated 13th April, 1786, for the 331 Acres but hath since found the same That there is about 27 Acres of the said Ferguson's Survey clear of the said Chestnut's Claim for which the Board are of Opinion that the said Ferguson may have a Patent but that the 331 Acres Tract according to the said Survey of Mr. Henderson shall be Patented to Chestnut when a Resurvey and Return thereof shall be made by said Mr. Henderson on the said Application of John Erwin, inasmuch as all that is comprehended within the same was purchased for a Valuable Consideration and as he hath made considerable Improvements thereon.

Robert Orr
v. } On Caveat.
George Clark.

Orr not appearing though duly notifyed Clark was heard exparte whereon and from the Writings produced it appears that Samuel Sloan applied for two Tracts of Land one in his own Name and the other in the Name of David Sloan on which a Survey of ——— Acres was made and the several parties distinguished in the Draught by a division line through the same. That the part Returned as Surveyed on Samuel Sloan's Application hath been Patented That the other part returned as on David Sloan's Appli-

cation was Improved by Samuel Sloan very considerably. And by Deed dated ———— the said David Sloan Conveyed to said Samuel Sloan After which the said Samuel by Articles of Agreement dated ———— bargained and sold the same together with the Tract Patented as aforesaid to the said George Clark. But he dying before any Actual Conveyance was made, the Sheriff of Westm. County, Sold and Conveyed the same to the said Clark. Upon Considering this Case the Board are of Opinion that Clark ought to have a Patent on payment of Purchase Money and Fees of Office and order accordingly.

Robert Smith
v. } On Caveat.
William Rankin.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next.

James McCullough
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Steel.

Postponed from August last.

The Testimony offered by Steel being Considered it appears that the whole of Steel's Survey was one Vacancy and as his Warrant and Survey are both prior to McCullough's Warrant, a Patent is ordered to Steel.

Anthony Kleckner
v.
Andrew Fetzer.

Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor make a Resurvey of the whole land and a division of the parts belonging to each in Order for Patent again the first Monday in January next.

William Douglass, Sen'r
v. } On Caveat.
Charles Bradshan.

The parties agree that William Smith, Esquire and William Dougherty together with William Kersey shall make their Surveys conformable to agreeable lines if any and they are desired to Report to the Board the first Monday in April next.

William Kersey being qualified to the Truth of his Certificate relating to the Title Deeds of John Lantz, a Patent is allowed to him the said Lantz.

Allowed a Patent to John Weber for a Tract of Land in Earl Township, Lancaster County, Surveyed to Evan Hughes.

On hearing the reasons given by Mr. Henry Drinker why some of the Twenty six Surveys for which Patents are now applied for Contain more land than the quantity of the Warrants, the same were deemed satisfactory and Patents allowed.

Mrs. Sharp is allowed a Patent agreeable to the Order of the

Board the first Monday in April last still Subject to the payment of tne Value of the Improvements as directed by the said Order to the Heirs of Culbertson.

The Case stated by Francis Johnston, Esq'r R. G. respecting Thomas Vickroy and Anthony Nagle being read and Considered, he the said Receiver General is permitted to allow the sums respectively by them overpaid on James Harvey and William Lindsey's Warrants in the payment or settlement of the Accounts of other Lands belonging to them when they shall apply for Patents and demand such allowance.

At a meeting at the House of the Secretary of the Land Office the 5th November, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable William Wilson, Esq.
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Allowed Patents on Lewis Forster's and Adam Wolfert's Surveys as it appears from George Woods, Esquire's Certificate that no other persons claim the same nor that any other than those persons would have taken the same.

John Andrew
v.
Deeter and George } On Caveat.
Varner.

This case is postponed to the first Monday in May next, in Order that George Palmer may make returns of the Surveys of the parties respectively and on the Plott of the same, he is directed to point out or delineate the interference if any, the Improvements and any other matter that he may think proper to elucidate the same.

Asher Layton
v.
Andred Man

Case postponed to this day from the first Monday in May last.

On hearing the Parties and examining a Draught of the Deputy Surveyor pursuant to Order of the said first Monday in May and also the Testimony offered. It is the Opinion of the Board that as Man had a Warrant and Survey prior to the Warrant obtained by Layton, his said Man's Survey shall be established and there-

fore Ordered accordingly and that Layton's Survey is to be regulated accordingly thereto. But if Layton Return more land than the quantity of his Warrant, it is to be further Considered how much of Man's Survey shall be allowed him as Man's Patent is stopped on Account of Overplus.

Tobias Giltner
v.
George Ohlwine. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Ohlwine hath a Warrant dated 7th February, 1787, and a Survey made thereon of 123¼ Acres That Giltner Claims under Warrant to Conrad Baker dated 4th January, 1786, but as no Survey is returned on the same this case is postponed to the first Monday in January next, in Order that George Palmer may make a Survey on Baker's Warrant agreeable to it's Location denoting how it interferes with Ohlwine's Survey, if it does interfere therewith, and if there is any Improvements on any part to describe the same and the Nature thereof.

William Schooly
v.
Robert Adams. }

On Caveat postponed to this time from the first Monday in April last.

On hearing the parties and examining their Rights and Testimony it appears that Schooly Claims under an Application of Angus McDonald, entered 8th April, 1769, for 300 Acres of Land on the Waters of Monongahela in the bottom above Fort Burd on Dunlap's Creek to take in an Improvement which said McDonald had made which Improvement was made by virtue of a Permit from Colo. Henry Bouquet; That Adams claims under an Application of William Sherer and Henry Shryock for 600 Acres Joining Stephen Field's old claim including their two Improvements made in the year 1762 by Order or Colo. Bouquet. It is the Opinion of the Board that the Application of McDonald is located more properly on a Tract of Land lower down the Monongahela than the Land in question at the mouth of Dunlap's Creek for which Rees Cadwallader lately obtained a Warrant and therefore Order the said Caveat to be dismissed and a Survey to be accepted on Sherer's Application and Patent granted.

Andrew Ritchie, Ass'ee
of James Campbell
v.
Peter Kerns.

On Rehearing allowed P Minute of January last.

On hearing said Ritchie and Alexander Wright, Esq., (Who ap-

peared for Kerns) it appears that Ritchie's House and Improvements are included in the part Ordered to Kerns by Order of the Board of December last and that Ritchie purchased for a valuable Consideration the part in Contest and that Kerns's original Claim as purchased from one Bowling was for no part of Rutherford's Survey, therefore the Board think proper to reverse the former Judgment and allow Ritchie a Patent for his Land agreeably to Rutherford's original Line.

Nicholas Leech
v. } On Caveat.
Christian Troxel.

The Draught of the Resurvey of George Woods, Esq., pursuant to Order of August last being produced and considered. It is Ordered that the 197 Acres part of the Survey shall be Patented to Leetch and the 35 Acres to Troxel agreeable to the said Draught.

The Receiver General laid before the Board a Letter from Anthony Nagle directed to George Woods, Esq., desiring him to have the money by him overpaid as mentioned in a Minute of the Board of last Month applied to the Use of James Fletcher on David Glass's Warrant of 4th March, 1763; Whereupon Ordered that the said Receiver General do file the said letter in his Office and allow the Money as directed and desired by the same Letter.

Dr. Robert Johnston
v. } On Caveat.
George Maintzer.

Doctor Johnston appearing but not being able to prove the Service of Notice in due time this Case is postponed to the first Monday in April next, he giving Maintzer a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the said first Monday in April.

At a Special Meeting at the Surveyor General's the 24th November, 1789.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable William Wilson, Esq.
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary.

Charles Eckles having 206 Acres surveyed on his Warrant for 150 Acres dated 6th March, 1786, he desired a Patent and John Baird, Esq., having Certifyed that there is no dispute and that the quantity more in the Survey than Warrant was for the Inter-

est of the State, a Patent is allowed. George Sharp's Survey allowed to be accepted and Patent on the Certificate of the Deputy Surveyor.

John Lockhart
v. } On Caveat.
Samuel Kellar.

It appears on hearing the said Lockhart and Thomas Metzler to whom the right of Kellar was Conveyed by the Sheriff of York County, that a certain Daniel Regan had made an Improvement on the Land in question many years ago and Sold the same to one Worn for the satisfaction of a debt of whom to one Baizer the same was sold by the Sheriff to one Leonard Imble who entered an Application for 150 A's and a Survey of 131 Acres is made thereon which the said Metzler hath purchased. And that Worn many years after the same Improvement had been sold by the Sheriff Conveyed to the said Lockhart who obtained a Warrant. On Considering this Case, it is the Opinion of the Board that as Imble's Application is prior to Lockhart's Warrant and the Improvement was sold by the Sheriff for Satisfaction of a debt of said Worns long prior to his Sale thereof to Lockhart, the Caveat ought to be dismissed and Metzler allowed a Patent.

John Baird, Esq., laid before the Board a Letter from Timothy Sherer relating to Disputes on Caveats between him and James Boyle and William McGrew, whom it appears he had Cited to appear the first Monday in this Instant November, and they not appearing the said Sherer is allowed a Patent on the Survey made on Joseph Sherer's Warrant unless Boyle or McGrew shew Cause to the contrary, the first Monday in March next: Sherer giving them a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the said first Monday in March.

At a Special Meeting at the Surveyor General's the 28th November, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable William Wilson, Esq.
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

The Certificates of John Hoge and David Redick, Esquires, on the several Surveys following, which contain more land than the quantity of the Warrants, to wit Daniel Murdock, Jonathan Selsor, Brice Whorley, Stephen Gapen, George Boilstone, Jona-

than Shelby, John Hight, George Shins, Henry Jackson. John Glascow, John Brown, and Lewis Selsor, being Considered, the Surveys are allowed to be accepted, and Patents to be issued.

At a Special Meeting at the Surveyor General's the 2d December, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable John Wilkins, Esq.
The Surveyor General, The Receiver General, The Secretary } of the Land Office.

On hearing the Reasons of Peter Garey why his Survey of 144 Acres contains more than the Quantity of his Warrant, he is allowed a Patent.

Nicholas Kruger's Title Deeds and Testimony being inspected and considered, he is allowed a Patent for the Tract of Land in Tinicum Township, Bucks Co'y, Surveyed on Warrant to James Sterling.

Henry Vendement's Reason why his Survey contains more land than McDonald's Warrant under which he claims, being Considered, he is allowed a Patent.

The Deposition of Jacob Beidleman Relating to the purchase of a Tract of Land Sold by Nicholas Kinsel to Christian Unger, being considered, a Patent is allowed to Nicholas Lail, who claims the same.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor General's 7th day of December, 1789.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable John Wilkins, Esq.
The Surveyor General, The Receiver General, The Secretary } of the Land Office.

Christian Zerbe v. the Exec'trs of John Kreitzer. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that the land in contest is included within a Patent to Wm. Parsons under which Zerbe

claims therefore this Case is Postponed till their Title is tried at law or Otherwise settled.

Thomas Eves
v. } On Caveat.
William Robbins.

Robbins having the prior Warrant it is Ordered that the survey shall be returned for him s'd Robbins and the Caveat be dismissed.

John Glen
v. } On Caveat.
John McConnell.

It appearing that a Survey of 51 Acres was made on Jno. Glens Application No. 4117 and returned into the Surv'r Generals Office and s'd McConnell having a Warrant & Survey bounding on the survey of Glens, it is ordered that the said McConnell shall be allowed a Patent and the Caveat be dismissed.

John Geiger & Othrs
v. } On Caveat.
Lazarus Weidner.

On hearing the Parties it appears that Weidner has the prior Warr't and founded on an Ancient Improvement and that Geiger was a Tenant to Weidner since the present dispute a purchase of two Applications of Conrad Repp hath been made which was never surveyed, in order to cover the disputed place the Board are of Opinion that the Caveat shall be dismissed and Weidner allowed a Patent.

David Scott
v. } On Caveat.
Edward Hand.

General Hand appearing laid before the Board a Survey of 331¾ Acres of Land situate on the West side of Monongahela in Allegheny County, Surveyed on his Application No. 3346 against which s'd Scott had entered a Caveat for which he requested a Patent. Upon inspecting the Draughts of the joining Tracts and the s'd Application and also considering a Certificate of James Hendricks it is the opinion of the Board that Gen'l Hand ought to have a Patent for the s'd Land If Scott's Heirs, or Executors Shew not cause to the Contrary the first Monday in Sept'r next, Gen'l Hand giving them the said Heirs or Executors or some of them a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the said first Monday in Sept'r.

John Solt
v. } On Caveat.
Jacob Erb.

On hearing it appears that both Parties have Warrant and that

the said Solt Purchased of the Sheriff an Improv't And Tract of Land in Chestnut hill Township, Northampton County, for which an application had been entered by Adam Weaver a former Owner of the said Tract on which it is said a Survey was made of a less Quantity than the Applica'n was for, by James Scull and that since an addition was made thereto. It is ordered that Geo. Palmer Resurvey the whole Tract and return a Drafft to the Board the first Monday in April next denoting thereon the first Survey and also the nature and Situation of the Improvements and other matters that may elucidate this Dispute, Solt is to give Erb a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the said first Monday in April.

Benjamin Wells
v. } On Caveat.
Abraham Scott.

On Motion of Benjamin Wells, the Board appoints the first Monday in June next for hearing the said parties on the order of the 7th September last, suspending the Operation of a former order and the Deputy Surveyor is directed to furnish the Board at that time with a Drafft of Wells claim, Wells is to give Scott a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the said first Monday in June.

Benjamin Wells for Samuel Wells
v.
James Miller Assignee of
Amos Woods.

On hearing said Wells and John Riddle in behalf of Miller and inspecting the Drafft in contest made by Nevill & Ritchie it appears that 137 Acres part of the Land Surveyed on Wells Warrant is patented to John Wilson and that the remainder of the land which seems to have been the object of Wells Warrant interferes with so many Claims and Improvements that the s'd Survey cannot be accepted, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Reed
v. } On Caveat.
Joshua Brown.

Postponed to the first Monday in March next.

Fred'k Beck
v.
Henry Kelker } On Caveat.
Ass'ee of
Casper Weaver.

A Draft & Report of John Thome & Thomas Clark (to whom with one Uhler this case was referred) whereby they allow 31 Acres in dispute to Melchoir Tetzlerin right of Beck, being inspected and considered, the same Report is confirmed.

May
v.
McCormick.

Upon inspecting the Drafft of Alex'r May, and recollection of the Testimony of James McCormick and said May aforesaid. offered the Board the first Monday in May last It is ordered that the 20 Acres in contest shall be returned for McCormick and excluded from Mays Survey.

James McDonald
v
Robert & Samuel More. } On Caveat.

On Considering a Certificate of Alexan'r McClean, Esq'r, McDonald is allowed to Withdraw his Caveat.

At a Meeting at the Surv. Gen'ls the 5th January, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable Jonas Hartzel.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

George Miller allowed patents for 482 Acres on his Warrant 1st December, 1785 for 304½ Acres, on Warr't 27th Feb'ry, 1787, and for 290½ Acres War't to Sharp Delany for 150 Acres on considering the Certificates of William Gray Deputy Surv'r. Allowed patents of John O'Conner for one undivided third part of the Land Claimed by him under Joseph Shippen on War'ts dated 19 & 20 Aug. 1774 to Shippen, W. Govett, G. Fullerton, W. McDonald, A. Nesbit, W. Stewart, I. Reed and A. Seyfert, and by Division which fell to the share of Representatives of said Shippen in Trust as to the remaining ⅔ds for the Representatives of Hugh Shields or those who are Legally entitled to the same.

Jacob Kimmell
v.
the Heirs of Thomas Nicholas.

On hearing John McColmont who Claims under the Heirs of s'd Nicholas & Jno. Kapp who applied for Kimmell it appears that Thomas Nicholas being possessed of a Tract of Land Surveyed on Warr't to Edward Nicholas dyed Intestate Whereupon his Widow Mary Nicholas granted and dismissed one Acre of the same for the term of 999 years to a Certain John McShenny (Under whom s'd Kimmell claims) on paying one half penny Ster'g P. Annum whereon it is thought proper to allow McCalmont a Patent Subject to the said incumbrance.

Upon the Application of M. Paul Zantzinger of the County of Lancaster for a Patent for two Tracts of Land containing together about 233 Acres Situate in Bart and Salisbury Township in the S'd County claimed by him Under Warr'ts to John Martin and John Thompson Respectively it is ordered that the granting the said patent Mr. Zanztinger shall be postponed till the first Monday in March next, in order that one Fred'k Finstermaker who is suggested hath claim or some pretentions of claim to the said Land may have an opportunity to make his Objections to granting a patent to the said Zantzinger if any he has. A Copy of this Minute is to be given S'd Finstermaker at least thirty days before the first Monday in March.

Gen'l Wm. Irvine Executor of the last Will and Testament of Robert Calendar appears and stated to the Board that the said Robert Calendar had entered an Application in the Land Office on the 25th day of July, 1769, No. 3673 for 300 Acres of Land on the South side of the Ohio Opposite the mouth of Big Beaver Creek running up the River on which a Survey was made and returned into the Surv. Generals Office by David Redick, Esq'r, Deputy Surveyor who received payment therefor that afterwards a Survey was returned from the Field Notes of the said Survey of Calendar by Jno. Hoge Deputy Surv'r on an application No. 3671 of Wm. A. Lungan entered the s'd 25th July, 1769, for 300 Acres. On the south Side of Allegheny River and nearly opposite the Beaver Creek including the mouth of a run and up the said River on which s'd Survey Ephraim Blaine, Esq'r hath obtained a patent & that the said Wm. Irvine conceiving that the equitable and Legal right to the said tract of Land is in the Heirs of the said R. Calendar therefore prayed this Board to allow him a patent In trust for the said Heirs: the Board think proper to decline the granting such patent until the first Monday in Sept'mr next in order that Mr. Blaine may have an opportunity of making his Objections if any he has, to the granting the same. Gen'l Irvine is to give Mr. Blaine a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the first Monday in Sept'mr next.

On hearing the Reasons given by Jno. Echman Who made Thomas Whitesides Survey, why the same exceeds the quantity of the warrant it is allowed to be accepted.

At a Special Meeting the 20th January, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable Jonas Hartzell, Esq.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

It appearing by a Survey of Jonathan Markland Made by David Redick, Esq'r now before the Board that by bounding the said Survey on the creek it contains 15 Acres more than the 400 Acres ord'd by the Board the ——— day of ——— last therefore it is ordered that the s'd Quantity of 15 Acres shall be cut off from the said survey and Surveyed to Lowry on his Warrant by a line parallel to that marked with red ink, in the Draft Referred to in the said former order, and returned for Lowry.

The Surveys of Geo. Crawford, Samuel Dixon and Margaret Wilson allowed though the Quantities exceed those of the Warrants respectively on considering the information and Certificates of the Hon'ble William Finley, Esq'r.

The Survey of John Wiley containing 432 Acres is allowed to be accepted on the Certificate of Wm. Todd, Esq'r, Notwithstanding it contains more than the Quantity of the Warr't and the allow'ce of 10 P. Ct.

Todd & Lochry & Others
v. } On Caveat.
Ephraim Blaine.

Postponed to the ——— day of ——— next ——— Mr. Blaine informing by Letter that he would have attended the first Monday December last to which time this dispute had been Postponed but that he found none of his adversarys in town.

Samuel Beard
v.
James Harris.

Postponed to the first Monday in March next.

The Surveyor General is desired to examine the Certificates, and Reasons given by the Deputy Surv'yr and other persons of repute, who he may think proper to enquire of why in some cases the Surveys contain more land than the Quantity of the Warr't on which the same was Surveyed, and the allowance of 10 P. Ct. and if such reasons shall be satisfactory to him to give a ticket to the Secretary of Ld. Off'e as is usual in other cases where persons apply in order to Patent where no such excess is.

At a Meeting at the office of the Secretary of the Land Office 22d January, 1790.

Present as before, only the President Thomas Mifflin in place of the Hon'b George Ross, Vice Presid't.

Jacob Edge
v. } On Caveat.
James Louthers

Dr. Thomas Bond who Claims under Edge, and Samuel Beard who claims under Louther appeared and were heard on which it appears that Edge had an Application No. 1029 entered the 29th August, 1766, for "200 Acres on the Beaver dams, East joining "Leverses line West, joining the narrows hill south Including two "Springs and an Improv't and about three miles distant of Juniata in Cumberl'd County" on which a Survey of Only 130 Acres is returned into the Surveyor Generals Office by William Maclay, Esq'r though it is alleged by Doctor Bond that 206 Acres ought to have been returned so much having been surveyed, Beard claims under an Application of James Lowthers No. 2533 entered 8th May, 1767, for 150 Acres "between a survey made for one Levers and a sur- "vey made by Jacob Edge on Jacks Creek, Cumb'd County on "which 76 Acres is returned but as it is alledged that the whole was Surveyed for Edge and that Lowthers name was only made use of by Mr. Maclay who had a Transfer from Lowther, and Mr. Maclay conveyed to Barr, who conveyed to Beard that he s'd Maclay returned only 130 Acres instead of the full Quantity and of the worst Quality and as no Sufficient testimony is produced to Suport these Allegations therefore this case is Postponed to the first Monday in March next, in order that further testimony may be produced and Dr. Bond is to furnish Mr. McClay with a Copy of this Minute.

Samuel Beard
v
Wm. Brown, Esq'r & } On Caveat.
James Harris.

Postponed from the first Monday in September last to the first Monday in December last. Said Wm. Brown and said Beard appearing were heard whereon it appears that Brown and Harris claims under Warrant to Wm. Burke which is prior to Beards but as Beard alledges that there is Land Sufficient for both Warrants if Surveyed by a disinterested Surveyor therefore it is thought Proper to postpone this case to the first Monday in March next, in order that the Surveyor General may direct some disinterested Surveyor to survey the whole Vacancy and return to

this Board a Drafft thereof with his remarks as to the Quality of the Land the Improvements if any or any other matters he may Judge necessary to elucidate the Case.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 1st of Feb'y, 1790.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Henry Taylor, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Dr. Ewing assignee of Geo. Ewing
v
Andrew Kelly. } On Caveat.

On hearing Dr. Ewing and John Kelly it appears that Dr. Ewing claims under an Application of Geo. Ewing No. 1341 Entred 3d April, 1709, for 300 Acres of Land "on the West Branch of Buf-"faloe Creek about three Miles up the said Branch where a small "run comes into it on the South side" and that Kelly claims Under Application No. 3504 ent'd 14th June, 1769, and a survey of 221 Acres made thereon, that the Doctor claims the land or a part thereof contained in the said Survey and in a Survey of 178 Acres made for James Anderson on No. 3489 entred the same 14th day of June, 1769. It is alledged by John Kelly that there is no Run within his survey and that the Run referred to in the Location of George Ewing is one included in a Survey of Alexander McCurdy on which a Patent is granted to Edward and Joseph Shippen. It is therefore thought proper to postpone this case to the first Monday in Sept'mr next in order that the Deputy Surveyor of the district may furnish the Board with a Drafft of Ewings claim.

On the said application made in the presence of the original Locator if he can be had and the said Surveyor to denote the several Runs if any which he shall find either in Kellys, Andersons, or Shippens Surveys emptying into said Branch of Buffaloe also the Quality of said lands the kind or extent of Improvements how the said claim interferes with the said Surveys, and any other matter to elucidate this dispute which he may Judge proper.

Robert Coleman
v.
Robert Ramsey.

This case being postponed to March next Mr. Coleman Appeared and represented that he cannot be prepared with Testimony until the first Monday in ——— next to which time he desired that this case may be continued and there Appearing Sufficient cause it is Accordingly Postponed to said first Monday in ——— on this Condition that Mr. Coleman Notify Ramsey thereof to prevent his Journey in Vain.

Alex'ar Burns
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Leiper.

A Certificate of David Redick, Esq'r, being produced Stating that said Burns did agree that he would quit claims to the land mentioned in said Caveat on Condition that Leiper would give Burns a Patent for 400 Acres where said Burns now lives instead of 300 Acres which said Leiper was bound by bargain to do. On which Term the Caveat is dismissed.

Michael Beehler
v. } On Caveat.
Jacob Piedy.

It appearing by a Certificate of Lawrence Rorebach Administrator of Beehler that all Matters in Variance on the said Caveat are Settled by the said parties therefor the Caveat is dismissed.

Henry Smith
v. } On Caveat.
Daniel Busk.

It appearing by a Certificate of Benjamin Lodge Deputy Surveyor that the dispute on said Caveats settled by consent of parties and that he hath made their Surveys agreeable to them, therefore the same Caveat is dismissed.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals 1st March, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
Honorable Henry Taylor, Esq.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Mary Stewart
v. } On Caveat.
Rob't & Sam'l Purviance.

Mathew Irvine, Esq'r, agent for the Purviances and John Stewart Son and agent for Mary Stewart appeared and agreed to Sub-

mit the Determination of this Dispute to John Nevill Gen'l John Gibson, Geo. Wallace, Esq'r, Joseph Irvine, Jno. Read and Edward Craig and in case of Equal Division they are to choose an Umpire Majority of whom shall decide.

John Piper
v.
Geo. Elder. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Mr. Hugh Barclay claims by Virtue of a Com'rs sale of about 100 Acres part of a Tract of 445 Ac'rs. Surveyed in August, 1765 on Warr't to George Elder which appears not to interfere with Pipers Surveys therefore the Caveat is dismissed and Barclay allow'd a Patent.

William Nixon
v.
John Piper. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that a Warrant dated in Septem'r, 1765, issued in the name of Wm. Nixon for 100 Acres joining Jno. Piper, Geo. Nixon and others but no survey being Returned for Nixon and it being suggested that the Title of the Adjoining Tract is depending in Law which may effect this dispute therefore this case is kept in Consideration.

Samuel Beard
v.
Brown & Harris. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties and Inspecting a Drafft of the Land in Dispute and the adjoining Tracts made by Wm. Power it is ordered that as Brown & Harris have the Prior Warrant in the Name of William Burke best part of the Vacancy shall be return'd on the same and that the part described as follows shall be returned for Beard on his Warr't viz't. One of them beginning at Hickory Corner and follow the line called 88 W't 95 Perches. And thence S. 13 E. to the line called N. 56 E. and from thence to measure the distance to the post corner or beginning of the N. E. Course, then with the other courses and Differances said to include Beards Improv'ts and the other beginning at the post corner of the line call'd N. 57 E. an extend the same across the Vacancy to the N. 37 W. as to Include the prior Marked No. 3 in said Drafft.

Dr. Bond claiming
under Edge
v.
James Lowther. } On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in Oct'r next in Order that Mr. Maclay may produce his Field Notes and other proof.

Rob't Donne Dawson
v
John Lee Webster. } On Caveat.

John Lee Webster who claims under a Warrant to Robert McPherson in the year 1785 never appearing though frequently Notifyed therefore Dawson is allowed a Patent for his Survey on a Virginia Cer't to James Henderson.

Mich'l Byerly
v.
Hanover Davis. } On Caveat.

Byerly not appearing though duly notifyed Davis was heard exparte whereon and on Examination of his Testimony it appears that Byerly hath sold this Application that he now sets up against Davis unto another person for another Tract and therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Stephen Raybold
v.
John Montgomery, Esq. } On Caveat.

Said Montgomery having Sent a letter informing that he hath brought an Ejectment against Raybold therefore this case is Postponed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals 2d March, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r Vice President.
The Honorable James Martin, Esq'r.
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Martick Forge Company
v.
Robert Ramsey & Rich'd Keagy. } On Caveat.

Messrs. Ramsey & Keagy having Warrants and Surveys respectively they are allowed Patents Unless the Said Company Shew cause to the contrary the first Monday in September next.

James Hammond
v.
John McKim. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Hammond improved and Settled a Tract of Land now in Luzerne Township, Fayette County in March, 1773 hath continued to live thereon ever since and in the year 1785 obtained a Warr't including his said improvements for 400 Acres but a Warrant to Jno. McKim had issued in July, 1773 for 150 Acres on which 155 Acres were Surveyed, on considering

this case it is ordered that as at the time of beginning the said improv'ts it was Usual to claim no more than 300 Acres and as Hammond had a Survey of 39 Acres made on Warr't to Thomas Lingan which is considered as part of his said claim and that he had an Opportunity of Securing more land in another part though he cannot have the full Quantity of 300 Acres yet that 55 Acres shall cut off from Mr. Kerns Survey by a line parallel to Mr. Kim and the line of a tract Surveyed on Perkins' Application now said to be Sam'l Millers and returned for Hammond which will make his Survey including the 39 Acres Aforesaid Surveyed on Lingans Warr't about 282 Acres.

On Fred'ck Fenstermaker producing his Title Deeds relating to two Tracts of Land in Bart Township, Lancaster County, Surveyed on Warr'ts to John Martin and John Douglass which he Purchased of Paul Zantzinger, he is allowed a Patent on producing the Deed from Zantzinger to him.

Present as before.

Rob't Lyon
v. } On Caveat.
John Stille.

On hearing James Biggers exparte, Lyons not appearing though duly Notifyed it appears that Lyons hath a Warrant dated on July 1784, for 300 Acres joining McCallan, Galloway and others in Turbutt Township, Northumberland County, and that the said James Bigger who now claims under Stilles Warrant dated 25th Octo'r, 1784, had purchased the Right of a tract of about 400 Acres a part granted by Warrant to Chas. Robeson and other part supposed to have been held by an application of James Neely, on which Land he improved and hath lived many years, but not finding a Location in the name of the said Neely, he took out the said Warrant in the name of John Stille, but as there is no return of the Survey of Chas. Robeson, this Case is Postponed to the first Monday in May next, against which time the D'y Surveyor, is directed to furnish the Board with a Plott of Chas. Robinsons Survey, and of the Land Claimed by Biggers, by virtue of the said Supposed Application, and of a Tract said to be Surveyed on Warr't to Sarah Rees, Geo. Fulmer and also of the Vacant Lands, adjoining and denoteing on the said Plott, the nature, Quality, or kind of Improvements, on the said Land.

James Boyle & William McGrew v. Timothy Sherer. } On Caveat.

On Caveat postponed from November last.

John Beard, Esq'r (in behalf of Boyle) and the said Sherer who claims under Warrants to Joseph & William Sherer Appearing and being heard Agreed to refer these disputes to John Irwin, Hugh Martin and Wm. Jack, Esq'rs agreeable to whose Determination or to the determination of any two of them Benj'n Lodge the D'y Surveyor is to make and return the Surveys to the Board the first Monday in September next.

Gen'l Nevil laying before the Board a Patent to David Kennedy Surveyed in right of Richard Wells which was granted by the Land Office of Virginia since the Ratification of the Compact between the Same State and Pennsylvania and requesting a Patent it is Ordered that Patent shall issue in the common mode to the said Kennedy.

Timothy Sherer v. James Boyle & Wm. McGrew. } On Caveat.

On Caveat Postponed from the 24th November, 1789 to this time.

Referred by consent of Parties to Hugh Martin, John Irwin, Wm. Jacks, Esq'rs and Benjamin Lodge or a Majority of them who are desired to make report to the Board the first Monday in September next.

Jasper Yeates's Title Deeds for a Tract of Land Surveyed on an application entered by ——— Pancoast for which he desires a patent being examined the same to issue.

William Barret, is allowed a Patent in right of Brown his Title Deeds and proof being examined.

Thomas Hamilton Letter Informing that he being convinced of the priority of Wm. Brashiers Title and desiring that the Caveat entred by him might be dismissed, the same is dismissed accordingly.

William Richardson v. Peter Body and Hugh Brackenridge. } On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in May next.

John Woods v. John Robinson. } On Caveat.

Mr. Beard producing the report of the refferees to whom this

case has been left which in favour of Robeson the same is confirmed and his Survey Established.

Brown & Caldwell
v
Fulton & Eckles. } On Caveat.

Ordered that the Caveat be dismissed.

----owed a Patent ——— Hyndshaw on Geo. Pevici application as it appears that the right of the Land belonged to Isaac Peirce who conveyed to Hyndshaw.

Allowed the Exemption of Interest to which those who fled from their Habitations through fear of the Indians are entitled to.

Joseph Hunter on a Tract for which he desires a Patent.

James Leeper
v.
Nathan'l Hurst. } On Caveat.

Mr. Alexander Wright and Mr. John Beard appearing for the parties agreed to leave this dispute to Col'o Marshall, Joseph Scott and the Deputy Surveyor Nevill or Ritchie who are desired to report to this Board the first Monday in September next.

Reed & Brown. On hearing Messrs. Allison and Wright in behalf of the said parties it appears that Reed Claims under a Virginia Certificate for 400 Acres to Joseph Waller including an ancient improvement and that Brown had an Improvement began about the same time but no agreeable lines seem to have been made between them, that Brown first obtained a Warrant for 400 Acres Including his said Improvement on which 414 Acres were Surveyed that afterwards his Son Improved on other Land adjoining & a Warrant was Obtained for 200 Acres including the said Improvement last mentioned on which a Survey of 248 Acres was made. That a Survey of only about 234 Acres was made for Reed on his Right. On Considering this Case as it appears that there is as much Land in the Surveys of Brown more than the Quantity of his Warrants as will make Reeds Tract about 300 Acres it is ordered that so much shall be cut off from Browns Survey or Surveys as will make Reeds Survey including the 236 Acres already Surveyed contain said quantity 30 Acres strict Measure by running a line from the Dogwood Corner to the Line So. 2d W. 124 P. of Browns Survey and that the Surveys of the said Parties shall be Regulated and Returned accordingly.

Geo. & Wm. Foulke
v.
Craig Ritchie. } On Caveat.

It appearing from a Letter from Mr. Ritchie that he could not obtain the Testimony necessary in this Case his Witnesses being very remote at this time, therefore this Cause is Postponed at his request to the first Monday in September next.

Kinkead
v.
Stone.

This case is postponed to the first Monday in September next in order that the Order of a former Board may be executed.

At a Meeting at the Vice Presidents 6th April, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Samuel Edie, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Jacob Sherman
v.
John Steinmetz
Ass'ee of Geo. Ross, dec'd. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing and Mr. Steinmetz representing that some of his Materials Witnesses being remote their Testimony could not be obtained therefore this case is Postponed to the first Monday in Decm'r next.

Kleckner
v.
Fetzer.

The returns of surveys of the respective parts of the parties being made by Geo. Palmer they are allowed patents for their said parts agreeable thereto.

Dr. Johnston
v.
George Maintzer } On Caveat.

John McKissack who sold to Maintzer appearing and being heard and the papers of Doctor Johnston examined it is thought proper to postpone this Case to the first Monday in October next in order that Mr. Kissack or Maintzer may have time to produce further Testimony.

Michael Kessler
v.
Will'm Leetch and
Thos. Hamilton, Sam'l
Hunter and Jno. Beaty. } On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Kessler claims the land of Leetch and Hamilton by an application of one Albright which was taken

out for a Tract of Land in a Different place from that now Claimed, but which was improv'd by one Brillinger, who was taken Captive by the Indians and therefore was relinquished by Albright who sold to Kessler on Conditions it should not be Surveyed on the Ground Located, and that Hunter claims by a Warrant in the Year 1762 and Survey prior to any right of Kessler which is by an application of Frederick Miller in the year 1769 therefore the Board are of Opinion that as Kessler or Albrights Application is not for the land of Leetch's and Hamilton and that the other Tract is claimed by Hunter on a prior Right the Caveat shall be dismissed.

William Hall
v. } On Caveat.
Chris'r Meyers.

It appearing that Meyers claims under a Warrant a Survey to Jos. Leacock and Mr. Hall though duly notifyed not appearing to Support the Allegations of his Caveat the same is dismissed.

Major William Armstrong appearing informed the Board that he had ordered an Ejectment to be brought against Walker who Claims under a Com'rs Sale and therefore desired that the Patent made out to Said Walker may not be sealed untill a Determination at Law which it is thought proper to indulge him in provided Major Armstrong produces proof that such an Action is now commenced.

[See White vs. Smith page 463.]

William Rankin
v. } On Caveat & note on Draft.
Robert Smith.

Rankin being cited and not appearing Smith is allowed a Patent for 307 Acres Surveyed on John Wilsons Warrant, and Caveat of Rankins dismissed.

Allowed a Patent to John Swartzback on the Deposition of said John Swartzback proving a Mistake in the name of Jacob instead of John.

Isaac Miller
v. } On Caveat.
William Richardson.

A dispute on Caveat postponed from the first Monday in September last to this time. Mr. Richardson appearing and producing further Testimony and Miller not attending nor any for him the said Caveat is dismissed, and Richardson allowed a Patent for the 216½ Acres Surveyed on Warrant to John McClure.

John Kunkle
v. } On Caveat.
Jacob Eyerle.

The parties appearing produced to the Board an argument in

Writing dated 14th April Instant in words following Viz: Whereas Jacob Eyerly, Jun'r and Jno. Kunkle, jun'r "have lately pur-"chased Warr'ts & surveyed Several Tracts of Land on the waters "of Black Creek and Hazel Creek in Northampton County and it "is since found that the Surveys clash and in part lie on each "other and to prevent all disputes they have mutually agreed "that the Land included in the Surveys and returns of the two "Warrants in the Names of Matthias Krans and Jno. Beitel made "for the said Jacob Eyerly shall be given up to s'd Jno. Kunkle "and s'd Eyerly hold and enjoy the land included in the Surveys "and Returns on his five other Warrants in the Names of Geo. "Hartman, Ludwick Roth, Paul Meekseh, David Peter and Joa-"chim Wigman respectively as they are now made and Returned "agreeable to the within Drafft and that the said Kunkle shall "and will not do any act to hinder or prevent said Eyerly from "laying all his Warr'ts to the Eastward, and the said Eyerly shall "and will not do any act to hinder or prevent the said Kunkle from "laying all his Warrants to the Westward on the said Division "line now agreed on between them and that the said Eyerly shall "and will run out the said Division line in the presence of Timothy "Lewis within 6 months from this date for the true performance "the said parties hereby Actually bind themselves their respective "Heirs Exe'trs and Admin'rs each unto the other in the penal "sum of one hundred pounds Specie firm by these presents. In "Witness whereof they have interchangeably set their hands and "Seals hereunto dated the 14th day of April, Anno Domini 1790. "Sealed and Delivered in the presence of Us."

Jacob Eyerly' Jun'r. [Seal.]

R. WHITEHEAD,
JACOB HOFFMAN.

Which Agreement is approved of by the Board.

At a Special meeting at the Secretary of the Land Office 21st Ap'l, 1790.

Present as before.

James Clark
v.
Jos. Parkison.

This Case being postponed from the first Monday in September last to the first Monday in March last in Order that said Parkison might then produce the Deed from Parkison to William Scott to shew that he had conveyed only a part as he alledged but

as he has not come forward to do this it is concluded that the Deed conveys his Whole Right to Scott and Scotts Patent Recites and therefore it is ordered that John Ingram assignee of Clark shall have a Patent for his 310 Acre Survey.

At a Special Meeting at the Vice Presidents 29th April, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Samuel Edy, Esq'r.
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Peter Lamaguire appeared before the Board and applied for a Patent for 143½ Acres in Hamilton Township, Northampton County, Surveyed on application No. 1221 of Mich'l Link and represented that he had purchased from the Sherriff of said County the said land taken in Execution as the property of Frede'k Joseph Smith the former Owner thereof, who had the Title Deeds in his possession which he would not Deliver up which case being considered, a Patent is allowed to said Lamaguire.

At a Meeting at the Surv. Gen'l Office 3d May, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Zebulon Potts, Esq'r.
Dan'l Brodhead, Esq'r, Surv'r Gen'l
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

John Andrew
v.
John Teeter Varner.

On Caveat postponed from the 5th of November, 1789, on hearing the parties and inspecting a Draught made by George Palmer Deputy Surveyor it appears that Varner claims under a Warrant to Enoch Beer & a Survey made hereon the 20th April 1789, which Warrant and Survey are prior to Andrews though the Warrant is not located on that ground therefore it is Ordered that Andrew shall have a Survey made and returned on his Warrant of the piece of land marked with the letter A next to Jacob Schaffers

land by a line to be run from a Stone by a Spanish oak N'o 20— degrees to intersect the N. 72 degrees ½ E. 196 perches line in the s'd Drafft of George Palmer now before the Board and the remainder to be returned on Beeers Warant for Varner.

William Campbell
v.
John McGaw. } On Caveat.

John McGaw appearing and James Campbell appearing for William Campbell were heard and McGaw produced a Copy of the Record of Court in his favor for the said Tract and therefore the Caveat is ordered to be dismissed.

Robert Lyon
v.
John Stille.

Postponed to the first Monday in November next in Order that the Order of the Board of the 2d March last may be executed.

Peter Ickes
v.
John Bittinger. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that the Application of Ickes agreeable to its Location cannot without interfereing with a Survey of Ickes and some other Surveys held by prior Rights of other Persons be laid so as to effect Bittengers Claim, therefore the Caveat is dismissed and the Dep'y Surveyor is directed to return the part Marked with blue Ink in a Draft now before the Board containing 88 Acres as part of 151 Acres together with the fifty three acre Tract on the said Bittengers Warrant of the 18 June, 1759 and to return the 40½ Acres on Sherers Warrant and the Remainder of his Claim not already Patented on his said Bittengers Warrant of the 28th November, 1788.

William McMeen
v.
Alex'ar McGrady. } On Caveat.

Mr. McMeen being duly Notifyed and not appearing McGrady was heard exparte on which it appears that Wm. Chesnut conveyed the Right of an Application for the Land in question by a Deed now produced which is Recorded unto the said McGrady therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

John Hirshey is allowed a Patent for a Tract for which he requests the same.

William Vanphul is allowed a patt't and LeRoys Caveat is dismissed he not attending though duly notifyed.

Henry Drinker is allowed a Patent for two Tracts of Land in Right of Parks Tyler and Calkins at Tapollock on Delaware the Title Deeds and proof of Title being examined.

At a Special Meeting at the Surv. Gen'ls Office the 14th May, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Zebulon Potts, Esq'r.
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Allowed a Patent to James Carnahan for two Tracts of land on Plumb Creek surveyed P. Warrant dated 1st of July, 1784, to E. Henderson, Senior and Junior on producing his Title Deeds.

At a Special Meeting at the Surv. Gen'ls the 4 June, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Wm. Finley, Esq'r.
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Henry Funk of County of Lancaster appeared before the Board and applied for a Patent for a Tract of Land he Purchased of a Certain Ann Noblet but it being formerly suppos'd to be the property of John Rankin an attainted Traitor the Comptroller General entred a Caveat against granting a Patent to said Funk it is thought proper to postpone the consideration of this Matter and the granting of Patent to said Funk to the first Monday in August next in Order that the Comptroller may have time to write to John Forsyth, requesting him to examine the Records of York County to make such other enquiry as he may think proper or necessary to prove that the said land was the property of the said Rankin before his said attainder.

William Elliot by Col'o Abraham Smith applied for a Patent for 157 Acres on Bush Creek in Pitt Tow'p Westmoreland County Surveyed on application of Thomas Caldwell No. 2767 entered the 3d April, 1769, which is Certifyed to be surveyed agreeable to the order of the Board in the case between said Elliot & Oliver Duff on the ——— day of ——— which is approved and Elliot allowed a Patent.

At a meeting at the Surv. Gen'ls Office 7th June, 1790.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable William Finley, Esq'r.
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

John Solt
v. } On Caveat.
Jacob Erb.

Jacob Erb not appearing though duly notifyed Solt was heard exparte whereon it appears that he said Solt, haveing purchased the Imp't Rights of one Abraham Ursurbach from the Sherriff of Northampton County Obtained a Warrant including the same Improvement therefore it is Ordered that a Survey shall be made and Returned for Solt and Patent granted him.

Joseph Hoofhance
v.
John Moore } On Caveat.
al's Muir.

On hearing the parties it appears that Muir claims under an application and Survey made by John Boyd assistant Surveyor to Gen'l Thompson in the Year 1790 for Elizabeth Shannon which Survey has not been returned and that Hoofhance has purchased the Right of one Fred'ck Tumbold Junior to an appli'n of a posterior date to that of Shannons and hath since Improved and lives on the same land it is thought proper in as much as Shannons Survey contained 365 Acres that is 65 Acres more than the Quantity of the application that the same be cast off from Shannons Survey and returned for Hoofhance including his Improvement in the most convenient manner to which both the parties agree.

Ludwig Wittemeyer
v. } On Caveat.
Fred'ck Miller.

On hearing the parties and Inspecting an agreement on Instrument in Writing Under the hand and seal of the said Miller that he consented to Survey Wittemeyer therefore Wittemeyer is Allowed a Patent on his Caveat.

John Chapman
v.
John Jacques, David } On Caveat.
Carlisle & James
Hamilton.

Leonard Graham appeared before the Board and produc'd the

field notes of a survey made for Philip Pindell on his application No. 3357 which appears to Intersect with land Claimed by Carlisle and Hamilton under a Warrant to Linn Dated the 20th Aug. 1785, and also with a Survey of John Chapman on Warr't of the 24th Aug't aforesaid therefore is Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor of the District Resurvey the said Tract Surveyed on Pindells application according to the Original Lines and to Survey and Return the Vacancy remaining to Carlisle and Hamilton on Linns Wt. and in Chapman Wt. pointing out the interferences which Drafft is to be Returned to this Board the first Monday in Decm'r next a Copy of this Minute to be given Carlisle and Hamilton at least 30 days before the said first Monday in Decem'r.

Matthew McClung
v.
Fred'ck Shoof and
Matt'w Brown. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that McClung Claims under a Warrant in the year 1759 to David Jones for 25 A's joining other land of said Jones and that Brown and Shoof have a late Warrant but as there is a likelyhood of the parties compromising this Dispute it is postponed to the first Monday in Novemb'r next.

Mary John the Widow
of Jacob vs. David Carlisle
and James Hamilton. } On Caveats.

Carlisle and Hamilton or either of them not appearing the Board proceeded to hear David Brown who appeared for the Widow exparte whereon it appears that Jacob John did purchase in the year 1772 an Improvement made on the land in contest from one William Evans who lived on the same with his family and also on the 24th August, 1785, Obtained a Warrant including the same Improvement the Board are therefore of Opinion that Johns Survey ought to be accepted and Patent allowed.

William Schooly
v.
Robert Adams. } On Caveat.

On Petition for a Rehearing of a case determined the first Monday in Decemb'r last.

Joseph Thomas, Esq'r, appearing and producing some Deeds and Testimony which were not produced at any former hearing of this Case it is thought proper to allow a Rehearing for which the last Monday in September next is appointed and Schooly is to give Adams a Copy of this Minute at least forty days before the said last Monday in September.

George Reinicher
v.
Thomas Procter.

This Case is postponed to the first Monday in November next in Order that the Parties may have an Opportunity of Procuring further Testimony.

Moses Pheonix
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Connelly.

On hearing the parties it appears that Connelly had a Survey made by Henry Vanderslice as Assistant Surveyor to James Scull for him on an Application and that Pheonix hath a Warrant and Survey therefore it is Ordered that Connellys survey shall be Returned agreeable to Vanderslices Survey and the Vacancy on Pheonix Warrant.

Benjamin Wells
v. } On Caveat.
Abraham Scott.

Postponed to September next in Order that the Deputy Surveyor may furnish the Board with the Draught of Wells's Claim makeing or nothing thereon with what lands Surveys or Pat's it shall interfere.

A Patent dated 10th day of May last Granted to John Ritter for 301 Acres 140 Perches Situate in Penn Tow'p Northampton County Surveyed on Warrant to said Ritter dated the 27th February, 1787 was laid before the Board also a Deed poll from the said Ritter da'd the 26th February, 1788, Michael Robst who Represents that the said Deed not being produced at the time of Write'g the said Patent it was issued in the name of said Ritter instead of said Robst, and therefore prays that a new Patent may be granted which being Considered it is Ord'd that a new Patent shall be issued to said Robst ——— the said Deed poll.

Herman Orendorf is allowed the sum of £5 paid 9th June 1752 in the Settlement of his account on Fred'ck Ulrich's warrant he haveing produced proof that he is entitled to the same.

Daniel Swearingen.
v.
John White.

This case is postponed to the first Monday in September next at the Request of Swearingen at which time the Deputy Surveyor is directed to furnish the Board with a Plott of Whites Survey and its interference with other Claims if any.

John Little
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Gist.

Two Letters from John Woods, Esq'r and Isaac Meason who Respectively represents Little and Gist, were heard hereby it appears that Little or Woods claim by Virtue of the Applications

following (to Wit No. 1082 Joseph Hunter "300 Acres near the foot "of Laurel Hill upon Braddocks Road including a Spring and the "waters thereon Running through a Cleared meadow also another "Spring about 50 Rod distant from a place known by the name of "Glass Indian House" No. 837 Enoch David 300 A's joining Joseph Hunter at the foot of Laurel Hill No. 1192 John Roberts joining Enoch David No. 1259 Daniel Edwards 300 A's Joining John Roberts Wt. 263 Cornelius Harity 300 A's Adjoining Gists Improvement to the Wt. of said Gists Improvement on both sides of Braddocks road Which several Applications were recei'd by the Deputy Sur'y on the 30th May, 1769. That Thomas Gist had several Applica'ns in his own name and his Brother Richard & others on which Surveys were made and returned and since hath had Surveys made on the same Lands or some of them by Virtue of Virginia Rights but as no General Draught is Returned, and the Rights of Gist seem to lay on and Interfere with each other it is thought proper to postpone this case to the first Monday in September next in Order that the Deputy Surveyor may Survey the same land and furnish this Board with a General Draught or Plott of all the lands on which the several Rights aforesaid were made pointing out or denoting thereon, the respective Claims Improv'ts and interferences of the parties which he is dehired to do again that time.

Moore
v.
Wilson.

Moore not appearing and it being proven by John McClure before George Wallace, Esq'r that he said Moore was convinced that Wilsons right was the best therefore the said Caveat of Moore is dismissed.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 2 July, 1790.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Abraham Smith, Esq'r.
Dan'l Broadhead, Esq., Surv'r Gen'l
Fran's Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

William Starrett
v.
William Brown &
James Harris.
On Caveat.

William Brown, Esq'r appeared and represented that said Star-

ret had entred a Caveat against Brown and Harris as claiming under a Warrant to William Nurk the right to which had been proved to be the property of and adjudged to the said Brown and Harris by a former decision of this Board on the first Monday in March last, and it is deemed that there is no foundation for the Caveat, and therefore it is dismissed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 6th July, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Lord Butler, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

James McDowell
v. } On Caveat.
Benjamin Kuykendale.

This case was on the first Monday in October last referred by consent of the parties to John McDowell, John McKee, Mr. Benjamin Lodge and the Deputy Surveyor of the District who were desired to hear the parties point out their claims and Improvements and to report to this Board on the first Monday in April last. And it is now represented by Abrm. Smith, Esq'r that the Widow of Kuykendale declines taking any steps to have the said Order of the Board carried into effect and which is proved by the Deposition of Jonathan Smith now produced. Therefore it is ordered that the Deputy Surveyor and Mr. Lodge together with as many of the said Referees as can be procured to attend shall execute the said Order of the Board and make Report the first Monday in October next.

Joseph Caufman appeared before the Board and made it appear that he made use of his Wife Barbara Caufman's name in Trust and paid the purchase money for 300 Acres of land in Westmoreland Co'y for which a Warrant was granted the 8 day of February, 1776, on which a Survey of 206 A's 108 Ps. hath been returned for which he desired a Patent as the said Barbara was his Wife and is now deceased, the Board therefore allows a Patent to the said Joseph Caufman.

Christopher Blank having by his Petition stated that a Patent dated 30 September, 1788 was granted to him for 111 A's 150 Ps. which had been surveyed to him in right of one Horlacher in two separate pieces not at all joining as will appear by a Plott made by

George Palmer now produced and prayed that two new Patents might be granted to rectify the said Error, Whereupon it is ordered that the Surveyor General shall make separate Returns of the said Tracts into the Office of Sec'ry of the Land Office in order that new Patents may issue in Lieu of or rectifying the said former Patent.

David Davison
v. } On Caveat.
John Watson.

Ordered that the Caveat be dismissed and Patent allowed to Watson.

David Chambers
v. } On Caveat.
Josiah Parke.

David Chambers the son of the Caveator being duly notifyed and not appearing Henry Drinker who claims under Parke was heard exparte, whereupon it is ordered that the Caveat be dismissed and Patent granted to Drinker.

John and Samuel Holliday allowed to withdraw their Caveats against William Campbell, it appearing by the Copy of Record of Court that the same was recovered in the Supreme Court.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the third day of August, 1790.

Present

The Honble George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honble Benjamin Elliot, Esq'r.
The Surveyor General
The Receiver General } of the Land Office.
The Secretary

Carlisle Iron Works Co.
v. } On Caveat.
John Reaugh.

On hearing the said Reaugh and Michael Ege in behalf of the Company it appears that a great part of Reaugh's Survey is included in a Survey of the said Company made on a Warrant in the year 1762 and that Reaugh's Improvement is not within the same, therefore it is ordered that the Company shall be allowed a Patent and that Reaugh's Survey be altered so as to leave out what is included in the Iron Works Survey and the remainder including his Improvement shall be returned on Reaugh's Warrant.

4th. Present as yesterday only Nathaniel Breden, Esq'r, in place of Benjamin Elliot.

Nathaniel Paul
v.
Elliot, Dean & Bell.

Nathaniel Pauls Warrant being prior to Elliots the Deputy Surveyor is ordered to Return the Survey on said Paul's Warrant denoting thereon the place where Watson's Improvement which Paul bought was and with whose right of Survey Pauls claim interferes, this Return is to be made the first Monday in November next in order that the parties may be further heard and have an opportunity of producing further Testimony.

Philip Beard
v.
Adis Linn

Philip Beard and Adis Linn appearing & produced an Instrument in writing in the words following, "We said Parties agree "that Philip Beard shall relinquish unto Adis Linn all right & "claim to the Tract of Land and Improvements in dispute be- "tween us and the said Beard doth also agree to give quiet pos- "session of said Premises unto said Linn within one year from "this date, Provided nevertheless and it is hereby understood and "agreed by and between the said parties that the said Linn be- "fore he shall obtain possession of the said Premises shall well "and truly pay the sum of Twenty pounds Specie unto said Beard "for his Improvements and shall also permit and suffer said "Beard to cut and carry away such grain or crops as may now be "in the ground or shall be put in the ground this fall, In Witness "whereof we have hereunto put our hands & Seals the 6 day of "Aug'st, 1790."

PHILIP BEARD [L. S.]
ADIS LINN [L. S.]
in German.

Sealed & Delivered
in prence of us
David Kennedy
Francis Johnston.

Which Agreement the Board confirms.

Henry Stoffet
v.
Casper Houser in right of Fred'k Deihl.
On Caveat.

Deihl having the prior Warr't for Sixty Acres joining the Moravians land, Martin Kind, Henry Stoffet and Jacob Stroud in

Plainfield Township, Northampton County. It is ordered that the Deputy Surveyor George Palmer shall execute Deihl's Warrant agreeable to its location and Survey and return the remainder of the vacancy on Stoffets Warrant which Returns are to be made on the first Monday in December next to which time this case is postponed.

George Boyer by an instrument in writing agreeing that Jacob Meyer should have a Patent for a Tract of 28 Acres as described in the same instrument he is allowed a Patent for the 117 Acres which leaves out the 28 Acres.

Thomas Smith, Esq'r, one of the Executors of John Vanderen in writing stated to the Board that a Survey was made on an Application No. 3596 of John Vanderen for which a Patent was granted to said John Vanderen on or about the 6th day of September, 1769. But that the said Survey and Patent are very erroneous and different from the lines and boundaries actually run and marked on the land, and therefore prayed that a Warrant might issue to the Executors of John Vanderen for Resurveying the said Tract of land according to the lines actually run in order to rectify the errors of said Survey and Patent which being considered it is allowed that such Warrant issue.

The Executors of John Vanderen
v.
John and William Gowdy. } On Caveat.

Hugh Brackenridge, Esq'r, transmitted to the Secretary in a letter acknowledging the service of Notice in this Case, a Deed from Thomas Collins to John Hughes dated the 6 day of December, 1787, and one from Hughes to said Gowdys dated 8 January, 1788, and Thomas Smith, Esq., appeared and produced a Deed from Thomas Collins to John Ormsby dated the 11th day of July, 1772, and from one said Ormsby to Robert Lettis Hopper dated the 5th day of January, 1773 and from him to said John Vanderen dated 23d June, 1773, And also a Deposition of said Ormsby testifying that he had entered amongst others the location on which the Tract in contest was Surveyed and made use of the name of Thomas Collins the son of his Friend Luke Collins and that he had paid the fees and all other charges, &c. Therefore it is the opinion of the Board that even if the name of Thomas Collins was not used in Trust yet that the Deed from Collins to Ormsby being prior to that from Collins to Hughes under which the Gowdys claim and that there is a regular chain of Conveyances vesting the right of Collins in Vanderen the Executors of Vanderen ought to have a Patent on paying the purchase money, &c., due.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 13th August, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Benjamin Elliot, Esq.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

John Hoge, Esq'r, appearing before the Board produced a Survey of 46 A's 158 Ps. part of 124 A's 100 Ps. situate in Northumberland County, surveyed on Warr't No. 700 dated the 31 October, 1785 to John Dougherty for which he desired a Patent but as he had no Deed from Dougherty to Kedlinger from one Hoge purchased he made Oath that Dougherty had Conveyed and it being only for a part of the Survey it is likely that the owner of the other part retains the Deed in his possession, therefore under these circumstances the said Mr. Hoge is allowed a Patent.

John Hunter and Thomas Procter, Esq'r, appeared and desired the Board to resume the consideration of a Petition of the said Hunter and his Brother Will'm exhibitted some time since to the Supreme Executive Council and referred by the same Council to this Board, And on examining the case and Petition and Title Deeds, &c., it appears that a lot of ground containing 132 feet on High Street by 306 feet on Seventh Street from Schuylkill was surveyed on the 5th day of the first month by virtue of a Warrant dated the 14th of the fourth month 1683, for George Shore whose right became vested in Rees Priest whose right to the same lot by virtue of divers mense Conveyances and Assurances hath become vested in the said Thomas Procter, said George and William Hunter, John Brumstrom or his Assignee being desirous of obtaining separate Patents for their parts pray that a Warrant may issue for Resurveying the said lot according to its original lines and bounds and for laying off the parts or shares of the said parties or others interested according to their respective Deeds, on considering this Case it is thought proper to recommend the Supreme Executive Council the granting such Warrant of Resurvey and division as requested.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 17th August, 1790.

Present as before.

The Draft of 165 Acres surveyed on Henry Beaty juniors Application and the Report of William Findley, David Marchant and

Benjamin Lodge made pursuant to a former order of this Board in the case between Jane Bee (who intermarried with John Fitzgerald) and James Brison being examined and considered it is ordered that a Patent shall be granted to Fitzgerald for the land surveyed on the Application under which he claims unless Brison shall shew cause to the contrary the first Monday in March next and Mr. Lodge is directed at that time to make return of Marchants, Fitzgeralds and Brison's Surveys denoting the interferences.

Thomas Wynn
v.
Daniel Green.

The Draft of the Surveys of the parties made by Alexander McClean, Thomas Gaddis and Robert Richey pursuant to a former order of the Board being now presented and it being examined the same is confirmed.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 24th August, 1790.

Present as before.

The Honble David Redick appeared and represented to the Board that a certain Aaron Torrence had applied for a Warrant for 250 Acres land including an Improvement in Washington County which Improvement upon a dispute between said Torrence and said Redick on the 2d June, 1788 was adjudged to him said Redick, That thereupon the said Torrence applied for a Warrant for 50 Acres only on the Certificate of two justices which was granted he agreeing to pay £10 P. Cent and Intrest from 1st March 1773, and said Redick requested that the land Returned might be exempt from Intrest prior to the actual settlement of said 50 Acres The Board on considering this case are of opinion that the Warrant being granted on said Terms at the request and by the agreement of the said Torrence pursuant to the Justices Certificate as the law requires the payment of the Interest cannot now be dispensed with contrary to the Terms of the said Warrant.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 30th August, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vive President.
The Honorable Benjamin Elliott, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

John Gibson
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Smallman.

On hearing the parties it appears that Gibson claims under an Application No. 256 and a survey made thereon of Martin Laughman made the third day of July, 1769, by James Hendricks Deputy Surveyor, and that Smallman has an Application No. 618 and a Survey of 305 Acres made thereon. It is ordered that a Resurvey shall be made of Laughman's Tract and if Smallman's interferes with it to point the interference and report to the Board the first Monday in December next.

Peter Body
v. } On Caveat.
Will'm Richardson.

On hearing William Rawle and Hugh H. Brackenridge, Esq rs on behalf of the parties, it appears that Richardson claims under a Warrant dated 14 March, 1785, to John Kirwane and a Survey thereon of 183 Acres, And that Body's Warrant is dated 10 February, 1785, both Warrants expressing to include Improvements made in the year 1771 and 1772 respectively. And it appearing that Body was sent out to improve for Richardson or his Pardners and was considered as the Tenant of that Company and did actually improve as such, Therefore it is the opinion of the Board that Richardson ought to have a Patent on Kirwane's Survey.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 6th September, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Frederick Watts, Esq.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Ludwig Seaman
v.
The Heirs of Jacob Alwine.

On hearing the parties it appears that a Survey of 28½ Acres had been made on Application No. 578 entered 21 August, 1765 for Jacob Alwine, and that Seaman supposing it vacant obtained a Warrant for the same on the 30 March last, therefore a Patent is allowed to the said Heirs of Alwine, and Seaman's Caveat is dismissed.

Ridgly and Goodwin
v.
Alexander Robinson.

This Case is postponed to the first Monday in January next in order that the parties interested may try to accommodate and settle this dispute.

Dr. John Ewing
v. On Caveat.
John Kelly.

On hearing the parties and considering the Report and Draft made by Joseph J. Wallis pursuant to an order of the Board the first Monday in February last, It is ordered that the Caveat shall be dismissed.

John White.
v. On Caveat.
Daniel Swearingen.

Mr. Isaac Meason apparing for White & the said Daniel Swearingen were heard and agreed that this case shall be referred to James Alison & Dorsey Penticost, Esq'rs, together with the Deputy Surveyor.

Benjamin Wells
v.
Abraham Scott.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next in order that a former Order of this Board of the first Monday in June last relating to this dispute may be executed.

Christopher Lovengier
v. On Caveat.
Ralph Cherry.

On hearing John Beard, Esq'r, (who appeared for Cherry) and the said Lovingier it appears that the said Lovingier hath purchased a Mill and Improvements on a Tract of land on Jacobs Creek part lying in Mount Pleasant Township, Westmoreland County part in Bulswin Townshilp, Fayette County, and that Cherry had procured a Survey to be made on a Warrant located in a different place from that on which the Survey is made.

Therefore it is Ordered that Lovengier shall be allowed to take a Warrant and that the said Survey of Cherry shall not be accepted.

John Little
v.
The Heirs of Thos. Gist.

Postponed, the Minutes of the Board directing a Plot to be made not being executed, Sub. Con.

Magnus Tate
v.
Robt. and Chas. Brownfield. } On Caveat.

This Case left by Messrs. Meason and Findley who appeared for the parties to James Hamon, Henry Beeson and Alexander McClean, Esq'r, to hear the parties to try to settle the dispute and report to the Board the first Monday in January next.

William Brooks
v.
Hugh McKean. } On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in February next in order that further Testimony may be produced.

Levi Stevens
v.
Taylor Carrol.

This case referred to Mathew Ritchie, Alexander McClean and John Canan, Esq'rs.

Patrick Hartford
v.
George Stinger.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next.

Sigismund Miller
v.
Andrew Moore. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Miller claims under a Warrant and survey made in 1765 on Warrant to George Herold dated 5 September, 1775, and that Moore hath a Warrant bounding on the said Survey, but the parties differ with respect to the line one saying that the line and White Oak corner is in one place, and the other asserting that the same are in another place. Whereupon it is ordered that the Dep'y Surveyor taking with him two honest judicious disinterested neighbours shall go to the ground examine the lines of the first Survey and block the trees which the parties suppose to be the corners, and make return to this Board by the first Monday in January next.

Martick Forge Co.
v.
Ramsey and Keagy.

Postponed to the first Monday in May next.

Peter Orwig
v.
Joseph Sigfried.

On hearing the parties and examining their papers it appears that Sigfried hath a Sherriffs Deed for Orwigs right therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

Peter Orwig
v.
Baltzer Gehr, Esq. } On Caveat.

Peter Orwig has released his Caveat against Gehr, Gehr has by Deed Poll duly executed conveyed the land in dispute to one Werner, and now Sigfried declares that let his warrant be what it may he desires not to injure Werner, and therefore relinquishes his claim to the land to Werner, Whereupon it is Ordered that Werner may have a Patent.

John Crawford
v.
James Cunningham. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Crawford having an Application No. 863 for 200 Acres of Land had above 500 acres circumscribed which being too much to be returned agreeable to Orders given to the Deputy Surveyors (which were not to exceed ten P. Cent) and thereupon the Deputy Surveyor or his Assistant after giving Crawford Notice to secure himself by taking out an additional Warrant or location made return of 220½ Acres into the Surveyor Generals Office. That several years afterwards James Cunningham obtained a Warrant for 280 Acres bounding on Crawford's Survey and had a survey of 290 Acres made thereon, The Board is of opinion that the said Surveys of the parties shall be accepted and Patents issue.

Dr. Smith
v.
Henry Abrams. } On Caveat.

Postponed no Draft being produced.

Francis Morrison
v.
John Blackburn

Postponed to the first Monday in February next in order that the Deputy Surveyor may return a Plot of the Survey of Blackburn denoting how it interferes with Morrison's Survey.

Baltzer Spengler
v. } On Caveat.
William Forsythe.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next, and if Spengler does not procure his Survey to be made pursuant to a former Order of this Board, or shew sufficient cause why he cannot have it done, It is ordered that Forsythe shall have his Patent.

Upon the representation of Richard Beard, to the Secretary, and of John Beard, Esq'r to this Board concerning a Caveat Nathaniel Hurst against Mary Poe, that the land was far distant therefore the Caveat is allowed to be withdrawn.

Foulks
and
Craig Ritchie.

This Case is at the request of the parties postponed to the first Monday in January next.

James Johnston
v. } On Caveat.
Abraham Dewitt.

The person who lives on the land against which this Caveat was entered having declared that he esteemed said Johnston the owner of said land and no other person being to be found to notify he is allowed to withdraw Caveat and have his Survey returned.

Dr. Bond who claims
under Jacob Edge
v.
Samuel Beard.

This Case being put off from the 22d day Ja'ry last to the first Monday in March last and from that time continued, and the Honble William Maclay appearing before the Board stated this Case and produced a letter from the said Bond, Whereon it is the opinion of the Board that the Allegations set forth in the said Minute of the 22d day of Ja'ry are not supported and therefore that the Surveys of Edge and Lowthers shall be established as they are made and Returned into the Surveyor Generals Office.

James Alison, Esq'r, on oath declared that the two Tracts called "Sugar Grove" and "Canonhill" for which John Canon applies for Patents do not interfere with the land in dispute between said Canon and John Boys. Therefore Patents are allowed.

James Martin instead of F. Watts.

Porter
v.
Ferguson.

Referred by consent of Gen'l Nevill & Col. Henry Taylor who appeared for the parties to Andrew McFarlane, John Canon and Nevill & Ritchie or one of the two last named who are to hear and finally settle this dispute, and their Surveys are to be made accordingly.

Allowed a Patent to Nicholas Miller in right of John Treets App'n he having a Sherriffs Deed for the land Surveyed on the said Application.

Snodgrass
v. } On Caveat.
Small.

Gen'l Nevill appeared on the part of Snodgrass, but as it is suggested by the Secretary that Mr. Redick gave him a letter with some papers enclosed on the part of Small which he at present cannot find therefore this Case is postponed to the first Monday in January next.

Robert Estep
v.
John Wallace.

Whereas at a meeting of the Board the first of September, 1788, John Douglass, Esq'r, Alexander Gilfillan, James Allison, Esq'r, and Henry Cotton together with the Deputy Surveyor of the District were to hear the parties, examine their proofs and make the line where the said referrees should judge right, And at another meeting of the same Board on the first of September, 1789. it being represented by James Alison, Esq'r that the determination and report of the said Referrees had not been made at the time directed, therefore it was thought proper to appoint the said referrees together with Nevill and Ritchie to do the several matters directed to be done by the said first recited Order, and it being now represented by Gen'l Nevill that Wallace (for reasons to him unknown) declines taking any steps towards the finishing this business, therefore it is ordered that the said Surveyors Nevill and Ritchie shall appoint a time and place to take up this business and direct the parties or some of them to notify the said Referrees thereof and they the said Surveyors and as many of the referrees as will attend shall make report to this Board the first Monday in January next.

Ephraim Blaine
v.
William Proctor
Will'm Lochry & ors.

On Caveat postponed to the first Monday in March, 1788 directing a Draft to be made to the Deputy Surveyor, And now a Plot being returned by John Moore the said Deputy and Will'm Finley

(on the part of said Lochry and others) and the said Blaine being heard and the said Plot being examined, it appears that Ephraim Blaine hath an Application No. 389 in the name of Alexander Blaine for 300 Acres On the 12 mile Run and the great road opposite to William Procters Improvement which according to the said location would include part of the land survey'd to William Lochry, William Proctor, land improved since Blaine's location by Archibald Lochry and part of John Proctor's Survey on a Special Grant. That from the Testimony offered Blaine hath nearly since the entering his location contended for this land and made endeavors to have his Survey made, and therefore it is the opinion of the Board that a Survey shall be made for Blaine joining John Proctor's Survey and taking in parts of William Lochry's and Will'm Proctor's Surveys and about 67 Acres whereon Archibald Lochry made some small Improvements supposed to contain about 190 Acres and described and marked with red Ink on the said Plot made by said John Moore a Copy whereof is hereto annexed.

13th September, 1790, Special meeting, Present as before.

A survey made by George Palmer pursuant to an Order of this Board dated 5 November, 1787, on a dispute between William Miller or his Heirs with Plumer and other being produced the same is confirmed, And as the terms and manner of granting the confirmation was deferred until after the Return of the Survey the same is now taken into consideration, And it appears that an Application was made by the said William Miller on the 5th day of February, 1766 for a Warrant on the Terms or £15 10 P. Ct. Intrest from the same time as his other land, which Application was made in the time of the Stamp Act, but the usual money not being paid the said Warrant never issued and the said William Miller dying, his Children, to wit, Thomas, William, &c., being ignorant of the said Entry obtained a Warrant for 150 Acres on the 26 day of January, 1787, for the same land, Therefore it is directed that the Rec'd General shall calculate the purchase money agreeable to the terms of the said Application for a Warrant and giving credit for the money paid at granting the said Warrant receive the remainder and the Surveyor General shall make Return of the survey on the said Application for a Warrant, the said new Warrant and the Order of the Board into the Office of the Secretary of the Land Office in order for Patent.

John Perry
v. } On Caveat.
Robert Thompson.

Perry nor his Assignees not appearing though duly notifyed this

Case is postponed to the first Monday in January next, Thompson giving Perry a Copy of this Minute at least thirty days before the said first Monday in January.

Gen'l William Irvine
v.
Ephraim Blaine.

On Notice to shew cause why Patent should not issue to said Irvine.

Col. Blaine appearing shewed cause why a second Patent should not issue therefore such second Patent is not to be granted to said Irvine as Executor of Robert Callender.

Abraham Smith, Esq'r, Executor of the last Will and Testament of William Smith, Esq'r, appeared and desired leave to withdraw a Caveat ent'd by said Will'm Smith against one Dunlap, And examining as well the Office papers as letters and other papers transmitted to the Board by Richard Peters, Esq'r, Exec'r of the last Will of Richard Peters late Sec'ry deceased it is thought proper to allow the same Caveat to be withdrawn & Patent issued to the said Abr'm Smith, Exec'r of the said Wm. Smith for the uses devised in and by said Will.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 29th September, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Frederick Watts, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

William Schooly
v. } On a Rehearing.
Robert Adams.

A Rehearing being allowed on this day, and Robert Adams nor any for him attending the Board proceeded to hear Joseph Thomas, Esq'r who appeared for Schooly and to examine the Testimony offered on the part of Schooly on which it is the opinion of the Board that a former determination made on the Fifth day of November last ought to be reversed if Adams shews not cause to the contrary the first Monday in February next a Copy of this minute is to be given Adams at least thirty days before that time.

George Stevenson son of George Stevenson, deceased, appeared and desired that a Caveat he had entered against John Steinmetz might be dismissed and therefore the same is dismissed.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 4th October, 1790.

Present

The Honorable George Ross, Esq'r, Vice President.
The Honorable Richard Willing, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Long and Stewart
v. } On Caveat.
Robert King.

On hearing the parties it appears that King hath a Warrant and Survey of the piece of land in dispute and that the other parties have no right only some trifling clearing over the lines of their lands respectively therefore their Caveat is dismissed, and King is allowed a Patent.

Anthony Dacke
and John Wolf } On Caveat.
v.
Michael Lenker.

On hearing the parties it appears that each of them had surveys made without any Office right but now each have obtained Warrants to cover their surveys, therefore it is ordered that William Gray the Deputy Surveyor shall make the Surveys of the parties agreeable to the original lines aforesaid and if any interference shall be to point out the same in a Draft to be returned to this Board the first Monday in March next, when the parties may be further heard if they think proper.

James McDowell
v. } On Caveat.
Benjamin Kuykendale.

On considering the report of Benjamin Lodge & John McKee two of the referrees to whom this case was referred the 6 of July last it is Ordered that the Heirs of Kuykendale shall be allowed a Patent.

Will'm Augustus Smith
Exec'r of Jno. Masterson dec'd } On Caveat.
v.
James McDowell.

On hearing the parties it appears that the Heirs of Masterson claim under Application of Mark Hardin and a Survey made long before McDowell's therefore the Survey of Hardin is ordered to be established.

John Hoover
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Webb.

On hearing the parties it appears that Hoover claims the land in dispute as lying within the lines of his Patent and Webb alledges it is vacant land lying out of the said Patent lines, therefore it is ordered that the parties shall each choose a judicious disinterested person who with Bartram Galbreath the Deputy Surveyor shall Resurvey that said Patented Tract and by blocking the line trees and corners and every other method endeavor to ascertain whether the said land in dispute is a vacancy or Overplus and that report be made to this Board the first Monday in November next. And if the parties or either of them shall refuse to choose persons to assist the Deputy Surveyor then the said Surveyor shall choose them and do the matters as above directed and to report accordingly.

Thomas Conelly
v.
Moses Pheonix.

A Draught made by George Palmer pursuant to Order of the Board being inspected It is Ordered that the Tract marked in the same Draft No. 1 shall be returned on Thomas Conellys Application No. 1547 the Tract marked No. 2 on an Application No. 1659 of Thomas Conelly junior for Connelly and the Tract No. 3 containing 40 Acres shall be returned for Pheonix on his Warrant.

Abel Maintzer
Assignee of
John McKesson
v.
Dr. Robt. Johnston.

On hearing John McKesson and examining Johnston's papers which were left in Town, it appears that the land located by the Warrant of John Merkle under which Johnston claims was within a Survey and Patent of Robert Cuningham who was one of the former owners of Merkle's Warrant right. Therefore it is ordered that Lodowicks Miller's Survey shall be returned and Patent granted to said Maintzer.

George Ormsby
v.
James Chambers.

Postponed at the request of said Chambers to the first Monday in February next.

Thomas Paxton
v. } On Caveat.
Daniel Royer.

Thomas Paxton not appearing though duly notified Royers

Agent was heard exparte, Whereon it appears that Paxton hath a Patent for a Tract of land in right of Alex'r Query which does not interfere with the Survey Royer claims, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Nicholas Meek appeared and represented that by a mistake of the Justice in giving a Certificate in the name of Martin Meek instead of Nicholas Meek the Warrant issued for 210 Acres in the name of Martin, and on his declaration and the Certificate of Mr. Keble who carried the business through the Office, it is ordered that Patent issue to said Meek.

7th October, 1790.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Richard Willing, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

George Selser
v. } On Caveat.
William Smith.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next, Smith having no sufficient proof of the service of notice.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 15th October, 1790.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Zebulon Potts, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary.

Nathan Levering appeared before the Board and produced a survey of 1007 Acres of Land situate in Hopewell Township in the County of Bedford made by one Thomas Armor, jun., on a Warrant dated the 15th August, 1766, granted for surveying to one John Reed the quantity of 3350 Acres of Land not then already surveyed or appropriated and purchased of the Indians it being the remaining part of the original purchase of Francis Smith not

thentofore surveyed or appropriated and producing the Deeds deriving the title from and under said Reed to the said quantity of 1007 Acres in the said Nathan and his brother William Levering and requesting that the said survey might be accepted and a Patent of confirmation granted therefor, Which request being taken into consideration by the Board it is Resolved that as the said survey was made by the said Armor who was not a Deputy Surveyor & without any authority from the Surveyor General it cannot with propriety be accepted, But inasmuch as it is probable the said quantity of land is held by the said survey it is ordered that George Woods, Esq'r, the proper Deputy Surveyor of the District where the said land lies shall go to the place and Survey or Resurvey the said quantity as nearly agreeable to the said first survey as may be, and to make return as soon as possible to this Board denoting on his Plot or Draught whether or not it shall interfere with any other rights or claims in order that it may be further considered.

The first Monday in October, 1790, continued.

John Alman
v.
Charles Donnell
& James White.

Postponed to the first Monday in April next.

John Mullen.
v.
Jonathan Smith.

Ordered that the note on the Draught of Jonathan Smith as claimed by Dorsey Penticost be dismissed Penticost not appearing.

[Note.—Shortly after the above oneCumberland Dungan who purchased of Penticost sent his papers and petitioned for Rehearing, therefore let not Patent issue till a further order of the Board.]

Joseph Wallis & ors.
Exec'rs of Jno. Lukens, Dec'd
v.
John Harrison.

Postponed to the first Monday in April next.

The 7th October, continued.

Robert Sanderson
v.
Robert Sample.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next, in order that the parties may produce further Testimony, and that the Surveyor may return the Drafts of Surveys pointing out the interferences.

Myers allowed a Patent under Bubingers Warrant.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 1st November, 1790.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Nathaniel Bearding, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Thomas Burney
v.
Joseph Howard. } On Caveat.

William Wilson who claims under Burney and John McVay who claims under Howard appeared and were heard, on which it appears that a Survey of 192 Acres was made for Burney and 72 Acres for Howard which does not interfere. It is alledged that Mr. William Maclay by virtue of some order of the Board of Property or other authority enlarged the survey of Burney. But as no proof thereof is produced this case is postponed to the first Monday in January next in order that a copy of Mr. Maclay's field works or his information may be obtained respecting this Case.

Abednego Stevens
v.
James Gibson. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties that the land in contest consisting of 58 Acres situate in Air Township, Bedford County was surveyed by virtue of a Warrant dated 8 October, 1770 to Thomas Armstrong in August 1786, which is before Stevens obtained his. Therefore the said Survey is established.

Philip Eiser
v.
Zachariah Albaugh. } On Caveat.

The parties having agreed that Albaugh shall have a Patent

for the whole Tract and that he will then convey 20 Acres thereof described in a certain Article of Agreement now produced, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

William Stewart
v.
John Lee. } On Caveat.

Lee not appearing Stewart was heard exparte, whereon it appears that he hath a Warrant dated ——— day of ——— 178— for 200 Acres of land including his Improvements which are very valuable and a survey of that quantity, therefore Stewarts survey is ordered to be accepted and Patent to issue.

Samuel Jones
v.
Michael Whitman
and William Allison. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Jones hath two Warrants for 100 A's each and that Allison hath a Warrant for the part he claims but that both Jones' Warrants will include some improvm'ts and cleared land claimed by other parties. Therefore they have agreed to referr this case to Jacob Treet, Esq'r, Jacob Sitler and William Kersey the Deputy Surveyor, who are to survey the whole vacancy point out the Improvements and cleared land, and make report to this Board the first Monday in March next.

George Ege
v.
Heirs or Executors of
Philip Weiser, dec'd. } On Caveat.

This case is referred to Michael Tryon, Henry Spyker and Mr. William Wheeler who are desired to report to this Board the first Monday in March next.

John Hoover
v.
Joseph Webb.

On considering the report of Bartram Galbreath the Deputy Surveyor, Adam Reigart and Jacob Neff whereby it appears that the land in contest is included in the Patent under which Huber claims. It is ordered that Webbs Survey shall not be accepted.

Frederick Hettinger
v.
Henry Willy. } On Caveat.

On hearing the Widow of Hettinger, Willy not apearing, it appears that she claims under an Application of Henry Hawns No. 426 which it is alledged was surveyed by Mr. Scull and that Willy hath a Warrant located on a part of the same survey,

Therefore it is ordered that George Palmer shall make a Resurvey of the said Tract surveyed on Hawns Application agreeable to the lines run by Scull, and to survey or execute Willys Warrant agreeable to its location denoting on a Draught to be returned to this Board the first Monday in April next whether or in what manner it interferes with the Survey on Hawns Application.

Matthew McClung
v.
Matthew Brown
and Fred'k Shoff.

Postponed to the first Monday in April next.

Charles Lafferty
v.
Jacob Haymaker.

Haymaker hath the prior Warrant & Survey therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

William Dunlap
v.
Robert Miller or Mr.
Thornbury his Assignee.

Mr. Thornbury claims under a Warrant and Survey of Robert Miller and he is allowed a Patent unless Dunlap shews a better right on the first Monday in January next.

James Crawford
Ass'ee of Rob't Lyon
v.
James Bigger.
Ass'ee of Jno. Stille.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next in order that Daniel or Sarah Rees who had a Survey on part of the land in dispute between the said parties may be heard a Copy of this minute to be given Daniel or Sarah Rees at least thirty days before that time.

Petition of Dr. Johnston praying for a Rehearing read and not allowed.

Archibald Irwin and Dr. Johnston's dispute postponed to the first Monday in April next against which time the Deposition of James Smith, Esq'r is to be produced or his personal attendance had.

Michael Gise is allowed a Patent on his old and new Warrants and the money paid on both to be credited.

Nathaniel Paul.
v.
Elliot, Dean & Paul.

Postponed to the first Monday in Feb'ry next in order that the Order of the first Monday in August last may be executed.

——— Porter
v.
——— Proctor.

Postponed to the first Monday in ——— next, Porter not attending.

[See minute—Beard & Proctor—Pa. 130—omitted ent'y here.]

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 2 December, 1790.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Nathaniel Breading, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

A letter from Richard Peters to the Secretary signifying that if on this day he did not find some papers to support a claim against Richard Rogers who claims under Oliver Wallace he would not desire to prevent Rodgers from his Patent and he sending no account that he had found any therefore the Caveat endorsed on said Wallace Wt. is dismissed.

A Patent to Samuel Mickle dated 14th April, 1746, remaining in the Secretarys Office being laid before the Board which Mr. Sam'l Fox desires may be Recorded Ordered that the Secretary do send the same to be put on Record and delivered to Mr. Fox.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals 6 December, 1790.

Present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Nathaniel Breading, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Lawrence Brindle
v. } On Caveat.
Archibald Mahon.

On hearing the parties it appears that both have patents which interferes with each other and there is a piece of ground marked in a Draught made by Matthew Henderson (now before the Board) with the letter G which both parties claim by virtue of

a Warrant to James Culbertson dated in the year 1751. It is ordered that Archibald Mahon shall have a Patent for the said Tract marked G provided he release to Brindle the part contained in his Patent with which Mahon's interfere by the first Monday in April next, and if nothing further shall then appear from David Earle who it is said has some claim.

Ludwick Moll
v. } On Caveat.
Henry Wessler.

The parties agreed to leave this dispute to George ———, James Walker and John Forsyth who are desired to make report the first Monday in May next.

Henry Newcomer
v. } On Caveat.
Edward Cowan.

On hearing the parties it appears that both parties have Warrants & Improvem'ts which interfere with each other. though Newcomers Improvement is the oldest and Cowan's Warrant is the oldest, Therefore it is agreed by the parties and directed by the Board that the Surveyor of the District and Thomas Coulter, Esq'r, and Jacob Neff shall lay out a Tract for each in the most convenient manner with as little prejudice as possible to the older Improvement of Newcomer and return a Draught the first Monday in June next.

Samuel Finley
v.
William Carlisle } On Caveat.
and James Bella.

On hearing Abraham Smith, Esq., (who appeared for Finley) and said Thomas Bella it appears that Finley claims under a Warrant to Andrew Spear for 200 Acres located adjoining James Bella's land and on which a Survey was made but not returned, And that Bella hath a Warrant for 300 Acres including an Improvement which was purchased of Thomas Yeates. It is Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor of the District together with two other men mutually to be chosen by the parties shall Resurvey the said Tract formerly surveyed on Spears Warrant according to the marked lines on the lands and to make a survey for Bella according to the bounds or description of his land in the Conveyance from Yeates, and to return a Draught and report to this Board the first Monday in November next denoting the interferences.

Leonard Graham.
v.
James Hamilton, Esq. } On Caveat.
Ass'ee of Jno. Jaques.

Mr. Hamilton not appearing the Board examined the surveys and report made by George Woods, Esq., pursuant to a former order of this Board whereby a survey of 81 Acres was made on an Application of one Philip Pendell dated long before the Warrant of Jaques, And that there is in the vacancy remaining 397 Acres on a part of which a survey of 173 Acres hath been made by virtue of a Warrant to John Chapman including his Improvements whereon he hath lived many years. Thereupon it Ordered that Patents shall be allowed to the parties as follows, Viz: to Graham for his 81 Acre survey to Chapman for his 173 Acre Survey, and the remainder of the vacancy to James Hamilton or the Assignees of Jaques.

James Martin, Esq.
v. } On Caveat.
Michael Smier.

On hearing the parties it appears that Smiers hath a Warrant and survey of 143 Acres including his Improvement made several years ago and that Col. Martin hath purchased the right of two improvements, one of which Levi Mills a former owner entered an application in the year 1768 for 100 Acres joining David Brown, and the other he says he has a Warrant which he can lay on. It is Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor of the District make a survey on Mills' Application and on the claim of Martin and Anderson's improvement and return a plot to the Board the first Monday of October to which time this case is continued.

Samuel Nicholson
v.
Paul Immell.

On hearing the parties in this case continued under consideration from the first Monday in July, 1789, in order that the opinion of Counsel learned in the Law might be obtained by the parties and considering the Deposition of Paul Immell whereby it appears that it is now in Law. It is thought proper to further postpone the same.

Samuel Gillaspie
v. } On Caveat.
Michael Grimes.

On hearing the parties it appears that Grimes hath the Application and survey on the disputed ground. Therefore it is Ordered that the Caveat shall be dismissed and Grimes be allowed a Patent.

Michael Byrnes
v. } On Caveat.
Hall and Long.

Byrnes appearing and Mr. Minor in behalf of Hall and Long

agreed that Stephen Gapen, Zachariah Gapen together with the Deputy Surveyor of the District shall run the lines according to the agreed lines, and return the Draughts to this Board the first Monday in December next.

Isaac and Jesse Reynolds
v.
The Settlers on Reynolds Tract.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next.

Ordered that the Secretary deliver the State of the Case and the Depositions of Richard Parsons, Mary Huddleston and Thomas Gilbert which were left at a former hearing, until Samuel Smith taking his receipt for returning them.

John Miller
v.
Philip Paul.

This case being in Law, Postponed for further consideration.

James Fletcher is allowed a Patent in right of David Glass who died intestate and unmarried, whose brother & Heir And'w Glass took the same, for whose debt the Sheriff sold, &c.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 16 December, 1790.

Present

His Excellency, Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.
The Honorable Nathaniel Breading, Esq'r.
Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Sec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Levi Hollingsworth
who claims under Richard Yeates
v.
John & Henry Taylor. } On Caveat.

The parties appearing and being heard, Henry Taylor, Esq., produced the Copy of a Record of the Supreme Court in favor of his claim, therefore the Caveats are dismissed.

Colonel William Wilson
one of the Exec'rs of Samuel Hunter, dec'd
v.
Edward Milner. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Edward Milner hath a survey of 185 Acres made adjoining James Bell which is located

at the mouth of White Deer whole Creek, and that Wilson for the Heirs of Hunter claims under an Application of John Gregg for 300 Acres above White Deer Creek, As it is alledged that there is some doubt respecting the name and situation of those two Creeks and the land of James Bell to which Milners Survey adjoins therefore this case is postponed until the Copy of the field Notes of Charles Lukens and further Testimony can be produced.

Gearhart Beichtel applying for a Patent, the Caveat of Jacob Fudge which prevented, is dismissed and he allowed a Patent.

On considering the Title Deeds and proof of Title to a Tract of land in right of Andrew Enslie for which Bucher and Good desire a Patent the same is allowed.

WHEREAS by the adoption of the new Constitution the former Board of Property was dissolved, whereupon an Act of the Legislature of this Commonwealth passed the eighth day of January, in the year of our Lord, 1791, entitled "An Act for instituting a "Board of Property and for other purposes therein mentioned" whereby the Secretary of the Land Office, the Receiver General, the Surveyor General and the Master of the Rolls for the time being or any three of them were constituted and appointed a Board of Property with authority to exercise all the powers under the same rules and regulations as granted to the Board of Property instituted by an Act of the General Assembly of this Commonwealth passed the fifth day of April 1782, entitled "An Act to vest certain powers in the President of this State together with the other Officers therein named and for other purposes therein mentioned."

Whereupon the Board met on the 12 day of January, 1791, and proceeded to business.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary
Matthew Irwin, Esq., Master of the Rolls.

John Wallace
v.
Robert Estep.

The Report of Presley Nevill, Matthew Richie, James Alllison, Henry Cotton, John Douglass and Alexander Gilfillen to whom this case had been referred at a meeting of the Board of Property the 6 September, 1790, being produced the same was read, But

John Wallace appearing and stating that at the time the said Referrees met and had the same under consideration many material papers and Testimony were in Philadelphia which he could not then obtain, but now has, and supported this Allegation by sufficient proof, therefore, it is thought proper to postpone the confirmation of the said Report until the first Monday in October next in order that the said Wallace may shew his papers and Testimony, he formerly had not, unto the said Referrees, who are requested to reconsider the case, and report according.

Jacob Sherman
v. } On Caveat.
George Ross & Co.

On hearing Mr. John Steinmetz who claims under Ross & Co., and Conrad Sherman son of said Jacob and examining their Warrants and Surveys it appears that Sherman claims under an Application of James Hall in the year 1768 and a Survey made thereon which is included in or interferes with Surveys made by Thomas Armor and Charles Lukens in the year 1763 on Warants dated 31 May, 1762 to said Ross and Co., and on reading the deposition of Richard McCallister it further appears that the improvements made on the said land were by persons as Tenants of the said Company, Therefore it is the opinion of the Board that the said Survey of Hall ought not to be accepted or at least such part thereof as interferes with Ross or Steinmetz surveys and it is ordered accordingly.

Mr. Thornbury
Ass'ee of Rob't Miller } }
v.
William Dunlap.

Thornbury being allowed a Patent on the fourth of November last unless Dunlap should shew a better right at this time and he not appearing a Patent is allowed to Thornbury.

Thomas Bays
v. }
John Stone.

The Report of John Canon, William Smith, Presley Nevill & Matthew Richie being produced and read the same is confirmed.

George Selser
v. } On Caveat.
Patrick Smith.

John Minor for Selser & Mr. James Smith for Patrick appearing were heard, but Selser not making good the Allegation of his Caveat the same is dismissed.

Henry Funk producing a letter from the Comptroller General informing that he had wrote to John Forsyth pursuant to an

order of the Board of the 4th day of June last, and made other enquiries touching the right of John Rankin but without effect hitherto, therefore Funk is allowed a Patent at his own risque.

The Reverend James Clarkson allowed a Patent on the Title Deeds produced.

Biggers
v.
Lyons.

It being represented that Biggers and Lyon have settled their dispute, and it appearing that Rees's Warrant is for 200 Acres, and 38 Acres of which is taken by John Polk by prior Warr't therefore the Deputy Surveyor is to execute the Warr't in the best manner so as to injure Biggers improvement as little as possible.

Sigismund Miller
v.
Andrew Moore. } On Caveat.

It appearing that an addition was made to Miller's Survey on George Herold's Warrant before Moore's Warrant was obtained, Therefore it is Ordered that the survey (with the addition) shall be confirmed to Miller.

A Paper purporting to be a Copy of a Survey made for John Rannels or Reynolds of a Tract of land on Swatara being produced for which a Patent is desired, by Alexander McIlhenny. It is thought proper to defer granting Patent until a Resurvey shall be made by the Deputy Surveyor agreeable to the lines of the said survey, a Copy of which is to be sent to him, who is to note any interference, and to make return with any remarks he may think proper.

Thomas Burney
v.
Joseph Howard.

This Case being postponed from the 2d November last to this time in order that the field Notes of William Maclay, Esq., or his information might be obtained, Mr. Oliver for McVea and William Brown, Esq., for Wilson were heard, and the said Maclay giving information, It is ordered that the Survey of 192 Acres made and returned for Burney and the 72 Acres made and Returned for Howard shall be established.

John Stoufer
v.
Henry Painter.

Both parties have Patents, therefore not cognizable here.

William Wootring
v.
Henry Heffelfinger.

The parties agree that this matter shall be postponed to the first Monday in February next.

——— Kinkead
v.
——— Stone.

Report of the Referress is confirmed and Stone allowed a Patent.

——— Carrol
v.
——— Forsyth.

The parties having accommodated their dispute as appears by an instrument in writing under their Hands by which Carrol is to have the land on the South side of the Creek, and Forsyth that on the North side of the same according to which Carrol's Survey is made and is established in Forsyths is to be regulated according to it.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 7th February, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary
Matthew Irwin, Esq., Master of the Rolls.

Hugh Hughes
v.
George Pearson & } On Caveat.
John Frazier.

Ordered that the Dep'y Surveyor shall make a survey & plot on two Warrants to Henry Wolf, Sen., & Henry Herd dated 8 August, 1774, under which Hughes claims & note the improvements & how Pearson & Frazier's Warrant according to its location interferes with the same, and in order to be furnished with such Plot this Case is postponed to the first Monday in June next.

The Heirs of Jacob Alwine
v.
Abraham Fiskey } On Caveat.
and
Thomas Mercer.

This Case is referred by consent of parties to Jno. Beard, Michael Huffnagle & William Finley, Esq'rs, Who are desired to report the first Monday in October next to this Board.

Robert Craighead
v. } On Caveat.
William Betts.

The Citation in this Case having issued for the parties to appear the first Monday in January last, and Betts then appearing & producing sufficient Testimony that the Citation was served, the Board kept this case under advisement until this time and Craighead not appearing it is thought proper to dismiss the said Caveat.

Andrew Welker
v. } On Caveat.
Samuel Welker.

This Case is postponed to the first Monday in November next at the request of Andrew Welker.

William Wootring
v. } On Caveat.
Henry Heffelfinger.

On hearing the parties it appears that a survey of 30 A's 90 Ps. hath been made by George Palmer for Jacob Heffelfinger on Warrant dated 29th August, 1753, which includes a great part of a Tract surveyed for Wootring on a late Warrant, therefore it is Ordered that the said Palmer return the said survey so made for Heffelfinger of the said 30 A's 90 Ps. and return the remainder of the vacancy for Wootring on his Warrant.

John Perry
v. } On Caveat.
Robert Thompson.

On hearing John McKee on behalf of John Perry (who claims under John Frazier) and George Thompson, Esq., who represents Robert Thompson It appears that both John Frazier and Robert Thompson had special Applications founded on improvements and permits from the commanding Officer to the Westward which were to have a preference of other Applications, That Captain (since General) William Thompson then Dep'y Surveyor of the District surveyed a Tract of land for John Frazier who not appearing entirely satisfied therewith Gen'l Thompson promised to make alterations to the satisfaction of said Frazier which he accordingly did as appears on comparing the original Fields Works now produced with the Surveys of Robert Thompson and John Frazier returned into the Surveyor Generals Office. Whereupon it is the opinion of the Board that both surveys were very fairly and properly made as returned and therefor shall be established and Patents issue thereon to the person or persons legally claiming under said Frazier and Robert Thompson respectively on payment of purchase money, &c., due for the same.

George Ormsby
v. } On Caveat.
James Chambers.

On hearing the parties it appear. that Chambers hath the prior Warrant & a survey made agreeable to the location of the Warrant, And that Ormsby's improvement is only some land cleared over the lines of a surveyed Tract, therefore it is Ordered that Ormsby's Caveat shall be dismissed and Chambers' Survey of 200 Acres established.

——— Dixon
v. } On Caveat.
——— Chambers.

On hearing the parties it appears that Dixon hath an improvement made about fifteen years ago and hath lived thereon chiefly ever since and hath obtained a Warrant for 300 Acres, It is Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor of the District and Dr. Robert Johnston, Francis Elliot and Captain William Henderson or any three of them (the Surveyor being one) shall Survey & lay out a reasonable quantity of Timber land so as to accommodate the Improvement and with as little prejudice as may be to the Surveys of Chambers.

——— Snodgrass
v.
——— Small.

This Case having been postponed from time to time in order that Small or those who represent him might be heard, And Gen'l Nevill now appearing for Snodgrass with his Papers informed the Board that he had carried the money of Snodgrass frequently to and from Philad'a in order to Patent Snodgrass's land but was still prevented by this dispute or Caveat of Small, though the parties interested were duly notifyed but have not appeared, and desired that a Patent might be granted, Mr. Beard appeared for Small and informed that Small with whom the dispute originally subsisted is dead and that his son is now at a considerable distance, The Board being fully sensible of the great delay that has been in this case, and of Gen'l Nevills constant attention to this business, yet on account of the death of Small and the absence of his son do defer granting a Patent to Snodgrass until the first Monday in September next, and if cause is not then shewn to the contrary a Patent wil be granted to Snodgrass.

William Schooly
v.
Robert Adams.

On the twenty ninth of September last it was Ordered that a decision of the Board of the 5th day of November, 1789, should be

reversed unless cause shewn at this time, And Mr. Adams with his Council Mr. Scott and Mr. Thomas Council for Schooly appearing were respectively heard and the Testimony offered on both sides examined, Whereupon it appears that William Sherer and Henry Shryock had entred an Aplication No. 613 on the 3 April, 1769 for 600 Acres including their two Improvements made by permit in the year 1762 from Col. Bouquet on which a Survey was made or begun by Moses McClean in the year 1770 but not completed. That Henry Shryock sold 260 Acres including his improvement of his part of said land held on said Application to Thomas Banfield whose right became vested in Joseph Graybill and for which said Graybill took out a new Warrant and hath since obtained a Patent, that the said Sherer sold and conveyed 149 Acres at the upper end of the Tract so surveyed to Josiah Crawford and then threw out of the said Survey about 51 Acres for which one Neeland hath a late Warrant. On the whole it appears that 460 As. have at different times been sold and disposed of by the said Shryock and Sherer, and that only 140 Acres remains to be satisfied on their App'n aforesaid, It is therefore the opinion of the Board that Adams hath shewn cause why the former determination should not be reversed (except as hereafter directed) and it is accordingly Ordered that 140 Acres shall be surveyed and laid off joining the land sold by Sherer to Josiah Crawford, and that the remainder being about 110 Acres shall be returned for said Schooly on Angus McDonald's Application according to a line marked with Red Ink on a plot to be annexed to a Copy of this minute.

Felix Hughes
v. } On Caveat.
James Carmichael.

Carmichael not appearing Hughes is allowed a Patent unless cause shewn to the contrary the first Monday in June next. Hughes is to give a Copy of this minute to Carmichael at least thirty days before the said first Monday in June.

A Caveat being entred by Jacob Weitzel against Robert Thompson a Judgment of the Supreme Court was produced by George Thompson in his favor and also a Lease to said Weitzel from said George Thompson, Therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Allowed a Patent to Simon Schneider for 98 A's part of 272 A's surveyed to Michael Reager Who sold to Thomas Mease for the Taxes due on said 98 Acres land, the same was sold by William Gray and others to said Schneider it appearing by the Depositions of Charles Meyer now produced that said Reager had sold to said Mease.

Francis Morrison
v.
John Blackburn.

Whereas this case was postponed at a former meeting to this time in order that the Deputy Surveyor might return a plot of Blackburn's Survey denoting how it interferes with Morrison's Survey, and no such return being made it is thought proper further to postpone this case to the first Monday in September next against which time it is expected will have his Survey returned, as directed by the said recited order and make his objections, otherwise Patent will issue to Morrison.

James Carnahan
v.
Revd. John Elder. } On Caveat.

On hearing Mr. Joshua Elder on the part of his Father and John Beard, Esq., on behalf of Carnahan it appears that Elder claims under an Application No. 3162 entered 24th April, 1769 for 300 Acres on Crooked Creek about four or five miles from the mouth which by the deposition of Joshua Elder (who declares he is not interested) is proven to be the land surveyed on the said App'n in the year 1776 as returned into the Office. That after the entering of the Applications and before the making the said survey to wit in the year 1775 a Cabbin was built by Carnahan who went into the Army and obtained a Warrant 1 July, 1784, including his improvement provided the same was not thentofore surveyed or appropriated. On Considering this Case it is the opinion of the Board that the said land was surveyed and appropriated at the time of granting the said Warrant and therefore that the said land should be confirmed to Elder on producing title under Renicks and paying the purchase money and fees.

[See minutes of February continued Page 122.]

[Note. See a minute in page 317 ommitted the 18 day of February, 1791.]

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 1st March, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Whereas at a meeting of the Board of Property the 7 November, 1786 on a Caveat between John Clark and Joseph Mehaffy it was agreed to postpone the determination of the dispute as it was not known whether "if George Woods who set up a claim against Me-

"haffy should recover, would dispute with Clark," And Mr. Clark having wrote to the Receiver General to endeavor to obtain a Patent for his land as the cause between Mehaffy and Woods was determined in the Supreme Court and Col. Johnston having examined the Records of said Court found that the Tract of 136 Acres surveyed by Mr. Edminston the Surveyor was by the Judgment of said Court to be divided between Mehaffey and Woods so as that Woods's part should join Clark's line, Whereupon it is thought proper to allow Clark a Patent unless Woods on the first Monday in May next shall make objection to the same, in order that it may be ascertained agreeable to the former Order of the Board if any dispute subsists between Woods and Clark, a Copy of this minute is to be given Woods by Clark ten days before the said first Monday in May.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 7th March, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Mark Deem
v. } On Caveat.
Robert Reily.

Adam Deem with Joseph Thomas Counsel for Mark Deem and Robert Reily with Jonathan Dickinson Sergeant his Counsel appeared and the said Deem producing a Certificate from the Prothonotary of Washington County whereby it appeared that a Verdict was given against Reily, nevertheless Deems Counsel waved the same and submitted the decision of the Board. And on hearing the said Messrs. Sergeant and Thomas and examining the Testimony of the parties, it appears that the land in contest contains 90 Acres on which Deems hath a valuable improvement made without opposition and to which Reily can shew no title and that there was agreeable lines made by some of the former owners of Deems improvement and said Reily. Whereupon it is Ordered that the said Survey of Deem which includes the said 90 Acres shall be accepted and established, and that Reily's Survey be regulated according to it.

John Wolf
v. } On Caveat.
Michael Lenker.

The parties appearing produced a plot or Draft of a Resurvey made by William Gray Deputy Surveyor pursuant to an order of

the Board the 4 October last, whereoy it appears that the piece in contest is about 21 Acres which was included in the first Survey made for Wolf in the year 1775, And therefore as both Surveys were made without Warrants, but they have since obtained Warrants, as mentioned in the said minute of the 4 October last, which was in effect making an agreeable line between them, that their Surveys shall be confirmed according to the same original Surveys. But as it is alledged by Lenker that by losing the 21 Acres he is deficient in the quantity and that there is vacant land adjoining some other part of his Survey which he desires he may be permitted to add. The Board allow the same to be done if it can be without interfering with any right prior to making the addition.

William Bonham
v. } On Caveat.
John Lowdon.

John Lowdon Certifying that he had notified William Bonham to appear at this Board the first Monday in February last agreeable to a Citation issued which is not deemed sufficient proof of notice and the said Mr. Bonham not having appeared on the said first Monday in February nor at any time since the Board examined the Surveys of Lawrence and Peter Boor under which Lowdon claims and which Bonham Caveated against, whereon it appears that Boor's Surveys were made in June, 1769 on Orders on Applications dated 3d April, 1769, and returned long ago, agreeable to which original Surveys Patents are desired. It is the opinion of the Board that the Surveys of Boor's cannot be affected by any late Warrant or right of Bonham, And it is Ordered that Lowdon shall have a Patent unless Bonham shall shew cause to the contrary the first Monday in May next he having a Copy of this Minute thirty days before that time given him.

Allowed a Patent to Philip Beck in right of Thomas Walker he having by letter to John Capp declared that he had no dispute with Simpson who had a trial with one Fokler in whose favor the case was determined.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 31st March, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

A survey of 204 A's 10 Ps. was produced made on a Tract of

land in Long Swamp Twp., Berks County, formerly on warrant to Matthias Eagner, dated 20 March, 1750, who conveyed to his son Henry about 178 Acres, and recites in the Deed that he had assigned other parts to Conrad Yeager and Baltzer Lutz for a part of which Viz 178 Acres the said Henry ignorantly took out a Warrant in the year 1788. On consideration of this case it is ordered that the surveys of the several parts belonging to Yeager, Lutz and Henry Eagner of the said first Survey be Returned on the Warrant of 20 March, 1750, in order for separate Patents, and that the Receiver General give Credit to said Henry Eagner for the money paid on his said new Warrant in the settlement of his account.

The following minutes should ent'd first Monday March.

John Irwin, Esq., produced to the Board a Survey of 247 Acres made by Benjamin Lodge in Application entred 25th July, 1765, No 3663 and on examining the Application Book it appears that he had on the same day entered an Application No. 3663 by the name and addition of John Irwin Indian Trader on which the Survey of the said Tract 247 Acres was once Returned, And on inspecting the Caveat Books a Caveat is found by the Widow of William Lyon against said Irwin, Indian Trader, On considering this case and also the information of Benjamin Lodge, it is thought proper to allow the said Irwin a Patent.

On the Application of Joseph Wilson for a Patent for 384 Acres surv. and Returned to him by virtue of a Virginia Certificate which Tract had been surveyed on a Pennsylvania Certificate mistake as Certified by Mr. Nevill the Deputy Surveyor he is allowed a Patent.

A Certificate of Herman Nice, Conrad Klan, Michael Klein, Harmes Ort, Nicholas Stahler and Henry ————, certifying that Bernard Derr had owned and been in possession of a Tract of land in right of Jacob Darreberger being read and considered a Patent is allowed.

Petition of John Evans praying for Resurvey of a Tract Patented to said Evans, Ordered accordingly.

Allowed a Patent to Peter Hilliard.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 4th April, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary
Matthew Irwin, Esq., Master of the Rolls.

Peter Kesler
v. } On Caveat.
Joseph Martin.

Kessler appearing & Martin sending a letter excusing his non attendance because he has a dispute with Frederick Tull, Conrad Shaffer & Henry Stoffiet which is connected with this Case and is to be heard on the first Monday in May next, therefore it is postponed to the said first Monday in May against which time George Palmer is desired to furnish the Board with a plot or Representation of the lands in dispute between the said Parties.

Frederick Hettinger
v.
Henry Billig alias
Billy.

Postponed to the first Monday in September next that the parties may produce further Testimony.

Jacob Shetler
v. } On Caveat.
Martin and Christ'n
Hornish.

On hearing the parties Shetler did not support the Allegations of his Caveat therefore the same is dismissed.

William Kern
v. } On Caveat.
John Baliot.

On hearing the parties it appears that Balliot hath a Warr't for 100 Acres dated 12 July, 1784, and that Kern claims by virtue of an Application No. 698 entred the 30 August, 1765 for 100 Acres joining Nicholas Uplinger, Nicholas Kern and the Great Lehigh to include the Gap of the Blue Hills, Northampton County, Whereupon Ordered that Mr. Palmer execute Talmans Application agreeable to its location taking in as much of the land at and near the Gap as the place will reasonably admit, and return a Draft or representation to this Board the first Monday in June next.

Lawrence Brindle
v. } On Caveat.
Archibald Mahon.

Mahon, Brindle and David Earle appearing and being heard, it appears that Mahon and Earle both have patents and that Earle's claim does not extend to the piece of land marked G mentioned in the minute of 6 December last, And that Mahon hath and still doth refuse to release the part in Brindles Patent which is also included in Mahons Patent as it was expected he would do in order to settle this dispute, And Lawrence Brindle producing title under a Warrant to James Culbertson for the said piece marked

G and Mr. Mahon shewing no title, It is ordered that a Survey shall be returned and Patent granted for the same to said Brindle.

John Barr
v. } On Caveat.
John Farren.

Farren not appearing though by proof produced he was duly notified, Barr was heard exparte, on which it appears that Barr has the eldest Warrant and a Survey made thereon containing no more than the quantity of the Warrant and the usual allowance, Therefore it is ordered that Barr shall be allowed a Patent.

April 6, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Robert Smith
v.
Dorsey Penticost.

On a Rehearing of this Case which had been decided the first Monday in October last, it is now refered by consent of the said Smith and Matthew Irwin, Esq., who appeared for Cumberland Dugan Assignee of said Penticost unto Col. Edward Cook and Col. Morton who are desired to report to this Board the first Monday in October next.

Charles Donnell
al's O'Donnell
v.
Robert Smith.

This case is postponed for further consideration it appearing that part of the land claimed by Smith is Patented to one White and until the title to the land shall be tried at law.

Allowed a Patent to William Nice on Andrew Corthwrite's Warr't it appearing that the Warrant was issued through mistake in the name of Andrew instead of Henry.

William Clark
v.
The Heirs or Executors
of Dr. Morgan, dec'd.

Postponed to the first Monday in May next.

William Lyon
v. } On Caveat.
James Rankin.

Mr. Rankin sending a letter expressing that the land he had a

Warrant for is disputed and that a Law suit is commenced in the Supreme Court which appears to be a different Tract from that of Mr. Lyons, Therefore he is allowed to withdraw his Caveat and to have a Patent.

Alexander Brown
v.
Alexander Ritche.

They resumed the consideration of a plot and report of Jno. Forsythe, William Gillilan and Hugh King to whom this case was referred by Orders of the Board of October, 1786 and of May, 1788 and are of opinion that Brown's Survey shall be established agreeable to the lines marked in said plot L. D. M. N. O. P. Q. R. S. T. V. H. I. K. which are the lines of the Survey originally run on Armstrong's Warrant, and that Ritche's survey be regulated according to it.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 12th April, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary
} of the Land Office

Patrick Hartford
v.
George Stinger.

On Caveat postponed to the first Monday in January last, Hartford not then nor since appearing the Board examined the papers of Stinger left with James McLene, Esq., whereby it appears that Stinger has two Warrants one in his own name dated 16th November, 1784, on which is returned 174 Acres. the other to Geo. Danser dated 29 October, 1772, on which a Survey of 78 Acres is returned by Matthew Henderson as Assistant to John Armstrong and he is desirous of taking the compliment of his Warrants Therefore Mr. Henderson is directed to Resurvey the said Tracts of land and to make Return thereof and to fill up or make up the quantity of his warrants out of the vacancy adjoining if so much is clear of other rights, And this case is postponed to the first Monday in September next in Order that Hartford or any other claimant may be heard.

William Brooks
v.
Hugh McKean.

This Case postponed to the first Monday in February last from

the first Monday in September and Brooks not appearing McKean is allowed a Patent unless cause shewn to the contrary the first Monday in September next.

Alexander White
Guardian of Col.
Hite's Heirs
v.
James Thompson.

Miers Fisher for White and Mr. Gallatin for Thompson appearing were heard whereon it appears that Thompson hath a Warrant and a Survey of 187 Acres made thereon and that White has produced no Office right or proof of improvement right therefore Thompson is allowed a Patent if cause is not shewn to the contrary the first Monday in September next.

Dr. Johnston
v.
Archibald Irwin.

In this case which hath been for some time under consideration and considering the plot made by Matthew Henderson Deputy Surveyor by Order of the Board of 5 October, 1789 and the Draft of a Survey made in April, 1751 by James Smith who was authorized by Thomas Cookson on Warrant to James Erwin dated 5 October, 1748 and hearing Dr. Johnston who claims under Adam Dickey's Application No. 2932 the Board are of opinion that Dickey's survey or Application ought not to affect or encroach on the original line of Erwins said Survey, but that the vacancy marked No. 3 in said Draft of about 114 A's 58 Ps. (which is exclusive of the said old Survey) be returned on Dickeys Application for Dr. Johnston.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 19 April, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l.
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary.

On examining and considering a Survey of 160 A's 143 Ps. in Plumsted Twp., Berks County made by Isaac Hicks on a Warrant dated 7 March, 1791 to Isaac Walton, It is thought proper that the same shall be returned as well on the same as on a Warrant dated 4 January, 1737 to John Carey which appears to be for the same land, that the money paid on the latest Warrant be allowed in the Settlement of the account in the Receiver Generals Office, and that he pay agreeable to the Terms of Careys Warr't.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 27th day of April, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

John Robinson appeared and produced a Survey of 96½ Acres in Robinson Township, Berks County made on Warrant dated 5 March, 1765 in order to agree with the Proprietaries for the same, And now he Robinson desiring to agree and pay the purchase money they took this case into consideration, and as there was an improvement made on the said land about the year 1760, allow him the same on the terms £15 10P. Cent Interest from 1 March, 1760 provided he shall pay within six months from this day which terms he the said Robinson agrees to accept & comply with in the time afore limitted.

The following minutes should have been ent'd the first Monday in Feb'ry last.

Hunter's Heirs
v.
Milner.

Allowed Patent to Milner, Greggs location under which Hunter's claim being above White Deer Creek and Milners at White Deer Hole.

Craig Richie
v.
John Foulke.

Postponed to the first Monday in September next.

Nathaniel Paul
v.
Elliot, Dean & Bell.

It is thought proper to keep this case under consideration until Mr. Canon furnish this Board with an addition to the Plot now before the Board, describing Tusseys Mountain, Gordon's Place which is said to be one of Watsons improvem'ts and the other descriptions in Paul's Bill of Sale and Warrant, and until Mr. Elliot produces further Testimony how Dewitt became entitled to Watson's improvement, for which purpose this case is postponed to the first Monday in May next.

Eleazer Myers who Assigned to Nathaniel Montgomery who conveyed to John McKee having a Survey on which he Caveated

Beaty, Mr. McKee applies for a Patent and on examining the Note on the Draft by Benjamin Lodge, Certifying that the surveys were made agreeable to the Parties, a Patent is allowed.

John McKee is allowed to withdraw his Caveat against Rob't George, as he hath deposed that the dispute is settled.

Joseph Caufman allowed a Patent on Nicholas Brey's Survey it appearing that his name was made use of by said Caufman.

Baltzer Spengler
v.
William Forsythe.

Forsythe being allowed a Patent at this time if Spengler should not have his Survey made by this time or shew cause and he not having the Survey made nor shewn cause therefore Forsythe allowed Patent.

Abraham Scott
v.
Benjamin Wells.

It appearing by a Draft now before the Board that Wells' claim interferes with several Patents on which the Board has no power, and as it appears that Wells had a Judgment of Bedford Court which perhaps may affect Scotts right, therefore it is thought best to let this case stand in its present situation until the parties finally settle in Law.

James Leiper
v.
——— Hurst.

Postponed to the first Monday in September next in order that the Report may be made pursuant to a former Order of the Board.

Robert McAdams
v.
Presley Nevill, Esq.

Postponed to the first Monday in September next.

John Little and
John Lukens
v.
Capt. Patterson or
Leonard Pfouts.

It appearing that Pfouts' Survey is bounded by Lukens' and do not interfere. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Robert Sanderson
v.
Robert Sample.

Ordered that Sample shall have his Patent unless Sanderson have his survey made and shew cause to the contrary the first Monday in April next.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 2d May, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Samuel Cunning
v.
Ephraim Coartney } On Caveat.
(alias McCoartney.)

On hearing the parties it appears that there are 111 Acres in the vacancy surveyed on Coartneys Warrant which is only for 80 Acres, and though the same is later than Whitefords under which Cunning claims yet that it is better located, Ordered therefore that Coartney shall have so much of the said survey as his Warrant calls for, to wit 80 Acres confirmed to him and that Cunning shall have the remainder being 31 Acres along the line of his other land taken or cut off from the said Survey, together with a vacancy adjoining added to it and returned on Whitefords Warrant for Cunning as he shall choose.

Michael McKeirnan
v.
Henry Davis, Young and } On Caveat.
Waggoner.

On hearing the parties it appears that Michael Young being possessed of a small improvement and Tract of land sold the same to McKeirnan for Twenty pounds of which Ten pounds was paid, that afterwards McKeirnan took out a Warrant in the name of Henry Davis dated 7 May, 1789 and paid the purchase money into the Office, and said land was sold by the Constable for a debt of said McKeirnan, and Young became the purchaser, and the said Davis transfered his right to Young, But as the parties have accounts and demand with and against each other this case is postponed to the first Monday in September next in order that William Hunter, James Martin and Samuel Brechtel (whom they choose) shall settle such accounts.

Henry Orwig
v.
Thomas Reed.

It appearing by two distinct instruments of writing now produced under the hands of the parties that they have agreed that each shall have and hold one equal moiety of the land held under the Warrants and Surveys respectively and it is Ordered that the Survey shall be accepted and Patent issue on Orwig's Warrant.

William Clark
v.
Dr. Morgan's Heirs
or Executors.

William Clark hath a Survey of 146½ Acres made by William Scull 15 May, 1769, And it appearing that Dr. Morgan hath 200 Acres in his Survey clear of Clark's which is the quantity allowed by the Judgment of the Board 27th August, 1774, Therefore Ordered that 146½ Acres are returned by William Scull aforesaid shall be confirmed to Clark, And that the 200 Acres being part of the Survey made by Charles Lukens on Hannah Mason's Application shall be returned for Dr. Morgan's Heirs.

John Reardon.
v.
Thomas Applegate
or his Ass'ee William
Reardon.
On Caveat.

John Reardon having notifyed William Reardon to appear this day and he not appearing himself, Will'm Reardon was heard exparte, On which it apears that William Reardon hath purchased the right of Thomas Applegate's Warrant on which a Survey of 137 Acres was made long before John Reardon had obtained his Warr't. Therefore it is Ordered that the said 137 Acres Survey shall be accepted and John Reardon's Survey of 63 Acres rejected and a Patent issued to Will'm Reardon for his said Tract of 137 Acres unless John Reardon shall shew cause to the contrary the first Monday in November next, William Reardon is to give John Reardon a Copy of this thirty days before the said first Monday in November.

Nathaniel Paul
v.
Dean, Elliot & Bell.
On Caveat.

On considering the Testimony offered by the parties and also the Draughts and reports made by Mr. Canon pursuant to former Orders of the Board, It is Ordered that Paul shall be allowed a Patent for the 110 Acres with the addition of 12 A's 105 Ps. to be cut off from Arthur Bell's Survey by a line to be run paralel to the line marked N. 53 W. in the annexed D'ft provided it does not include any of said Bells improvement.

Jacob Sigafus
v.
George Ohlwine.

It appears on hearing the parties that the Land in dispute being about 5 Acres is included in a Survey of 40 Acres made on Warrant to Jacob Wert in the year 1751 which is prior to Ohlwine's, Therefore Ordered that the Survey on Wert's Warrant

shall be accepted and Ohlwine's rejected.

Jacob Hoble
v.
Abraham Kissinger.

The parties appearing before the Board agreed as follows, Viz: That the whole 553 Acres shall be returned and Patented on David Young's Warrant, Jacob Hobles two Warrants and Abraham Lissingers Warrant, That the value of the Certificates and money paid on taking out Kissinger's Warrant shall be allowed him, That after Patent issued Hoble is to convey the same to Kissinger Immediately, and Kissinger to give Bond and Mortgage to secure the payment of the purchase money of £330 according to the times & proportions mentioned in an Argument heretofore made between them.

Joseph Martin
v.
Deel, Kesster
Sheafer & ors. } On Caveat.

The Draught or Plot directed to be made by George Palmer by the Order of the Board the first Monday in April last, not being yet done, this case is postponed to the first Monday in November next in order that the said Order of April last may be executed, & the dispute between Stofflet & Houser is continued to the same first Honday in November next.

Allowed Patent to John Coughran on Marcus Hewlings Appl'n No. 1282 for 270 A's it appearing by letter from Jacob Fulmer now produced that the said Fulmer was possessed of Hoffman's Right had relinquished in favor of Hulings.

Martick Forge Co.
v
Robert Ramsey &
Richard Keagy.

Agreeable to a former Order of the Board a Patent is allowed to Ramsey as the Company hath not shewn cause to the contrary.

Richard McDonnel
and David Wylie
v.
James McCantes.

This case left to Francis Hartmau, William Brackenridge and such other person as Wylie shall choose with the Surveyor, who are desired to view the Improvements, hear the parties and their rights and make Report the first Monday in November next.

Timothy Sheen & ors.
v.
Col. Charles Symes.

Postponed to the first Monday in September next, at the request of Sheen to which Mr. Randolph Attorney for Symes assented.

Arthur Smith
v.
Jacob Knab.

It appearing by a letter inclosing a Copy of the Record of Court that this Case is in Law. Therefore it is postponed.

Jacob Shetter
v.
——— Householder
or Lewis Michael.

Shetler in this Case having Cited Michael and he not appearing himself to support his Caveat, Therefore the same is dismissed.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 11th May. 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq'r, Surv. Gen'l.
Francis Johnston, Esq'r, Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office
David Kennedy, Esq'r, Secretary

Upon hearing Mr. Neave and reading several letters and papers by him produced. It is ordered that he be permitted to withdraw his Caveat.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 17th May. 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Sanderson
v.
Sample.

A Patent being allowed to Sample by the Board on the 7th day of February last unless Sanderson should have his Survey made and shew cause to the contrary the first Monday in April last which he not doing then or since, he is allowed a Patent.

Allowed a Patent to Samuel Bachman for a Tract of Land Sold by the Sherriff to John Bachman.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 22 May, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

George Reiter being before the Board his Deeds and proof of Title for a Tract of Land in Milford Township, Bucks County, surveyed on Warrant to George Growner dated 9th May, 1743 a Patent is allowed him.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's the 27th May, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Sarah Bull appeared before the Board and agreed to pay for the Tract No. ——— containing 35 Acres on Warrant to agree with James Davis dated 13 February, 1765 the sum of Thirteen pounds in the usual manner for arrears and the Office fees, for the use of her two daughters Mary and Isabel to whom their Uncle Lewis Gronow (who had purchased the right of Davis) had devised the same, which terms were accepted and Patent Ordered to the said Mary and Isabel on payment of the said sum and fees.

At a meeting first Monday in November, 1790. Omitted ent'g at that time.

The Petition of William Beard was laid before the Board praying among other things that the Warrants of one Weidman and Lewis, or that Col. Procter and a certain Antis's Warrants should be removed, and also that a Resurvey should be made by Order of the Board of all the contiguous lands, &c. At the same time a Certified Copy of Record of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Northumberland was produced setting forth that in the Action wherein the Lesse of William Beard was Plf. and Peter Grove Dft. the said Plf. became nonsuit, And a Warr't of Habere facias possessionem was awarded to February term, 1790, But it appearing by the Deposition of Thomas Robinson Agent to Col. Procter that he the said Robinson had employed Charles Smith, Esq., to open said Judgment and proceed in said Action,

The Board agree to keep this matter under consideration until the first Monday in April next, when they expect to be furnished with a Certificate from the Proth'y of the County purporting that Charles Smith, Esq., aforesaid or some other Attorney on behalf of Col. Procter had set aside the said nonsuit.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 6th June, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Matthew Irwin, Esq., Master of the Rolls.

[See page 188 Lowry v. Beer.]

The Heirs of Frederick Weiser
v. } On Caveat.
John Keyser.

Keyser not appearing Daniel Omelsdorf who is intermarried with one of the Daughters of said Weiser was heard exparte. whereon and from the Testimony offered, it appears that said Weiser hath purchased the land in question of one Hoble many years ago, there a Patent is allowed to the Heirs of Weiser unless cause is shewn to the contrary the first Monday in August next.

Assa Cook
v.
Matthew Jack & } On Caveat.
David Logan.

This Case is referred by consent of parties to George Baird, Esq., Eli Coulter, James McGrew, Hugh Torrence, John Caveat & Wm. Barnes.

James Black
v.
David Houston & } On Caveat.
Thomas Johnston.

It appearing by a Certificate of the Rev'd Mr. Black Heir & Executor of said James Black that he had no objection to Johnston's obtaining a Patent if the Survey did not come over the consentable lines of their several Plantations and it appearing that the Survey of Johnston's land was made after the entry of the Caveat, it may therefore be supposed that the Survey was made agreeable to the conditional lines, and accordingly Johnston is allowed a Patent.

Henry Newcomer
v.
Edwars Cowan.

It appearing that the Surveys of the said parties are made and returned agreeable to their consent therefor the same are established & Patents allowed.

William Kern
v.
John Balliot. } On Caveat.

On considering the Draft or plot made by George Palmer by virtue of an Order of the Board the 4th April last, It is the opinion of the Board that as the right of Talman's Application was purchased by Kern and others in order to strengthen their Titles or rights to lands held under other Office rights, and that as the said Application cannot be laid keeping to the leading description or location thereof so as to affect or include the land of Balliot without coming through a Tract Patent to another person, therefore Kerns Caveat is dismissed and Balliot allowed a Patent.

Christian Doot
v.
Jasper Heiner &
Godlieb Christeen. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that the land in question was surveyed by Warr't of 9th November, 1744 to Adam Shaffer whose right is now vested in Doot, therefore it is Ordered that the Survey, if any, made on Heiner & Christeen's Warrant which is lately granted shall not be accepted.

Hugh Hughes
v.
George Pearson
& John Frazier. } On Caveat.

Frazier or Pearson not attending but Frazier sending a letter of excuse this case is postponed to the first Monday in October next in order that the parties may be heard and further Testimony produced.

Allowed a Patent to Christopher Nagle for a Tract of Land surveyed on Jacob Hochsteter's Warrant on the Title Deeds produced and on the length of possession.

[See the case between James Lowry v. Wm. Beer cont'd to Page 189 & Ormsby vs. Chambers pa. 197.]

At a special meeting of the Board the 14 June, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

On reading the Petition of Oliver Ormsby requesting a Resurvey of a Tract of Land Surveyed on Application No. 42 of John Ormsby, junior, returned for 269 Acres, that the errors in the said Survey might be corrected. Ordered that the Surveyor General shall direct the present Deputy to Resurvey the said Tract in order to discover if any Errors are as suggested. And Resolved that in any other cases upon a Suggestion of Errors in Surveys not Patented the Surveyor General is directed to take such measures to correct the Errors in such Survey as he shall deem proper.

Agreed with Jacob Zeigler for 34 Acres Surveyed on Warr't of 16 November, 1784, for the Sum of £14 to be paid as is usual for Arrears.

Allowed a Patent to George Kisteler for 242⅝ Acres Situate in Greenwick Township, Berks County, Surveyed on 4 Warrants, One to Utrich Moyer dated 14 July, 1738 one to Conrad Reif and Hans Adam dated 28 April, 1745 & two to said Reif dated 28 October, 1746, and 10th April, 1750, The Deeds and proof of Title being satisfactory.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 22d June, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Jas. Carnahan
v. } On Caveat.
Peter Light.

It appearing by an Instrument in writing under a Copy of the said Caveat that Peter Light had no objection to Carnahan's Assignee Andrew Finley having a Patent agreeable to the Survey made by Benjamin Lodge on the Application of Carnahan No. 1403, Therefore the said Caveat is dismissed and Finley allowed a Patent agreeable to the said Survey.

A a Special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 1 July, 1791.

Present as next above.

John Zepp applying for a Patent for 50 Acres 47 perches in Salford Township, Montgomery County, Surveyed P. Warrant of the 22 October, 1742, to Adam Baker, his Title Deeds and proof of Title were considered, and thereupon he is allowed a Patent.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls Off. 5 July, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary
Matthew Irwin, Esq., Master of the Rolls.

Allowed a Patent to George Fry agreeable to his request in his State of Case now produced.

Benjamin Hellings
v. } On Caveat.
Thomas Craig.

Hellings not being able to prove the service of Notice on Col. Craig this case is postponed to the first Monday in September next, a Copy of this Minute being given Col. Craig at least thirty days before that time.

Jacob Shetler
v. } On Caveat.
Zacha'h Shugart.

Shetler having cited Shugart and not app'g himself to support the Allegation of his Caveat the same is dismissed.

The Executors of John Lukens
v. } On Caveat.
John Harrison.

Mr. Lenix one of the Executors not appearing moreover he having declared to the Rec'r General and the Surveyor General last night that he did not want to hinder a Patent issuing to Harrison, therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

Allowed a Warrant to Resurvey to correct Errors in a Patent to William West, Ju'r dated 17 January, 1775.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Gene'ls Office 13 July, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Mr. Isaac Potts appeared before the Board produced Title Deeds from and under Peter Mather to him for a Tract of 96¾ Acres of Land in Tredifeum Township, Chester County, Surveyed on Warrant to said Mather dated 17 March, 1757 and offered the Sum of £45 which the Board agrees to accept and Patent allowed to said Potts.

At a Special meeting the 15 July, 1791. Present as above.

William Magee
v.
Samuel Taite.

In this case it is ordered that the Warrants of the parties shall be accepted and Plott returned to this Board the first Monday in November next to which time the dispute is continued.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 6 August, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary
} of the Land Office.

George Grim
v.
Jacob Mummy.
} On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in October next.

John Proctor
v.
Anthony Swisser.
} On Caveat.

On hearing it appears that Proctor claims under a Warrant to his Father Rich Proctor for 50 Acres of Land including his Improvement joining Edward Hutton in Huntingdon Township, York County, and that Swisser hath a Warrant and Survey of 45 Acres on the same or a part thereof of a posterior date, Therefore Order that Proctor's Warr't shall be executed agreeable to its location and the Survey returned to this Board the first Monday in November next by the Deputy Surveyor who is defered to denote the place where the improvement mentioned in Proctors Warrant was, or shall be, by Witnesses on the Ground, At which said first Monday the parties may again be heard and further Testimony offered.

Jacob Butterbaugh
v.
Philip Mosser.
} On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Fackler claims under two Warrants to Philip Mosser and Thomas Gilpin on which Surveys have been made and returned into the Surveyor Generals Office many years ago, And that Butterbaugh hath lately obtained a Warrant and hath a Survey made which interferes with the said Facklers Surveys, Therefore it is Ordered that Facklers Surveys shall be accepted and established, Butterbaughs Caveat dis-

missed and his Survey regulated so as to include any part of the land included in Facklers Survey with the privilege that if there shall be any adj'g Vacancy he may make up the compliment of his Warrant if it can be done without prejudice to other rights.

William Cook, Esq.
v.
Andrew Stroup &
Galbreath Patterson.

The hearing of this case was postponed for the first Monday in January last, and Mr. Cook then appearing but not producing proof of the service of Notice on the other Parties as is deemed proper this Case hath been continued, And now Col. Cook appearing was heard exparte, On which it appears that he hath a Conveyance from George Weyland for a Tract of Land Surveyed on Application entered the 3d April, 1769, And that Stroup also claims by a Conveyance from Weyland which is not produced. That Patterson claims by virtue of a Survey made on an Order in the Name of Thomas McFaddion, and returned into the Surveyor Gen'ls Office with a Note (endorsed on a Letter from Wm. Maclay, Esquire, to the Surveyor General John Lukens) annexed to the said Survey "That it shou'd not be accepted" The Survey not being made at "the plan Located" On considering which Case it is judged proper to allow Colo. Cook a Patent on the first Monday in December next unless Patterson and Stroup or one of them shall shew Cause to the contrary on the said first Monday in December, Each of them having a Copy of this Minute given them at least thirty days before that time, And as Mr. Wells has noted on the Survey of Cook that it interferes with a Survey of One Fisher, Col. Cook is directed to give him said Fisher a Copy thirty days before the said Monday in December in Order that he may make his Objections if any he has to the granting Colo. Cook a Patent on the said Survey.

The Report of Charles Leiper, William McClelland and Samuel Walker, three of the Referees chosen and appointed in the Case of McCurdy and Potts the first Monday in June last, being laid before the Board the same is confirmed and Mr. Henderson the Deputy Surveyor is directed to make a Return of McCurdys Survey agreeable to that Report.

On the request of Col. William Cook he is allowed to withdraw his Caveat ent'd against any Survey on Lodges Run made by William Montgomery.

The Heirs of Fred'k Weiser
v.
John Keyser.

Keyser not appearing the Heirs of Frederick Weiser are allowed

a Patent unless cause shewn to the contrary before a Patent is wanting.

Ordered a Warrant to Resurvey a Tract Patented to George Churchman agreeable to his request made by David Redick, Esquire.

Allowed a Warrant to be directed to the Surveyor General requiring him to make a new Return into the Secretarys Office according to the true Drafft in order to correct Errors in a Patent d'd 29 June, 1774 to Moses Reed whose right is vested in Edward Breathed.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 18 August, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

A Letter from Alexander McClean, Esquire, dated 20 July last directed to the Board informing that he had made the Survey of 261 Acres in Manallen Township, Fayette County for And'w Linn agreeable to the Order of the Board on a dispute between s'd Linn and Thomas Jones being made and considered a Patent is allowed to said Linn on his Representation.

At a Sp'l meeting the 19 August, 1791. Present as the 15 In't.

On Reading the Petition of John Bruce praying that the Money paid by him on issuing a Warrant of 12 July, 1785 might be allowed him on the Settlement of his Account for a Tract of Land granted to George Cole dated ——— 1755 (which Warrant if 1785) was applied for by him not knowing of Coles Warrant. It is Ordered that the Survey shall be Returned on both Warrants and the purchase money of £15 10 P. Cent. with Interest calculated from Six months after date of Cole's Warrant and paid, the Receiver General allowing the money paid at the issuing the last Warr't in settlement of the Account.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 20 Aug't, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Charles Pettit, Esquire, One of the Executors of the last Will

and Testament of Joseph Reed, Esquire, deceased, laid before the Board a Survey of 245 Acres Situate above the forks of Delaware now in the County of Northampton made for William Craig in right of James Barclay on Warrant dated 12 March, 1750, And upon inspecting the Title Deeds produced it appears that said James Barclay many years ago, assigned his right of the said Warrant to the said Willliam Craig, Who by an endorsement on a Copy of the said Warrant assigned the same to William Logan, Who together with the said Craig by Indenture dated 20 March, 1769 conveyed the same to Thomas Smith, Who by Indenture dated 4 December, 1783, conveyed the same to the said Joseph Reed Who by Will appointed the said Charles Pettit, ——— Ingersoll and William Bradford, Esq'rs Executors and upon examining the Records of the Several Departments of the Land Office it appears that the said Barclay had but two Warrants dated 12 March, 1750, Yet that three Surveys have been made & returned on them, and that two of them are Patented one to James Gourley the other to the Heirs or Executors of William Logan And the Board now considering that in as much as the said Surveys of 245 Acres for which a Patent is now requested hath been returned into the Surveyor Generals Office a long time, and hath been in actual possession of the claimants aforesaid under the said Barclay a considerable time, therefor that the Title of the Heirs of the said Reed ought not to be injured by the other parties obtaining Patents before them. But as it is not usual to grant two Patents on one Warrant it is Ordered that on paying £15 10 P. Cent with Interest from 6 Months after date of Barclays Warran a Warrant shall issue for returning the said Survey into the Office of the Secretary of the Land Office in order for confirmation by Patent to the said Executors.

I do certify that the Survey of 69⅝ Acres in Springfield Township, Bucks County made for Isaac Burson on Warr't of 24 August, 1791 and the 1 July, 1791 is so far distant from the 22 Acres Tract Surveyed on Warrant of 26 August that it cannot anywise affect the dispute on a Caveat entered by Christian Amheiser against Isaac Burson.

(Signed.) IS. HICKS, Dep'y Survey'r.

At a Spec'l meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 1 Septem., 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

On considering the Drafft of the Survey of 469 Acres in Springfield Township, Bucks County made on Warrants of 24 August, 1791 and 1 July, and also the Certificate of Isaac Hicks Deputy Surveyor Isaac Burson is allowed a Patent.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals at 5 Septm., 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Robert Chambers
v. } On Caveat.
Jos. Lintner
Smith.

On hearing the parties it appears that they both claim the land in dispute being 63 Acres Surveyed on Application No. 1028 of John Thompson, ent'd 29 August, 1766, And on considering the proof offered it is the opinion of the Board that the right to the same is in the said Chambers and a Patent is allowed him.

The Heirs of Philip Davis
v.
William Reber.

The Heirs of Davis claim by Warrant dated 4 November, 1746 for the acceptance of 1050 Acres made by Mr. Butcher on Samuel Blunstons Grant to one Coburne And that Reber hath an Application in the Year 1766 and a Survey made thereon which is said interferes with the said Survey but as it does not appear from the Surveys now before the Board whether or not there is an interference this Case is postponed to the first Monday in November next in order that the Deputy Surveyor examine the Lines and Report the interferences if any.

Alexander White
Guardian of the Heirs of
John Hite } On Caveat.
v.
James Thompson.

Agreed by Mr. Gallatin and Miers Fischer on behalf of the Par-

ties that the Order of this Board allowing Patent to Thompson this day unless Cause shewn to the contrary shall be furnished until the first Monday in January next.

The Heirs of George Wilson
v.
Isaac McDonald.

Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor return and point out on a Drafft or Plott of the part purchased from Lockhart and also the Situation of the two Improvements made on the Land in dispute against the first Monday in February next.

Alexander Barr
v.
James Potter or his Ass'ee
George Latimer.

Barr nor any for him app'g, Latimer was heard exparte whereon it appears that the Land described in Barrs Application cannot affect the Land Surveyed for Latimer, And therefore the Caveat is dismissed.

David Blair
v.
Eph'm or Jas. Crawford.

Mr. Thomas appearing for Blair & Messeurs Smiley and Hoge app'g for the Crawfords did agree that the Case should be postponed to the first Monday in January next, and the Same is accordingly postponed to that time.

Timothy Sheen
v.
Charles Symes.
On Caveat.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next at which time it is expected that the Parties will be prepared with sufficient proof and Document in order that a final Determination may be had.

John Teitsworth
v.
Job Johnston.
On Caveat.

This Case is postponed to the first Monday in November next Teitsworth not having sufficient proof of the service of Notice.

John Madden
v.
Robert Parker.

It appearing on hearing that the Persons under whom Parker claims had the first improvement, Also that Parker had the first Warrant and Survey. Therefore a Patent is allowed him.

Benj'n Hellings
v.
Colo. Craig.

Col. Craig producing the Record of Patent granted to him for

a Tract of Land including a small part of the Land claimed by Hellings, It is ordered that the Caveat be dismissed.

Allowed Patent to Robert Chambers for 63 Acres Surveyed on John Thompsons Application the Title Deeds & Testimony offered being satisfactory.

Benjamin Wells
v.
Ann Stevenson.

The Award of Jacob Stewart, James Blackstone and William Boyd to whom the Parties had referred this Dispute being produced which is in favor of Ann Stevenson, Ordered that a Patent be granted to her also.

Allowed an Exoneration of Interest according to Law on a Certificate produced.

Felix Hughs
v.
James Carmichael.

No Cause being shewn the first Monday in June last according to minute of the Board of the 7 Feb'y last nor at any time since, Therefore Patent is allowed to Felix Hughs.

James Hughs
v.
Abraham Scott.

The Report of Henry Vanmetre, George Newlan, and Levi Harrod to whom this Dispute had been referred in June, 1787 being produced, Whereby they determine in favor of Hughs, being read and considered the same is confirmed.

Conrad Solt applying for a Patent for 196 Acres Surveyed on two Warrants One to John Wudman dated 10 Dec'r, 1750 and the other to Conrad Solt dated 20 April, 1785, Ord'd that the Deputy Surveyor George Palmer return 107 Acres by the Metes and bounds in a Deed from Peter Eiselman to Matthias Hummill.

Allowed a Patent to Samuel Reynolds for 255¾ A's, On Warrant of 24 October, 1790, on reading a Letter from John Moore, Agent of s'd Reynolds (directed to Mr. Benson) Int. from 10 years back.

Snodgrass
v.
Small.

Postponed to the first Monday in February next at the request of Gen'l Nevill.

Jackson.
v.
Hays.

Hays not appearing though duly notfyed Jackson's Testimony

sent by Mr. Finley was examined whereby it appears that Hays's Warrant is not Located on the Ground in contest. Therefore Jackson is allowed a Patent unless Cause be shewn to the contrary the first Monday in February next.

William Foulk
v.
Craig Ritchie.

Ordered that if Craig Ritchie shew not Cause to contrary the first Monday in February next Foulk shall have a Patent.

David Flowers
v.
Robert Jones.

The Report of John Minor and Zachariah Gapen pursuant to Order of the Board the 3 March, 1789, expressing their Opinion that a Patent ought to issue to Robert Jones being read and considered the same is Confirmed.

Patrick Hartford
v.
George Stinger.

Hartford not appearing tho' duly notif'd therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

Fred'k Kuhn & Nich's Kern
v.
John Lockhart. } Continued.

A Patent dated the 4 March, 1790 to John Sturum Junior as Assignee of Richard Maguire which not being surveyed at the place Located by the Warrant and within a Manor another 396½ Acres Tract was Surveyed and returned and by mistake a Patent issued on the wrong Tract, Ordered that on the Release of the old Patent a new one issue.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Gen'ls the 9th September, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary
Matthew Irwin, Esq., Master of the Rolls.

John Little
v.
The Heirs of Thom's Gist. } On Caveat.

In this Case John Little claims certain lands on the Waters of Monongehela River in the County of Fayette, under the following Application viz: No. 1082 in the name of Joseph Hunter for 300 A's

No. 263 in the name of Cornelius Harety 300 Acres, No. 637 in the name of Enoch David for three hundred acres, No. 1192 in the name of John Roberts for three hundred Acres, and No. 1259 in the name of Dan'l Edwards also for three hundred Acres, respectively dated the third day of April, 1769. That the Heirs of Thomas Gist set up their Title under early Improvements and the Pennsylvania Applications and Virginia Entrys with Surveys made and returned thereon, viz: One Application in the name of Richard Guist No. 180 for three hundred Acres, another in the name of Thomas Gist No. 2230 for the same quantity, both including improvements severally dated the 3 April, 1769, One Virginia Entry of Certificate in the name of Thomas Gist for four hundred Acres dated the 4 day of February, 1780, One other Virginia Entry or Certificate for 400 Acres in the name of Thomas Gist, Assignee of Rich'd Gist, dated 4 February, 1780, One other Virginia Entry or Certificate for 400 A's in the name of Thomas Gist in right of his Father Christopher Gist dated as above, one other Virginia Entry for 400 Acres in the name of Thomas Gist in right of Nathaniel Gist dated as afores'd, One Land Office Treasury Warrant No. 108 for 1000 Acres dated 8 March, 1780 and Surveyed in two separate Surveys containing 439¾ Acres and 620¼ Acres, And it appearing from a variety of Testimony that the imp'ts claimed by the said Heirs were made at an early day and have since been uniformerly followed up, (unless when interrupted by the Indian War) until they have become extremly valuable, that One of the Applications in the name of Richard Gist No. 180 is an earlier Number than any of said Littles, And also that these lands have been regularly Surveyed and returned into the Surveyor General Office for the use of said Heirs; While on the other hand no Surveys have ever been made on said Littles Applications, Nor does it appear necessarily diligence or pains have been taken to obtain the same; And it also appearing that the Land described in the principal or leading Application of said Little in the name of Harity is already Patented or a part thereof to Thomas Gist or the Ass'ee of his Heirs in right of his father Christopher. The Board under all this considerations are therefore of opinion that the Caveat be dismissed.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 30 September, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Benjamin Chew, Esquire, exhibited a Memorial setting forth "That in pursuance of a warrant granted to him by the Proprie- "tary of the then Province of Pennsylvania dated the 10th Au- "gust, Anno Domini 1769, for Surveying to him 5000 Acres of Land "upon the head Branches or Lakes of Fishing Creek which runs "into the North East branch of Susquehanna in one or more "Tract or Tracts any where above the first forks or upon the Lake "or Lakes at the heads of the Branches, there was Surveyed to "him on the 27, 28 & 29 days of October, Anno Domini 1773, a "Tract of Land Situate above the first Forks of Fishing Creek on "the Northwesterly branch of said Creek, bounded Southerly by "Land claimed by Jacob Orby and others, Northerly by Land re- "turned for the Honorable John Penn, Esquire, Eastward and "Westward by a Ridge of Hills containing 2254 Acres beside the "allowance of Six P. Cent for Roads, &c. That although he the "said Benjamin Chew had ever since paid all Taxes for the said "Land a great part whereof was held and in the possession of "persons who laid claim to the same under pretence of Elder or "better Titles, and that he being unacquainted with the justice "or propriety of their said Claims requested that in order to as- "certain what right he had to any part of the said Tract a Re- "survey thereof might be made with directions to the Surveyor to "denote on the Return of said Resurvey and Surveys or Claims "which might interfere with the said original Survey with the "particular dates and Circumstances of such Claims," as by the said Memorial more fully appears, And the Board having taken the same into consideration Orders that the Surv. Gen'l cause to be made a Resurvey of the said Tract of Land as requested with Directions to the Deputy Surveyor to denote the Claims of any persons within the same as above mentioned, And that a return be made as soon as possible to the Surveyor General.

At a meeting at the Surveyor Generals 2d October, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

William Patterson
v. } On Caveat.
John Dunbar.

This Case is referred by consent of parties to Robert Scott, and George Douglass who with the Deputy Surveyor Samuel Lyon are to make the Surveys of the Parties in the most commodious man-

ner to them so as that Patterson's Cabbin shall be included in his Survey, And the said Referees are desired to make their report the first Monday in January next.

Chris'n Amheiser
v.
Isaac Burson. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Amheiser claims under a Warr't to Hans George Howard dated 16 February, 1753 and a Survey made by Samuel Foulke as Assistant to David Shultz and that Burson hath a late Warrant and Survey which includes part of Amheisers Survey, It is therefore Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor shall make a Resurvey and return for Amheiser agreeable to the Survey made by Samuel Foulke, and a Survey and return of the remainder of the Vacancy for Burson.

John Wallace
v.
Robert Estep.

The Report of Matthew Ritchie, Colo. Allison, John Douglass and others to whom this case had been referred (which allowed the land in dispute to Estep) being read and considered the same is Confirmed, and Estep Ordered a Patent.

John Reed
v.
James Scott. } On Caveat.

On hearing the parties it appears that Reed hath an Application ent'd Anno Domini 1767 No. 4404 and a Survey of a Tract of 339 Acres made thereon 28 Nov. 1768, And that Scott hath a Warrant so late as the year 1785 on which a Survey hath been made interfering with Reeds, It is therefore Ord'd that Reeds be accepted and Patent issued, and that Scotts Survey shall be regulated according to it.

Henry Kreps
v.
Charles Wentzell. } On Caveat.

On hearing the Parties it appears that Kreps hath a Warrant d'd 27th April, 1773 and a Survey of 388 Acres made the 10th May following, And that Wentzell hath a Warrant dated 24 February, 1785 & a Survey interfering with Kreps Survey. It is therefore Ordered that Kreps shall have a Patent on his said Survey and that Wentzells Survey shall be regulated according to it.

George Grim
v.
Jacob Mummy
in right of
Phil'p Boger. } On Caveat.

The Board on considering this Case are of Opinion that although

from a number of Circumstances it appeared that Mummy had an equitable claim to the land in controversy or a part thereof, Yet from the Evidence and legal Title produced to the Board on the part of Grim think his right should be confirmed and direct a Patent to issue accordingly.

Samuel McMikins
v.
James Wilkins.

William Wilkins Son of James Wilkins not appearing to shew Cause why Patent might not issue to McMikins as ordered the first Monday in June last, Therefor a Patent is allowed.

James Martin, Esq.
v.
Michael Smier.

The Return of the Deputy Survey'r agreeable to the Order of the Board on the first Monday in December, 1790, not being made the Case is postponed to the first Monday in January next and Martin is to have a Copy of this Minute given him at least thirty days before that time.

Fred'k Kuhn & Nich's Kern
v.
John Lockhart.

On Caveat continued from the first Monday in September last.

The Parties being heard the first Monday in September and their Papers now revised, it appears that Kuhn and Kern have a Warrant dated the 12 day of January, 1786 and a Survey of 164 A's made thereon in April following, And that Lockhart having purch'd the right of the Widow Dodson Widow of Richard Dodson to an Improvement (which is not included in Kuhn and Kerns Survey) obtained a Warrant dated the 28 July, 1790 and by Virtue of that Imp't wou'd extend his Survey so as to include part of Kuhn & Kerns Survey. The Board on inspecting the said Surveys are apprehensive that, as has been suggested a Certain Giles Palmer a Neighbour adjoining may have taken a part of the ——— first claim'd together with the said Dodsons Imp't. And also that a great part or the whole of Palmers and a part of the Land now in contest is included in a Patent granted to one William West, Therefore the Case is postponed to the first Monday in February next in Order that a Resurvey shall be made of Wests Land and the Deputy Surveyor is directed to denote on his plott how Palmers, Lockharts or Kerns Survey interfere with it or with each other, And the probability of part of Palmers Survey being within the claim of Dodson, And likewise the quality of the Land and Meadow Ground and other necessary circumstances to eluci-

date this matter and to enable the Board to do justice to the Parties.

Robert Smith
v.
Dorsey Penticost.

At a meeting of the Board the 6th April last, this Case was referred to Colo. Edward Cook and Colo. Morton who were desired to report at this time, but a Copy of the Minute not being taken to the said Referees no report hath been made, Therefore this Case is postponed to the first Monday in Feb'y next.

John Witherow &
John Noble
v.
George Ashbridge.

Agreed by consent of the Parties to postpone this Case to the first Monday in December next.

James McConaughy
v.
William Ashbridge
& Ors.

Agreed by consent of Parties to postpone this Case to the first Monday in December next.

Alex'r White, Esq.
Guardian to Mary Cath'e
& Sarah Hite
v.
John Cowens.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next in Order that Cowen may produce his Conveyance from Blackburn.

Allowed a Patent to Conrad Stump on the Title Deeds and Testimony offered for a Tract containing 97⅞ A's Surveyed on Warrant to Jost Kunkle.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 13 Oct'r, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary
Matthew Irwin, Esq., Master of the Rolls.

Henry Lisle
v.
Balthazar Steinford. } On Caveat.

The Parties having appeared some time since with their Coun-

sel William Bradford & John Todd, Esquires, for Steinford and Meirs Fisher, Esq'r for Lisle, They were heard, Whereon it appears that the Ground in controversy is a small Lot on Second Street, and Dock Street in the City of Philadelphia which once might have been included in a survey of a Lot in right of Silas Crispin whose right becoming vested in William Frampton he obtained a Patent bounding the Lot Eastward by Front Street Westw'd by the Dock or Swamp by which Patent the disputed Lot was not granted, That a Warrant dated the 15th day of March, 1765 was granted for Surveying the said Lot in Order for agreeing with the said Steinford, That by the Deposition of Mr. Peter Miller the said Steinford did not agree with the Proprietaries for the same, And the Board are of Opinion that Henry Lisle hath not shewn any Legal Title to this Lot & it not being comprehended in Framptons Survey and Patent in right of Crispen their Heirs or any under them cannot now claim under the first Allotment being debarred by Act of Assembly passed the 10 day of April, 1781, entitled "An Act for the better support of the Pub-"lick Credit by an immediate Sale of the Lands therein mentioned "and full securing the Purchases thereof in their Titles, and also "for preferring the common Land appurtenant to the City of Phil-"adelphia, and other Towns in this State from unwarrantable En-"croachments." And the Board deeming the Lot to belong to the Commonwealth from some circumstances are induced to offer the said Lot to the said Steinford at the Sum or price of £500 Specie which if he make payment of within One Month from this Day the s'd Lot shall be confirmed to him by Patent.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 27 Oct'r, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Stephen Reybold
v. } On Caveat.
John Montgomery, Esq.

A Caveat being entered by the said Reybold ag't the said Montgomery On the 2d day of Decetmber, 1789 a Citation issued for the said Parties to be heard the first Monday in March, 1790, at which time a Minute was made to the purport following "That said Montgomery having sent information by Letter that he had Brought an Ejectment against Reybold, Therefore the said Case was postponed," And now Mr. Montgomery by Letter to the s'd

Secretary of the Land Office dated 24 October, Instant hath desired that no Patent may be issued to Reybold on a Survey lately made which interferes with his, And that a Citation might issue for Raybold which it appears that Mr. Montgomery is desirous of submitting this Case to the Board, On consideration it is the Opinion of the Board that a new Caveat is not necessary but that the former one of Raybold against Montgomery shall operate as a Caveat against Raybold, and that on the first Monday in January next this Case will be again taken under consideration provided a Certificate shall then be procured from the Prothonotary of the County that no Suit is pending between Montgomery and Raybold respecting the Land in dispute, and that a Copy of this Minute shall be given Raybold at least thirty days before the said first Monday in January next, And further the Deputy Surv'r of the District is desired to furnish the Board with a Plott denoting the interference of Raybolds Claim with Montgomery's Survey.

At a Meeting at the Surveyor Generals the 7 November, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary
Matthew Irwin, Esq., Master of the Rolls.

Jacob Kaufman
v. } On Caveat.
Frederick Meyer.

This Case is postponed to the first Monday in March next in Order that search may be made for the Field Works of the Surveyor who, it is alledged by Kaufman Surveyed the Land now in dispute, for him in the year 1775, on his Application dated in 1766 and also a Tract adjoining for John Peter Lear, And that further Testimony may be produced with regard to laying the Warrant to Frederick Meyer dated 9th May, 1755, under which a Claim is now set up opposed to Kaufman in different Place.

John Proctor
v. } On Caveat.
Anthony Swisser.

A Survey being produced made by Order of the Board the 1 day of August last, on Rich'd Proctors Warrant dated 4 April, 1754. And Sweitzer claiming under Warrant in the year 1772, And also by a purchase suggested to have been made by George Stevenson who sold to Swisser, The Board are of Opinion that as Proc-

tors Warrant is prior to Swissers And he not being able to make proof of any sale of Proctors right to Stevenson, It is Ordered that a Patent issue to Richard Proctors Representatives.

Robert Clark
v.
Christian Stoner.

This Case referred by Consent of the parties to Adam Orth, Martin Brand and Jonathan McClure to whose determination or any two of them the said Parties agree to abide.

Fred'k Hettinger
v.
Henry Bellig.

This Case being postponed from the first Monday in April to the first Monday in September last in Order that further Testimony might be produced, And Bellig then nor since having produced any other Testimony, It is now Ordered that Hettinger shall have a Patent the first Monday in April next on a Survey made on Hawns Application of Bellig shew no Cause to the Contrary at that time a Copy of this Minute being given him said Bellig at least thirty days before the said first Monday in April next.

John McCoy
v.
Nich's Frederick.
On Caveat.

On hearing the Parties and exam'g their Testimony it appears that Frederick claims by purchase of 200 Acres part of a Tract for which Daniel Campbell had an Application No. 5112 and on which a Survey of 214 Acres 5-20 hath been returned and that William Campbell since took a Warrant for the other part. It is Ordered that 14 Acres shall be cut off from the said Survey by a Line to be run parallel to the N. 37 degree W. 158 perches joining Wm. Campbell and the 200 A's ret'd for Frederick & the 14 Acres returned for Campbell or McCoy with the rest of their land on the said new Warrant.

Peter Kessler
v.
Joseph Martin.

The Order of the Board of the 2d day of May last not being as yet Executed the Case is postponed to the first Monday in February next.

Hugh Beaty
v.
Thomas Sutherland.

Beaty not appearing tho' duly Cited, Thomas Sutherland was heard exparte, Whereon it appears that Thomas Sutherland hath a

Survey of 267 Acres in Buffaloe Valley, Northumb'd County, made on his Application, That he hath sold 50 Acres part of said Survey to Hugh Beaty next joining said Beatys other Land, That Hugh Beaty hath a Warrant dated 31 August, 1785 and would include in his Survey on the said Warrant the 50 Acres purchased. It is the Opinion of the Board and Ordered accordingly that after laying off the fifty Acres which Sutherland acknowledges he hath Sold to Beaty, for him said Beaty or those claiming under him, the remainder shall be returned and Patented to Sutherland, and what lies out of Sutherlands Survey shall be returned for Beaty on his said Warrant.

Andrew Walker
v.
Samuel Walker.

Andrew Walker not appearing and Samuel producing a regular chain of Title under the Warrants and App'ns under which the Lands in contest are held, Therefore the said Caveat of Andrew Walker is Ordered to be dismissed unless said Andrew shall shew Cause to the Contrary the first Monday in August next, A Copy of this Minute to be given him s'd Andrew thirty days before that time.

David Wylie
v.
Alexander Ramsey. } On Caveat.

David Wylie appearing but not having sufficient proof of the Service of Notice on Ramsey this Case is postponed to the first Monday in February next. A Copy of this Minute to be given Ramsey at least thirty days before that time.

A Draught of a Survey of 310 Acres in Pine Grove Township, Berks County made by Thomas Clark for Nicholas Hittell on two Warrants dated 16 November, 1784, was laid before the Board by Nicholas Hittell, who informed that two Warr'ts to One Masht in the year 1753 had been granted for and a Survey made on part of said Land many years agoe, therefore it is Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor shall return a Survey on the said old Warrants agreeable to the first Survey and the remainder on the new Warrant, And in the Settlement of the Account the Receiver General is to allow the Money paid on said new Warrant.

David Wylie &
Richard McDonnell
v.
James McCanless.

The Report of Francis Hartman, William Brackenridge, John Forsyth and William Kersey which is in the words following: "After hearing the Parties and their Witnesses and viewing the

"premises now in dispute between James McCanless on the one "part and the Heirs of Richard McDonnell and David Wylie on "the other part, We do unamimously agree that James Mc-"Canless shall have his Warrant satisfyed agreeable to the rules "established in the Land Office of the Commonwealth including "the Survey of 26 A's whereon he lives and that of 59 Acres ad-"joining David Wiley and the residue to be laid Off in such man-"ner as may be least injurious to McDaniels Improvement that the "Heirs of the said McDaniel have their Warrants then filled in a "regular manner including the Imp'ts and that David Wiley have "all the residue of the Vacancy returned on his Warrant."

FRANCIS HARTMAN,
WM. BRACKENRIDGE,
JOHN FORSYTH.
WM. KERSEY.

Hopewell Township,
Septem. 21, 1791.

Being read and considered the same is confirmed, and the Deputy Surveyor is to make return according thereto.

Peter Reznor having some time since sent a Petition requesting a Rehearing of a Case between him and William Sherer which had been determined against him the 26th day of Feb'ry, 1770, And it appears by an Endorsement on the said Petition that it was Ordered that the prayer of the Petition "was not to be allowed until "the Board were satisfied that there was no Suit depending in "Law" And now the said Petition is again Exhibited with some further proof which being taken into Consideration, it is thought most proper that the Prothonotary of the Court shall Certify under the Seal of Office if any Action has been commenced between the said Sherer and Reznor, and if there was whether the same has been prosecuted to Judgment, Likewise in whose favor the same was found, And whether or not there is any suit now depending on the producing of which certificate the Board will consider the propriety of granting a Rehearing in the said Case.

Arthur Dunlap
v.
William Hervey.

No person appearing for Dunlap's Heirs tho' they were duly cited, And it appearing that William Hervey & his Heirs lived on the Land thirty or forty Years and have Warrants and Surveys, Therefore Ordered that the Caveat be dismissed.

Joseph Shank
v.
John & Hans Meyer.

It appearing by a Certificate from the Prothonotary of Dauphin County that this Case is in Law, Therefore postponed.

Allowed Edward Bartholomew and Colo. Patton to withdraw their Caveat against Mat. Holenback they having laid their No. 39 of a T't of 509 Acres in another place.

William Rairdon
v.
John Rairdon.

William Rairdon being allowed a Patent for 137 Acres unless cause be shewn at this time, And John having sent such papers and information as induce the Board to suspend granting a Patent untill the first Monday in February next in Order that the Parties may be heard or their papers and Testimony laid before the Board.

Andrew Sholl
v.
Henry Klinger.

Postponed to the first Monday in January next.

At a Special meeting of the Board of Property 28 Nov. 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Robert Morris, Esquire, of West New Jersey, Attorney in fact and Agent to the W. Jersey Society attending with divers Deeds and Papers applied for a Patent for a Tract of Land in the County of Chester containing 10,098½ Acres which by Warrant dated the 3d November, 1774 was ordered to be Resurveyed for Peter Hammond and others a Committee of the said Society, at the request of John Hunt their then Attorney in fact, and producing the Survey and Plott (a Copy of which is filed in the Surveyor Generals Office) as well of the 10,098½ Acres as of the other moiety of a Tract of 20,187 of which this a part made by Reading Howell from a Map of Anthony Wayne formerly a Deputy Surveyor of the said County from which the said other Moiety or 10,098½ Acres was by order of the Board on the 2d day of April, 1787 allowed to be Patented to Robert Morris and Thomas Willing, Esquires in right of Robert Thompson for the acceptance of a Survey of which a Warrant issued the 31 day of May, 1787 and a Patent consequent thereon the 28 day of June next following, The Board taking into Consideration the Deeds, Draughts and an award made by Henry Drinker, George Roberts, and John Field, Arbitrators to whom certain differences touching the division of

the same Lands which had arisen between the said Society and the said Willing and Morris were referred, whereby the 10,098½ Acres the other Moiety of the said Tract of 20,197 A's., Patented to the said Willing and Morris were allotted to them and the part now applied for, by the said West Jersey Society, was allotted to the same West Jersey Society, It is Ordered that the Surveyor Gen'l shall accept and receive into his Office the Survey of the said 10,098½ A's for the said Society and return the same in Order for Patent on the said Warrant of the 3 November, 1774, agreeable to the said award and Map thereof by Reading Howell for Anthony Wayne's original Plott, And thereupon that a Patent issue to Peter Hammond, John Ord, William Hopkins, Richard Capper, Samuel Weaver, Anthony Merry, and George Samuel Wegg (Who are the present Trustees of the said Society their Heirs and Assignees.

At a meeting at the Surveyor General's the 5th Decem., 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

George Lenick
v.
George Ege

Postponed to the first Monday in April next in Order that the Field Notes of John Scull & Jasper Scull may be examined or that Wm. Wheeler by blackening the Line and Corner Trees of the Survey made on Conrad Weisers Warrant shall ascertain the Limits and bounds of that Survey.

James Marshall
v.
Peter Dinckle.

Postponed to the first Monday in May next in Order that the Deputy Surveyor Mr. Kersey may return a Plott of the Land conveyed by Dinckle to And'w Finley as he shall apprehend, and how the same interferes with Land Surveyed for Thomas Forley on his Application, and with Land held or claimed by said Forley by Improvement.

Thomas Grier
v.
John Jordan &
William Moore.

On hearing the parties it appears that Wm. Moore claims by

Warrant to John Jordan and a Survey of 90 Acres made thereon includ'd within the Bounds of a Tract or Tracts of about eight or Nine hundred Acres which Grier alledges had been surveyed many years ago on a Warrant to Archibald McAllister dated in September in the Year 1755 but no return of the Survey being in the Surveyor Generals Office, he purchased the right of a Warr't to John Brackbill dated in the year 1763 and obtained a Warr't in the Year 1785 in Order to cover the land by him possessed excluding the quantity of McAllisters Warrant, He said Grier also produced a small part of a Draft with the name of David McAllister (who was Archibalds Son) which he supposed to be part of the original Survey made by a former Deputy Surveyor but has no proof of the authenticity thereof, Therefore the Case is postponed to the first Monday in May next against which time the Deputy Surveyor Samuel Lyon is desired to make a Resurvey of the Lands said to be Surveyed on McAllisters Warrant and by blocking the Trees, and otherwise endeavor to ascertain the same and to furnish the Board with a Plott of the same Resurvey denoting thereon the Situation of Griers Improvements, and any other remarks he may think proper to Elucidate this Dispute.

Alexander Kirkpatrick
v.
John & Jonathan Nelson.

Kirkpatrick appearing and producing proof of service of Notice shewn to the contrary the first Monday in April next, against on Nelson who not appearing Kirkpatrick is allowed a Patent for the Land he Claims on Hutchinsons Application unless cause shown to the contrary the first Monday in April next against which time the Deputy Surveyor is desired to make return of the Surveys of the said Parties, Kirkpatrick is to give Nelson a Copy of this Minute at least thirty Days before the said first Monday in April.

John Frazier laid before the Board the Commissioners Deed and a Deposition of Thomas Hewitt in Order to obtain a Patent for Andrew Coughran, Which being deemed not sufficient a Patent is not allowed untill more complete chain of Title is produced.

John Witherow
& John Noble
v.
George Ashbridge.

The Parties agree to postpone this Case to the first Monday in March next.

Robert Hammill
v.
John Hunter.

It appearing that Rob't Hammill hath a Warrant dated 22

Decem. 1774, and a Survey made thereon the 20 March, 1775, Ordered that he have a Patent for the same and the Survey between that and the Mountain being returned for Hunter on Henry Downs Application.

John McClure
v.
The Heirs of John Miller.

John McClure not app'g nor any for him, Therefore his Caveat is dismissed.

Cook, Straub & Patterson
v.
John Fisher.

Cook being allowed a Patent at a meeting of the 1 August last if Cause was not shewn at this Day, And it appearing that there was an interference with his survey and the Survey of John Fisher, Colo. Cook was directed to give Fisher a Copy of the minute of the s'd first day of August last, And now Fisher making it appear that he hath the prior Application and full Survey, Therefore Ordered that the Deputy Surveyor Mr. Wallis make return from the Original Field Notes of Charles Lukens for Fisher and exclude from Cooks Survey the part in Fishers & no Cause being shewn by Patterson to the contrary when Cooks Survey shall be regulated as above a Patent may issue to said Cook.

——— Burns
v.
——— Hall.

Postponed to the first Monday in Sep't next in Order that the Report of the Referees may be made or the parties settle the matter between themselves, which Mr. Gapen alledges is likely to be the Case.

——— Hughs
v.
——— McMullen &
——— Frazier.

The Board taking into consideration the dispute and the Testimony, Ordered that Surveys made on Wolf & Heids Warrant of 8 August, 1774 be accepted, and those of McMullen, Frazier and Pearson rejected.

John Wilson & others
Heirs of Geo. Wilson & James Carmichael
v.
——— Ramsey, Pat. Smith & Assa Davis.

The Caveators not appearing to support their Caveat tho' duly notified the same is dismissed.

Andrew Lewis
v.
Abraham Covalt.

Lewis having a Patent leaving out the Land in Dispute, his Caveat is Dismissed and Covalt al'd a Patent.

At a Special meeting at the Surveyor Generals 15 Dec'r, 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

John Montgomery, Esquire, laid before the Board a Copy a Special meeting the 27 October last in the Case between him & Stephen Raybolt appointing the first Monday in January next for the Consideration of this Case. And he informed the Board that he had not served said Raybolt with a Copy not having time. And desired that the first Monday in March next might be appointed instead of January, which request the Board think reasonable, and therefore appoint the first Monday in March next a Copy of both these minutes being given Raybolt at least thirty Days before the said first Monday in March.

The Caveat of Edward McClean against Jacob Miller is dismissed, Charles Conway and others who are said to be the Heirs of said Edward, declaring they have no objections to granting a Patent to ———— who claims under Millers Application.

At a Special meeting of the B'd at the Receiver Gen'l 27 Decem. 1791.

Present

Daniel Broadhead, Esq., Surv. Gen'l
Francis Johnston, Esq., Rec'r Gen'l } of the Land Office.
David Kennedy, Esq., Secretary

Allowed a Patent to Shalbeser Bently and a Caveat continued by him against Richard Mahon to be withdrawn as he produces several Deeds vesting Mahons right in said Bently.

Galbreath Patterson attending and producing a Deposition whereby it appears that Col. William Cook had agreed that the dispute between him and said Patterson should be heard on the

first Monday in February next instead of the first Monday in Dec'r Ins't agreeable to the minute of August last. Whereupon it is Ordered, that Colo. Cook shall not have his Patent until the first Monday in February next, in Order that the Parties may be then further heard, Notwithstanding a Minute of the said first Monday in Dec'r allowing Cook a Patent Mr. Galbreath is to give Cook a Copy of this Minute at least Twenty Days before the first Monday in Feb'y next.



General Index.

[It has been deemed best to simply furnish an index of all surnames recorded in these minutes. In the case of the Commissioners and Surveyors whose names are mentioned on almost every page, the word "general" has been affixed.]

Abbot, 98
Abernathy, 226
Abrams, 708
Adams, 59, 60, 181, 203, 219, 517, 532, 600, 601, 644, 663, 672, 696, 712, 729, 748
Adlum, 167
Adair, 650
Agar, 228
Agnew, 296, 358, 465, 612
Agustine, 404
Aitken, 466
Alricks, 28, 30, 44, 45, 93
Allen, 34, 58, 59, 60, 88, 107, 130, 194 206, 345, 349, 354, 388, 418, 460, 466, 492, 495, 509, 558, 601, 643, 650
Alcorn, 103
Alford, 112
Allison, 134, 150, 159, 164, 189, 194, 268, 281, 302, 311, 350, 366, 367, 372, 412, 481, 496, 519, 520, 564, 570, 591, 613, 641, 661, 664, 706, 709, 710, 718, 724, 760
Allwine, 154, 706, 727
Alexander, 180, 193, 202, 247, 299, 313, 314, 335, 342, 343, 390, 455, 463, 588, 594, 605, 606, 609, 624
Alderfer, 209
Alsbach, 297, 510, 717
Alleman, 304, 716
Althouse, 348, 418, 529
Albrecht, 371, 382, 441, 488, 507, 689
Alwood, 442
Albert, 488, 568, 616
Ales, 610
Amberson, 573
Amheiser, 753, 760
Andrews, 9, 35, 43, 194, 530, 671, 692
Andreas, 12, 67, 459
Antes, 46, 428, 432, 474, 745
Anderson, 54, 55, 119, 157, 191, 215, 352, 388, 462, 530, 533, 598, 606, 611, 682, 722
Ankrum, 611, 638
Applegate, 518, 538, 550, 742
Appley, 649
Armstrong, 26, 27, 51, 76, 114, 115, 116, 117, 133, 134, 140, 142, 143 (general)
Arrowson, 28, 325
Armit, 77
Armor, 210, 262, 264, 279, 285, 292, 315, 343, 344, 364, 375, 484, 502, 549, 558, 567, 600, 614, 630, 640, 655, 715, 725
Arnet, 270

Archer, 279, 392
Arbegast, 655
Ashton, 9, 31, 53, 61, 80, 83, 157, 163
Aspden, 36
Ashmead, 119
Asky, 343
Ashamer, 427
Ashbridge, 488, 507, 762, 770
Ashbough, 555
Atkinson, 82, 99, 152, 259, 265, 299, 351
Atwood, 98
Atlee, 441, 449, 521
Austin, 28, 287, 492
Aubray, 52, 76, 84
Auchmudty, 114
Aurand, 504
Awmiller, 623
Awl, 421

Ball, 10, 607
Barbadoes, 28
Bangs, 28
Barker, 33, 54, 70, 81
Bauckumbrig, 33
Barnes, 33, 44, 45, 126, 158, 166, 170, 216, 364, 371, 746
Barret, 38, 62, 226, 249, 687
Baldwin, 39, 108, 362, 550, 666
Bacon, 46
Bartolet, 48
Baker, 48, 87, 280, 426, 445, 454, 475, 479, 584, 672, 748
Bagwell, 68
Batwell, 404
Barlings, 73
Banbery, 89
Baughman, 69, 87, 103, 633, 638, 744
Baynton, 104
Basset, 120, 139, 147, 260, 284
Barnsley, 120
Barnett, 144, 207, 505, 507, 518, 563, 566, 620
Baird, 150, 566, 610, 663, 673, 674, 746
Basqueens, 162
Bartram, 176, 206, 554
Barclay, 215, 264, 684, 753
Barton, 226, 268, 293, 583
Bazalion, 229
Bagestoss, 234
Bausman, 284, 533, 552, 640
Barber, 294
Baily, 312, 313, 329
Banning, 319, 355
Batt, 337
Bale, 353
Backhouse, 360, 633
Barr, 429, 472, 521, 562, 612, 657, 665, 736, 755
Balliot, 451, 468, 469, 484, 576, 735, 747
Bartholomew, 498, 768
Baggs, 572
Bayard, 585, 640
Barge, 627
Bay, 641
Battin, 649
Baize, 662, 674, 725
Banfield, 730
Bevan, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 17
Bettly, 8, 9
Beson, 25, 38, 622, 707
Bell, 28, 281, 487, 501, 613, 614, 701, 721, 723, 739, 742
Bellamy, 32
Bebbar, 46
Bently, 49, 772
Bedwell, 52
Berry, 77, 89, 91, 441
Beakes, 90
Behme, 91
Beale, 115, 423
Bedford, 129, 226
Bethel, 131, 669
Bennett, 135, 153, 161, 185, 499, 657
Benezet, 163, 211

Benns, 167
Beard, 171, 260, 263, 299, 315, 350, 357, 515, 537, 545, 553, 572, 595, 648, 651, 665, 668, 680, 681, 684, 687, 688, 701, 706, 709, 720, 727, 729, 731, 745
Beck, 207, 257, 285, 374, 621, 647, 677, 733
Beatty, 227, 329, 609, 650, 662, 689, 703, 765
Becker, 230
Berringer, 231
Beltzuber, 236
Bernard, 246, 265, 275
Berrod, 281, 289, 430
Bettinger, 402, 504
Benton, 413
Berkley, 418
Beach, 424
Beer, 441, 692, 747
Benson, 426
Betts, 451, 728
Beidler, 452, 459
Bee, 614, 638, 650, 704
Benor, 627
Benny, 642
Beidleman, 675
Beehler, 683
Beitel, 691, 724
Bellig, 765
Bird, 31, 194, 305, 321, 332, 377, 429, 494, 511, 515, 575, 582, 647, 654
Biles, 33
Biddle, 48, 103, 347, 360, 422, 434, 438, 459 (general)
Bishop, 65, 120, 413, 652
Birch, 71, 95
Bickley, 79, 128, 372, 475, 520
Bien, 128
Bilmyer, 147, 516
Bigham, 160
Billup, 197
Birk, 233
Biggars, 570, 571, 686, 719, 726
Bidler, 580
Bioren, 623
Binney, 643
Bittenger, 693
Billig, 735
Blair, 26, 140, 312, 421, 755
Blake, 28
Blunston, 39, 82, 155, 174, 215, 396, 628, 754
Blenman, 52, 77
Black, 83, 173, 203, 215, 299, 367, 425, 427, 431, 435, 484, 504, 530, 555, 602, 626, 746
Blaine, 119, 133, 348, 361, 374, 396, 404, 405, 419, 438, 510, 534, 577, 580, 584, 609, 612, 615, 651, 664, 679, 680, 710, 712
Blellock, 130, 131
Blackburn, 133, 281, 510, 534, 615, 708, 731, 762
Bladen, 202
Blythe, 244, 284, 526
Bleany, 565
Bloss, 593
Bleakley, 639, 657.
Blank, 699
Blackstone, 756
Boyd, 32, 80, 145, 157, 340, 444. 445, 450, 460, 489, 491, 503, 528, 558, 588, 638, 695, 756
Boyle, 34, 180, 674, 687
Bott, 36, 112
Bowman, 46, 121, 127, 275, 373, 472
Boone, 47, 73, 109, 291, 529, 580, 656
Bowers, 82, 232, 392, 403, 437
Bourne, 87, 270
Bonham, 89, 459, 733
Bond, 90, 98, 307, 553, 604, 607, 656, 660, 681, 684, 709
Botch, 94
Bopham, 98
Boude, 162, 472
Bob, 383
Bonsal, 395, 398
Bomberger, 399, 405, 417

Boner, 451
Bolander, 472
Boyce, 516, 518, 550, 709
Bowersack, 524
Bowie, 530
Bolen, 639
Bonine, 535, 574
Bothel, 624
Borver, 631
Borton, 31, 51
Booth, 32
Boggs, 164, 599, 629, 668
Bonjour, 177
Bollinger, 186
Bowles, 198, 222
Bossert, 234
Boyer, 269, 405, 509, 638, 702
Boar, 370, 733
Bom, 373
Body, 687, 705
Boniface, 646
Bouquet, 672, 730
Boilstone, 674
Boger, 760
Brakebill, 32, 606, 770
Briggs, 33, 69
Brinkloe, 36
Brockden, 38, 40
Bryan, 45, 481, 494, 654
Bradford, 46, 59, 494, 544, 569, 573, 613, 651, 654, 658, 663, 753, 763
Branson, 55, 57, 64, 198, 580
Bradley, 55, 74, 76, 366, 505
Brodhead, 56, 84, 86, 325, 326 344, 348, 418, 685, 698, 699, 715, 723, 724, 731, 733, 734, 736 (general)
Bromley, 64
Brasey, 65, 81
Brown, 65, 77, 102, 124, 127, 131, 134, 136, 138 (general)
Brackin, 68, 69, 81, 198
Brooks, 81, 94, 707, 737
Breintnal, 92, 163
Brandt, 129, 135, 765
Brackenridge, 145, 349, 545, 607, 628, 643, 663, 687, 702, 705, 743, 766, 767
Brotherton, 153, 219, 260, 390, 432, 580
Braddock, 178
Brady, 184, 404, 615, 631, 634
Bryson, 193, 610, 614, 638, 650, 704
Brubaker, 220
Brunner, 276
Brittain, 306, 395
Brownfield, 336, 547, 707
Bretzius, 637
Brinigh, 640
Bruch, 655, 752
Brillinger, 690
Brumstrom, 703
Brindle, 720, 735
Brechtel, 741
Brenk, 377
Breading, 717, 720, 723
Breden, 428, 433, 439, 600, 618, 701
Browster, 490
Bradshaw, 495, 670
Brotzman, 501
Brashiers, 518, 687
Breathed, 524, 752
Bray, 527, 740
Bretz, 579
Bright, 581
Breymour, 633
Buckley, 6, 46, 56
Burge, 11, 20, 91
Bulkley, 26, 49, 50, 51, 90, 96
Butcher, 301, 754
Butler, 35, 548, 622, 699
Budd, 46, 50, 61, 481
Burton, 67, 68, 416, 443, 546, 753, 760
Burrows, 56, 214
Burgholder, 81, 453, 558
Buchannan, 72, 169, 173, 291, 375, 435, 524, 588, 608, 647
Bush, 111, 459, 683

Burkhard, 124, 250, 263, 413, 468, 470
Burney, 171, 299, 345, 717, 726
Bunting, 180, 421, 497
Bull, 108, 232, 272, 410, 503, 547, 558, 745
Burnsides, 215
Bucher, 245, 724
Buckwalter, 274
Buss, 285, 293
Burger, 349, 441
Burgoyne, 423
Burns, 489, 493, 524, 591, 603, 608, 612, 647, 683, 722, 771
Burkham, 603
Burke, 663, 681, 684
Bubinger, 717
Butterbaugh, 750
Byerly, 31, 685
Byers, 341, 402, 432, 438, 443, 539, 606, 669

Carpenter, 17, 27, 35, 36, 39, 40 (general)
Campbell, 36, 103, 131, 148, 149, 151, 169, 179, 180, 189 (general)
Cartledge, 39
Carter, 41, 66, 71, 76, 425, 446, 451
Cadwallader, 43, 65, 69, 672
Callowhill, 63
Canby, 73, 102
Caldwell, 86, 182, 200, 421, 430, 496, 582, 632, 688, 694
Carrol, 109, 707, 727
Cassen, 94
Caruthers, 112, 257, 308, 311, 322, 344, 523, 577, 604, 609, 662
Cartwright, 120, 736
Caton, 126
Cassell, 127
Case, 133
Cade, 137
Carr, 141, 249, 282, 398
Castor, 184, 599
Calvert, 190, 271, 636
Carmichael, 217, 598, 631, 648, 730, 756, 771
Caneely, 218
Cather, 252
Cary, 271, 675, 738
Cantler, 275
Casper, 291
Carl, 295
Canon, 325, 380, 518, 567, 577, 603, 641, 660, 707, 709, 710, 725, 739, 742
Callender, 340, 679, 712
Carlisle, 381, 413, 550, 695, 696, 721
Carsner, 391
Carver, 451
Carson, 478, 491, 504, 519, 520, 537, 612
Cain, 503
Capp, 517, 733
Cable, 549
Calhoon, 567
Castleman, 630
Carns, 628, 633
Calkins, 693
Capper, 769
Cesnay, 151
Cecil, 441
Chambers, 6, 7, 9, 10, 50, 51, 80, 141, 171, 201, 297, 299, 321, 421, 465, 498, 505, 553, 562, 700, 714, 729, 754, 756
Chapman, 27, 31, 51, 358, 695, 722
Chandler, 29, 57, 66, 67, 265
Chudleigh, 37
Cherry, 39, 571, 599, 706
Chunk, 63
Church, 94, 95
Chew, 111, 115, 119, 130, 132 (general)
Christy, 117, 133, 145, 545, 575
Child, 182, 192
Churchman, 188, 204, 215, 217, 236, 252, 258, 273, 305, 315, 317, 383, 394, 464, 484, 486, 553, 565, 752

Cherrington, 288
Charleton, 290
Charles, 431, 568
Christ, 514, 653
Chattam, 478, 505
Chamberlain, 561
Chestnut, 638, 669, 693
Christeen, 747
Clothier, 20
Claypoole, 21, 63, 78, 81, 84, 435, 436, 440
Cliff, 25
Clark, 26, 34, 39, 52, 76, 124, 144, 146, 184, 214, 288, 326, 367, 375, 393, 398, 401, 448, 473, 478, 498, 506, 579, 589, 621, 645, 659, 669, 677, 691, 731, 736, 742, 766
Clows, 44
Clifton, 47, 182, 267
Clymer, 66, 500
Cleman, 127, 128
Clemson, 131, 161, 173, 559
Clayton, 159
Clinger, 231, 298, 559
Clyde, 257
Cleaver, 309, 438
Cluggage, 371
Clarkson, 395, 398, 435, 436, 440, 585, 726
Clampher, 445,
Clendinnen, 534, 538, 590
Clumberg, 554
Corn, 13, 440
Cook, 14, 27, 39, 41, 42, 44, 154, 161, 172, 185, 230, 306, 346, 352, 402, 516, 521, 548, 558, 567, 571, 598, 635, 648, 736, 746, 751, 762, 771, 772
Collet, 18
Collier, 28
Corswell, 28
Cole, 29, 38, 43, 634, 658, 752
Corbet, 30
Cornagnan, 32, 694, 731, 748
Conron, 39
Coburn, 39, 754
Cochran, 41, 106, 268, 274, 275, 307, 308, 346, 390, 396, 491, 4[illegible] 460, 630, 743, 770
Coppock, 61
Coxe, 63, 64, 74, 211, 216, 241, 260, 284, 290, 302, 304, 310, 329, 333, 341, 375, 386, 387, 420, 459, 461, 586, 618, 621
Constantine, 74
Conman, 81
Colter, 89, 137, 300, 305, 363, 721, 746
Conner, 89, 544, 560
Coates, 91, 96, 266, 274, 423, 450
Coffman, 92, 93, 95, 525, 548
Collins, 114, 326, 415, 486, 499, 581, 610, 622, 702
Congleton, 95, 104
Cookson, 121, 122, 141, 148, 155, 195, 208, 216 (general)
Cowan, 138, 396, 721, 746, 762
Coulson, 200, 203, 262, 485
Colhoon, 155, 162, 180, 193, 212, 318, 340
Cornthwaite, 219, 251
Couden, 240, 257
Cooper, 276, 290, 292, 312, 315, 366, 373, 428, 521
Conn, 314
Cord, 347, 368, 533, 569
Colvin, 369, 498, 511, 586, 636, 667
Conelly, 383, 697, 714
Coble, 438, 608, 627
Coleman, 444, 521, 642, 668, 682
Conrad, 472
Connell, 562
Covode, 610
Cotton, 613, 710, 724
Colliday, 642
Confer, 653
Coartney, 741
Conway, 772
Covalt, 772
Crosby, 8, 113
Crispin, 27, 37, 38, 76, 126, 763
Cressup, 70, 83

Crap, 71
Crumpleton, 94, 167
Croghan, 116, 141, 216, 240, 284, 299, 408, 461, 485, 499
Crone, 134, 148, 237
Creiss, 138, 325
Cresson, 148
Craig, 173, 264, 283, 326, 329, 516, 529, 538, 571, 592, 606, 666, 684, 749, 753, 755
Cross, 187
Crawford, 193, 202, 216, 284, 329, 386, 433, 494, 504, 510, 540, 570, 574, 654, 680, 708, 719, 730, 755
Crumling, 210
Craighead, 278, 291, 310, 728
Crow, 282, 359
Criswell, 295
Cromwell, 301
Crummy, 315
Crane, 326
Crooks, 352, 358, 359
Cronickle, 445
Creimer, 449
Crockets, 487, 499, 500
Crantz, 593, 645
Crever, 653
Cravel, 655
Cuarton, 21, 22
Curry, 28
Cumming, 94, 618
Cunningham, 137, 149, 153, 223, 268, 301, 343, 402, 420, 439, 463, 497, 504, 567, 571, 579, 595, 708, 714
Cullen, 218, 253, 277, 477, 480
Culbertson, 364, 370, 428, 465, 628, 634, 643, 658, 671, 721, 735
Cuckendorf, 364
Cumbert, 424
Cushman, 515
Cumpton, 637
Cunning, 741

David, 3, 4 (general)
Davies, 3, 8, 11, 15 (general)
Dalbo, 43, 70, 71
Danby, 52
Dakeyne, 56, 57, 94, 95
Day, 70
Darby, 89
Davenport, 92
Davison, 135, 140, 150, 179, 195, 268, 350, 412, 444, 582, 592, 600, 611, 642, 652, 700
Darrah, 192
Dallum, 291
Darlington, 423
Daily, 486, 556
Dansdale, 575, 582
Darnall, 613
Dawson, 663, 685
Dacke, 713
Darreberger, 734
Danser, 737
Deffoss, 26, 7,6
Dehaas, 36, 145, 361, 492, 493, 508, 520, 527, 533, 574, 653, 654
Deveral, 46
Deptford, 58
Delametor, 60
Delama, 82
Depul, 85, 86, 325, 460
Denny, 85, 346, 606, 627
Deane, 112, 215, 283, 286, 490, 519, 701, 719, 739, 742
Dennis, 118
Devin, 147
Derringer, 154
Denmark, 160
Deal, 161, 169, 269, 357, 653, 701, 743
Delaback, 179
Delaplaine, 199
Deshler, 214
Dearmond, 233, 313, 651
Delap, 238, 463, 502
Demy, 278
Dewees, 281, 488
Dewitt, 361, 709, 739
Deeter, 459, 590, 671
Devenny, 472

Demsey, 501
Dennison, 519, 612, 657
Delany, 678
Deem, 732
Dentler, 285, 2[illegible]
Diclon, 20
Dillwin, 45, 46
Dickinson, 61, 62, 71, 426, 430, 431, 436, 438, 440 (general)
Dixon, 211, 292, 600, 601, 610, 657, 680, 729
Dickey, 249, 311, 624, 738
Dildine, 264
Dicks, 326, 550
Divin, 351
Dill, 367, 538
Digges, 384, 491, 513, 536
Dilworth, 435, 460, 484, 530, 604, 605
Dinckle, 543, 769
Dim, 641
Donavan, 25
Dobkin, 39
Dougherty, 46, 133, 223, 250, 352, 359, 442, 443, 453, 505, 518, 641, 670, 703
Downing, 99
Dow, 120
Douglass, 125, 363, 364, 370, 482, 495, 520, 532, 541, 557, 564, 573, 613, 616, 631, 648, 651, 670, 686, 710, 724, 759, 760
Donally, 151, 183, 295
Dowell, 157
Dollinger, 186
Downer, 190, 191, 495
Doran, 208, 431
Doddridge, 208
Donald, 305, 343, 716
Dolson, 325
Dobson, 340
Donaldson, 395, 398, 513, 561
Doyle, 459
Dorland, 514
Dorr, 611
Dodds, 649
Doot, 747
Dodson, 761
Downs, 774
Draughton, 80
Drinker, 458, 572, 670, 693, 700, 768
Dreisbach, 576, 583, 589
Drumond, 614
Drenen, 630
Dushane, 30, 75, 83, 96, 97, 325
Durborough, 32, 35, 84, 86, 92, 409
Dunton, 35, 36
Dunn, 36, 503, 507, 522, 550
Ducket, 71, 104
Durvall, 82, 649
Dumma, 119, 413, 499
Dunning, 155
Duncan, 190, 224, 322, 345, 430, 463, 536, 545, 575, 619, 642, 657
Duffield, 199, 289, 296, 310, 453, 616, 648
Dunbar, 233, 306, 491, 503, 512, 522, 759
Dunckleberger, 298
Duffy, 506
Dunlap, 359, 505, 631, 643, 712, 719, 725, 767
Dunmore, 383
Duff, 421, 631, 694
Durham, 435
Dungan, 658, 716, 736
Dyer, 133

Eaustace, 48
Eastburn, 48, 69, 70, 407
Earle, 76, 721, 735
Eagleston, 184, 599
Eaker, 219, 222, 249, 260, 375, 444, 517, 586
Earhert, 230
Eagon, 488
Eagner, 734
Ebrecht, 113
Eberhart, 475
Eby, 579

Echelberger, 120, 126, 138, 147
Eckman, 137, 215, 486, 544, 568, 679
Ecker, 341
Eckles, 673, 688
Edwards, 3, 7, 15, 78, 93, 232, 291, 698, 758
Edy, 25, 432, 582, 587, 588, 612, 666, 689, 692
Edgell, 91
Edmunson, 122, 218, 253, 396, 488, 505, 507, 515, 523, 732
Edgar, 496
Edge, 681, 709
Egbertson, 94
Ege, 700, 718, 769
Eiser, 717
Eiselman, 756
Ellis, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15 (general)
Eldridge, 84, 641
Elliot, 120, 121, 125, 140, 171, 262, 277, 297, 299, 348, 418, 485, 499, 512, 534, 538, 553, 557, 590, 631, 662, 694, 700, 701, 703, 705, 719, 729, 739, 742
Elder, 159, 247, 256, 265, 298, 312, 318, 383, 397, 400, 554, 657, 684, 731
Ellicott, 287, 425, 446, 581
Elmsley, 394
Elbert, 413
Emmit, 29, 62, 75, 85, 105, 109
Emery, 30
Emlen, 103, 107, 108
Empson, 565
England, 80, 90, 337, 610
Ensmenger, 139
English, 239, 278, 491
Engle, 501
Enoch, 556
Enslie, 724
Erwin, 173, 179, 284, 302, 311, 334, 499, 563, 668, 669
Erban, 397
Ermill, 581
Erb, 676, 695
Eshbert, 129, 135
Eshlinger, 359
Espy, 593, 600, 612, 645
Estep, 613, 664, 710, 724, 760
Esh, 639
Ettinger, 134, 226
Etter, 390
Evans, 8, 12, 13, 15, 19, 20, 26, 57, 71, 78, 85, 98, 215, 270, 481, 519, 522, 542, 577, 597, 642, 696, 734
Eveland, 29
Eves, 36, 46, 676
Everighter, 118
Eversole, 308
Evalt, 548
Ewing, 28, 83, 217, 283, 292, 332, 353, 372, 427, 428, 429, 432, 434, 435, 437, 439, 440 (general)
Ewer, 51
Eyerle, 690
Eyler, 201
Eyselman, 297

Fairman, 20, 47, 53, 61
Fallen, 39
Farson, 44, 55
Farmer, 53, 72, 104, 196, 197, 291, 566
Falconer, 56, 363
Fayhearty, 83
Faggs, 84, 105
Faust, 154, 333, 339, 474, 509
Fatiger, 383
Faran, 610, 736
Few, 29, 65, 423
Fell, 66, 69
Fenn, 109
Ferree, 122, 123, 584
Feyser, 136, 219
Ferris, 217, 433, 486, 544
Fetter, 237
Ferguson, 291, 311, 322, 340, 638, 669, 709
Feagley, 498

Fessler, 596
Feighter, 610
Feterow, 657
Fetzer, 670, 689
Fish, 39
Fisher, 39, 40, 48, 72, 82, 83, 90, 91, 92, 184, 189, 208, 241, 243, 341, 587, 660, 661, 664, 738, 751, 754, 763, 771
Fitzwater, 41, 68, 79, 91, 92, 93
Finney, 44, 84, 200
Fierre, 48
Fishbourn, 63
Fitzrandal, 94
Fitzgerald, 115, 178, 252, 269, 614, 638, 650, 704
Field, 123, 133, 325, 672, 768
Finley, 177, 194, 201, 242, 243, 247, 248, 267, 316, 344, 390, 392, 437, 517, 531, 532, 551, 562, 570, 584, 585, 609, 650, 653, 668, 694, 695, 703, 707, 710, 721, 727
Fitzpatrick, 428
Fink, 183
Fischall, 181, 220, 649
Fiscus, 340, 727
Finstermaker, 679, 686
Flower, 27, 34, 90, 594, 640, 757
Flexney, 28
Fleming, 30, 306, 470, 478, 486, 604, 627, 632, 668
Flick, 370
Flenigan, 488, 612, 615
Fletcher, 546, 673, 723
Flohr, 568, 616
Fox, 42, 44, 75, 163, 231, 248, 395, 569, 720
Foulke, 44, 91, 96, 108, 230, 339, 382, 623, 688, 709, 739, 757, 760
Forehead, 62, 68
Foreman, 71
Ford, 81
Forster, 148, 152, 239, 299, 314 335, 342, 348, 358, 371, 382, 418, 506, 531, 641, 647, 671
Forbes, 174, 311, 322, 523
Forsythe, 202, 488, 502, 568, 596, 616, 655, 658, 661, 694, 709, 721, 725, 727, 737, 740, 766, 767
Fockler, 288, 617, 733, 750
Fowler, 290, 316, 325, 574
Forward, 354, 603
Forgey, 622
Fogel, 660
Forley, 769
French, 36, 46, 48, 64
Friend, 39, 50, 223
Franciscus, 75
Fry, 83, 295, 426, 557, 570, 594, 623, 749
Frampton, 118, 763
Fridley, 118, 121, 124, 125, 159. 543
Fritz, 167, 178
Franks, 196, 289, 441, 482, 518, 526
Frazier, 238, 286, 569, 600, 618, 727, 728, 747, 770, 771
Francis, 253, 254, 259, 265, 366, 371, 545, 587
Freymire, 270
Fruit, 384
Freeman, 435, 436, 440, 501
Fretwell, 458
Franklin, 477, 490, 513, 528, 529, 536, 539, 559, 587
Frankson, 614
Frederick, 765
Fulmer, 168, 686, 743
Fullerton, 156, 421, 678
Fulton, 25, 181, 212, 383, 394, 429, 531, 589, 662, 688
Furnis, 45
Fuller, 46
Furly, 65
Funk, 72, 694, 725
Fundy, 83
Furrer, 102
Fudge, 724
Fyles, 50

Gatchell, 25, 28, 30, 57, 68, 83, 85, 91, 109 (general)
Galbraith, 54, 55, 111, 114, 116 (general)
Garber, 111, 181, 182
Gantzhorn, 117, 265
Gammell, 133, 159, 193, 200, 206, 249, 264, 281, 282, 300
Gattys, 145, 480, 704
Galloway, 172, 686
Gardner, 182, 379, 421, 422, 424, 425, 433, 438, 448, 454, 496, 661
Gass, 185, 488
Galley, 217
Garwood, 292, 298, 373, 488
Gallagher, 299, 314, 318, 505, 518, 539
Garlich, 333, 339
Garretson, 341
Gantzer, 387, 495
Gabriel, 472
Gangware, 539
Gakler, 567
Gasser, 613
Gapen, 640, 674, 723, 757, 771
Gaston, 662
Gallatin, 738, 754
German, 13
Georges, 54, 232, 740
Gerrard, 119
Gehr, 425, 443, 708
Gemberling, 531
Geiger, 580, 676
Gettys, 582, 612
Gemill, 617
Gill, 39, 232
Gilpin, 47, 410, 750
Gibbons, 90, 407, 507
Gilmore, 95, 180, 181, 193, 206, 213, 225, 501, 508, 521, 526, 614, 634
Gilberts, 96, 151, 600, 723
Giles, 116, 180, 192, 300
Gilchrist, 138, 193, 351, 359, 382, 394, 518, 565, 612, 648, 663
Gisemer, 139
Gilliland, 143, 167, 414, 596, 737
Gildersleeve, 182, 200
Gibson, 192, 360, 380, 533, 559, 562, 606, 654, 684, 705, 717
Gibbs, 227, 298
Gist, 301, 697, 707, 757, 758
Gilson, 308, 465
Gillespie, 349, 350, 375, 428, 722
Gilfillen, 540, 613, 668, 710, 724
Gilman, 554.
Giltner, 672
Gise, 719
Gladin, 39
Glan, 92, 149, 374, 464, 592, 676
Glasford, 153, 301
Glick, 330
Glentworth, 587
Glass, 673, 723
Glascow, 675
Goodson, 11, 37, 55, 58, 60, 71, 78
Gosedge, 39
Gorrell, 75, 77
Gordon, 79, 116, 124, 131, 141, 228, 277, 315, 382, 496, 517, 659
Gouldeny, 99, 109
Gooding, 110, 226, 249
Govet, 163, 445, 678
Gould, 175,
Gossler, 231
Godshalk, 246, 256, 416, 431, 606, 609
Goudy, 299, 702
Golden, 400
Goodwin, 571, 706
Gonzales, 594, 623, 647
Good, 724
Gourley, 753
Griffith, 3, 7, 30, 46, 64, 93, 100, 101, 349, 608, 645
Graham, 25, 87, 117, 125, 130, 133, 136, 146, 178, 229, 252, 364, 390, 420, 425, 432, 475, 479, 574, 583, 695, 721
Gregg, 26, 49, 491, 503, 522, 645, 523, 724

Grubb, 30, 38, 47, 56, 90, 96, 103, 202, 218, 231
Growdon, 31, 46, 71, 93, 106, 158, 166, 394
Graeff, 31, 65, 72, 75, 80, 139, 259, 430, 491, 607
Green, 36, 41, 48, 207, 237, 359, 414, 480, 527, 704
Gregory, 53, 236, 465
Groerne, 68
Groves, 77, 168, 491, 517, 532, 745
Graeme, 84
Gray, 90, 139, 276, 321, 347, 356, 368, 399, 405, 417, 421, 445, 483, 502, 585, 598, 678, 713, 730, 732
Grant, 117, 118, 577, 586
Graber, 183, 348, 418
Gramlich, 183
Greer, 188, 236, 258, 273, 321, 473, 606, 622, 769
Grefield, 227
Grafts, 230, 311
Greensweich, 246, 255
Grimes, 269, 346, 347, 352, 356, 360, 417, 527, 722
Gratz, 485, 499, 553
Greenleaf, 497, 509
Grojean, 531
Gronow, 538, 745
Grundy, 601, 603
Growner, 745
Grim, 750, 760
Greenmyer, 270
Grundles, 306
Grant, 358, 359, 412, 492
Graybill, 440, 730
Guy, 50, 64, 499
Guthrie, 90, 179, 350
Gurn, 92
Guches, 112
Guiger, 332, 450
Guffee, 665
Gwin, 288

Hayes, 6, 7, 10, 11, 34, 53, 81, 234, 296, 373, 400, 432, 434, 505, 513, 567, 580, 583, 591, 598, 599, 610, 622, 648, 667, 756
Harrison, 14, 33, 394, 424, 451, 521, 563, 592, 716, 749
Haverd, 17, 402
Hasell, 26, 49, 50, 90, 96
Harker, 28, 49
Haines, 29, 32, 62, 142, 170, 196, 347, 384, 403, 466, 484, 485, 629
Harlan, 31, 75
Hamilton, 33, 62, 64, 82, 107, 156, 168, 172 (general)
.day, 34, 228, 634, 700
Hart, 39, 358, 376, 381, 500, 508
Hammon, 39, 582, 652, [illegible], 707, 768, 769
Harris, 45, 205, 268, 291, 382, 421, 431, 447, 496, 537, 571, 578, 591, 634, 635, 644, 660, 680, 681, 684, 698
Hastins, 49
Harman, 50
Haythorne, 54
Halton, 57
Hallock, 73
Hall, 84, 92, 330, 403, 430, 432, 436, 537, 631, 690, 722, 725, 771
Harben, 92
Hamer, 95
Haddon, 97, 280
Hartley, 100, 101, 103, 154, 193
Hare, 108, 218, 258, 390, 396, 432, 642
Hanna, 123, 198, 340, 470, 621
Hawer, 130, 145, 156, 309
Halm, 150
Hantwork, 156, 157, 509
Hardy, 162, 212, 369
Harrier, 201
Hake, 206, 245
Hanson, 213, 227
Haag, 255
Harmony, 258, 330
Harper, 279, 572
Ham, 303, 653
Harter, 314

Haun, 343, 718, 765
Harding, 359, 649, 713
Hagar, 363, 391
Hazzard, 368
Hartzell, 382, 678, 680
Hanum, 423, 578
Hay, 432, 568, 570
Hackman, 437, 439
Haigie, 454
Hawser, 457
Haslet, 479, 516
Hallan, 482
Hammersley, 503
Harrod, 535, 756
Haverling, 540
Hambright, 545
Hartman, 578, 691, 743, 766, 767
Harholt, 589, 613
Hartung, 596
Hawkins, 658
Hantz, 664
Harvey, 666, 671, 767
Hand, 676
Harity, 698, 758
Hartford, 707, 737, 757
Haymaker, 719
Hammill, 770
Heigh, 8
Herr, 31, 63, 80, 81, 472, 660
Hecock, 38
Hendrick, 39
Helms, 50
Heuston, 74
Henderson, 83, 184, 249, 299, 300, 312, 388, 412, 420, 425, 453, 463, 475, 489, 503, 530, 587, 579, 592 (general)
Hendrickson, 84, 98, 176
Heallier, 98
Hergusheimer, 115
Heykler, 127
Heyser, 154, 510
Hern, 154
Heisler, 160, 162
Henly, 228
Hetherington, 425, 427, 435, 530
Heister, 462, 493, 516, 653, 654, 655
Heistand, 463, 467
Hepburn, 478, 506, 507, 604, 620, 632, 647
Hepshman, 502, 517, 532
Hefly, 502, 517
Hefflefinger, 531, 726, 728
Herring, 544
Helmich, 555, 657
Hermantrout, 598
Heydrick, 651
Heaton, 624, 630
Herbert, 667
Herold, 707, 726
Hettinger, 718, 735, 765
Hebberly, 186
Henthorn, 201
Herman, 205, 532, 548, 557, 608, 627
Henry, 207, 228, 299, 342, 540, 584, 664
Hendricks, 233, 408, 539, 574, 676, 705
Hearse, 240
Hershey, 246, 262, 693
Hemmons, 271, 467
Herd, 276, 727
Heller, 290
Hess, 304
Helman, 343, 527
Heshler, 373
Hewett, 374, 464, 770
Henning, 389
Heiner, 747
Hellings, 749, 755
Hildebrand, 30, 34
Hickman, 38, 39
Hill, 42, 51, 64, 147, 174, 209, 225, 256, 265, 298, 413, 508, 510
Hindman, 73, 92, 365, 602, 626
Hicks, 81, 334, 623, 738, 753
Hirons, 42
Hitchcock, 95

Hipple, 168
Hinton, 184, 192, 224
Hinckle, 213, 334, 367
Hittell, 766
Hillbourn, 296
Hillard, 319, 355, 734
Hipsher, 501
Hillegas, 554, 585, 667
Higgins, 611
Hite, 238, 637, 675, 738, 754, 762, 771
Howell, 7, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 59, 768
Holland, 17, 18
Hogg, 26, 128, 139, 481
Hough, 31, 32, 475
Houseman, 32
Hodge, 39
Holstein, 41, 42, 44
Holt, 51, 92
Hore, 54, 82
Hollingsworth, 57, 110, 635, 723
Holmes, 58, 60, 66, 110, 196, 197, 268, 296, 338, 351, 358, 376, 396, 426, 478, 506, 574, 606, 620, 622, **641 (general)**
Houston, 62
Hockley, 73, 117, 120, 121 (general)
Honse, 78
Holland, 97, 98, 540, 566, 610, 641
Hoover, 111, 246, 256, 636, 714, 718
Hossack, 117
Hodgin, 132
Hollinger, 147
Horsfield, 152
Howard, 172, 193, 717, 726, 760
Hoops, 172, 173
Houtz, 186
Horner, 208, 430, 499
Howe, 247, 557, 572
Hockenberry, 247
Hood, 263, 271, 307, 480, 595, 616
Holman, 266, 274
Hornecker, 272
Hope, 298, 578
Hoge, 348, 353, 360, 418, 457, 468, 471, 481, 482, 491, 521 (general)
Hoffman, 367, 388, 428, 429, 578, 593, 614, 634, 636, 691, 743
Hort, 376
Hoobler, 377, 382
Hogshead, 396
Hooper, 420, 445, 449, 539
Hopkinson, 458
Hopkins, 492, 562, 769
Holler, 516
Hook, 524
Hoy, 547, 640
Hone, 580,
Hollis, 602
Hooder, 610
Horn, 622, 628, 638
Holben, 636
Hoofpance, 695
Horlacher, 699
Houser, 701, 743
Hopper, 702
Hornish, 735
Hoble, 743, 746
Householder, 744
Hochsteter, 747
Holenback, 768
Humphreys, 10, 12, 15, 16, 17, 20, 23, 24, 74, 90, 225, 273
Hughs, 10, 12, 14, 19, 24, 27, 39, 48, 104, 105, 107, 109, 110, 283, 347, 450, 485, 505, 535, 635, 663, 670, 702, 727, 730, 747, 756, 771
Hussey, 28
Hudson, 33, 51, 52, 65, 67, 76, 77, 137, 245, 270, 319, 355, 606
Humbleston, 39
Humble, 81
Husbands, 85
Hunnings, 114
Hunter, 152, 199, 205, 247, 352, 367, 369, 384, 388, 428, 465, 492, 524, 527, 528, 534, 556, 583, 585, 604, 607, 630, 654, 688, 659, 688, 689, 698, 703, 723, 739, 741, 757, 770

Hulings, 152, 259, 265, 290, 382, 388, 465, 508, 743
Hunt, 157, 424, 578, 595, 616, 768
Huston, 183, 263, 403, 412, 430, 436, 534, 538, 578, 590, 619, 635, 658, 746
Hutchinson, 201, 585, 619, 770
Huber, 260
Hutchings, 294, 384
Hurst, 331, 587, 642, 658, 661, 688, 709, 740
Hummell, 362, 399, 608, 627, 750
Hubley, 458
Hutton, 495, 558, 601, 653, 750
Huff, 505, 627, 658
Huntzinger, 544
Huckleberry, 565
Huffnagle, 650, 727
Huddleston, 723
Hymes, 241, 482, 645, 649
Hypsey, 341
Hyndshaw, 688

Ilacany, 30
Ilgenfritz, 113
Isenhart, 263
Imhoff, 236
Irwin, 252, 256, 316, 340, 382, 402, 403, 421, 426, 428, 430, 431, 433, 436, 439 (general)
Ish, 318
Iredell, 333, 376
Innis, 346
Illig, 472
Iddings, 547
Israel, 651
Ickes, 667, 693
Imble, 674
Ingram, 692
Immell, 722
Ingersoll, 753

James 8, 14, 15, 226, 249, 413, 458, 589, 606, 609
Jansen, 35, 67, 72
Jacobs, 45, 157, 209, 210, 298, 305, 356, 368, 400, 404, 462, 546
Jacquet, 46, 687, 695, 721, 746
Jarvis, 49, 73
Jameson, 139, 143, 282, 395, 495
Jackson, 153, 217, 219, 307, 315, 491, 504, 517, 531, 565, 591, 612, 643, 675, 756
Jarman, 645
Jenkins, 9, 17, 22, 26, 267, 288, 362, 363, 391, 481, 535, 547, 558, 572, 595
Jennings, 21, 59, 60, 84, 138, 154, 327, 585
Jenner, 59, 60
Jeffreys, 357, 423, 590
Jessin, 581
Jones (general)
John, 5, 6, 7, 30, 31, 79, 110, 696
Job, 62, 394, 484, 485
Johnson, 89, 104, 112, 113, 120, 124, 126, 133, 138, 147 (general)
Jordan, 103, 194, 237, 268, 341, 465, 558, 570, 769
Jolly, 272
Jurlansons, 50
Julius, 276
Junkin, 501, 627.

Kalglasser, 25
Karnhaas, 143
Kapp, 245, 252, 255, 678
Kahn, 393
Karcher, 450
Kaufman, 476, 525, 548, 699, 740, 764
Kelly, 20, 118, 185, 200, 249, 282, 374, 375, 451, 558, 682, 706
Kennedy, 41, 115, 128, 136, 139, 149, 271, 344 (general)
Key, 47, 148, 640
Kees, 51
Kearney, 77, 167, 632
Kenny, 115, 252, 309, 536
Keiffer, 121

Keene, 139, 620, 631
Kerr, 127, 141, 248, 267, 345, 374, 404, 547, 579, 606, 618, 657
Kerber, 170
Kempton, 193
Kelker, 245, 270, 621, 647, 677
Kersteter, 246
Kersey, 282, 316, 492, 511, 514, 534, 600, 653, 670, 718, 766, 767, 769
Kenner, 292, 296
Keelough, 315
Kecklin, 326
Kennell, 343
Keely, 357
Kershner, 363, 535
Keichly, 382
Keppele, 433, 438
Kehler, 467
Kerlin, 482
Kerns, 493, 595, 628, 633, 672, 686, 735, 747, 757, 761
Keller, 511, 515, 535, 597, 674
Kerson, 541
Kemble, 554
Kelso, 518
Keagy, 667, 685, 708, 743
Kessler, 689, 735, 743, 765
Kedlinger, 703
Keyser, 746, 751
Kinsey, 15, 25, 64, 163, 425, 441, 443, 446
Kirk, 26, 29, 229, 299, 484, 553
Kirton, 33, 78, 97, 106, 389
Kirkbride, 93
Kimmel, 116, 122, 123, 678
King, 131, 135, 138, 147, 473, 596, 641, 643, 713, 737
Kilgore, 146, 511, 534, 599
Kimbling, 181, 210
Kidd, 205, 225, 626
Kirkpatrick, 241, 242, 243, 513, 630, 641, 770
Kible, 414, 715
Killum, 544, 569, 586, 607, 663
Kinkead, 551, 588, 661, 689, 727
Kinsel, 675
Kind, 701
Kirwane, 705
Kissinger, 743
Kisteler, 748
Klawdy, 116, 122
Klein, 127, 139, 481, 734
Kleinhans, 191
Kleckner, 670, 689
Klan, 734
Klinger, 768
Knight, 33, 36, 395, 601
Knowles, 46, 49, 160
Knerve, 72
Knertzer, 181, 210, 273
Knawben, 364
Knorr, 588, 598, 607, 655
Kneagy, 595
Knab, 744
Kollack, 66, 76, 92, 416
Koyle, 76
Koch, 154, 470, 580
Kresman, 101
Kreichbaum, 182
Kreber, 263, 631
Krouse, 269, 691
Kreeble, 606
Kruger, 675
Kreitzer, 675
Kreps, 760
Kundiggs, 31, 63, 80, 81
Kuhn, 127, 279, 280, 394, 642, 757, 761
Kuhnius, 457
Kuntz, 147, 167, 178, 470
Kuhl, 152
Kunselman, 487, 502
Kucher, 605, 653, 654, 655
Kunkle, 690, 762
Kynasson, 34
Kyle, 132, 152, 234, 235
Kykendale, 508, 595, 613, 641, 668, 699, 713

Lawrence, 16, 19, 41, 42, 43, 68, 99, 103, 288

Landis, 25
Langhorn, 27, 33, 63, 93, 107
Laersens, 50, 60, 61
Langshore, 58, 60
Lawrenson, 61
Lane, 65, 256, 622
Lackey, 86, 477, 565
Lapowingo, 86, 87
Lackford, 97
Lay, 119
Larch, 134, 226
Lantz, 138, 372, 670
Laird, 168, 183, 340, 451, 511
Larabies, 201
Laufer, 232
Landsiscus, 238
Latta, 315, 648, 662
Lawson, 325, 605, 625
Lavol, 399
Lardner, 407, 476
Labar, 441
Lamback, 444
Landislyer, 494
Laughlin, 520, 561, 595
Layton, 646, 671
Lautensliger, 654
Lail, 675
Lamaguire, 692
Laughman, 705
Lafferty, 719
Latimer, 755
Lewis, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 20, 25, 30, 47, 55, 61, 64, 168, 275, 282, 364, 433, 473, 488, 492, 493, 494, 502, 507, 519, 522, 525, 580, 599, 611, 653, 654, 691, 745, 772
Lehman, 14, 69, 84, 160, 286, 352, 367, 387,
Lee, 26, 89, 283, 375, 416, 666, 718
Lenard, 29
Leiper, 94, 472, 520, 582, 618, 642, 661, 682, 688, 740, 751
Leech, 98, 496, 655, 661, 673, 689
Levy, 111, 4
Lens, 177, 2
Lehn, 178, 190, 633
Levinston, 223
Leplys, 490, 500
Leibagood, 514
Leef, 585
Leader, 600
Leacock, 690
Leroy, 693
Lenker, 713, 732
Levering, 715
Lesher, 264, 269, 311, 322, 407, 520, 583, 654
Lechner, 265
Leer, 276, 642, 764
Lewar, 281
Levan, 310, 360, 365, 376, 427, 443
Leadley, 314, 325, 326
Leney, 338
Leichner, 387
Levers, 406, 458, 494, 541
Lees, 423, 431, 655
Leib, 424, 509, 516
Leidig, 424, 426
Lenix, 749, 769
Lindley, 48, 61, 98
Little, 57, 93, 224, 298, 312, 371, 433, 493, 530, 624, 697, 707, 740, 757
Lightfoot, 111, 146, 192, 196, 276, 288, 293, 297, 308 (general)
Link, 118, 121, 124, 125, 159, 620, 692
Lindsey, 193, 239, 671
Linton, 239
Lilly, 245, 491, 514
Ligget, 257
Litton, 303
Lice, 343
Lightcap, 452
Light, 529, 748
Lidman, 563
Littlemore, 617
Lingle, 637
Lisle, 762
Lloyd, 3, 4, 5 (general)
Llewellin, 11, 18, 19, 41, 42, 97

Logan, 25, 54, 56, 57, 67, 90, 92, 95, 104, 161, 259, 542, 746, 753
Louney, 31, 54, 641
Lowther, 34, 36, 110, 681, 684, 709
Lord, 35
Longacre, 35, 77, 81, 100, 105, 274
Lovelace, 39, 50
Lowns, 41, 42
Love, 71, 85, 296, 359, 380
Loban, 73
Long, 87, 92, 94, 96, 98, 115, 124, 132, 193, 205, 206, 232, 315, 390, 405, 472, 722
Lowbar, 126, 170, 182, 200
Lowry, 140, 190, 191, 367, 463, 543, 652, 658, 680, 747
Lockart, 184, 475, 479, 486, 597, 674, 755, 757, 761
Lowe, 236, 581
Loos, 298
Loughead, 317
Lott, 326
Loudon, 361, 455, 459, 733
Lovers, 381
Lodge, 523, 527, 529, 531, 575, 650, 665, 668, 687, 699, 704, 713, 734 (general)
Lochry, 584, 592, 609, 612, 664, 680, 710
Logue, 589
Lollar, 606, 609
Lowrs, 661, 662
Lovengier, 706
Ludwick, 25, 399, 444, 500, 605, 645, 655
Lung, 31
Lurcunceller, 81
Lukens, 124, 126, 129 (general)
Lusk, 347, 417, 484
Lutz, 433, 502, 517, 734
Lucky, 494, 570
Lungan, 679, 686
Lyons, 39, 74, 115, 171, 181, 194, 213, 238, 242 (general)
Lynn, 93, 95, 144, 184, 348, 395, 398, 417, 532, 555, 601, 696, 701, 752

Markland, 543, 652, 680
Marquis, 548, 605
Marter, 549
Martz, 560, 576, 637, 647
Maise, 583
Martick Forge Co., 667, 685, 708, 743
Marchant, 650, 703
Masterson, 713
Mahon, 720, 735, 772
Madden, 755
Maclay, 112, 139 (general)
Makefield, 25, 31
Masselin, 31
Manlove, 32, 86, 91, 382
Machan, 34, 578
Macbur, 35
Markham, 37, 77, 197
May, 39, 530, 594, 622, 678
Matson, 41, 42, 43, 50
Masters, 42, 43, 51, 70, 71
Martin, 44, 83, 106, 118, 119, 201, 292, 298, 305, 326, 496, 544, 560, 639, 642, 658, 662, 667, 679, 685, 686, 687, 709, 722, 735, 741, 743, 761, 765
Machippecunck, 56
Mathers, 56, 749
Marshall, 72, 344, 402, 629, 688, 769
Massellet, 83
Marsh, 84, 356, 368
Mawhcomy, 86
Maydock, 87
Maddock, 93
Mackey, 98, 514, 546, 594, 605, 624, 640
Marple, 108,
Mariot, 108, 377
Malcolm, 111
Matthews, 117, 133, 138, 145, [illegible], 200 (gene
Mason, 123, 13[illegible] 2

Maxwell, 134, 178, 191, 205, 464
Mayntzer, 135, 144, 673, 689, 714
Markley, 147
Man, 169, 218, 256, 646, 671
Mahaffy, 174, 181, 187, 478, 505, 506, 731
Mackelwaine, 203, 496, 498, 507
Maybury, 207, 231, 248, 258, 330
Marburger, 260
Manning, 326, 612, 642
Matlack, 403, 430, 445, 447, 587
Manuering, 409
Mateer, 440, 465
Mate, 448, 454
Maurer, 494, 654
Magruder, 495
Massey, 518

McAdam, 275, 740
McAdoe, 591
McBride, 222, 531
McBroom, 148
McCallan, 686
McCalmont, 678
McCall, 41, 42, 68, 250, 316, 378, 381, 383, 392, 408, 409, 600
McCandless, 177, 264, 351, 375, 390, 766
McCantes, 743
McCarty, 120, 296, 315, [illegible], 380, 603
McCaskey, 138
McCasson, 150, 714
McCand, 229
McCarrol, 240, 257
McCallister, 406, 466, 480, 525, 593, 645, 725, 770
McClanaghan, 54, 239, 421, 529, 611
McCleary, 392, 442, 460, 573
McClelland, 198, 206, 222, 350, 488, 564, 574, 751
McClintock, 119, 520
McClure, 340, 345, 357, 406, 507, 523, 604, 659, 662, 690, 765, 771.
McClung, 696, 719
McClean, 144, 148, 162, 170 (general)
McCook, 94.
McCoole, 109
McConnell, 29, 162, 194, 199, 204, 219, 251, 258, 273, 305, 455, 506, 643, 660, 676
McComb, 489, 538
McComon, 521
McCoy, 622, 643, 765
McConoughy, 762
McCord, 136, 142, 143, 179, 306 380, 403
McCormick, 30, 184, 247, 296, 348, 360, 382, 386, 418, 470, 473, 501, 530, 566, 594, 610, 622, 658, 678
McCracking, 605
McCreary, 402, 549
McCune, 223
McCullough, 137, 190, 220, 262, 275, 424, 431, 466, 533, 545, 568, 569, 591, 597, 600, 610, 656, 670
McCrea, 267, 268, 350, 363, 391, 655
McCroskey, 283, 284, 301, 302, 340
McCurdy, 159, 190, 453, 463, 555, 590, 682, 751
McDaniel, 200, 767
McDonald, 125, 488, 520, 562, 575, 582, 668, 672, 675, 678, 730, 743, 755, 766
McDowell, 123, 138, 151, 191 (general)
McEvers, 558
McFadden, 533, 751
McFaren, 123, 132
McFall, 366
McFarland, 58, 214, 346, 710
McFee, 635
McGahan, 348, 417, 470, 569
McGaw, 340, 693
McGary, 288
McGaughy, 263, 344, 416
McGee, 603, 619, 750

McGill, 141, 435
McGinty, 312
McGrady, 185, 693
McGrew, 659, 674, 687, 746
McGriff, 144
McGuire, 227, 294, 364, 370, 757
McGuffog, 561
McIlduff, 130
McIlhenny 220, 421, 726
McIlroy, 124
McIntire, 125, 149, 587
McIntosh, 630
McJennett, 321
McKane, 95, 442, 447, 493, 707, 737
McKachney, 508, 533
McKee, 160, 188, 189, 200, 203, 204, 207, 249, 282, 294, 336, 391, 416, 447, 457, 517, 531, 533, 576, 668, 699, 713, 728, 739
McKenny, 169, 172, 189, 544, 630, 642
McKinley, 292, 558
McKeehan, 622, 648
McKeirnan, 741
McKenzie, 267, 509
McKim, 685
McKissick, 392, 689
McKnight, 167, 181, 203, 335
McKown, 392, 521
McLaughlin, 133, 213, 477, 480, 530
McLees, 476, 504, 527
McMasters, 481, 512
McMath, 632
McManimy, 211
McMehan, 54, 256, 290, 607, 693
McMechen, 158, 169
McMichael, 140
McMickens, 761
McMuldrah, 414
McMullen, 146, 224, 329, 406, 508, 616, 667, 771
McMurray, 414
McMurtrie, 264
McNair, 486, 642
McNulty, 344, 444, 558
McNutt, 202, 203, 377
McPeak, 130, 515
McPherson, 131, 161, 173, 240, 502, 663, 685
McSherry, 144, 341, 513, 535, 549, 555, 573, 578
McVeagh, 193, 217, 717, 726
McVity, 288
McWilliams, 362, 367, 375
Meredith, 14, 421, 460, 525, 642, 643
Mercy, 89
Means, 114, 244, 415, 526
Meek, 153, 518, 715
Mears, 159, 415
Merkle, 167, 638, 714
Merriott, 182
Messersmith, 184, 192, 224
Meetch, 188, 361
Melhorn, 210
Melgar, 213
Meyer, 214, 232, 358, 374, 475, 639, 690, 702, 730, 764, 767
Meal, 252
Mechlin, 286, 611
Melone, 348, 418
Mease, 421, 730
Merrill, 453
Mercer, 470, 511, 727
Messemer, 509, 576, 583
Mentorf, 542
Meade, 551
Messerly, 568
Merryman, 608
Meason, 122, 123, 401, 462, 481, 494, 555, 571, 599, 697, 706, 707
Metzler, 674
Meekseh, 691
Merry, 769
Miles, 14, 19, 20, 122, 621, 624, 626
Mickle, 27, 28, 32, 76, 163, 720
Miller, 32, 63, 67, 69, 73, 79, 80, 96, 115, 127, 141, 174, 178, 190, 199, 225, 227, 233, 234, 264, 286, 302, 318, 330, 3[illegible] 340, 348, 361,

363, 366, 367, 465, 473, 636, 637, 644, 647, 654
Mink, 57, 439
Mills, 62, 457, 541, 594, 605, 609, 624, 722
Mifflin, 70, 78, 626, 627, 633, 634, 642, 648, 652, 653, 673, 681, 682, 695, 698, 715, 720, 723
Miers, 72, 92
Millener, 89, 472
Mitchell, 105, 138, 148, 182, 239, 406, 415, 476, 534, 559
Mingh, 182
Michael, 182, 191, 566, 744
Mishler, 281, 289, 430
Mildeberger, 330
Milroy, 345
Milner, 445, 723, 739
Milne, 512, 570
Minor, 640, 722, 725, 757
Miley, 645
Middleton, 667
Morgan, 4, 5, 13, 69, 162, 254, 282, 291, 308, 386, 401, 436, 439, 453, 512, 572, 590, 736, 742
Molineux, 7
Moore, 14, 20, 22, 38, 57, 81, 83, 89, 90, 130, 131, 133 (general)
Morris, 15, 23, 33, 66, 73, 75, 80, 81, 109, 122, 124 (general)
Moyer, 25, 70, 187, 341, 748
Monkton, 35, 311
Mortonson, 49
Morrison, 74, 204, 300, 374, 433, 452, 483, 505, 506, 608, 625, 646, 708, 731
Montour, 112, 240
Moyer, 121, 208, 401
Montgomery, 159, 162, 164, 174, 286, 287, 291, 303, 304, 340, 348, 361, 386, 388, 392, 418, 436, 459, 581, 685, 739, 751, 763, 772
Mooney, 169, 194, 644
Moorehead, 173, 639, 657
Morton, 229, 370, 478, 506, 557, 736, 762
Morrow, 290, 316
Moleston, 319, 355
Moodie, 350, 352, 384, 388, 404, 503
Moser, 441, 644, 750
Moffet, 472, 483
Mohler, 491
Moss, 504
Moll, 721
Moreland, 518, 533, 550
Morthland, 542
Musgrove, 9, 29, 100
Murray, 46, 81, 142, 481, 580
Musgang, 94
Murphy, 117, 118, 326, 414
Munday, 325, 327
Musser, 386, 400, 404, 420, 442, 445, 450, 451, 477, 750
Mucklewaine, 429, 431
Mumma, 463, 750, 760
Muhlenberg, 482, 483, 559, 560, 567, 574, 577, 582, 587, 588, 589, 593, 597, 601, 602, 603, 605, 607 (general)
Mutchmore, 593, 662
Mumbour, 607
Murdock, 674
Muir, 695
Mullen, 716
Myers, 475, 518, 554, 565, 717, 739

Nagle, 64, 100, 371, 664, 671, 673, 747
Nash, 81
Nailor, 329
Newcomer, 104, 721, 746
Needham, 26, 75, 77
Neave, 31, 472, 744
Nenys, 45, 46
Nessileus, 49
Newell, 25, 54, 84, 498, 608
Nevan, 74
Neckerson, 84

Neff, 114, 126, 136, 718, 721
New, 135, 144, 500
Nelson, 147, 295, 345, 390, 412, 483, 591, 597, 616, 770
Nesbit, 151, 161, 582, 678
Newkirk, 234, 667
Newbold, 365
Newburn, 411
Nevill, 458, 468, 492, 494, 534, 548, 560, 602, 608, 627, 639, 641, 664, 666, 687, 710, 724, 740, 756
Neely, 516, 598, 628, 643, 651, 686
Neal, 556
Neeland, 730
Newlan, 756
Nicols, 34, 635, 665, 666
Nicholas, 39, 41, 110, 149, 311, 322, 523, 678
Niss, 75, 81, 103, 442, 517, 532, 734
Nixon, 90, 556, 684
Nicholson, 579, 642, 722
Nigh, 592, 611
Nice, 734, 736
Norris, 21, 42, 57, 106, 389
Nock, 26
Noble, 39, 41, 275, 276, 277, 762, 770
Noxon, 56, 82, 96, 98, 102
Nordon, 183, 525
Noblit, 275, 694
Norcross, 376
Nowland, 535
Nutt, 26, 55, 75, 566
Nudimus, 87
Nugan, 182, 200
Nurk, 699
Nyeman, 57

Owen, 4, 7, 8, 12, 15, 23, 24, 26, 39 (general)
Orme, 8
Oliver, 15, 279, 726
Oldson, 36
Oncall, 39
Ollesson, 49
Oldham, 68
Ozilla, 87
Osborn, 88
Ottinger, 88
Orr, 90, 669
Orendorff, 114, 145, 697
Orndt, 171
Overlin, 178, 444
Oyster, 207, 231, 248, 509
Oblenes, 208, 231
Ohl, 230, 266, 272, 373, 405, 576
Officer, 308
Ogden, 325, 326, 327, 328, 658
Ormsby, 337, 366, 367, 593, 702, 714, 729, 748
Oliphant, 352, 388
Obitz, 377
Opp, 401
Oswald, 402
Overpeck, 427
Oglevy, 445
Orth, 445, 642, 660, 734, 765, 769
Oatley, 490, 500
Oldshoe, 525
Okely, 607
Oest, 633
Ohlwine, 672, 706, 742
Orwig, 708, 741
Omelsdorf, 746
Orby, 759
O'Bryan, 288
O'Gaulegar, 444
O'Neil, 481, 497
O'Hara, 534
O'Conner, 678
O'Donnell, 736

Parker, 7, 9, 33, 64, 98, 174, 229, 329, 404, 405, 755
Paschall, 9, 43, 91, 96, 394
Parry, 14, 15
Painter, 16, 640, 726
Paxton, 21, 98, 216, 398, 628, 714
Parnell, 39
Parsons, 48, 71, 72, 139, 186, 231, 444, 675, 723

Paul, 52, 157, 505, 636, 701, 719, 723, 739, 742
Patterson, 56, 141, 174, 207, 249, 318, 326, 350, 369, 386, 425, 440, 465, 526, 542, 556, 604, 656,, 660, 740, 751, 759, 771, 772
Pardee, 56, 77, 90
Paulson, 62
Pastorius, 64
Paine, 68
Patton, 74, 111, 192, 245, 250, 251, 252, 255, 260, 270, 298, 305, 413, 424, 425, 426, 432, 436, 477, 480, 537, 580, 599, 768
Page, 80
Parvin, 84, 215, 308, 364, 423, 476
Partridge, 95
Parke, 103, 135, 496, 628, 634, 700
Packer, 208, 647
Pawley, 232, 570, 591
Palmer, 283, 420, 429, 479, 576, 578, 595, 636, 637, 648, 671, 672, 689 (general)
Parr, 310, 331, 338, 422, 430, 434, 549
Passmore, 484, 485
Patrick, 602
Parkinson, 659, 691
Pancoast, 687
Penn, 8, 15, 27, 38, 52 (general)
Peters, 12, 66, 107, 126, 140, 141, 146 (general)
Pennock, 18, 31, 94, 95, 96, 99
Pearsall, 34
Pearce, 37, 81, 158, 164, 170, 301, 576, 688
Peterson, 49, 56, 89, 94, 95
Pemberton, 58
Perry, 60, 113, 131, 135, 138, 147, 302, 368, 450, 462, 547, 572, 589, 613, 711, 728
Peelman, 61, 237
Peggs, 73
Peckover, 89, 97
Pettit, 114, 132, 752
Peidlar, 121
Peace, 154
Pendergrass, 177
Peebles, 198, 404, 489, 490, 503, 514, 595
Penticost, 429, 551, 706, 716, 736, 762
Peck, 435
Peden, 483, 582, 592, 599
Pettecrew, 516, 524, 660
Peale, 526
Perkins, 581
Pettyjohn, 649
Pevici, 688
Pendell, 722
Pfoutz, 107, 294, 389, 641, 740
Pfeffer, 531
Philips, 20, 26, 54, 215, 550, 565, 614, 615, 640
Physick, 141, 176 (general)
Plison, 229
Philippi 372
Pheonix, 697, 714
Pickrings, 26, 90
Pierson, 31, 37, 61, 67, 89, 104, 105, 107, 163, 356, 368, 556, 727, 747
Pitman, 57, 535
Pike, 64, 90
Pilmeyer, 139
Pitcock, 199
Piper, 207, 250, 360, 600, 641, 684
Pippin, 329
Pitzer, 495, 501, 521, 657
Pim, 519
Piedy, 683
Pindell, 696
Pleasington, 30, 94
Plumsted, 45, 66, 67, 70, 408
Plunket, 291, 306, 350, 367, 386, 404, 416, 431, 440, 507, 526, 615, 626, 634
Pleasants, 375, 466
Plank, 464
Plumer, 563, 711

Poyer, 9, 10, 22
Powell, 10, 15, 19, 43, 64, 66, 67, 70, 78, 189, 207, 406, 561, 563, 575, 617, 639, 663
Potter, 21, 55, 134, 148, 156, 207, 280, 369, 421, 426, 437, 447, 528, 552, 584, 620, 755
Pound, 34
Poole, 34
Porter, 85, 152, 158, 164, 170, 240, 278, 279, 344, 519, 631, 709, 720
Potts, 140, 159, 164, 227, 249, 514, 579, 581, 590, 627, 630, 633, 692, 694, 715, 749, 751
Poor, 171
Poorman, 224
Poppenmeyer, 258
Pollock, 271, 350, 351, 359, 619
Polk, 322, 331, 334, 415
Pollard, 371
Pointers, 413
Pocock, 437
Power, 521
Postlewaith, 577, 604
Poe, 611, 709
Pomry, 657
Power, 684
Prichard, 4, 6, 7
Price, 13, 76, 95, 265, 387, 478
Prees, 21, 43, 71
Province, 362, 551
Prettyman, 69
Proctor, 82, 250, 409, 413, 473, 505, 506, 507, 518, 537, 539, 584, 638, 664, 696, 703, 710, 720, 745, 750, 764
Pringle, 421
Prong, 568
Priest, 703
Purdy, 173, 299, 300
Pusey, 8, 31, 383, 394, 484, 485
Pugh, 19, 20, 67, 73, 160, 460
Pullen, 33
Purviance, 153, 216, 521, 560, 683
Pyle, 82
Pyat, 226
Quick, 55
Quigley, 193, 210, 352, 356
Quim, 349, 418
Query, 715

Rambo, 43, 44, 47, 53, 61, 70, 71
Ramts, 46
Rawle, 97, 705
Rary, 147
Ramsey, 194, 304, 314, 335, 341, 344, 416, 550, 612, 667, 682, 685, 708, 743, 766, 771
Randal, 226, 249
Rankin, 246, 265, 275, 311, 345, 368, 369, 428, 559, 670, 690, 694, 726, 736
Rainey, 278, 292, 341
Ramee, 326
Ram, 390, 525, 548
Raiguel, 559
Raybold, 474, 685, 763, 772
Rahauser, 488
Rape, 501
Rannel, 503
Raise, 508
Rawhawser, 568, 616
Rawlins, 616
Randolph, 744
Rees, 3, 4 (general)
Readings, 28, 29
Reynolds, 28, 68, 72, 85, 91, 102, 441, 446, 451, 484, 490, 562, 723, 726, 756
Read, 36, 41, 42, 43, 71, 114, 116, 126, 164, 166, 184, 192, 374, 386, 390, 464, 470, 472, 484, 496, 509, 580, 595, 605, 611, 613, 625, 639 (general)
Reall, 58, 134
Reader, 74, 75, 133, 145, 159, 178
Renshaw, 99, 449
Reigel, 121
Reiber, 129, 140, 143, 144, 754
Reigart, 147, 342, 506, 519, 718
Rechner, 150, 289
Reezer, 174, 209, 364, 413

Reine, 202, 218, 310
Redman, 230
Repp, 232, 676
Reinhart, 233, 611
Rex, 252
Resler, 273
Reardon, 299, 533, 540, 742, 768
Renicks, 731
Reishter, 364
Reddick, 468, 488, 510, 511, 512, 513 (general)
Ream, 469, 502, 517, 532, 543, 570, 667
Reily, 486, 732
Renner, 502, 517, 532
Redke, 562
Rety, 576, 583
Reyburn, 616
Reinecker, 620, 696
Regan, 674
Reaugh, 700
Reager, 730
Reif, 748
Reybold, 763
Reznor, 767
Rhoderick, 23, 24
Rhea, 192, 423, 530, 585
Richards, 6, 7, 48, 58, 151, 245, 397, 488, 580, 591, 595, 607, 625
Rider, 9, 111, 171, 486, 745
Richardson, 46, 66, 74, 77, 79, 103, 112, 144, 149, 221, 499, 606, 662, 687, 690, 705
Risley, 63, 73
Riccards, 138, 504, 527
Rich, 191
Ring, 326
Ribbeth, 239
Richie, 204, 264, 289, 310, 312, 390, 468, 480, 494, 502, 520, 534, 538, 548, 560, 564, 596, 602, 610, 633, 664, 672, 688, 704, 707, 709, 724, 737, 739, 757, 760
Rice, 275, 278
Rishell, 286, 429, 466, 654
Ritter, 304, 697
Rispery, 420
Rippey, 463, 634, 658
Risk, 494, 654
Rimel, 543, 570
Ridgly, 571
Rick, 593
Rittenhouse, 636
Riddle, 677
Roberts, 3, 4, 5 (general)
Rowe, 9, 10, 17
Rowles, 16, 17, 96, 99
Rogers, 27, 72, 107, 144, 149, 187, 203, 249, 282, 325, 357, 465, 601, 629, 720
Robinson, 30, 31, 37, 43, 55, 56, 62, 65, 68, 69, 71, 92, 100, 103, 126, 170, 196, 197, 212, 283, 315, 346, 377, 407, 408, 516, 521, 571, 576, 577, 599, 667, 686, 687, 706, 739, 745
Rowland, 74, 139, 229, 375
Ross, 81, 148, 192, 201, 307, 438, 478, 495, 501, 565, 572, 597, 601, 613, 619, 624, 630, 633, 635, 636, 641, 643 (general)
Robinet, 83, 408
Roads, 86, 163, 416
Rolfe, 88
Rossiter, 114
Royer, 116, 495, 551, 714
Rodney, 126, 319, 355
Rowan, 138, 154, 347, 582
Rope, 168, 326
Robb, 180, 191, 205, 213, 225, 260, 331, 338, 459, 501, 566, 586, 600
Roddy, 215, 351
Rotten, 361, 489
Rosenberger, 341
Rowlings, 374
Rosebury, 475
Romich, 516, 524
Romans, 519
Rodarmel, 561
Roberdeau, 640
Robbins, 676
Rorebach, 683

Roth, 691
Robst, 697
Rudman, 43, 66, 69
Russell, 83, 91, 94, 304, 551, 599
Rudisilly, 139, 147
Runkle, 156, 157
Rush, 170, 455, 526, 620, 631
Ruffner, 250, 413, 468, 470, 649
Rupple, 307, 377
Ruston, 374, 464
Rule, 389, 532
Rutter, 438, 514, 579, 652
Ruck, 521
Rutherford, 592, 612, 673
Rytharch, 11
Ryle, 39
Ryerson, 326
Ryan, 585, 654

Samuel, 19, 20, 217
Salters, 20, 111
Sanders, 22, 42, 44, 78, 106, 123, 165, 389, 573
Satterthwait, 38
Sawphorus, 39
Saunderson, 55, 198, 241, 242, 243, 717, 740, 744
Savoy, 57
Say, 64, 66
Salkeld, 69
Salway, 71
Savage, 104, 264, 312
Sandilands, 107
Salcar, 395
Salisbury, 132
Sawyer, 178, 179
Sailor, 241, 309, 643
Sample, 227, 278, 292, 345, 496, 497, 641, 717, 740, 744
Saltsman, 284
Saltzgeber, 285, 293
Salmon, 326
Sampson, 368, 518
Saam, 368
Sanford, 429
Scott, 30, 179, 181, 233, 242, 277, 303, 347, 400, 417, 428, 444, 470, 489, 492, 507, 514, 535, 574, 587, 608, 610, 627, 629, 642, 652, 659, 664, 676, 677, 688, 691, 697, 706, 730, 746, 756, 759, 760
Scoble, 41, 42, 43
Scull, 86, 105, 111, 123, 128, 132, 135, 139, 144, 156 (general)
Scarlet, 89, 93
Schweitzar, 187, 329, 461, 465, 538, 623, 764
Schwar, 220
Schuler, 269
Schneider, 297, 448, 730
Schlegle, 300
Scouller, 351
Schooley, 644, 672, 696, 712, 729, 730
Schaffer, 692
Sean, 638
Seaman, 706
Senixen, 31
Searl, 31, 32
Seipman, 46
Seltenridge, 89
Seely, 111, 134, 152, 162, 174, 209, 217, 241, 493, 506, 653, 654,
Sell, 144, 555
Seigrist, 246, 619
Seabold, 269
Seager, 366, 521
Seyfert, 420, 605, 618, 678
Sempsell, 427
Seward, 571, 616
Sellers, 493
Seiberling, 576
Sergeant, 584, 620, 631, 732
Servos, 595
Selsor, 674, 675, 715, 725
Shagle, 392
Shenkle, 425, 426
Shubart, 433, 438, 749
Sherwood, 436, 440
Sholl, 455, 768
Shelkis, 457
Shoufler, 464

Shaw, 474, 614
Shafner, 501
Sheen, 577, 743, 755
Shittler, 627, 640, 663, 735, 749
Shooly, 663
Shins, 675
Shoof, 696, 719
Shore, 703
Shetter, 744
Sharp, 31, 85, 227, 364, 428, 465, 538, 598, 628, 643, 670, 674
Sherwell, 39
Shankland, 47, 65, 89, 91, 92, 138, 151, 214, 271, 322, 416
Shipley, 48, 91, 437, 493
Shippen, 64, 112, 119, 288, 294, 319, 352, 356, 395, 398, 643, 678, 682
Shardlow, 81
Sheltham, 89
Shetcher, 90, 608
Shallus, 114, 115, 124, 126, 127, 132, 136
Shewell, 118, 334
Shollenberger, 121
Shank, 127, 136, 219, 767
Shoemaker, 148, 163, 285, 325, 395, 398, 454, 539, 554, 556, 588, 605, 618, 663
Shockey, 150
Shryer, 152
Shick, 171
Shenywolf, 177
Shultz, 187, 424, 474, 760
Shitacre, 189, 208
Shoneyfield, 194
Sheit, 213, 230
Shertle, 213
Shelby, 215, 675
Shryack, 220, 531, 672, 730
Sharpless, 229
Shrader, 229, 523
Sheiffler, 237
Shively, 246, 255
Shields, 263, 476, 533, 576, 587, 619, 678
Sheaffer, 269, 296, 303, 352, 359, 390, 391, 448, 472, 525, 549, 579, 595, 602, 734, 743, 747
Shombach, 272, 439
Shinney, 288, 573, 597
Shearer, 289, 549, 567, 672, 674, 687, 693, 730, 767
Shroad, 295
Shannan, 308, 695
Sherman, 341, 543, 567, 689, 725
Shaddon, 353
Short, 366, 414, 467
Sharron, 373, 561
Sixinith, 9
Sipple, 33, 34
Simpson, 34, 91, 157, 171, 303, 308, 317, 334, 338, 371, 382, 395, 451, 474, 495, 617, 623, 624, 667, 733
Simcock, 51, 81
Sinickson, 74
Simonson, 79, 81, 92, 100, 208
Sinclair, 89, 366
Simons, 127, 128, 233, 479, 545
Sipe, 171
Sills, 371
Silvers, 445
Silliman, 464
Sickle, 524
Sigfried, 708
Sitler, 718
Sigafus, 742
Skurfield, 11
Skyles, 177, 244, 298
Slough, 166, 427
Slagle, 279, 280, 314, 573
Sloan, 340, 669
Sley, 526, 530, 601
Slover, 642
Smith, 25, 26, 39, 47, 49, 63, 64, 76, 77, 85, 106, 117 (general)
Smedley, 56, 69, 72
Smout, 82, 83, 400, 566
Smallman, 240, 254, 705
Smiley, 267, 503, 515, 537, 539, 541, 551, 631, 661, 663, 755

Small, 402, 710, 729, 756
Smyser, 488, 568, 636
Smier, 722, 761
Snodgrass, 487, 710, 729, 756
Snowden, 41, **42**
Snivelly, 61, 592, 611
Snyder, 83, 233, 370, 651
Snyderfer, 236
Snell, 290
Southworth, 7, 9
Sober, 41, 43, 44, 67, 68, 76, 77, 269
Sowder, 218, 222, 238
Soleberger, 573
Sonman, 587
South, 667
Solt, 676, 695, 756
Spencer, 39
Springer, 54, 518
Spicer, 87
Speece, 120, 126
Spengler, 127, 136, 181, 219, 220, 619, 658, 661, 709, 740
Spitalmeyer, 151
Speigle, 186
Springle, 222
Spohn, 245, 251, 655
Speilman, 246, 255
Spiers, 311, 318, 721
Spyker, 718
Standbank, 8
Story, 14, 97
Stewart, 25, 68, 127, 128, 135, 143, 144, 145, 153, 202, 233, 287, 318, 323, 325 (general)
Stedman, 25, 111, 126
Stackhouse, 31
Stouffer, 31, 726
Stalcup, 31
Strickler, 32
Stanwix, 34
Stevenson, 38, 132, 161, 169, 177, 183, 185, 190, 236, 256 (general)
Stull, 39, 181
Stuyvesant, 39
Standbury, 42
Steel, 47, 48, 49, 53, 55 (general)
Standerwick, 51
Stockdalls, 56
Starrett, 151, 159, 161, 175, 514, 610, 698
Stump, 72, 76, 87, 427, 551
Starr, 73, 229, 423
Stapleton, 79
Stokely, 471, 517, 531, 582, 638 652, 667
Sterling, 489, 591, 592, 612, 675
Strain, 518, 550
Stinson, 521
Steinmetz, 550, 689, 712, 725
Strahan, 603, 619
Stem, 636
Strunk, 649
Street, 668
Stille, 686, 693, 719
Stoffet, 701, 734, 743
Stinger, 707, 737, 757
Stahler, 734
Stroup, 751, 771
Sturum, 757
Steinford, 762
Strange, 105
Stoner, 121, 127, 227, 765
Strettell, 153
Stout, 160, 162, 295, 304, 504, 540
Sturgeon, 167, 172, 221, 239, 665
Stephens, 172, 239, 274, 283, 291, 349, 379, 386, 418, 440, 506, 556, 658, 707, 717
Stehley, 182
Steiner, 197, 519
Stecker, 218
Stitts, 229
Staub, 258
Strayer, 262
Stillwell, 267
Stone, 279, 362, 550, 588, 641, 661, 689, 725, 727
Stover, 285, 293, 390, 432, 667
St. Clair, 299, 322, 383, 568, 573, 585, 593
Stombach, 349

Strosnyder, 357
Staton, 382
Studham, 409
Stapler, 414
Strawbridge, 428, 432, 439, 615, 645, 649
Stackpole, 438,
Stein, 442
Stoneman, 454
Stroud, 458, 529, 601, 701
Surfurance, 39
Summers, 46
Sumony, 187, 230
Sumberland, 279
Supple, 441
Sutton, 642
Sutherland, 765
Sweney, 27, 505, 527
Swoape, 31, 167, 392
Swain, 103, 248, 267, 423
Swartz, 272, 370, 384
Swift, 290
Sweigart, 303
Swindler, 582, 652
Swartzhaup, 466
Swancy, 489
Swisher, 555, 750, 764
Swearingen, 613, 642, 661, 697, 706
Swengle, 623
Swartzback, 690
Symms, 541, 743, 755

Taylor, 8, 9, 21, 25, 26, 29, 30, 34, 41, 48, 49 (general)
Tarrant, 90
Taggart, 394, 412
Tate, 483, 585, 707, 750
Talman, 747
Templeton, 167
Tench, 35, 149
Test, 50
Tetamy, 82
Tea, 114, 119, 167, 169, 207, 216, 238, 250, 256, 371, 594, 646
Tenttonger 144
Templer, 450
Teets, 476
Teeters, 571, 626
Tetzlerin, 677
Teitsworth, 755
Thomas, (general)
Thorn, 33, 651
Thompson, 80, 98, 114, 120, 125, 166, 176, 187, 274, 279, 301, 306, 311, 315, 322, 337, 339, 340, 365, 368, 376, 398, 399, 480, 517, 522, 532, 563, 572, 605, 627, 647, 663, 695, 711, 728, 730, 754
Thatcher, 84
Thom, 621, 677
Thornbury, 719, 725
Tipping, 36, 37, 73, 90
Till, 76
Tilghman, 126, 129 (general)
Tibbins, 128, 476, 520
Timons, 630
Tinkey, 650
Townsend, 65
Tomkins, 97
Towsen, 163
Tomlinson, 201, 622
Townsly, 259
Torrence, 299, 599, 704, 746
Torrington, 313
Toops, 431
Towers, 494
Toner, 505, 527
Todd, 584, 585, 638, 661, 664, 680, 763
Truax, 535
Trehern, 6
Trumbell, 29
Tress, 35
Trewit, 65, 89
Trent, 117, 120, 187, 196, 268, 329, 438, 461, 561
Treibelbis, 147
Trexler, 187
Troy, 314, 557, 570
Trotter, 329
Trump, 373, 389

Troback, 405
Travers, 486
Trapnall, 584
Truckenmiller, 590
Trester, 613
Truby, 638
Trout, 651
Traxel, 655, 673
Treet, 710, 718
Tryon, 718
Turner, 30, 33, 34, 45, 63, 88, 91, 107, 431, 479, 517, 531, 614
Tunis, 74, 75
Tursh, 119
Tuttle, 128
Tush, 307
Tucker, 491, 505, 520, 521, 537, 632, 650
Tully, 496, 735
Tudor, 536
Turnbull, 573
Tumbold, 695
Tyler, 46, 693
Tyson, 81, 82, 84, 608

Umstad, 67
Urum, 86
Ut, 108
Upp, 168, 401
Urie, 171, 299, 628
Umbelicamence, 197
Underwood, 224, 382
Ulrick, 232, 697
Updegraafe, 273
Uhler, 621, 647, 677
Usher, 659
Unger, 675
Ursurbach, 695
Uplinger, 735

Vanmetre, 535, 756
Vastine, 30, 105
Vangeezle, 35, 77
Varvell, 39, 526, 544, 569, 586, 607, 663
Vance, 96, 97
Vandegriff, 108
Vaughn, 151, 286, 293, 297, 615
Vanhoy, 213, 227
Vanderslice, 252, 364, 413, 463, 471, 543, 580, 652, 664, 697
Vancampen, 325, 529, 601
Vanhorn, 325
Van Middleswart, 403
Vanderlip, 407
Vanderen, 445, 702
Vanbuskirk, 603
Vandemark, 608
Varner, 671, 692
Vanphul, 693
Vendement, 675
Verdies, 168
Venables, 171, 299
Vernon, 229
Vincent, 64
Vickris, 78, 671
Vining, 170, 213, 304, 377
Vines, 368
Vogan, 220
Vroesen, 65

Watkin, 3, 5
Walk, 3
Walter, 4, 8, 144, 261, 433, 437, 439, 517, 532, 565
Warral, 13
Walker, 15, 55, 88, 111, 145, 153, 173, 199, 215, 237, 305, 348, 360, 383, 394, 418, 431, 506, 577, 603, 606, 611, 632, 665, 690, 721, 733, 751, 766
Watts, 27, 37, 574, 577, 607, 705, 709, 712
Wall, 46, 308, 435, 440, 454
Wayne, 63, 376, 522, 768
Wade, 64
Walmsley, 72
Warder, 72
Watson, 76, 193, 239, 261, 321, 404, 700, 739

Warrington, 92
Ward, 102, 120, 238, 307, 458, 525
Walton, 108, 168, 261, 381, 601, 738
Wallace, 141, 159, 160, 162, 179, 267, 386, 416, 465, 481, 508, 533, 543, 566, 608, 612, 613, 617, 618, 641, 651, 652, 664, 684, 698, 710, 720, 724, 760
Waugh, 150, 500, 593
Waggoner, 208, 237, 269, 395, 431, 516, 741
Warford, 267, 509
Wallis, 281, 291, 293, 302, 303, 341, 362, 374, 455, 466, 512, 527, 574, 583, 584, 586, 620, 631, 644, 647, 651, 654, 706, 716, 771
Warmkessel, 347
Walbert, 344, 348, 418
Washington, 501
Waller, 502, 688
Waddle, 518, 554, 576, 661
Wampler, 543, 567
Wally, 614
Way, 616
Wells, 27, 48, 232, 381, 512, 517, 574, 629, 664, 677, 687, 697, 706, 740, 751, 756
Webster, 35, 540, 630, 651, 685
West, 70, 72, 147, 148, 160, 239, 267, 307, 351, 441, 453, 486, 493, 749
Webb, 758, 230, 714, 718,
Weiser, 81, 114, 209, 245, 252, 255, 359, 472, 542, 563, 718, 746, 751, 769
Weiss, 101, 113, 115, 127, 420, 481, 595, 613
Weldon, 102
Welsh, 110, 236, 263
Weston, 163
Wentz, 168
Wenrich, 181
Welker, 187, 230, 266, 272, 635, 728
Westby, 217
Wellick, 220
Weiler, 238
Weitzle, 270, 483, 572, 585, 730
Weyland, 294, 384, 481, 751
Weaver, 303, 308, 464, 621, 677, 769
Wertz, 393, 458, 742
Weirick, 414
Weidman, 473, 475, 745
Weigle, 488
Weakly, 490
Weer, 635
Weber, 670
Werner, 708
Wessler, 721
Wentzell, 760
Wegg, 769
Wheeldon, 9
Wheeler, 12, 137, 325, 718, 769
White, 29, 38, 126, 152, 168, 227, 234, 235, 271, 329, 410, 463, 467, 514, 520, 564, 566, 582, 589, 596, 637, 641, 642, 661, 697, 706, 716, 736, 738, 754, 762
Whisler, 63
Whitpain, 78
Whitehead, 102, 413, 432, 691
Wharton, 110, 445, 512
Whitesides, 180, 556, 679
Whitner, 367
Whitmore, 393, 394, 574
Whitehill, 472, 473, 474, 498, 541, 545, 546
Whitman, 482, 493, 718
Wharry, 520, 564, 589
Whiting, 584
Whaley, 637
Whorley, 674
Whiteford, 741
Williams, 6, 7, 13, 16, 20, 28, 33, 34, 39, 82, 102, 197, 256, 318, 403, 406, 407, 408, 413, 415, 427, 449, 545, 551, 582
Willard, 21, 45

Wilson, 28, 29, 33, 84, 88, 95, 96, 133, 151, 157, 169, 173, 180, 225, 274, 300, 321, 357, 360, 369, 421, 451, 469, 483, 495, 497, 520, 523, 525, 564, 567, 575, 585, 586, 606, 609, 617, 621, 636, 641, 642, 651, 657, 658 (general)
Willisses, 28
Witmer, 31, 89, 492
Wieldy, 32
Widner, 48, 676
Witty, 64, 429
Williamson, 82, 306, 561, 563, 577, 617, 639, 663
Wilcoxon, 85, 583
Wister, 87
Wilmer, 89
Wiltbank, 89
Wilkins, 90, 203, 367, 368, 675, 761
Wishart, 105
Wisler, 112, 119
Wilky, 146
Wilt, 157
Witherspoon, 158, 164, 170, 279
Wiley, 214, 322, 345, 644, 680, 743, 766
Wisecarver, 238
Winebrenner, 245
Winter, 262, 475, 493
Witmyer, 263, 370, 695
Willing, 354, 406, 522, 630, 636, 643, 645, 652, 713, 715, 768
Wildgantz, 404
Wink, 425, 443
Wise, 568, 607
Winger, 660
Wigman, 691
Willy, 718
Witherow, 762, 770
Woodward, 9, 72, 76, 146, 257, 275, 423, 428
Woods, 20, 130, 131, 133, 145, 149, 156, 299, 309, 347, 360, 403, 453, 478, 479, 493, 506, 508, 524, 533, 570 (general)
Woolly, 55, 341, 431
Work, 98, 103, 301, 306, 401, 463, 518, 641
Wolf, 139, 147, 246, 308, 713, 727, 732, 771
Worm, 262, 674
Wox, 297
Woodsides, 372
Worthington, 374, 445, 451, 571, 599, 666
Wolfhill, 469
Woolslager, 546
Wootring, 562, 726, 728
Wollarton, 602
Woodrow, 643, 649
Wolfert, 671
Woodman, 756
Wright, 51, 71, 82, 283, 296, 345, 460, 556, 569, 589, 610, 612, 614, 615, 640, 641, 660, 661, 672, 688
Wynne, 7, 8, 9, 31, 55, 79, 480, 704
Wyeth, 28
Wymert, 120, 126
Wynkoop, 171, 214, 267
Wyerman, 231

Yeoman, 5
Young, 26, 30, 102, 112, 129, 140, 143, 187, 332, 364, 371, 399, 520, 549, 555, 573, 578, 579, 595, 597, 605, 741, 743
Yeates, 45, 610, 655, 687, 721, 723
Yeager, 734
Yarnal, 89, 93, 105, 367, 455
Yoner, 111, 236, 295
Yoder, 154, 199
Younkman, 310
Yost, 383
Yeagle, 390
Yocum, 391
Yoyser, 504

Youce, 543
Yorwick, 653

Zinn, 147, 174, 209, 210, 543, 570
Zimmerman, 209, 364, 473
Zantzinger, 477, 581, 679, 686
Zantmeyer, 502, 517, 532
Zane, 528, 543
Zeigler, 532, 543, 748
Zerby, 568, 578, 645, 675
Zeback, 613
Zepp, 748

F1-91
368 92


LAKE ONTARIO
UPPER CANADA
LAKE ERIE
Long Point
Buffalo Swamp
STATE OF
WESTERN TERRITORY
PENNSYLVANIA
ALLEGANY
OHIO
Pittsburg
Fort Erie
Fort La Bœuf
Jeanchabatego
Hickory Ho.
Fort Franklin
Tobys
Allegany
Mountain
Alleghany River
Big Beaver Creek
Big Kanhawa
Monongahela
Youghiogany
Laurel Hill
Chesnut Ridge
Laurel Hill
Bedford
Fig. 1. Geographical view of part of a Canal
Fig. 2. Section of the open Lock
Fig. 3. Section of the Lock full of water

Fig 1. A perspective view of part of a Canal
C vessel D within the Lock AC
Fig 2. Section of an open Lock
C vessel about to enter
Fig 3. Section of a Lock full of water
C vessel ascended to a level with the water
in the superior Canal
Fig 4. the ground Section of a Lock
C vessel in the inferior Canal C the
outer gate A the upper gate GH a sub
terraneous passage for letting the wa
r from the superior Canal into the
Lock KE a subterraneous passing for
water from the Lock into the
inferior Canal.

XYZ &c. Show the two flood gates each
of which consists of two leaves resting
upon one another so as to form an
Obtuse angle in order the better to
resist the pressure of the water the
first (X) prevents the water of the superior
Canal from falling into the Lock, and the
second (Y) stands open & retains the water in
the Lock, these flood gates ought to be
very strong, and to turn freely upon hinges
in order to make them open and shut
with ease each leaf is furnished with a
long lever AB A'B' C D they should be
made very tight & close, that as little water
as possible may be lost

Fig. 1.

Perspective VIEW of part of a CANAL with LOCKS.



Fig 2
Section of a LOCK



Fig 3.
Section of a LOCK full of Water

Fig 4
Plan of a
LOCK



By the subterraneous passage GH fig 2. 3. 4.
which thus indirectly by opening the sluice
G the water is let down from the superior Canal
into the Lock when it is kept in or retained by the
gate C when shut till the water in the Lock comes
to be on a level with the water in the superior
Canal. It is represented fig 3. When on the other
hand the water contained by the Lock is to let
out the passage GH must be shut by letting down
the sluice G, the gate C to be also shut & the
passage KE opened by raising the sluice K upon
passage being thus open to the water which stands
through KE into the inferior Canal until the
water in the Lock is level with the water
in the inferior Canal open the gate C fig 2

Bedford
Shippensburg
Carlisle
Union T.
Morgan T
Dunkards Bottom
Frankstown
Bath T.
Hagers T
Williamsport
Shepherd T.
Frederick T
Alexandria
Hunting
Sherman's Creek
Juniata R.
Susquehanna R.
Potomac R.
Ohio R.
Kiskiminitas R.
Conemaugh R.
Allegheny R.
Monongahela R.
Youghiogeny R.
Cheat R.
Little Cove Run
Sandy Cr.
Green Glade Cr.
Licking Creek
Tonoloway
Conococheague
Antietam Cr.
Opequon Cr.
Cacapon R.
Shenandoah R.
Great Falls
Little Falls
Potomac Falls
Catoctin Mt.
Blue Ridge
Allegheny Mt.
Laurel Hill
Chestnut Ridge
Negro Mt.
Dunkard Creek
Cheat Mts.
Shavers Mt.
Warm Springs Mt.
Great Capon Mt.
Short Hill
Sideling Hill
Tuscarora Mt.
Kittatinny Mt.
Blue Mt.
Tuscarora Path
Path Valley
Kittatinny Valley
Cumberland Valley
Great Valley
Shenandoah Valley
Hagers Town Valley
Juniata Valley
Conemaugh Valley
Allegheny Valley
Monongahela Valley
Youghiogeny Valley
Cheat Valley
Potomac Valley
Ohio Valley
Kiskiminitas Valley
Path Valley
Kittatinny Valley
Cumberland Valley
Great Valley
Shenandoah Valley
Hagers Town Valley
Juniata Valley
Conemaugh Valley
Allegheny Valley
Monongahela Valley
Youghiogeny Valley
Cheat Valley
Potomac Valley
Ohio Valley
Kiskiminitas Valley

THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS



HECKMAN
BINDERY INC.
JUL 92
N. MANCHESTER,
INDIANA 46962

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS
0 014 430 705 7